R. North Works Vol. XVIII Natural Philosophy BM Add MS 32545¹

... the Naturalist takes thing's as they are, and hath to doe with Nothing but their changes ...

UPDATED/REVIEWED NOVEMBER 2014

¹ Bound volume; external measurement, 220x255mm; ff. 1-6, 140x187-90mm; ff. 7-30, 160x208mm; ff. 31-60, 150x185mm; ff. 61-79, 120x190mm; ff. 80-91, 160x202mm; ff. 92-346, 163-5x205-10mm. Each of these sections is composed of paper of similar absorbency and opacity. See also further comments on appearance and condition throughout the footnotes, below.

[colophon i] (inner of board cover) <in pencil 388a 566a>

[colophon ii]
(binders' paper)
<stamped: 32,545>

[colophon iii] (binder's paper) <page blank> Notes of my Father²

<pencil: handwriting of
Rog<u>er North</u>>

1r

² This does indeed at first glance appear to be in RN's own hand, which imply's that it was the front sheet of a bundle left to his children. However Jamie C. Kassler (*Seeking Truth. Roger North's Notes on Newton and Correspondence with Samuel Clarke c.* 1704-1713, Ashgate Publishing Limited, Farnham, 2014, p. 24) states much more convincingly that it is in the hand of Montagu; this makes much better sense of what is written.

<red BM stamp>

Thus farr I thinck wee are Sure of [thee?],³ for few see a book without being kind Readers of the title page, & a line or two of y^e p^r= face. but how long wee shall /so fairely\ jogg on to= gether, or when part, is past my Skill to to devine. I know If any thing hold thee It is curiosity, and telling of tales, there= fore take Notice I am about to give Some acc° of My Self, & my wares here.

My very good parents Made me learn la= tine at a free school in y^e Country, Where I also buisied my Self, with squibbs, crackers, Starr= Kites, Melting Mettalls, turning Joynery, & Such like Exercises, as y^e Severity of our order's afforded time, & y^e place opportunity. After being Returned home, I conceived a designe of making an organ out of my own Inven= tion, a senceless, but daring project, w^{ch} with other like designes, were Interrupted by an Importune Reverend freind, who would needs Read Seatons logick⁴ to Me, but a dispatch to y^e university put an End to all My delights.

2r

³ Where I am not sure of my reading of a word I have used square brackets and a question mark as here. Where I have found the text illegible I use [..?] and where the illegiblity is the result of a crossing out [\dots ?]. So as not to fill the text with '*sic*'s I have also used [?] to indicate a form, or spelling, that puzzled me (even where I could read it, and thought it might have been a slip of RN's pen). Note that RN's own page numbering is inconsistent - he misses numbers and repeats numbers. (Of course, any apparent error that you notice might also be be a real one, of my transcription.)

⁴ Jamie C. Kassler (*op. cit.*, p. 27, n. 49) suggests John Seton's *Dialectica*, and identifies two copies listed as having been in the parochial library at St Mary's Church in Rougham. This library, built at some time in or after 1709, included RN's own books, as well as many volumes that had come to him - for example the books that had belonged to his learned niece Dudleya. The catalogue (according to the Norfolk Record Office Online Catalogue, item DN/MSC2/29), is dated 1714, it contains nearly 1,200 titles. A transcription of the catalogue will, in due course, be made available on this website.

в.

I was to stay a year there, being thought E= ough to Irritate My braines In order as others doe, to sell their brilliant Escume. there I was p^rferred to Burgersdicius⁶ logick Where I learnt. <space left>⁷

p^{r5}

And likewise had a touch of Metaphisicks where I found out that Eus Est quod habet Entitatem;⁸ I had a furnier on y^e 6. books of Euclid.⁹ w^{ch} I read by My self, and won= dred I could Not find out why Such stuff was celebrated & Recomended; he that was Calle[d?] my tutor, told Me If I did not like it & were was Not delighted with it, It was certein I did not understand it; So I laboured on 'till I was pleased, & having /once\ hold, /I\ could Never since let goe, such Engaging truth's, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} are More detach't from y^e wickedness of y^e world then Justice ϖf or Religion both w^{eh} /for they\ suffer Corruption In /under\ it. But all my penchant was after Na= turall philosofy, they Called phisicks for out of that I conceived hopes of understanding the reason's of all thing's In Earth & heaven too. A freind lent me Sennertus¹⁰ phisicks w^{ch} I read all over, and found Many dainty

 $^{7}\ {\rm A}$ space has been left - perhaps to be filled, perhaps to indicate just how much was learned.

 $^{^5}$ RN's alphabetical numbering begins on this page, as does his use of a header ('pr', an abbreviation for 'preface'). The alphabetical numbering has been crossed out in pencil by the BM curators in on the recto pages.

⁶ Franciscus Burgerdicius (Franck Pietersz. Burgersdijk, 1590-1635) was a Dutch philosopher whose Aristotelian *Institutionum Logicarum*, Leiden, 1626, was a widelyused textbook in the seventeenth century (the Latin text was republished in London and Cambridge several times, it was even translated into English in 1697. A copy is listed in the catalogue of St Mary's Church library.

⁸ i.e., 'That which is is that which has a being', this is written in a scrambled latin echoing the style of scholastic metaphysics. Whether this as a specific quotation has not been determined - but then I have not worked my way through Burgerdicius.

⁹ Euclidis sex primi elementorum geometricorum libri, in commodiorem formam contracti et demonstrati a P. G. Fournier, Paris, 1654. Georges Fournier was a Jesuit priest, a ships chaplain, geographer and mathematician who also published on practical matters such as ship construction; he taught Descartes at La Flèche. The tutor referred to was RN's older brother Dr. John North, later Master of Trinity.

¹⁰ Daniel Sennert (1572-1637) a German physician and natural philosopher. RN is probably here referring to his *Epitome naturalis scientiae*, first published in Wittenberg in 1618.

3r

 p^r .

c.

determinations, as Iris est Reflectio solis In Nube Concava; lumen est actus Corporis diafani, Motus est actus extis In potentia Quatenus In potentia.¹¹ & Such like w^{ch} I Could Not controvert or Contradict, but Continually suspected My owne understanding rather then \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Seeming sterility of my author. I observed a Sort of Sly discours about Des Cartes philosofy, as /being\ New and extraordinary and found no Repose, till I had Made a pur= chas of his works In. 8°.12 & Read 'em over, & over without any considerable light, but Infinite wonder & pleasure, from the Novelty & variety I found there. I was Inquisitive as farr as I durst, to know the Meaning of his Maine designe, as well as particular applycation's, but never mett other Encu= ragem^t, then frounds, /frownes\ & - why did I medle with such books /Authors\. In short, I had Not Many book's, & No lectures att all; a freind lent his Name, as tutor, to enable My admission but I read to My self; 13 So a litle phisicks, mathematicks, the peacable side of logick

 $^{^{11}}$ i.e.'The rainbow is the reflection of the sun in a hollow cloud, light is the action of transparent bodies, movement is the fulfilment of potential insofar as it is potential,' i.e., scholastic Aristotelian science.

¹² There were several to chose from - Antoine le Grands's octavo edition of the *INSTITUTIO PHILOSOPHIA SECUNDUM PRINCIPIA Domini RENATI DESCARTES Nova Methodo Adornata et Explicata. In Usum Juventutis Academicae* (i.e., with young men such as RN as the target readership) was printed in London by J. Martyn (noted on the title page as 'Regalis Societatis Typographum', that is, as printer to the Royal Society) in Latin and English editions in 1672.

¹³ The 'freind' was his older brother, John North.

3v D.

p^r.

Some musick, & no conversation were My Cursus at \boldsymbol{y}^{e} university, and from thence \boldsymbol{I} was sent to shift in y^e world, In w^{ch} I had as others My frisks & turnes at sea & land & proved all that was good & bad in $y^{\rm e}$ bustle of an /a very <code>active life</code>, But <code>Never So Much</code> /however Imployed or Retired, but I had /allwais\ a book off some sort or other within my reach. an[d?] Now I am a grim sir in a Country farm and pass /spend\ my time /partly\ Moving to & fro, & Not /partly\ a litle Reposing In a hole by ye Chimny Co[r=?] ner with a round window Called a closet where like an old bird /in an hollow tree\, I Rumenate My No[tes?] of the generall perfidy but More Inexpressible ffolly of all \boldsymbol{y}^e feather'd kind in yon' forrest And /whither I am\ Walking or Sitting No sort of thinking is So agreable to Me as $/y^{\rm e}$ speculation of $\$ Naturall thing's and Resolving the /ordinary but wonderfull\ complex phenomena /of\ and Won derfull effect's of Secret /Invisible\ causes, such as light /sound\ fire, explosion's, sounds &c. with the Interest they have in o^r faculty's /and concerning y^e power of them and desiring both to\; and both as test and Register of /to Register as well as test\ my thoughts, I am no less pleased to /am\ dress them In words & Sentences /whereby I\ ca[n?] [find?] see how they look, and If /as\ I like, /Reject or lay Reserve's\ set them by till farther occasion /for future diversion\; this /practise\ I have bin the More

4r

E.

p^r.

Inclined too, becaus I have Ever found I did Not well know my owne thoughts, till I had /wrote &\ reviewed them; and then for y^e most part, Mists fell away and ${\tt I}$ saw clearer My own fondness & failings /appeared in a clear light $\$ It is /is No small security /aSSurance Even of /(1) knowledg /it self to keep it Erect & stedy to have the /(2) perpetuall checks of Ingenious freinds, but it is almost /2\ Necessary as what is to appear abroad; ffor Men doe /know\ No More know how their sentiments, In the Man= ner /as \ they happen to Express them, will be accepted, then they can Guess at their Readers complexions And It is My unhappyness to have None /want\ such /helps\ at least in /conversible distance \ Such distance as Renders them In= Conversible. Therefore \pm /am forct\ use the onely succeda= neum,¹⁴ My owne Reiterated self, Hoping of that /expecting thence\, to have at least /perhaps\, half a freind, such as it is; I have bin often admonisht by the Duterai phrontides, 15 (so to Name /so as I thus\ my /freinds & half freind is styled\ onely [....?] in philosofy,) to forbear Scribling, as /as\ Not my talent, That knowing /Even knowledg such as I court brings no profit, wast's time, di= vert's buissness, and Mend's No man's condition of life; and /and after all\ wrighting, without an Extraordi= nary felicity of Style and plausibility of Subject such as flatters, powers, faction's or folly's, is much wors. [here?] ye other and better half freind is

¹⁴ i.e., 'substitute'.

 $^{^{\}rm 15}$ i.e., 'second thoughts', from Greek.

4v F

pr

is wanting /but all in vain\ ffor /to say truley\ I cannot /baulk y^t\ resist $y^{\rm t}$ pleasure, a [truer?] /the humour or rather vanity of wrighting /& y^e pleasure I find it affords. I doe Not excuse my self more then men use for other vanitys /less reasonable $\$ /tho\ more Indulged. I know /well\ a fop-wigg, Empty fine equippage, Idle company, keeping /Gaming\. drinking keeping, swearing, /[----?] [side?] boxing faction politiks\ Masking at y^e [p?] & many o= ther such gentile /fashionable\ Injoym't litle [hints?] pass mus= ter, and doe Not Sully their properly /declared [...?]\, but If I should appear, as they, barefac't, /in\ with this my /dull\ Solitary dress about mee, I must Expect con= tempt & scorne; therefore Since I mean Not to compare my diversion's with theirs, I shall [wear?] /crave [eheap rather ?] /leav to wear my\ mask then Weh Concluding /and so hold my [counte=?] /at leas Indemnifyed, w^{ch} concludes\ the acc° Intended to give of My self next for my wares. The circumstances of My education & Cours of life will priveledg me in that Supine Sort of wrighting called Essay's, being much of late In use, Especially When Gentlemen Scrible, who care Not to be confined to Such Strickt /order &\ method, as Com= pleat tract's $p^{\rm r} tend$ too. They have thought's $w^{\rm ch}$ must be vented, and they will Not take that pains as is necessary In Composing well; they When ye worme works, they write, and ceas when the flash is Gone. and Such is my case, ffor $\frac{1}{1}$ all my life, I have Ever bin Inclined to thinck on ye Subject's I here touch upon, and So willingly as never to fail at a pinch to divert me,

they make Even want of Sleep /wch most hate to me\ a pleasure.

5r

pr.

Nor could I ever Shake off that /the\ fond opinion Most have, y^t what they thinck/ing \ they understand, they think also they can express /it\ more /clear &\ Intelligibly then hath bin done by others but I must add that I am Conceited /have done and then y^e next steep is to doctor it, &\. I have /as well\ Refined /as and\ added to a fancy No body understands so well. But My vanity goes farther I cannot but thinck I have thought with more regard to In some thing's I have thought farther then others have done and here & there added /some\ new discovery's $w^{\rm ch} \ {\rm I-think}$ /seem to fill\ worthy of being p*served, as /divers fill blanks In others and lacunes /extant\ in philosofy and\ that wanted also observed some failings In arguments and application of $\mathtt{Experim^{\prime\, ts}}$ worthy to be Noted & applyed; But What If all this be as I say /suspect\ fond, vaine, & groundless? I am Not yet Convinc't of it, and till then, I may be allowed My foible on acc $^{\circ}$ of Good will. I doe Not as Some prtend to Compile an Intire body of phisick's; there are so many of them, they are ful=

Η.

of phisick's; there are so many of them, they are ful= some, and are but wrighting one after another, with some variety, as y^e authors /In some particular things\ happen to differ frome one and other. to find out, w^{ch} one Must have the fa= tigue of passing over all that vulgar Stuff they are ffilled with. It were well If many of them had Spared y^e press, all but their owne singularitys, and proposed them Onely, who with y^e subjects Concerned. This I have designed to doe; that is treat No subject but such wherein I thinck I vary /from\ or add /to w^t hath Gone before\ somewhat fr considerable. And I doe the rather decline the part of a compiler, becaus I know it betray's men Into shallow determinations, least they do Nor comprehend H. pr

Every doubdt, and after rather then leav a Gap they Insert the best acc° they can find or make, altho they are well Satisfied of None. It seem's to Me that Nothing hath betrayed Great witt's Into more failing then the design of Resolving Every thing. If they would hold to what they bring New and clear to their owne minds, as y° doctors of phisick p°tend at their /their \ Nostrums in philosofy; books would be less voluminous, the Improvem'ts and advances greater and Every ones propriety In them p°served. The World is very buysy In Collecting Experiments. I thinck a collection of thoughts would be very considerable. And that is what I here propose, adding of others, onely what I thinck may make my owne understood.

It may be sayd I take upon mee too much, p^rtending to Add to the Arguments and disco= very's of such Great Spirits as I Referr to. W^{ch} Granted I have Not Much to ans^r for; facile est Inventis addere.¹⁶ and if y^e author's were al[ive?] and spoke with. they would Encourage rather then blame such understanding's, and None bu[t?] y^e Impertinence of Critiscisme can blam it.

It will be also charged upon me that I use too many words; If I am /and that a\ philosofer Should be concise & sententious; I grant y^e shorter, If u[n=?] derstood y^e better, and If length obteins that the Excess is Not a Mortall fault. the contrac[=?] ting, is after work; when Notion's have bin ve[n=?] tilated, & adjusted among y^e vertuosi, then they may, by such as have a dexterous pen

be

 $^{^{16}}$ i.e., 'it is easy to add to (i.e., to improve) something already invented', Latin proverb.

6r

thinck,

pen be Collected & contracted, ffor y^e benefitt of learner's, /some\ who Care Not to dwell long upon any thing. of that sort is le clerck's phisicks¹⁷ w^{ch} had he compiled without pricking in his owne conceipts, y^t are the worst of y^e pack, the designe was well enough Executed. But such as Invent Especially in Matters of abstruse thincking, Must be Copious, or obscure; & surely y^e latter is y^e Worst fault; And to say truth, In such subjects, lan= guage is defective, words are Not to be had to Note the various Images In y^e Mind, so Recours is had to scenes, alusions, perifrases, & figures

 \mathbf{p}^{r}

In order to make other's apprehend what we

±.

¹⁷ Jean le Clerc (1657-1736), a Swiss theologian and scholar, published his *Physica*, *sive de rebus corporeis libri quinque* in Amsterdam in 1696. From RN's use of the word 'phisicks' it seems likely that this is the volume referred to. Le Clerc had been in London during the most turbulent months of the Exclusion Crisis in 1682, moving to Amsterdam where he became a close associate of John Locke. He would therefore be considered by RN to be neither a theological, philosophical nor political friend.

6v

<page blank>

195¹⁸

Phisicks.

The design here is to Shew the subject of Natu= rall philosofy, Its method of proceeding, and some= what of y^e office, & caracter of a Naturalist.

These philosofers like miners, first digg $y^{\rm e}$ oar, & then Refine it, after $w^{\rm ch}$ it is Consigned to arti= ficers to adapt for comon use. The oar is ye Mass of sensible things In y^e world, of w^{ch} some are all= ways neer us, some ly deep, and cannot be come at without labour and Engins, and the Richest veines are out of all possible reach. our Notice of y^e oar, that is externall objects, Is from our Senses, but the Refining, that is distinguishing between appearances and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} truth of thing's, (for those are very different) is \boldsymbol{y}^{e} work of Judgment. vulgar Errors, against w^{ch} Naturall philosofy aim's, hap= pen ordinarily by attributing to ye Object what subsists onely In the sensation, and to sence, that w^{ch} is the Result of Judgment; and partaging y^e truth among these jus suum tribuendo, 19 is al= most consumate philosofy; ffor If either be over or under appayed science is corrupted: here is ye buissness of a Naturalist, w^{ch} will be best Expli= cated by Examples.

1. Guilt wood, and Real Gold are In view Ex= actly y^e Same; but y^e Naturalist having Experience poiseth

¹⁸ The pages have been renumbered from an earlier BM numbering. From f. 8r RN's own numbering appears, crossed out on the rectos in curator's pencil. The header 'phisicks' runs continuously from here up to f. 60. Although is possible that the headings were added later to some sheets, it is not apparent, and if it was added later, there are no instances of being done so hurriedly that wet ink was pressed onto the opposite page. Akso, it is easy to believe tht the ink used in teh header was that used in the first line of the text below. This suggests that even though RN starts and stops runs of his own numbering, he was from the start, and intentionally, combining it all in a continuous work: the 'phisicks'.

¹⁹ i.e., 'to render each his due', this is a half-exact quote invoking the *Corpus Iuris Civilis* (Body of Civil Law), part of the revision of Roman Law undertaken by the Emperor Justinian (482-565). RN, in referring to this foundational document, which still shapes European legal theory, underwrites his own qualification (as a lawyer) to make such judgments.

2. phisicks

poiseth Each in his hand and So discover's the difference, and by farther proofs, that one is wood & No other materiall, whose outside onely is Co= vered with the Shinnest Gold.

A Country man sees. 2. trees, one larg & distinct, the other more confused & small; he is ready to pronounce that he discernes the latter is /to be\ farther from him, then the other. and this he thincks he knows by his Immediate Intuition; Not weighing that his opinion is from Experience, and the meer Image of y^e object declares noth No such thing. ffor both may be so upon a picture-plane Ex= actly Equidistatent.

Two Globes are plas't on a line verging from us, and that remoter, is made larger then y^e other as the distance. The Image of these 2. Globes seen under Equall angles, and so making E= quall Impression on y^e sensorium, are Exactly equall. but yet a Country man shall say he discernes the farthest to Exceed the neerest; ffor experience having setled an opinion, that ob= ject's lessen according to distance, If in such Case they appear Equall, The Inequality is as Manifest as In any case it May appear to sence. ffor The opinion is setled without any Reflection upon the

196 3.

condition of y^e view; w^{ch} Shews that advertence is Not allwais necessary, to y^e use of Judgm't, and that is the part of a philosofer; ffor he and a country man shall have y^e Same judgm't upon such a view as here is stated; but y^e one thincks he sees, & y^e other Not onely sees, but Judgeth, and so knows how it is that appearances affects him.

Wee are almost Continually sensible of various sounds & colours, are from thence doe admire the wonderfull beauty's of the Externall world. But the Naturalist finds reason Enough to con= clude all those Images or fantasmes In the sence, to Reside onely there, and Not abroad In the ob= jects themselves, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ onely by simple motion are the caus of them. as (to use a gross Instance, Sup= posing, in this Respect, the most delicate sen= sation's to be all alike,) paine is Not In the Cudgell $y^{\rm t}$ Inflicts a stroke; Nor In a sword that Stabbs; No More are those conceipted Glory's of Exterior things In them, but In the sence onely. And this thought is so generally Received, that I need make no apology for it as undermin= ning a great argument of providence: altho I thinck Not so of it; but rather ye Contrary, that the ordonance of animalls $\ensuremath{\&}$ their sensitive organs

4. phisicks.

Organ's In such manner, that from such a /so\ Jejune a caus as simple motion, those lively & Glorious Ima= ges should proceed, is a Greater Argument for Provi= dence, then y^e whole world with more ornaments then Ever fancy bestowed on it, could be.

These Instances may Suffise to Shew, that it is one of the most difficult works of philosofy, to dis= tinguish things Into their severall natures, so as they may Not be confounded, and one taken for another; the rather becaus It hath $p^{\rm r} judices, \ \&$ those of Earlyest Education, & hardest to R of conviction to Remove. ffor men in generall are not Easily brought, even though they desire & Intend to abstract their first Conceipts, and Consider things freely and Indifferently; Not onely uneas/y\ily, but loath to come to it, In a degree of sorrow or an= ger, at parting with an old fancy, as for an old freind. Therefore it is to be say'd once for all, that whoso cannot Conquer this Informity and become Indifferent to /entertein all to Novelty, /In Naturalls according to reason, with as much Eas as Com= mon things are deliberated, may lay aside philoso= fy & take to somewhat els.

As to object's themselves (for the discours of our Man= ner of perceiving them is of another place) the prime care is to distinguish between the Essences, and their Effects

Phisicks.

Effects, or necessary Consequences; Els great confu= sion or Incerteinty of thing's will enter. And much of this failing will appear due to an Imperfecti= on of language; as for Example.

It is ordinary among our Naturalists to $\ensuremath{p^rscribe}$ a mentall devesting the Corporeall world of all y^{e} Images of fantasmes w^{th} w^{ch} our senses dress it. and then to observe that Nothing will Remaine but space, and what fill's it, body, whose onely and Essentiall property is hardness, and In hol= ding place Invincible. More then this is Not gi= ven us to know of that Essence that Consitutes all y^e objects of our sence. And this state of Body Supposed. there follow's, that it is devided, It chan= geth place and posture, Each part hath some shape, as also proportion to others /& ye like\; Hence In lan= guage, becaus wee cannot be so prolix to say the same things over and over againe on all occa= sion's, wee abbreviate by using words, In abstracto. as Quantity, Motion, figure, Ration, & y^e like. w^{ch} are very Necessary and usefull, provided wee doe Not laps into $y^{\rm e}$ Comon failing of conceiving these abstracts, as essences, and Subsisting distinct from body. but when wee conceive any of them it be allwais

Phisicks

allwais attended with ye Idea of the subject Matter It depends on. There is litle danger In some of these, but in others very much, and of ill Consequence. and In particular that of Motion. When wee Con= sider a figure, wee readily allow it is Nothing a= part from \boldsymbol{y}^e body figured. but when wee Consider motion, tho wee take along the body's moving, yet wee cannot but ascribe some Essence to Motion apart from body, as If it Could be in, or out, here, or there, & ye like. The Caus of wch, wee have Images of force & action Relating to our members, $y^{\rm e}$ Move= ment's of w^{ch} are often attended with some attention or order of thought, and without that are Most Inclined Not to move, but, as it is termed, Rest; And these Images wee transferre to Body's without us, as If $y^{\rm e}$ Same spirits posses't them. Whereas In truth Nothing can be truely affirmed in Cases of Motion, but that body's chang distance or posture With Respect to Each other, and that of this Motion is onely a terme, In abstracto, as figure & $y^{\rm e}$ Rest of that sort are.

And those who from More Complex object's and Effects Collect certein modes of such changes, & giving them Names, use those words, as for reall Essences, are authors, of Inextricable Error. Such as vis attrac= tionis, vis centripeta, vis centrifuga,²⁰ & others of y^e

 $^{\rm 20}$ i.e., 'attractive force, centripetal force and centrifugal force', i.e, Newton's cosmography.

198 7

of the same Sort, Not Secrets In this age; all w^{ch} have no Signification att all, but as Joyned with y^e Image of y^e thing to w^{ch} they belong. as for Instance that heavy things discend by attraction of Gravity. What is that attraction? If they say, as they would have beleeved, that body's are Endued with a force of Coming together, ad Mensuram densitati.²¹ then they set up a principle, w^{ch} wee defer to another place. If they mean from other Causes, but from w^t= Ever caus it is, they Call /y\it /effect\ attraction; then they Say heavy things discend by the Coming together of Gravity, and so talk nothing In the forme & disguise of something.

But the truth is Such words are set up onely as a screen or fucus²² to cover a designe. so Aristotle who would Not Concurr with any philosofers before him, and yet would advance himself In fame Superior to them all; turned all Naturall philosofy Into an art of words; as is well knowne by that single one Quality, Ever since used by his followers, to ans^r all Question's, & y^e Craft lay In this, that a science of words Can never be Confu= ted; becaus y^e sence is arbitrary, and If you take them In their Intention, you admitt their sence, & there's an End. but thing's will Not Buckle so, And If you leav y^e word, & ask of the thing, y^e fucus

²¹ i.e., 'in proportion to their density'.

²² i.e., 'deceit', 'trick'.

fucus dropps off, and y^e Nakedness appears. as when one say's, Motion is a Quality, &c. In y^e first place for y^e Motion, you Must goe to some body's changing place, & hold them sure; then for Quality, what's that? Nature hath Not a thing to answer y^e Quere, & so it must dropp.

It is No better In this New model of attraction; ffor what is the difference, between vis, & Qualitas unless it be shewed what y^e Reality of one & other is? It suffiseth Not to say, whatever y^e Caus is, vis is a proper word,? true, when it is not used as a principle, but onely an abstract. and So is place time, motion, Gravity, & what of that sort Not? But If these, without attendant Essences that sustein 'e[m?] brought into Reasoning, Make No solid structure Much less, what is held forth, a foundation for a process of mathematicall demonstration, Concluding y^e whole state of Nature.

Another advantage is had by this distinction of Essences, and effects, is the directing Inquiry's after Efficient causes. ffor such things as have essence in themselves, as first In generall body, then the modes, & finally y^e aggregates, ffall not properly under such Inquiry. ffor however y^e Modes & aggre= gates had a begginning, and that beginning May, In some cases be shewed; yet being as they are need

no

1999

No efficient Caus is Needfull to support them. body it self had a beginning by Creation, and there Needs No reason farther be given of its Continuance. So /Its true\ the modes and aggregates ${\tt might\ from\ }/by\ succeeding\ alterations\ /might\ have$ a latter begginning, but that is Not to be Inquired as a Caus, but as history, And that $/w^{\rm ch} \backslash$ is Not Incumbent on philosofy to account for. It is therefore Imperti= nent when any ask's as many will, [or?] as weakly, why is this place rocky & that sandy? why this an Island, & that a Continent? Why an oak bears a different leaf from an Ash? & $y^{\rm e}$ like. ffor the Na= turalist takes thing's as they are, and hath to doe with Nothing but their changes, that is their Con= sequences or effects, or \boldsymbol{y}^e essences, In order better to Investigate the changes. Therefore all Questions that concerne chang, are proper Inquirys /In the way\ of a Naturalist as, the Event's of Motion, the Efficient Causes of light, Sound, heat, & other sensation's w^{ch} are the Consequent Effect's of such changes as happen, among reall Essences.

Cartesius sought to make his thought of Motion /No\ /otherwise\ continuing /then\ as Rest, /continues\ till Interrupted by some Effici= Ent Caus /to be more\ plausible, by Referring to figure; supposing as must needs fall out, men would Readily Imagin that a square or round trencher would Continue

so

11v 10

phisicks

So for Ever. If Somewhat Efficient did Not alter them. so motion &c. but this was bu Insinuation, & No reason, ffor the Question Returnes Equally upon the figure, or any other mode, may $y^{\rm e}$ Substance it Self, as well as any mode of it. Ex Nihilo Nihill fitt, 23 is alledged to prove that a being cannot caus, no more then Create it self. Now /agt that axto axiome I say those two are\ I thinck that Not to be ye Same thing; for creation determines the thing, and also certein Modes of it, w^{ch} argues some act or will positive. ffor No body can subsist but \underline{in} /under\ certein Modes, and the Question is, w^{ch} ? and y^t must be determined, in y^e act of Creation. But ceasing to bee, is a negation, & Nothing positive, and may be by the ceasing of a will, without ye act. Therefore the account of all Essences, w^{ch} are y^{e} Subject of Naturall philosofy is this; that Their creation and continuance, is the Result of $y^{\rm e}$ same will, $w^{\rm ch}$ is Quasi a perpetu/all act. and that thing's /are, and Continue, $\underline{\mbox{\sc s}}$ are, are Coincident proposition's; therefore /fall\ without the Sphear of philosofy; however I thought fitt to touch thus much metaphisa/i/cally upon them, becaus such as doe Not well distinguish, are apt to blunder into Im= proper Inquiry's. And It may be hereafter, discoursing of principles, & y^e Nature of time, this may Receive some Confirmation.24

So Much of y^e Subject, and In part the method of Na= turall philosofy, It remaines to Insinuate somewhat of y^e Caracter, & behaviousr of a Naturalist, ffor the Mistakes

²³ i.e., 'nothing is made of nothing'.

²⁴ The larger context for these observations on the 'metaphisical' issues was the debate concerning the continuing activity of God's active creation, or providence, in the world, notably as developed by Nicholas Malebranche (1628-1715) with the concept of 'Vision in God' and 'Occasionalism'. The topic returns below.

200 11

mistakes Relating to them, as have befallen In y^e conduct of most, Especially y^e most Eminent & Capable, have made y^e Science it self, depreciated as frivolous.

1. As to knowledg, it is an Error to $p^{\rm r} tend$ to more then is possible ffor humane kind to arrive at; and this is seen by a readyness In most to ans^r all manner of Question's, and never to say they know Not, but rather pay with abundance of Insensible words, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} have no true Image Couched to warrant them. this is Wors then being in y^e wrong, ffor $\frac{\text{iff}}{\text{iff}}\;y^e$ words have/ing\ signification It may be Judged, If there be error, or Not; but If they if are but an Insignificant chime /onely\, more paines are Im= ployed In studying them/ir\ /Meaning\ then In finding out a truth. Thus the Great Aristotle failed who aiming to Resolve all Question's & to be Confuted In None, Erected a fabrick of words, that /w^ch Informed Nothing. and the No less Cartesius who was ambitious of Giving a Mechanicall Resolution of ye Magnet, and of light, &c. ffell Into such meer hypotheses,²⁵ of subtile matter, as Exposed the Rest of his thought's to great disadvantage, ffor when folks fell so roundly to ye Denying of those, they struck thro y^e whole. And the latter S^r. I. Newton,²⁶ to obviate that Imputed Ignorance, Invents powers, and with them Solves all things. But for ye more Shallow pr= tenders, ffew are unacquainted with ye affected Jar= gon of words they tho out when they Miss $y^{\rm e}$ Matter.

12r

 $^{^{25}}$ The word 'hypothesis' (variously spelt) will return again and again in these MSS. It is worth recalling the OED etymology (Greek $\dot{\upsilon}\pi \acute{\theta} \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ foundation, base; hence, basis of an argument, supposition, also, subject matter, etc., < $\dot{\upsilon}\pi \acute{0}$ under + $\theta \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ placing>), which implies a technical or neutral term, as might still be the case in usage. For RN, who prefaces it with the word 'meer', the OED's definition 4a seems always implicit: "A supposition in general; something supposed or assumed to be true without proof or conclusive evidence; an assumption". (OED online consulted, August 2014)

 $^{^{\}rm 26}$ This reference to Newton's knighthood enables us to date this manuscript to after May 1705.

 $12v^{27}$

12. phisick

2. As to language, the affectation of unusuall words, is both a snare to ye Naturalist, making him fancy there is somewhat coucht In them, when In truth there is Nothing but also a fastidium 28 to y^{e} hearer. therefore it is best in philosofy, as In all cases whatsoever, to use $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ most vulgarly understood termes, and Expressions, as may be, ffor Matters so farr out of the Comon way of thincking, cannot be Expres't too plaine, and after all it is not Easy to Express what wee thinck, so as to be understood by those who are not used both to matter & style. and yet there is to be a moderation & Respect; ffor some thing's have not any vulgar word to Express them, w^{ch} is y^e Case of all art's, w^{ch} for Compendium of speech, use peculiar termes, so philosofy must have its termes, w^{ch} Cannot readyly be translated, or Not without too Much length. and however that some words /of art\ may seem to have a correspondent terme in vulgar, yet it is found such doe rather obscure the clear the Expression; as for Instance phaenomenon is Not duely Expres't by ye word appearance. Nor Is ye Same Notion conveyed by ye latter, as ye former Carry's. therefore there must be in some sort a philosoficall style, w^{ch} used with care & modesty, may be Excused, if It it trans= gress vulgar Expression. but y^e worst of all is the affect= ation of old obsolete words, of w^{ch} If one may Judg as I thinck best done by $y^{\rm e}$ Extremity, take $M^{\rm r}$ Farfax book, of ye bulk & selvedg, 29 that is magnitude & limit. of

²⁷ There is some marking from what appears to have been the effect of water throughout this essay (it is written on a substantial and opaque paper); on this and the next two sheets the marking is worse, with some chalky white marking (like an efflorescence, or even like white paint), too. On the last page (14v) the marking carries over onto the following essay which, it would seem, has not always been an adjacent sheet, since the earlier numbering in pencil is not continuous (even though the marking suggest a longer, previous, historical juxtaposition).

²⁸ i.e., 'distasteful'.

²⁹ Nathaniel Fairfax (1637-1690), A Treatise of the Bulk and Selvedge of the World; Wherein the Greatness, Littleness, and Lastingness of Bodies are Freely Handled, etc, London, 1674.

201 13

of the world. ffor he aiming to decline Greek & latin and use onely proper English words, falls so Into the same Anglosaxon Idiom's & compounds, as would Make one admire as well as pitty. ffor Arithmetick is tell-craft, w^{ch} is tollerable; but what say we to unthoroughfare= someness fo Impenetrability? Here are y^e Extream's, & It is allwais Noted y^e vertue Resides In y^e Means.

3. It is very Necessary for a Naturalist to have a Nice and Quick, as well as just observation of all occurrences, ffor ffew thing's happen to ordinary view, w^{ch} Collated with other sensations, or y^e Memoires of them, doe Not afford some discovery, or Confirmation, If Not Confuta= tion' of what was thought before.

4 The Naturalist Must Not be greedy of fame, Nor be adherent to sect's, or party's; No More then ambi= tious of being a leader himself. but Remember allwais that, what ever Engagem^{ts} May happen, Still veritas amicissima.³⁰ Many have suffered upon this shelf and of those, the most Eminent, In greatest degree. Aristotle is observed to Cite Many of the philosofers of hims and y^e p^rceeding time. but never approved or a= greed /In any thing\ with any of them, In any thing; and that /It was\ am= bition of /fame &\ superiority /y^t\ betrayed him Into that chimeriq Structure of phisicks, y^t held y^e world so long, & then fell to pieces. cartesius cannot but boast, and In cases

13r

 $^{^{\}rm 30}$ i.e., 'truth is a greater friend'.

cases, y^t least becomed him, that is his application of y^e subtile matter. Hobbs whose Witt exceeded his skill, In= tended to be read in y^e university's, & failing of that was half distracted. The late M^r S^r I. Newton,³¹ Inferior to None, seem's to have spent his whole Study, Geome= try Excepted, In confuting cartesius; and takes all oc= casions to Slight him, as when he Makes him in y^e Matter of y^e Rainbow a plagiary of Ant. de Dominis,³² a Wretch without fellow. Whither he had y^e hint from him or Not /I know not but am sure\ It is plaine, that the passage is not set in without a pleasure, here are examples enough of that devious failing of philosofer's in y^e Way of Confidence and va= nity; And I cannot but say, I Never knew a Great will & vertuoso free from it but one, who /& that\ was y^e most Ex= celent D^r. Barrow.³³

5. The last Qualification necessary to a Naturalist, I Shall Mention is that of a Geometer,. I Mentioned artificers, to take y^e /Refined oar and shape it for use, & those are y^e Geometers, and their pages y^e mechanicks. But I must attribute more to Geometry, 1^{st} . as it is the Greatest accomplishm't of y^e rationall faculty, and habituates the mind to weigh & discerne the strength or weakness of proposition's and to be tenacious of y^e one, and /to Reject y^e other; y^e is an admirable p^r parative against p^r judice. and then. 2^d . when y^e Naturall principles are ffixt, and measures come in play, Geometry is at home, and must take y^e helme, & steer y^e whole process.

³³ See note below, f. 159r.

 $^{^{31}}$ This is unlikely to be a post 1727 MSS, so it seems likely that the joke is on the late 'misterhood' of Newton, rather than any reference to his death. But …

³² Markantun de Dominis (Marco Antonio de Dominis, 1560-1624), Croatian Jesuit and Bishop, formerly a teacher at Padua and elsewhere, who abandoned Rome and settled in England under the protection of James I. He subsequently returned to Rome where he was imprisoned by the Inquisition. He was the model for the corrupt Bishop of Spoleto in Middleton's *A Game of Chess*. Newton's claim that De Dominis was the first 'correctly' to describe the refraction of light in raindrops as producing the rainbow has since been itself corrected, and Descartes is now granted ownership of the idea.

202 15.

Therefore the Naturalist must Not be a Stranger to Geometry, and altho theat science is Not Coincident with Naturall knowledge, yet it is so usefull, the more of it a Naturalist hath \boldsymbol{y}^{e} better, unless he be so overrun with the language and dress, that he can do or Say Nothing In other forme, as hath sometime happened, then it is an Incumberance to philosofy rather then an aid. As for Instance where y^e discours is not founded on simple Quantity, but on Complex Systemes, not geometrically defined, as fluids, fire, meteors, & y^e like, how is it pos= sible to move in geometick forme analitick or synthe= tick? Nay, I will give a bolder Example. the arbitrary assumption of any line as mixt of /described by\ 2. movements as y^e diagonall of a paralellogram; I Conceiv /as\ Not a legitimate Ground for demonstration. ffor I am Not bound and will Not condiscend to any such supposall, for as it is y^e diagonall of one, It may be of 1000, & $w^{\rm ch}$ shall be it? And upon this, cartesius, & all since have demonstrated y^e angle of Reflection Equall to y^e angle of Incidence, & Sr. I. N. makes it his principle of all Me= chanicall powers. I deny Not but there is In the discours a Congruence with the proposition's; as many way's will aggree in describing a truth, and yet None amount to a Regular demonstration; for truely In phisicks there is No demonstration w^{ch} is Not Ex Na= tura rei, 34 wch that is Not.

 $^{^{\}rm 34}$ i.e., 'from a natural occurance, or thing'.

16. phisicks

But when y^e. dignity of y^e subject matter is the Question I must prferr phisicall knowledg to Geometry as $y^{\text{e}} \text{ pri[or?]}$ and superior. ffor y^e very principles of Geometry are phisicall truth's, and particularly the Nature of Body Extended in longum, latum & profundum. $^{\rm 35}$ Here Within this word Extension, ly's all $y^{\mbox{\tiny t}}$ belong's to Geometry, $w^{\rm ch}$ is an art of Measure, & nothing Els, and doth Not discover any truth but In ye termes of More, less, or Equall. But all the knowledg is phisicall; It is No News that Every block conteines all possible rations & proportion's; and It were the same thing If $y^{\rm e}$ limits of y^e block were Empty, or filled with Mettall, or stone. It is the work of a Naturalist to verifye $y^{\rm e}$ substance, & of Geometry/er\ to Make $y^{\rm e}$ account, & so these differ as merchant & accountant; you May Judg $y^{\rm e}$ More worthy. But as to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} hurt done by Geometers obtruding principles upon Naturall philosofy, I shall shew under that head.

¹⁴v

 $^{^{\}rm 35}$ i.e., 'length, breadth and depth'.

15r

phisicks.

/The designe here is to shew ye Subject of Naturall philo= sofy, & the cara /office of \ a Naturallist with $y^{\rm e}$ Capacity, and caracter of both $\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$ Naturall philosofers, like miners, first digg $y^{\rm e}$ oar, & then Refine it; after $w^{\rm ch}$ it is left to artificers to shape ffitt for uses. This oar is the mass of sensible thing's in y^{e} world, of w^{ch} some are allwais neer us, some lys deep, and are not to be Recovered without labour and \underline{y}^e assistance of engin's, and much /after all y^e Richest veines\ ly's out of all possible reach. /Sence shews us the oar, but \ Refining is ye $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Result}}$ /work \ of Judgm't , and /consists in\ distinguisheth/mt\ /between y^e truth of objects, &\ the sensitive Images or fantasmes of occasioned by External objects, and the objects them= /them; ffor the appearance is changeable, but things\ selves, such as, abstracting all sensitive beings, they must be accounted. The Vulgar Errors, of humane life are such as happen by attributing to ye objects what belong's onely to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Sence, and to sence alone, that w^{ch} is y^{e} Result of Judgm't. And agt these /errors/ Na= turall philosofy is principally aimed, and Works by partaging the phaenomena of Nature, jus suum Cuiq Reddenda,³⁶ among those. 3 the object, Its Appearance, and the Judgement /thereon\; Either of w^{ch} over or under [appayed?], Corrupts science. Here is the Great work of a Naturalist, w^{ch} will be best Expli= cated by examples. Guilt wood hath the same Image upon view, as reall Gold, but y^{e} Naturalist, $\overline{\text{whi}}$ with his Experience

1.

reall Gold, but y^e Naturalist, whi with his Experience & poising it in his hand, discovers the disguise; first that it is Not gold, & then by other proofs, that it is wood, Guilded over.

A country man sees. 2. trees, one larg & distinct & y^{\rm e} other small & confused; he is very ready

³⁶ i.e., 'jus suum cuique reddenda', 'render unto each that right which is his own' ("'*suum cuique'* is our Roman justice", Shakespeare, *Titus Andronicus*, I, i.).

2. phisicks

To pronounce, that he discernes that ye latter is far= ther off. Not weighing at all, that his Experience let him In to that knowledg; for ye Meer Image de= clares No such thing, but /yet\ without /any\ Reflection he Judgeth so.

Two Globes are placed In a line trending from us and the farthest is larger, as is y^e distance. The Image's of these two, seen under Equall angles are Equall, but yet, the Countryman shall readily declare he plainely sees y^e neerest is Not so larg as y^e other. and Never Reflect's, that becaus at divers distances he Expect's a deminution, Equality, In such case, hath y^e Rep^rsentation of Excess in y^e More Remote.

Wee are almost Continually Sensible of various sounds & Colours, and therefore are in great ad= miration of the beauty's of the Externall world. The Naturalist finds reason Enough to conclude all those to be but Images or fantasmes of sence, and not subsisting In y^e objects that /w^{ch}\, by simple motion onely occasione³⁷ them. as (to use a gross Instance,) paine is Not In y^e Cudgel that Inflicts a bruis, or In a sword, that stabbs. Therefore the Beauty's of y^e world, are falsly ascribed to Ex= ternall thing's, and Resident onely In our Minds, however no less admirable, and strongly arg/u\ing a providence /then If they were in y^e object\ as to a Reasonable thinker Will appear.

These Instances may Suffice to Shew that It is one of the most difficult works of philosofy to destin=/guish\ things Into their true posts, the rather becaus It hath

 $^{^{\}rm 37}$ the 'e' has here been washed out.

It hath p^rjudices, and those of Early Education, & hardest of conviction to Remove. ffor Men In generall are not Easily brought to abstract their first conceipts, and to consider thing's Indifferently, Not only hard, but loath, & Not without Sorrow or anger /are scarce\ drawne to it; therefore it is to be Sayd once for all, that whoever Cannot devest himself of all p^rconceived opinion's, and Indue any others p^rsented, so as /and like\ an Impartiall Judg, y^t Never was touched with Either, Examine both, must Not p^rtend to Naturall philosofy, but ffollow other affaires.

3

As to object's themselves, It is a principall Care, to distinguish between Essences, & consequences, or Effects /such as w^{eh} necessarily flow from essences, according to y^e various modes of them, and those Modes are also to be dis= tinguish't from reall things, Els Strang confusion of knowledg will fall in, and Nothing be truely taken for what it is; for Instance.

let y^e Corporeall be divested of all y^e fantasmes of our sence, with w^{ch} in vulgar Notion it is drest. And wee cannot say any thing Remaines but that w^{ch} fills place, and the space, or Extension that conteines it. and then wee goe on to perceive this matter (so Inexpugnable as to place,) is broken Into part's, and shifting to, &, fro, w^{ch} wee call Motion. and consequently /that it\ is capable of various Magnitudes figures, position's, distances, &c. but all y^e while the

16r

16v³⁸

4

phisicks

the onely Essence is ye Impenetrable matter, If the Rest Exist, it is but as modes, and are Not Necessary to the Essence, w^{ch} as well /subsists\ as well subsist, without as with them. and to Say truth such are In No sort to be accounted Essences apart from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} subject Matter as the figure is nothing apart from y^e body but a chi[=?] mera of our imagination. And that w^{ch} leads Much Into Error's of this Sort is the abuse of language; for wee use words in abstracto, as figure, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ signifies really Nothing, unless wee take y^e body along & say a body of such figure; So for Motion, ye Word sig= nifyes nothing Els but a body changing place; and ye other will as well subsist apart from body as that. But These abstract's with many pass for Es= sences, and so they work up errors with them accor= digly. What is more in Request Now then to al= ledg powers, such as attractio, vis centripeta vis centrifuga, & many others ejusdem farinae? 39 & If wee say power is nothing, /then\ they must shew us the thing that hath y^e Essence of power, /but as to that\ they are at a loss.

Another advantage lys In this distinction of Essences from Effect's, or Consequences. It throws off most of the Impertinent Inquiry's are made; Such as why is this hard? and the like, since Nothing more belong[s?] to Essence, then that it is. So for y^e modes, to Say why is this round that square; this move/ing\ that at rest, this Great, that small? altho some of them may be produced as consequents of others, yet.

 $^{^{38}}$ There is some water damage to the bottom of this sheet, with rather more to the following sheet. The paper is robust and opaque and has survived the damage well, but the ink has been washed off in places.

³⁹ i.e., Newton's attractive, centripetal and centrifugal powers; 'of the same flour', i.e., of like kind.

17r

phisicks

It is Enough that they are so at p^rsent, and being so must continue, till some efficient caus makes an alteration. ffor continuance is Implyed In y^e Notion of Essence, and the Essence hath all its modes, to Con= tinue with it; so that the demand why any thing that is continues? can draw no ansr, but /that\ the power that /w^{ch} first made it, makes it Continue. And on that Rest's the great argument for providence afforded us from the Contemplation of Nature. that No rea= son Can be given for Continuance, but an Eternall will, with power as well to $\frac{1}{make} \ / \mbox{create} \ \mbox{as prolong the}$ duration of it, after it is created. The Cartesian reason is not sufficient, saying thing's cannot al= ter them selves, w^{ch} is true, but goes Not to Conti= nuance; for Ceasing to be, and changing Essences or Modes are 2. things; and abolition /extinction\, is No chang of the thing; And altho wee have No Notion /Idea\ of anni= hilation, and can conceiv No More possibility /other means\ of that then of creation; yet If wee consider well, wee Must allow a difference between ceasing & creating; the latter carrying somewhat positive not In y^e other, and it Must not onely create but forme, y^{t} is deter= /creation determines not onely of thing but also mine of Modes. &c. whlile while ceasing determ= ines Nothing positively New, but concludes what was Into Nothing. /But\ And It is scarce possible to distin= guish between raising thing's by power and Conti= nuing them; ffor when we consider $/*\setminus^{40}$ y^e Nature of time, wee shall find No reason, to annex that to [.....?] y^t depends on Not on body; but that creating

5

⁴⁰ The following marginalium runs down the LHS margin from top to bottom: 'And whereas continuance is made a sort of axiom, it hath No other foundation but our Ignorance of y^e contrary; and that is no [eontroul?] /rule for\ things; and therefore a caus is [..?] far from being necessary to annihilate, I thinck there must be a continuall caus to Support [...?] first that they are, is No reason they Shall /must\ Continue'. It is not clear whether this marginalium relates to this asterisk, but it seems to make sense that it does.

 $17v^{41}$

6

phisicks

creating & continuing is but one & y^e Same act. I doe but touch these metaphisicall points, becaus some may say wee In our method pull downe, what I thinck wee build /up.\ more Eminently then Ever was done before, argum^{ts} for the devine providence.

The practick [+++?] Cours of Naturalists of arriving at the knowledg of thing's, devest of fantome, must be through sensation, altho /even\ that /at best\ is but fantome. [marg]⁴² but by contriving to have divers sensation's of one & the same thing, and comparing them together, The Judgm't hath whereby to determine of More then any sensation whatever could shew. and this method is called Experiment. And If this reiterated sensation cannot be had, wee bring the neerest like= nesses wee can, & compare them, and So gaine a foundation; This will be More clear from Example.

If things are too small to be discerned with y^e bare Eye, there are helps found out, with dioptrick Glasses to descry things strangely deminish't. And the same serves to help us foreward, when thing's are by Immeasurable distance lost to sence; And with these helps wee have New worlds of [animalenly?], as well as the old made more knowne to us; as every one knows. Then as to ordinary things, wee see, feel, tast, heat cool, burne brais & torment them Multifarious ways, and by Comparing the sensation's of Each argue many things to be true, w^{ch} were not without Such paines to be found out; and of this sort of Handling the

⁴¹ White mould on page.

⁴² marg: 'experm^{t'}.

phisicks

the Chimists are professors, and have ffurnished Much In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} way of philosofy, and of them None Comparably with Mr Boyle. And when these generous observers as he hath done, comitt their discovery's & Remarqs to wrighting, they Conduce much towards compiling a Naturall history, then $w^{\rm ch}$ Nothing is more ${\tt Expedi=}$ /conducing ent for /to ye\ advancing /of\ Naturall Knowledg. But as yet Naturall history is very Imperfect; And to say truth If that were to be Expected, as wee wish it Might be Compleat, before wee take any measure's In a philosofick Cours, wee Might Stay ad Grecas Calen= dass,43 and Injoy countryman's philosofy and Non No More. therefore the Royall society, whos propose onely to Collect Experiments, and to Medle Not with the cours of Nature, as they Mean by /Call\ Hypotheses; untill they have such a body Collected, as May Institute one Compleat,. /are In ye wrong And /no less those /also who hunt Nothing but laws and property's of Imaginary powers, such as attrac= tion & y^e like, as I have to be opposed here /not seldome of frequent mention\, And pro= fess Not to Medle with Efficient Causes. That is to Jogg on In Ignorance, & Never Intend to know, ffor What is naturall knowledges, but /that\ of thing's & causes? /This\

7

The Next destruction is of the Experiments and y^e /method Seems Most of any to hinder knowledg, ffor they\ Judgm^t thereon /take into their\ discourses termes that carry a p^rjudi= cate Sence; as Attraction for Instance, the Comon people thinck they understand somewhat by that /word\ as when they say the sun attract's y^e May dew, and therefore

 $^{^{43}}$ i.e., 'at the Greek calends' (more usually 'ad calends Graecas'), i.e., never.

18v

phisicks

therefore fancy the sun will lift up an whole Egg full at Noon day, but In truth Nothing at all is so truely understood /at ye bottom); but they /men\ are willing to accep[t?] the Word as /already\ Current amongst them, and stopps far[=?] /tho In very different\ ther Inquiry /acceptation . therefore philosofers Should Not use vulgar words, but where they Mean, as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} people to whom their discours is directed Mean. These latter palliate their /But this\ proceeding /is palliated\; first by Saying, wha[t?] Ever y^e Efficient caus is, their \mbox{vires}^{44} are y^e Same; w^{ch} is utterly denyed, for a vis from one Caus, will Not be admitted to act as one from another, ffor should not the effect answer y^e Caus, & vary as that doth Then they say y^e /method of phisicks ought to be /lead from property's to principles, and Not from principles to property's, for, say they, If you argue property's from principles you may, by proof, be Confuted, true but then you must Not abuse science by /using Meer\ words, /In y^e sence of things\ as they that use y^{e} Word attraction /doe/. for If they mean by it, that things /In generall/ onely some /all coming together, another /the word, as approach, w[ch?] hath Not a prjudicate sence, is /more\ proper; y^e other is an Illusion againe, If they Mean all coming's together /to be by Attraction, thats /were\ comes to nothing, ye Word Motion is more proper & significant. If onely Some thing's, then It ought to be Explained /as may distinguish, & either way\ w^{ch} and how, /otherwise\ And after all y^e Word /Attraction as they use it for Coming together\ is Insensible, and amounts to No More then coming together, by Coming together; Such mischeifs happen when Naturalist's affect words, & doe Not Explaine them.

 $^{^{44}}$ 'vires' is the plural of 'vis', so: 'forces', or 'powers'.

Geometry.45 phisicks 9. I mentioned artificers, who Should take the Refined Materiall from y^e hands of y^e Naturalist, and shape it ffor use. those are Geometers & Mechanicks. I know well how Much Geometry is $\ensuremath{p^r}\xspace{ferred}$ above all other sciences, and particularly this of Naturall things; And it is all /is founded on the certeinty of the princi= ples, and wonderfull scope of reason, & Invention Exercised by it; All $w^{\rm ch}$ I allow, and cannot but Recommend $\boldsymbol{y}^{e}\ \textbf{practise}$ use of it, becaus Nothing doth so Work the mind to a Method of discerning the strength & weakness of allegation's, and makes it So ready & willing to Reject ye Weak, as also /to be tena= cious of the strong, So Much as that study /doth . But when \boldsymbol{y}^{e} subject matter is In question, and the dignity of it, I must $p^r ferr \mbox{ phisicall knowledg, as prior & superior, }$ to Geometry. ffor the very principles of Geometry, are phisicall truths; such as longum latum & profundum. And the Natures /compositions of all things; Whereof /ffor tho weak Geometry is but / doth not /penetrate\ an art /may\ weigh & measure /but not otherwise penetrate\. All the knowledg is phi= sicall, ffor a /And ye Geometer discover's Nothing, that is Not /beyond y^{e} Consequence of More, & less. It is No News, that Every block contein's all the subject of Geometry, y^t is all possible rations & propositions; and It is the same thing If the place of ye block be filled with Gold, wood or stone. It is art Enough to shew those ration's, but It is more worthy to shew the nature /&? value\ of the thing that conteins them of w^{ch} they are but the account,

⁴⁵ The word 'Geometry' is both a supplementary heading, and a marginalium. RN here continues his account of 'phisicks' as a *paragone*, or contest of the disciplines, specifically between 'naturall philosofy' and Geometry.

19v 10

phisicks.

It is Necessary here to shew that this Idolizing of Ge= ometry⁴⁶ hath proved p^rjudiciall to other arts /sciences\, and that a litle /to\ that here treated. ffor Men Not Considering the different aubjects, and being In love with $y^{\rm e}$ Solemne forme /of demonstration \backslash /in?\ or ye /Heroick\ undertaking's of ye professor's, will be satisfyed with Nothing that is not called demonstration. And accordingly, Ins /In Naturall Science, It is No wonder\ Wee are Incumberred with rather then aided with it; and it were better /one would chose that \ the Methods of Geometry wh were wholly lay'd aside then used In any process that doth Not wholly depend on Mea= sure. Cartes /one grea Cartesius was ye first yt broached this /broached this heresie $p^{r} tending \ /his \ phisicks \ capable \ of \ as \ clear \ Reason's \ as$ Geometry itself, that is necessary consequences clearly & distinctly perceived.47 /And\ Since that /him\ others prtending to Nothing level with him, Have Endevoured to Set up Mathematicall principles of Natural philosofy, w^{ch} at y^{e} Entrance is fals; ffor the Mathematicks must have phisicall principles, Not e contra.48 w^{ch} to make plaine /observe that\ the mathematicks deal onely In comparison's of Quantity, and /as I sayd\ determine of No= thing but More and less, or Equall; let any one Judg then, If the caus of raine or Snow can be drawne from such principles and method of argumentation. I Grant they may Compare /forces, as for Instance that In staticks that of\ Gravity's, but Not Say What is the caus of Gravity /but assume that from y^e Naturalist\ and /so they may\ determine the E= vent's of motion, upon fitt data, but Not Satisfie us of the nature & theory of Motion; from /w^{ch} belongs to naturall philosofy\ whence they must take those certeinty's on w^{ch} they found their process.

⁴⁶ *See* note on f. 109r.

⁴⁷ According to Descartes (in the Third and Fourth *Meditations*) we intuitively recognise the truth of an idea if it is percieved as 'clear and distinct' ('clare et distincte'). These words echo and return throughout the MSS.

phisicks

11

But Now to Shew how out of plausible under takings as this of Mathematicall philosofy, the clean con= trary of y^e designe will succeed. The Author of the prin= cipia, hath forc't himself to build up a systeme of powers, to serve In $y^{\rm e}$ Quality of data, In order to a mathematicall demonstration of $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Mundane}\ {\rm Sys}{\text =}$ teme, that are all /of w^{ch} More are so p^r carious, or rather fals, as /that even the old orbs of $ptolomy^{49}$ Might as well /justly\ be ehosen /Relyd on\ as that. As for /To\ Instance, that /He assumes that\ all body's attract Each other ad mensuram densitatis, then that there is a vis centripeta, and another centrifuga; and Rare= faction is the vis partium see sese Mutua fugien= tium, and Condensation, attrahensium; & ye like.⁵⁰ All w^{ch} wee would have allowed, had it bin Inten= ded a fictitious model to Exercise a Geometrick talent upon. for then Nothing were to be Noted but whither his conclusion's were truely drawne, accor= ding to his principles, without being Concerned whither they were true or fals. But that author, conscious that that his vires might (& with good Caus) be all denyed, or at least, Not Granted; caution's us at first /to take Notice\ that he assumes them Not, as phisicall principles, but as Stated forces w^{ch} at that time, & for his porpose, he makes use of. But then In Conclusion he comes to affirme & determine of the whole state of Nature as if his principles were admitted phisically true.

⁴⁹ Ptolomy (90-168 CE) described a 'mundane systeme' where the stars, planets and sun encircled the Earth (at the centre) on crystalline spheres. This model was inherited from an ancient tradition.

⁵⁰ i.e., that bodies attract according to their density; that there are centripetal and centrifugal forces - the one the force of rarefaction (force of mutual parting) and the other (centipetal force) of attraction. These are, oc course, Newtonian terms.

12. phisicks.

That is, in a word, he declines to veryfie his principles upon maturall truth Nature, and /but\ Concludes the whole state of Nature from his principles, and this his /phisico-\Mathe= matico way of proceeding; But before wee part to doe him right, he hath taken Exquisite paines, to shew the phenomena of y^e planets, answer his supposed vires attractiva^e. c. w^{ch} wee may admitt, and yet Not Grant them true. ffor aptitude is No argument /of truth in\ y^t an hypothesis is true /tho Ineptitude may Convince as\; the Tolomaick systeme⁵¹ was once thought to agree with y^e phenomena, & accordingly though /Received as\ true; but latter witts & discovery's have /everted\ a= bolish't it; who knows what detection's may be of this fabrick Infirmity's In this fabrick of y^e vires? so at p^rsent wee leav it to its fate.

But wee must allow that having setled a founda= tion ffor a Cours of Naturall knowledg, w^{ch} all must agree, is built upon Quantity, ffor Not onely body, but Spaces, or distance, /motion\ number /&\ time, all are Extended and, to our sence, consist wholly in more & less, w^{ch} comparative taking place in Most, If Not all actions & alteration's In y^e world, If once wee gaine stated terme[s?] of thing's opposing or cooperating as causes, whereof y^e Effects must be Corrispondent; the Mathematick process, will be of very great use, & discover truth's as to Exactness, w^{ch} experiments cannot. But that is a talent peculiar to Some, to Whome wee Shall leave difficult calculation's, and deal onely In y^e Reasons

 $^{^{51}}$ See note on BL Add MS 32546, f. 106r.

1.52

phisicks.

Now allowing this account /having sayd thus much of science, /In general \ I shall Not Enumerate All those, w^{ch} by particular apply= cation to certein subject's have obteined Names, as ordinarily is /commonly\ knowne by the /among\ professors of sciences, But apply my self cheifly to that $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ distinguisheth between the $\frac{reallity's}{reallity's}$ /truth\ of thing's perceived, and the Image or Idea In y^{e} sence /sensation\ by /means of\ w^{ch} they are knowne, And this the Greeks called phisica, & $/ \frac{1}{n} \setminus \frac{1}{n}$ is ordinarily called /wee $\$ Natural philosophy, And May be devided Into the science of /1\ thing's, and. 2 of y^e modes of them. The latter is better knowne by the Names of y^e Mathematick sciences, w^{ch} are but a secondary branch of phisicks, and Not pryor or leading to it. ffor The Idea of Quality or Ex= tension, is first Establish't In phisicks, and then delivered over to Geometry, to Establish calcu= lates and account's by $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ on occasion, one Quantity may be compared with another, And when this is done by continuall addition or substraction of $y^{\rm e}$ same thing, $w^{\rm ch}$ they Call one or [an?], unite, or breaking it Into parts (w^{ch} amounts to $y^{\rm e}$ same) It is called arith= metick; So when phisick's have setled $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2$ motion's of Movements, the mathematitians proceed to calculate them, as when weight is declared to have Effect as a Comon velocity, In the same /divers\ Quantity's, then the

⁵² This is another beginning, again numbered by RN; it continues to f. 22v.

statick art takes it, and from them the skill of beam & scales proceeds. And these Come round, ffor the naturalists have aid againe of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} mathematick rules, ffor making disco= very's of divers thing's, w^{ch} they had No other [meas?] to come at. As the Explanation & skill of Machines: w^{ch} however grounded on phisicall principles, yet by reason of $y^{\rm e}$ composition & members, it is Not obvious or rather very difficult, to find out, how those principles work; the mathematitians analise y^e parts & shew them from one to /Each apart another /asunder and how /thereby it proves \ it is so brought about, that a less thing, or weaker, shall $p^{\rm r} vaile \; ag^{\rm t}$ a greater and stronger, otherwise almost /(a seeming\ a miracle in nature), And there so $y^{\rm e}$ Naturalist is lett in to ye knowledg of the Effect's of his first principles, by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} aid of that sort of /ye\ Mechanick Science. It is No part of my designe to shew /wth\ what won= derfull art and Exactness the Geometritians will drive these comparison's of Quantity's, and so discover the mean's Exactly of finding ye Ration's between them. those \boldsymbol{y}^{t} are verst in those methods they call synthetick, w^{eh} goes from /& analitick of y^e former /works [by?] stepps from principles, or data, to propositions & theorems, & $y^{\rm e}$ Other's back from them, Into $y^{\rm e}$ principles & is called Algebra, both being but artfull Methods of accounting

3

accounting & Comparing various Item's of more & less, & thereby gaine Equalitys

But with due Respect to $y^{\rm e}$ Exellency's of $y^{\rm e}$ Geometrick Arts, I affirme No knowledge of things is from them but from Naturall Inquirys or Phisicks. ffor the Geometers have their data, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ May be reall or Imaginary, It is all is one, their conclusions are true, but phisick's Must Not onely have data but prcognita, such as really Exist, & fall under the Notice of our sences, however \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Manner is, And for that reason, the phisicall principles must be knowne certeinly, or so violently probable, as with Comon honest Wee cannot decline to admitt. And then the process is Not Comparing \boldsymbol{y}^e principles one with another, as the /sensitive\ appearances of them In Ju with the thing's themselves; and Judging, what Resides In the object, and what In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Imagination becaus it is found that much variety is perceived by sence, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ No object hath or Can have. as the Image of Colours, sound of Harmony & the w^{ch} are as /but\ strokes upon y^{e} organ; As strokes with a battoon, gives a paine, If on \boldsymbol{y}^e head of one sort, If on ye back another, & so divers other paines as it is applyed, w^{ch} are but various sen= sation's occasioned by the stroke, w^{ch} wee may give Names to as to sounds & colours If wee will

22r

4. phisicks

Will, but None can say any of those paines or ought like y^e Image wee have In our fantasys upon y^e Stroke, Resides In y^e batoon, but It is all mere creature of Sence; and abstracting all sensitive being's from y^e World, Neither pain pleasure, light colour, musick, sounds or any fantasme's of y^e mind however y^e causes may still subsist, will Remain & have any essence at all.

And for this reason $\ensuremath{\underline{\text{phis}}}$ y^e Naturalist, Must be armed, with a copious history of observations of his owne, and others; to have matter to work upon; All w^{ch} is true knowledg w^{ch} Geometry doth Not p^{r} tend too. And for like reason's, I accoun[t?] phisicks \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Nobler study, and more Inriching to the mind; for It p*pares /and armes\ it agt Receiving Im= posture. And So Excellent it is ffor that porpos that a comon /no\ person of his owne /is secure from\ furberies & cheat's, who hath Not made a sufficient collection of differences between things and [their?] appearances, and with a competency of them he is safe, and Not Exposed as some of poorer minds are to the senceless fables of wichcraft /witch-land\ and faiery kingdomes; for with what should they confute them; whereas ye Naturalist is Ensconced in all safety, by his acquired knowledg. so Much I thought to Say of the nature and dignity of phisicall studys.

phisicks,

Another Enimy is that In forrein part's is called holy church, but means a politiq hierarchy of Ecclesiasticks whose Godliness is Gaine, and have y^e possession of deceiving \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Rest of Mankind, with their principled philo= sofy and piae frauds53; a Right principled philo= Sofy is Not for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} service of these, ffor by it men are taught Not to be too credulous, to examine and both clearly and distinctly to Conceiv ye Subject matter before they subscribe to it. They are putters of hard Question's, stirrers up of doubdts, that is all diametrically opposite to a trade founded upon meer humane, & prcarious Authority. And for this Reason, that w^{ch} is Called New philosofy, a knowledg brough Into y^e world, by a set of cotemporary Heros $\underline{\tt In}$ well enough knowne, for $w^{\rm ch}$ they deserve diamond Statues, is litle less then abolish't in forrein scools, Except where some Reformists are, who allow greater freedome, Not thincking fitt as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} others, whatever their opinion is, to make /free\ philosofy punishable, as /damnable\ heresie.

11.

I cannot Say it is So in England, ffor freedome of philosofizing, If any where, Reignes here. yet one may perceiv a yerning of a sort of grave men ag^t it, as If the New philosofy, or what is Called Cartesian, Cor= rupted men's manner's, If not their Religion, Inclining them to Atheisme; and I beleev most of them are In Ear= nest, However I thinck better, and ascribe Atheisme to y^e [paucity?] of christian witnesses, then to any philo= sofy

23r

⁵³ i.e., pious frauds (also/usually 'pia fraus').

-Sofy; ffor Religion is founded on testimony more then argument, /otherwise then in affirmance of y^{t} testimony\ y^{e} Gospel & faith being of things seen & heard as well as unseen & beleeved; wherefore ye Apostles, and primitive Saints and fathers of ye Church, confirmed their faith with their blood /suffering & death\, and Not by /adorned with preminences & prferments; otherwise, huma= nely speaking, litle ground had bin got, for who would beleev men y^t preached for their owne gran= dure & authority? as pardoners, to sell their Indul= gences; therefore I consider it is corruption of Authori= ty, w^{ch}, perhaps, cares not a freedome of thincking should prvaile, and Not this or that philosofy, while ye people In generall, are neither capable of Nor concerne themselves with any, that hurts Religion. I will say this for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} new philosofy assuredly, that Even \boldsymbol{y}^{e} methods of that have Enabled our clergy In controversie $\texttt{ag}^{\texttt{t}}$ Romanist's & sectary's, and Rendered them so Much superior; for they dispute, and for Instance In particular agt transubstantia= tion, In y^e very termes, & language of y^e Cartesian phi= losofy. and therefore one would thinck they should not so Continually flirt at it, as they doe.

Another Enimy philosofy hath, & ever had, and y^t is ambition. It is a strang penchant men have to be famed philosofers, and to be set up & Read In acadamy's, as onely authentick, while all other species of philosofy Must truckle & submitt. they say old Aristotle, took this Cours. he lived In an aqe

13.

age of disputing, and had ye honr to forme it into an art, whence our Comon logick is taken. before him In divers ages were a sort of Reasonable Naturalist's, who had Come to their Ne plus, $^{\rm 54}$ & so philosofy Stood at a sort of stay, waving a litle from $\underline{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ one sort to another. And Aristotle served all all alike allowed or Comended None, blamed all, used Not one dogma of $y^{\rm e}$ ancients, but made an Intire New Model of his owne, not calculated In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} least for Invention or assertion of truth, but onely to supply answers to all Questions, and such, as Whi= ther true or fals, Could Not be disputed or Confuted. And therefore Moulded his work In termes & distinc= tion's, more like a logick then naturall philosofy, a meer structure of words. And however this took in his owne time, it is certein upon ye Revivall of lear= ning it Came with such authority from y^e Arabs, that it was Received, as the Gospel of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Scools. and altho Plato was In possession, this displac't him, as more accomodated, to the trade of disputing then set on foot, w^{ch} clouded y^e literate world, till y^e hero's I mentioned caused it to clear up. Here was a suwillfull suppression of true philosofy, with no litle art & very Much ambition.

It is well knowne that upon the late Restaura= tion of philosofy by cartesius, I mean his Method and principles. the vertuosi have professed a most

⁵⁴ i.e., 'no further', a limit.

14. phisicks

at Most chast & sanctimonious persuit of truth, Car= rying \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Caus so farr from authority, that Nullius In verba, was y^e Word.⁵⁵ this was y^e other Extreme, but it took and a Colledg founded, on a plan, ffor Ma= king a Collection of Experiments, & out of them [....?] /compleat Hypothesis /of nature \; that is to vex nature in Secula secu= lorum,⁵⁶ If it continue till that designe is Compas't. ffor I observe that Comon occurances carefully and Skil[1=?] fully observed, /have\ given greater light In philosofy, then /ye Mor[e]\ vexed Experiments have done. And Men Cannot live without Experimenting a great deal, and No Mean's can be found out, as I more then fear, to give us An Insight Into ye minute texture of Compound body's and ye Shape's of their Component parts, without $w^{\rm ch},$ I profess, litle will be gained by vexatious ${\tt Exp=}$ riments; But yet /An\ Enterteinem^t that way /is\ both plea[=?] seth, and gives mean's of observing what otherwise would pass without Notice, and If Expectation is Not answered In events one way, some may be very Extraordinary & Surprising In another. As wee find by M^r Boyle, who Confuted most demonstrable, all Hypotheses and principles of Naturall philosofy Except y^e Corpuscular.

An Enimy of this order I take to be y^e late Introduction of Quality's and property's for principles. as vis cen[=?] trifuga, centripeda, attractionis, aversionis, Inertia & y^e like. And Introduced with Great Modesty decla[=?] ring them as onely data for Geometrick practis

 $^{^{55}}$ 'Nullius in verba' (i.e., 'take no one's word for it') was the motto of the Royal Society.

⁵⁶ i.e., 'for a century of centuries' - i.e., for a very long time.

15.

And [Restraing?] our forewardness, least wee Should p^rcipitately Mistake him, as If he Intended these for phisicall principles. all $w^{\rm ch}$ had bin admirable If he had made onely mathematick Conclusions but so far from that he bends All his forces, and Concludes as fiercely against the corpuscular hy= pothesis, & the Cartesian solution of the heavens /planetary\ Courses, setting up vacuum, and then filling it with light & powers over and over againe; as If his de= signe had bin phisicall from ye beginning. It seems \boldsymbol{y}^{e} author was Educated In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} time of Cartesius works first appearing In y^e university, and then bent all his studys & endeavours to Confute him. that hath bin the very stress of his Soul, therefore wee are Not to wonder, he Relapses Into that fatall Error of setting up Quality's, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ Cartesius & others had So [devinely?] Excluded. How many Ingredients of ye foregoing Emnity's have Concurred in y^e [brassing?] this author I will not determine, for I Revere him for his Geometry In $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ he seems adept. but as for philosofy, the Geometer hath crusht it, or he would [Crush?] it, ffor If his Notion's stand, phi= losofy will Never rise, such is y^e Weight of /his\ Qua= lity's, to say Nothing of his Contradictions.

An other & y^e last Enimy of philosofy I shall speak of, is pride. some would have all knowledg to them= selves, & let other's have No share; And so become more

16. phisicks.

As Mou more admired, as Mountebanks are for shewing tricks others doe Not understand, these are but a sorry party And may be past by onely with y^e Respect of having bin mentioned. as for the Ignorant & p^rjudict /Enemy's also\. I shall bestow y^e whole world on y^e former, and dedicate a chapter to y^e latter w^{ch} may I hope suffice for both.

phisicks.

The sumé of Naturall philosofy, is to distinguish well between sensation's of things, and thing's them= selves. ffor It so happens, that the truth of thing's doth not appear by /in\ ye Sensible Image of them, and then the Sensible image is very different from, & seldome or Never comprised in the thing /it self; \ Examples best shew this, and a few may serve among Infinite others y^t are obvious. No one can discerne Gold by $y^{\rm e}$ Colour, for Guilt Wood hath the same Image. but a naturalist weigh's it, and by that discovers wood, from Gold. Then wee have /so\ Images of sound, & under that y^e differences of /of them particularly\ har= mony and discord, also /as also of \ light & various Colours; and to these variety's wee /w^{ch} for distinction & comunication Give names, as fifth's. 3ds. &c. in sound; Red & blew In Colour. but these /variety's of Images\ are In \boldsymbol{y}^e sensation and Not in the object /altho y^e occasion is from thence\. w^{ch} to Confirme, (for it is /y^e thing is Now\ comonly agreed), observe /when\ a stroke with a staff gives one sort of /causeth one sort of $\protect\$ paine and /but\ with a Sword another. These paines are In the sence, but Not In $y^{\rm e}$ Staff, nor sword that Caused them. And It is the office of a Naturalist, to discerne what share In this effect of making us sensible, belong's to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} object, and what is ascribable to the sence wholly, & is Not in y^e Object.

1.

Naturall skill is to know. 1. What things are in /Essences, as what things are\ themselves, and then. 2. the modes of them. that is Quantity, place, figure, time, & y^e like. The first are found out /gathered, by different /various\ sensations of the same /divers things\ thing; /& comparison of them one with another,\ the latter belong cheifly to y^e Geometers /by various sensations\ of one & the same, and the And thence proceeds y^e art and

and theirs being y^{e} art of measures, are / y^{e} same is\ a part of Natu= rall skill, so farr as depends on measure. The way of Examining thing's is 1. to obtein a Sence of them and If possible y^{e} like, reiterated, severall way's; and If such help is not to be had, then to Compare the neerest likenesses wee can, and So Gaine some foun= dation to make a judgm't upon. of this examples are, 1. of things too small for ordinary vision, then wee have no mean's but Glasses of magnification, by \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} wee find numberless [animalenlis?] of w^{ch} there was No thought before such were used. Then If thing's are Neer lost by distance; wee use y^e Same helps, w^{ch} let us in to a knowledg of y^e Mundane systeme with evidence heretofore unknowne. of Comon objects wee feel, tast, turne, heat, cool, burne, & torment Severall way's, and comparing the severall appea= rances of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same materiall to our sence under all these vexations, Wee collect somewhat of truth concerning them /w^{ch} wee Could Not have otherwise by any sence have found out. This searching and Can= vasing thing's severall way's, is what they Call Experi= ment; and is most necessary ffor a Naturalist to be Im= ployed in, ffor sometimes, tho rarely, very great disco= very's have bin gained accidentally by experimen= tall practises; And of these I must allwais account the cheif to be the late discovery of ye air, and its proper= tyes, by that Called y^e Torricellian; $^{57}\ W^{ch}$ hath opened to us a new scene of knowledg, styled the Spring & weight of $y^{\rm e}$ air.

 $^{^{57}}$ Evangelista Torricelli (1608–1647), Italian physicist and mathematician, student of Galileo, and inventor of the barometer.

phisicks

з.

Therefore wee must observe that y^e Experiment & Judgm^t thereon are two things; the former Collected is Called Naturall History; w^{ch} is accounted of grea= test Importance In y^e suit of knowledg. therefore some would have that perfect before any proceeding is had In y^e way of Judging. It must be admitted that Naturall history Is Imperfect Enough, there being litle one Can trust. the late work's of the Microscopi= call Men, as M^r. Hook, M^r Malpighius, & D^r Grew are Most Considerable, and what scrapp's of Nature doe they Inclose? The works of M^r. Boyle, and y^e esperienze del cimento,⁵⁸ are very usefull, and Ex= tend to Cases more generall. Now If Judgm^t must lye fallow till y^e whole univers is perfectly surveyed, y^e science of phisicks must dropp.

Therefore I distinguish farther of Experiment, 1. such as isare Quotidian & familiar, as the comon phenomena of /sence w^{ch} are\ Motion, light, sounds, order of the planet's & the like, w^{ch} No one, y^t hath an observing genius wants. and. 2. Nice Experiment's w^{ch} are y^e profession of our Royall Society to Make & Register. The former I take to be sufficient for Edifying a naturall Skill, So farr as the generalls of that science Require. that is the Matter of y^e World, & the Con= dition of it, motion with y^e Rules; y^e Mundane systeme, the reason of light, sounds heat Cold & many other like topicks, w^{ch} condiscend Not

to

27r

⁵⁸ See note BL Add MS 32546, f. 319r.

4. phisicks

to Resolutions of particular matters, depending on Nice composition and texture of parts. and as to those, our ordinary sciences will goe No farther then, to Shew that 1. /possibility & 2.\ probabilitys all w^{ch} is argued from a parity of Reason so far as wee may p^rtend to a knowledg of principles. this is a lower order of knowledg then The generalls, such as Gravity, light, sounds, &c ffor those are knowne so much by universall & continuall approbation, that litle is wanted that is reasonably to be Expected of assistance In y^e Scrutiny of them. and as ffor the particular solution's, curious experi= ment's must Introduce them, or at least Gaine Some farther ground In the Scrutiny of them.

But I am altogether $\mathtt{ag}^{\mathtt{t}}$ a Method used of old, & now of late Introduced, $w^{\rm ch}$ is /to doe all, by Qualitys & otherwise\ Not to Inquire Into Efficient Causes; but /And Now they propose to\ ffirst Gather phaenomena, and the laws and property's of them, letting Efficient Causes wholly alone. those were Aristoteles Quality's of old, who supposed body's Endued with certein Motions as appetites w^{ch} lead them. and the latter have as= cribed attractive /and aversive \ forces to body's and so aversive & the like. and shew how attraction takes place in ye heaven's, by adapting all ye planetary Courses, & orbs, so as to make it seem their comon attraction Influenced Each other; and the like upon $y^{\rm e}$ Earth, as Gravity /& $y^{\rm e}$ sea\ tides, and In Sequel of this, make all the complex phenomina of fluids, & $y^{\rm e}$ Continuity of bodys, to be by an attractive power of a sort proper

phisicks

5

But It is Just to Add the disadvantages of these Study's /have\ together with y^e Reason's why Geometry is so much Exalted above them.

The Study of nature Is Incumbred with Extreams, vist of magnitude & Minuteness; ffor first $y^{\rm e}$ Grand Econo= my of y^e world is So farr beyond our comprehension, & our knowledg of it, from such Small hints from the or= dinary celestiall luminary's; that however reason= able Judgm^{ts} may be made of the co /Hypotheses is framed from for making\ the alterations /changes [they?] of seem reasonable & consonant to the Cours of Inferior thing's better knowne, It is Still In ye power of the dis= satifyed, to deny all yt is affirmed of it, and No Regu= lar demonstration's past Confutation can be be given of them. Hence Eternall differences are & Ever will be about the grand articles of $y^{\rm e}$ heavens, besides $y^{\rm e}$ Mo= tion of y^e Earth &c. Now agreed, but whither y^e /Interplanetary Spaces are plenum or vacuum; whither the planetts attract one & other, & $y^{\rm e}$ like. So that $y^{\rm e}$ Indifferent by Standers Who wait to see these folk agreed that they might with countenance take their words, /[loyal parol?]\ or have demon= stration of ye Matter Goe away smiling rather then Sa= tisfyed. And It is Not In ye sphear of mortall braine to propose any way to demonstrate such matters, But Since there must be some RelSolution, If Not for Com= on yet for private satisfaction, \mathbf{y}^{e} caus must Not be let fall but brought to a crisis upon some Measures or other; and I thinck None better, then to have a clear & full account of all occurences of movements here amongst us, and from similarity with them. de= termine

6. phisicks

termine of y^e Grand systeme of y^e World, ffor since no differences can be raised, where proportion's [agree?] as will be shewed when I speak of magnitude. let y^e Mundane systeme be by Imagination Con= tracted Into y^e Compass of a Comon Globe, & abstrac= ting all weight & terrene Incumbrances, with al= lowances, for space in acc^o of time, & then set y^e Ma= chine on worke, and Imagin If it be possible it Should have other Rules & orders of movem'^t then belongs to Sublunary bodies? I beleev there will be No reason to assigne any, & then I thinck y^e Comon principles of movements will /must\ serve /also\ to Regulate /In our minds\ y^e Motions of y^e planets as guide /Whereby also\ the straws & sticks in a whirlepool, are as wee see & know are [-;]regulated here below.

Another great Incumbrance of naturall know= ledg, ffarr more weighty then y^e former, If Not Insuperable, is minuteness. ffor it falls out that y^e reall action's & formations of thing's w^{ch} occasion's most of our Ideas of things amongst us, that is y^e [Minute?] /shapes\ and motions of minute corpuscles, and y^e texture of Compound body's, is so ffarr below us In Exility, that wee have no mean's to gain y^e least scrutiny of them; but all is absolutely In y^e darke, & as to our Examination, as If all were bury= ed in /as deep as\ y^e Earth's center. And here ly's y^e Incurable defect of humane knowledg as to naturall thing's; So as Nothing can be pronounced, [off?] originall causes of our most Ideas, but by Meer Guess. and

phisicks

And how Contemptible a process that is among the literati, Especially of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Geometrick faction, is No Secret. yet as Quintillian say's of oratory, wee Must goe on, - Audendum tamen.59 And ha= ving a sphear of scrutiny, as I may Say, $y^{\rm t}$ is some Gross matter to practise upon & observe, wee must make ye best of that, and In our Guessing Ever observe to admitt nothing /among y^{e} least/ that is Not Consenant to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} rules \boldsymbol{y}^{t} take place among \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Greatest wee know, And also Imploy ye Imagination to translate the Effects of a few examinable thing's one upon another Into those miriads of miriads of part's or Corpuscles w^{ch} compose the aggregate Quantity's, whither fluid, or solid, that prsent Nothing but Indistin= ction & confusion to or Senses. And This one may In Some Measure doe by a mentall [---?] Extension of thing's, as let a Nutmegg /or any such known Compound\ be Supposed as larg as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Globe of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Earth, then Imagin what Mountaines valey's, cavernes, & unaccountable hollows & Inequ= lity's, may be found In & about it; & so upon occa= Sion of other thing's. this will be Sayd a poor Shift, but If wee have No better, wee must Con= tent our selves, and Ever be carefull of one thing never to be Confident of Incerteinty's however plausible.

7

⁵⁹ i.e., 'yet be bold'. *See* Quintilian *Institutione Oratoria*, Book 1, chapter 5, section 72; the phrase had been previously and famously used by Horace in Epistles I, 2.40. *See* also BL Add. MS 32546, f. 153r, and note 38 in the biography page on this website, at http://www.ucl.ac.uk/north/bio.

plausible, but allwais caracterise things after the standard of Evidence, what is certein affirme it, so What is less certein, allow it In termes accordingly, and what is problematiq or Meer guess, be No Nig= gard, but withall with No farther p^rtence then as such; & weigh the probability's, & Represent them fairly, leaving y^e Matter to Judgm't, Giving freely y^e owne.

This Introduceth another disadvantage this philosofy fall's under, and that moves not from thing's, but from person's. And generally is owing to overconfidence, and Impotent assurance, not to say arrogance, & contempt of others, whither accompanyed with a good under= Standing or without it, Since both are found peccant that way. I need goe No farther then Cartesius for the first, who was y^{e} light of y^{e} latter ages, and So Sovereigne a philosofer, as it would be fulsom to add In his prais. yet he was unhappy In what he excelled, that is fran= chis from prjudice, ffor he was Not Cautious Enough to Express his reason's for his fundamentall principles so as to obviate Reply, but left himself open, so that it is a pleasure $y^{\rm e}$ Novices take in objecting Contemp= tuously to them. as his plenitude, vacuum, motion &c. In $w^{\rm ch}$ I need Not Instance, so well is the subject beaten. but when he comes to branch Into Item's of less consequence, he Not onely Guesses, but Expres= seth $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\text{th}}}$ too Much assurance; and the foundation's he lays

phisicks

9

lay's In his disposition of y^e Mundane matters /for such occasions\ is very prcarious. But much is to be Excused in him, being litle less then an Ient Inventor of his philosofy, so Repleat, after all, with admirable hints of truth, tho the ungratefull academicks that use them, cannot but Revile him. If so Much of him, What Shall I say of the tail of the peripaticks, If any be left. or of the Moderne chimists, that set up salt \underline{for} sulfur, & I know Not what for principles, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ Neither they nor any Els can define what they are, nor of what Con= sisting to distinguish them one from another, but Some different Effect's, & opperation's, w^{ch} it is knowne flow In like maner from various matter yt Was Never set up for principles; As North winds & south winds have very different Effects, but are Not $\ensuremath{p^r}\xspace{1mu}$ ferred to the state & dignity of principles. but all this is Nothing to $M^{\rm r}$ Mayo, who with $y^{\rm e}$ help of nitro-aerio-salinosulfurio, &c. particles, Resolves all Naturall doubdts In a trice.⁶⁰ yet all ow these to pass as Ingenious, there is a low sort of philosofers, who delight in words and wonders, as one who told me A long story of fossile-Cedar; with many Such Impertinences; These are Ignorant of nothing, no demand can be made, however Improper, as why Grass is Green, & ye like, but they have a jargon at hand to Resolve it. W^{ch} Empty assurance, together with a pert beha= viour, ceasless talking, & vaine boasting, Especially about Invention's, then w^{ch} Nothing more raiseth civil

⁶⁰ See note at BL Add MS 32546, f. 100r. What follows this dismissal of the Paracelsians is RN's general assault on the lecturers and demonstrators who popularised the ideas of Newton. Further work will perhaps turn up some names, and identify some textual sources, for these characters who annoyed RN by knowing the answers to everything.

10. phisicks

eivil warr among ye philosofers, makes ye profession & very Study they value themselves upon seem wretched & ridiculous. It must be admitted ye termes of art are Necessary to be used In all sciences; but In Comunica= ting with less or No artists, ye fewer ye better, becaus to them such appear pedantiq; and Comon speech is the best dress, If ye Subject can be made underStood under it; and when or vertuosi learne to Shew less confidence, and Speak better English, they will be More Respected.

These are Incumbrances or burdens w^{ch} ly heavy upon ye profession of Naturall knowledg, tending to depress it But there are Enimy's also, and powerfull ones, and such as have brought it downe, so as to be almost layd aside, and If they have their will, there Shall be No farther $p^{\rm r} tension$ to it, then enough to Satisfie $y^{\rm e}$ Importune Questions of idle people by pushing their un= derstandings. before I enumerate them, I must observ[e?] they are all Cryers up of Geometry, as ye onely Science Worth Courting; and all by reason ye data, are so sure, that it is $y^{\rm e}$ artist's fault If he err; whereas in phisicks say they, there is No firme footing. Now here we differ; and deny that there is No firme footing, wee say there is Some firme footing but Not Equally so, And as it is In Geometry, all lean's upon one & ye Same foot and If some matter's treated by philosofers, lean onely on Conjecture, that doth Not depreciate the Rest, w^{ch} are better Grounded, & Some as sure as Geo: it self

It is some confirmation that union comes by flatt contact, that all salts w^{ch} shoot in plan-sided figures, are Made up of plan-sided parts, w^{ch} fall aptly together, & from the shape of the components the compound is figured. This is conjecture, tis true, but such as Nothing of Sence will dispute. and there is Never any shooting of flux't salts in orbicular, but all in plan-sided shapes. And wee find No Caus or cement, but their apt shapes, to bind them.

It is Consequent from hence, that wee Esteem Not body to be adamantine, but however solid & com pound, as If a Cubick foot had No pore, but were Made up of onely Cubick part's so put together, the rules cum data potentia, datum pondus.⁶² If the Globe of Earth In Motion prest such a body agt a suficient fulcrum, It Must crush y^e very Substance & make it break out Every way. Since wee See y^e whole world and all thing's In it Given to per= petuall changes, It is Not probable y^e Elements them selves are unalterable. Nor is there any Colour from Experm^t to prove such Insecable, or adaman= tine Nature of body.

<red BM stamp>

31r⁶¹

⁶¹ Change in paper size.

 $^{^{62}}$ i.e., RN means: 'the greatest possible weight with the least effort', this is a slight misquoting of Archimedes' 'Datum pondus cum data potentia'.

31v

<page blank>

32r 9.

و

Of Continuity.

phisicks.

We Never had from any Elimo/phisi-\logist a tollera= ble \texttt{acc}° of Continuity of body's, as It is opposed to fluidity, untill D. Cartes brought forth that of pure contact; I happen's that his acc° is Not accep= ted, but /by late Naturalists\ as gratis dictum63 layd aside. ffor say wee, No Experiment proves it. & then he Ought to shew it to be a property of body to cohere, $\boldsymbol{w}^{\text{ch}}$ is Not done. Le Clerck /use of the the latter phisiologist's, hath a short process, concluding it a vanity to Inquire after $y^{\rm e}$ caus of cohesion, as a thing that fly's from uS, and let us Goe on, wee find $o^{\rm r}$ Selves In $y^{\rm e}$ Same state, as to any discovery, then as at first. for If a Wisp is Made of Many, Straw's, those straws of Many fibres, & so on ad Infinitum. If wee say that body's are held together by hook's and crooks of ye part's, the Question Returnes, what holds together the part's of those hooks? the atomists suppose the Materiall parts to be /unporous &\ of all formes, as hamous, Glabrous, rounds, square, &c, and Say that, those that have part's Interfering, Co= here by Such hooking. but they are answered that then body's once claSp't together, Could by No force be par= ted. for If they break, What force, and if Every /any\, Why Not any /Every\? So that without assigning a Measure of the force, with w^{ch} y^e hook's hold without breaking It May be [either?] $y^{\rm e}$ least, & then cohesion $y^{\rm t}$ yeilds to Everv

⁶³ i.e., something said under no compulsion, and (usually to be) passed over as not meriting attention; in legal latin, it is a term meaning a statement freely given, and/or an unproven statement - all these senses are available when RN uses the term.

Every force is Quasi None or Inexpugnable /Els absolute & Insuperable\, & then No force will p^rvaile Neither of w^{ch} is true. therefore unless Cartesius solution help, le clerck is in the right in Concluding y^e Question allwais Returnes, but much in y^e wrong, to Say, becaus he cannot see any hopes of discovery No person's should loos time in Searching.

I must Needs Say, that D. Cartes rest's In the ultimat[e] Effort of humane capacity to Examine; ffor after all the thincking wee can bestow, wee Shall find out No Ingredient of Cohesion but Contact. and It doth Not deserve the Magisteriall Insults, or academicks have bestowed on him, and it. I would ask all their tutor-ships what is it that /(speaking of body) $\$ denominates one and the same, speaking of the body, but, that all the parts are perpetually Contiguous. ffor If /several $body/s\ clasp$ by rea= son of their forme /I Grant\ their figures & superficies are yet distinct and divers. but If upon putting two together /by apt sides\ there is as Exquisite Contact In y^e juncture, /yet\ as y^e parts of Either /alone have, then both /Joyned are become as Much one, as with justice Either of them /apart\ Could be accounted. I know that such contact's as this fall Not in our prac[=] tis [.?] nor can any way be Experimented. but If ther they are Not Inconsistent in Nature, and May justly be supposed, vist, that 2. Cubick parts touch by aside of each other Exquisitely. and then I say those two are be= come one. and there is as Much reason to argue a parting In any other place of either, as upon that Juncture, If an adventitious force ffall to occasion it.

 $\frac{11}{11}$

Then I conclude that If body's touch Exquisitely by superficies, there is No difference or Infirmity In the Jun= cture, then among the other parts but all cohere upon the [Same?] principle contact. [That?] /but Its fitt\ to ans^r the sequel of Inquiry's. 1. Why should any Contact unite? 2. with what strength? for Some body's part Easily, others with Great difficulty.

In order to ans^r. both these, I must Not Stick to Introduce an hypothesis or principle, w^{ch} May be assumed, but cannot /well\ be proved. vis^t. that Cohesion or rather Coalition, or unity, is Incident to body as Extension is. So as all body's that Rest /with\ Contiguous /sides\ are united, and from thence foreward become frangi= ble Indifferently in all part's alike, and /accordingly\ yeild to y^e Exigence of force however it falls. /yet [------?]\ I may /Nevertheless\ alledg tow= ards /a\ proof of this /of this hypothesis\; that /in reality\ body's doe Cohere, and y^e Witt of Man hath Not yet found any other Ingredient or Means of it, but contact Continuing. w^{ch} is all I Can say to y^e first point.

As to y^e other, with What Strength? I answer with a Strength Equall to y^e substance. ffor so all the Strength of body is accounted, & is Called the force of rest, vis Inertia: It is No more possible to give a standard of this force, otherwise then by Comparison, then it is give a standard of Magnitude /or time\. ffor Every thing is litle or great /long or short\, according as you Collate it with other things. So this force of Rest, w^{ch} Makes a body less Mobile makes it also less alterable, that is devisible. but as No body but may be Moved, So also It May be broken

but

But Every force will Not break Every body, ffor all the part's touching give way, & so ye force falls not in such manner as tends to devide; and If it doth, as supposing a body held in a vice, & another to fall on y^{e} prominent parts, If Greater then that, why should Not the less give way, but If less, why Should Not \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Greater continue as it was. So that I must Conclude No substance or cohesion of body, to be Inexpugnable, but a Suffi= cient force, that is Greater body's aptly coming on them Shall crush them. but In Regard Comon force, tends Not to break In peices, but to drive on, breaking is Not so Comon, as would be If thing's were handled With art. but yet wee see Nothing Resists Imens forces & Small ones doe Not much as to Crushing & breaking, /performe which\ so that the comon cohesion of compound bodys, serves against ordinary, but Not agt Extraordinary force.

Yet the thing carry's a difficulty to be apprehen= ded or admitted, ffor when wee suspend a vast weight by a small wire, w^{ch} will bear a great deal It is Strang So Small Substance Should hold ag^t So great force; and all I can say to it is, first In generall that wee have No Standard of the strength of body. that is how much, in any stated Quantity, compared with any other stated Quantity, by /supposed Moving In a way to discerp it. Stated it is, and it is Increast and deminisht with substance. compare it with duration, It is hard to say how long an abstract hour is. but it is clear to be 1/24 of y^e Sun's diurnall Circle. So I cannot Say what the strength of abstract body is; but Such & such thing's will break, with Such & Such forces.

Next In particular, altho No circumstance but Quantity can augment. Yet there are Modes, w^{ch} May deminish y^e power of Cohesion. as when the Contact Is by a point, then I affirme there is No cohesion at = all. So it is when Globes, touch planes or Globes, for with them there is No Cohesion, for an hold by a point is No hold, and for this reason I conclude that fluids are Mixt of Globular, or plane-sided Mixt with Globular parts. the latter May be $y^{\rm e}\ \text{Case}$ of Salts w^{ch} by the shooting Into such Shapes, may justly be Supposed to be built /up\ with such, & Easily Mix with the Globular; under Globular I Include all figures Inclining as long ovalls &c. ffor I account there are No Exact Shapes /of bodys small or great\ ordinarily; but /when [thuss?] they are\ various & contin= gent as Sensible body's are; And Globular Easily compose with their like: And whither these Globular & plane-sided are originall, or compounds, Maters Not, or whither they are formed & capable of flexure, as straws cords, silk, &c. Matters Not. ffor wee shall Not be Ever able to determine Either way, but /be that as it will\ our Consequence is y^e Same,

Then as wee May suppose Matter in its Composition to have Irregular Elem^{ts}, as parts (simple or Compounds) w^{eh} w^{ch} are more ragged, & /[or?] otherwise more\ aptly figured for Conjunct= -ion, So they cohere More; and If possible /supposed\ to consist of cubes ordinarily plac't, /then\ Most of all; of w^{ch} sort Juells may possibly be. other's as gumms, coagulates, wax & y^e like, touching between points & superfices are easily flux, & broken.

34r

The last discours on this head shall be of the Ex= $\mathtt{perim}^{\mathtt{ts}}\ \mathtt{w}^{\mathtt{ch}}$ look towards this theory, for direct wee have None, and of them the cheif is. of flat Marbles w^{ch} /being parted face from [face?] $\$ without doubdt /seem to $\$ cohere Much; but are Easily Moved laterally, tho with difficulty In paralellisme. It is found that this Cohesion is Not from Contact but from the weight of the atmosphere; because all $\mathtt{Experim^{ts}}$ as y^e air pump &c. w^{ch} disable that let loos ye marbles. However Wee May from hence fancy some addition to the Strength of Cohesion If y^e separation be forc't In paralellisme, from the plenitude of ye world; and the want of apt Matter figurated /or [...?] so as to Enter angular sp the space made by a divulsion of one part from another. Especially in this Instance. vist. Suppose A. & B. to touch by $y^{\rm e}$ flatt <diagram> c.d. and a force applyed to both tending to separate them paralell-wise however small & apt ye Matter is to Enter upon ye separation, It cannot be at d. & at. e. or at. c. &. at e. In the same Instant of time. So that as In y^e Case of the atmosphere, the draught is Not onely of the two body's, but agt all y^e Matter in y^e World, or at least so Much as Must be crouded together to Make way that the minute Matter May Enter from c. & d. to. e. w^{ch} at the first separation is or May be Imens. and If the tendency of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force be laterall, to Move A. from d. to c. and B. from c. to d. y^e Separation may be with Much less difficulty.64

⁶⁴ In the lower LH corner of the page the word '[sults.?]'.

phisicks. [Starting at top RHS marg: Its Inquired, what is body &

What is space, Suposing body yt is extruded, & space yt is Exten= ded, to be distinct and they are at a full stand for both, ffor none can say any thing of one or other but If wee consult ye nature of Space alone wee shall find this that that It be incapable of penetration, ffor that one space should Croud into another when it is supposed extended by it self as a contradiction, therefore I thinck the being of space [suplies?] Impenetrability, [Starting at top LHS marg: then according to Cartesius, Space & body is ye Same, and wee are deli= vered Both of the senceless consequen= ces of Nothing being something as attends y^e Comon notion of vacuity. and also of it is an incident Inseparable that Con= cerning. y Intrinsick Nature of body wch all allow Impenetra= ble and yet suspect Some wt Els, but know not What.]65 The Nature of body & space I am So Much with Cartesius, to thinck the Great art of an almighty Creator is lowdly spoke in one Grand Instance /act\, or fiat, let there be space or extension, out of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ that other ordinance of beings, called Souls or Minds, have the food of such Infinite beauty & variety afforded, as Each thinking person knows. Space abstrac= tly taken (to give way to our fancy or p^rjudice) & /In language\ some= what, but /supposed word of\ unfilled with body, /and so like as one may [surely?] conclude\ sure is Next to Nothing. In so Much that it was No /fondness \ folly for cartes to say for the creator to Make Empty space, is to doe (In our way of speaking) /to doe\ somewhat , but In truth Nothing; & so a frivoulous buissness. Nor is it a shallow thought of his /D Cartes\, that Space without body is a Contradiction: ffor Space must be Impenetrable, as body; for two Spaces Can= not be Crouded Into one, and body is discovered & knowne by No property but that, of /being\ Space Not penetrable. or Extension, Into $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ No other Extension can be thrust. so it ffall naturally with him, to Conclude that body & space were all one; and when Men talk of Empty Space it is /their notion is but\ a Chimera created onely from /by\ p^r judice of sence, that /so\ fills their Imagination; ffor the Image of Emptyness, as to /it is in\ our sence this/ey\ translated to Nature; for w^{ch} there is No reason; becaus /for\ wee know /fancy\ a vessell is empty (as wee Call it) becaus Not /being filled with liquor, but Invisible air onely, & wee /is no warrant to\ apply that Image of Emptyness to Nature, /so\ as $\frac{if}{a}$ /to conclude\

/yt a\ vessell or Space /might be as [well?] be\ without any body at all in it; $w^{\rm ch}$ in

35r

⁶⁵ The marginalium is in a tiny hand and wraps around the header from both sides at the top of the page.

w^{ch} In logick is a Non sequitur. it is No rare Elench⁶⁶ In Naturall philosofy, to argue from sence to thing's, as If thing's had such Image, as our sence Represents: ffor So Men argued shapes & Colours to fly from body's to y^e organ of vision;⁶⁷ & y^e like, w^{ch} late & truer philosofy has Exploded, shewing the Image to be a creature of y^e Mind occasioned by Sence, & Not subsisting without /us\. so it is ffor vacuity, w^{ch} Men argue as possible, from similarity with (seeming) Empty vessells, as If the thing were possible [Ever?] y^e more for that fancy of ours. /or as If colours must needs subsist in y^e dark becaus wee see them when its light.\

But yet in this point of vacuity, the prjudice is So Strong, & ye mean's of dissolving it so difficult If Not Im= possible, that Many of our /late\ capitall philosofer's build on vacuum as a principle, or Mean's of solving the most considerable, & fundamental appearances. Wit= ness $M^{\mathrm{r}}.$ Newton, who treat's space as a determinate being, and call's it absolute, (that is Ever ye Same or allwais In ye Same place), & Respective of other things and then lean's on a more p^rcarious principle, of bo= dy's Reciprocally attracting; as If the Easy steps of cartesius, by Extension or body, devided & moved, were Not poeticall Enough, & failed in y^e admirable, so as $/ \left\{ k \right\}$ Not /having enough to divert the sectator's of Novelty, to whom plain[e?] things, however aggreable to Easy truth, were fulsome & vulgar. and tho he, and all $y^{\rm e}$ Modernes, that ${\tt Im[=?]}$ pugne the Methods of Cartesius, use /his Method & generall hints of [Notions?]\ them, and In truth his very words, but with an air as /of \ If their owne Invention, yet one may see, a drift of contradiction /to h[im?] /in them all such\ as they say, Aristotle had to Cross $y^{\rm e}$ ancienter Naturalists and Erect his fame /not\ upon truth, but Novelty. And

Ana

⁶⁶ i.e., an irrelevance, someting that does 'not follow'; an '*elench*' is the key part of an argument, the crux or proof.

⁶⁷ This is the idea that visual perception was by the reception of '*eidola*' or '*species*' (that the appearance of things actually arrived at the eye as a succession of film-like entities passing through the intervening space). There were several competing theories inherited from classical authorities, for example, Democritus and Epicurus had proposed the *intro*mission (i.e., the taking into the eye) of *eidola* (the same word is used to mean 'phantom'), Plato had proposed the *extra*mission (i.e., projection from the eye) of optical fire.

And altho $M^r.$ Newton In termss declines be/-ing Engaged In any phisicall hypothesis, yet any one y^t run's May read his Mind, tho Not clearly Explained, w^{ch} is, that the world at larg is a vacuum, and that here & there is a Sun, & a planet /or so\ $w^{\rm ch}$ are kept in their places by cross attractions, and that there $\frac{1}{2}$ a/re\ centripetall as well as a centrifugall forces y^t affect them & every part Engaged according to Quantity and distance; & from thence let's himself into Mathematick specu= lation's, w^{ch} are his Master-peice, and least want of footing for his priciples, Should Endanger the frame of his analiticks, he demands them to be supposed true, as seeming Content If his deduction's & conclusions hold. I am sure If he did Not beleev them true, he would Never have laboured a mathematick Cours as he hath done, that otherwise had bin as prudent as twisting a rope of sand. However to doe him Right there is some admirable Representation's of thing's, but the /very\ best are built upon D. Cartes thoughts, /& without his failings.\

But yet I must observe that his warping of phi= losofy towards vulgar p^rjudice, hath a great advan= tage with y^e Generality; and Such as are not practis't extraordinarily with abstract thincking. And While Men will hold with y^e Majority, In Matter's Not experi= mentable, such as the nature (Intrinsick I mean) of body, and of plenitude or vacuum /are\, wee Must Not Expect any other opinion a Match for them but Submitt to y^e very ffew, that are More Candidly Ingenious.

But as to vacuity /or Empty space\ wee have this to observe, ffirst that there is No need of it, & then It seem's It should Not in any sence be created; ffor Motion Needs it Not, as will be shewed when I speak of Infinite devisibility. Next If it were att all, It could Not Reside with us but In y^{e} center of y^{e} Mundane cour's, y^{e} Sun. for all that hold that ye Matter Moved, In orbe, receeds from the center, & then the vacum Must Croud to it, & there be at rest. And this also $\mathtt{ans}^{\mathtt{rs}}.$ the fancy of Interspers't vacuity, ffor Supposing such a vast force of Crowding outwards, If there were need of small body's, It is confounded againe by that, ffor y^e Minute parts Turning about Instead of heaving agt that crouding would be stopt by it. So that, upon the Whole; it is a being created in Mans fancys, of $w^{\rm ch}$ there is No occasion for, or use of In Nature, & therefore Not, without some clearer proof, to be ad= mitted.

Then as to the Intrick Intrinsick Essence of body, Whi= ther all of it in y^e world have y^e same, & is Not va= ryed, but according to Movem't magnitude & figure, cannot positively be declared; but If wee May be allow'd y^e priveledge of a Naturalist, to Guess where Can be No experim^t, I must needs say the reason carry's it so. The chimist's contend ffor Saline, Sulfureous. &c. property's of body; but their owne art Explodes all, as any one may see y^e consults M^r. Boyls sceptick chimist.⁶⁸ ffor No body will hold its forme, but be susceptible of almost

⁶⁸ Robert Boyle's *The Sceptical Chymist: or Chymico-Physical Doubts & Paradoxes, etc*, was published in London in 1661.

almost any, & yeild over & over againe the like matter, & spirits out of Each devided species. I thinck the controversie may be reduced to this point. If wee can be satisfyed of the possibility, that body with its modes & knowne property of being Impenetrable, May Exhibite to us, all the appearances to sence, without any Specifick & distinguishing nature of body's, some from others, In the Essence of them; wee have No reason to Invent any to serve turnes; ffor the plainer & More single & Incomplex an hypothesis is, the more agreable it is to Nature, (w^{ch} is Not In any knowne effects & operation's perplext) and Glorious to y^e Author of it. But If those Elements of Body devided and Moved are Incompetent, wee must submitt to such as are Inclined, to fancy & Maintain what Quality's they pleas to Invent, so long as they Require No assent of ours. ffor without demonstration Incontrovertible, No Essence or Quality is to be admitted as a principle in philo= sofy. And rather then doe it on lighter grounds, It is Much better to profess Ignorance, & there rest. But it is My opinion that wee Need Not doubdt or Caus upon the principles of the hypothesis, (since Cartes), Called, the Mechanicall. But it is to be tryed upon /Induction\ /or\ the success of the whole Systeme, & Not by other ar= guments, deviding that point from ye body of phi= losofy, with w^{ch} it Must stand or fall.

Mr. Newton thinck's there is such a thing as Space positive or absolute; w^{ch} is determined, altho all body's are flitting; and being amongst them it is Not possible to know $w^{\rm ch}$ move, & $w^{\rm ch}$ Rest. I know well as long as we /orselves\ are body, and /are\ continually Sensi= ble of other body's. wee cannot Shake off the Images that are Infused from it; that is, vacuity, from seeming (tho Not reall) Empty ness. And Space, from ye wise Exten= sion of thing's. And time, from the ceasless succession of Movements In the same Manner every day. And lett a man argue his heart out, that, If body were all annihilated, there would remaine, Neither Space vist. here or there, Nor time, vist 'fore & after, he Could Not Convince Either unphilosoficall Men, or Such as will Not depart from Chimericall Notion's of Essences and these latter have such a party of the others that to maintaine them, it is a bad Caus, they all oppose. I appeal to any person that will thinck free a Mi= nute, If there Can be time when all body, & Consequen= tly Motion, of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ it is but a comparative account is gone; and If this opinion In y^e termes of it presseth, he will yet say, he cannot Imagin otherwise, but there Must be duration or time, $w^{\mathrm{t}}\mathrm{ever}$ becomes of body. I know it to be true, that wee Cannot Imagin otherwise, & how Should wee that Imagine onely after sence? but that argues Not it is so, becaus Wee Such as wee are Cannot Imagin otherwise. I Say \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same of space. If all body be taken away, there is No More Space, then time; the Notion of both in us

/In us\ being wholly ye creature of sence, & that but a copy of Extended Substance. Wherefore to My thincking the Notion of positive fixt, or absolute Space, is a chimera, for w^{ch} wee have No argument of force.

But Consider what follows; If becaus wee cannot Imagin otherwise, Space must subsist without body God almighty's power is Impeached; ffor say wee that he were pleased to have otherwise; that there should be Neither body Nor Space in ye world. they that hold as above must say it cannot be so for they cannot Imagin, but Space must exist In all event. so space & time are made too hard for the almighty. I bring this argument onely to enervate the other; for If they say, It must be one way, I ans $^{\rm r}$ their $\frac{}{\text{appea}}$ reason hath $y^{\rm e}$ Same force agt the almighty's willing y^e Contrary. therefore wee have No reason to Contend for Essences where= of wee have No Evidence, but If opinion must sway one way or other, it is more reasonable to carry it agt all fancy's of thing's bred onely in ye brain, as Non Entitys; then it is from there to assert them, and build consequences upon the supposall of them. for these reason's I Reject all that M^r Newton Supposeth of space absolute, and owne it onely as it is Referred to & is Measured by body.

38r

38v

<page blank>

Devisibility

Quantity's that fall under the distinction of magnitudes are often practically devisible, but ever mentally. for after y^e Effect of force Not succeding practically to devide things, is wanted /[causeth?]\, the Mind, putting in Imaginary Execution the like agents & patients, Suply's the proposition; And this Is bound= less In our Imagination. whereby from Exquisite clearness of thought wee Conclude, all that is body to be (mentally If Not practially, that is, with due force, aptly applyed, If there were mean's for it) perpetually devisible.

Wee have No reason to Exclude from Nature, any propositions, w^{ch} are Not Inconsistent with Stated principles, or Implying contradictions. therefore It is that wee deny penetration of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{substances}}\xspace$ /bodys/ becaus ye essence of body is filling place; but wee have No reason to Exclude Infinity of Space /or body\ Either In y^e way of Increas Extent, or deminution. ffor boundless Extend doth Not att all contradict ye Notion or Essence as wee know, of body, or of Space, Nor /is\ It any way Inconsistent, that It should be subdevided perpetually. therefore If the arguments doe Not reach to prove that Nature hath these Infinites It is Most certein there is No argument to Ex= clude them; for $w^{\rm ch}$ reason Moderne Sages have bin Modest, and content to say Indefinite ra= ther then Infinite, as less Cavillous or Quarrelsome

39r

2. phisicks

But If the action's of the Materiall world are Such as cannot exist without Infinte deminution, where= by that side of y^e process shall appear probable the other of Extent, w^{ch} No argument positive to us Can reach, may more reasonably be admitted.

This matter is thus deduced. Our Naturalists have bin at a loss to accomodate Motion without \boldsymbol{y}^{e} aid of Interspers't vacuity's. ffor say they; It is Impos= sible thing's Can open, Shutt, & turne as they doe but spaces will happen, less then any assignable, & then there could Not be Motion, for want of parts Exqui= sitely to fill them. this Is almost Current demonstration <diagram> as. 2. bodies a. b. contiguous at a flat. d. c. Shall make Space Infinitely small at y^e angle. c. &c. I dis= solve this argum^t 2. way's. ffirst by saying that Granting there is Not matter Ready aptly to fill all angular Spaces opening, Motion would be No less hindred with then without a vacuum. ffor the vor= ticall Motion of $y^{\rm e}$ Mondane Matter about $y^{\rm e}$ Sun or Center, Creates an universall crouding out= wards, So as If any vacuity were, It Must be in $y^{\rm e}$ center of y^e Sun; and [then'?] united force would pack the small parts together, & they could Not have force to Make way for Every litle Movem^t, as farr as the sun's Center; the Consequences of this would be a stop of the Minute Motion of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Exterior matter, Growing neerer the Sun, till there onely Would, If any where, be any fluidity

3.

the other ans^r . is, that Granting that Matter is devisible In Infinitum by Naturall possibility, I say that If wee suppose it So actually Minuted that In Every place where motion happen's, or at least In Many or Most Instances of it, there is ready Mat= ter Infinitely Small, to Enter the Smallest Spaces. I mean No More but suppose, with Equall authority that there are body's small enough to pack into it. And I see No Inconsistency rise to oppose me. and then motion is accomodated without vacuity's. I doe not say that this or that Minute part may be broken, or that it was other from the creation then it is; but that there may be other's smaller & smaller ad Infinitum. and If there May be Such, & Motion, \mathtt{W}^{ch} wee know, Requires it, why Should Wee Not Conclude, as from a Most reasonable argu= ment, that it is so? I am sure it is a better Cours of hypothesizing, to solve appearances, by knowne possibility's of Existent thing's; then to Invent Existences, or Quality's to ffitt cases, & Resolve What wee know Not.

The little puzles w^{ch} sofistical men have Invented to Intricate this plain Notion of devisibility In Infinitum are so puerile & trifling, they are not worth Notice. and who pleaseth May find them in Most writers of phisicks, but Eminentlty In Hales origination of Mankind,⁶⁹ where y^e words Infinite & Eternity are added substracted devided & Multyplyed at the

⁶⁹ Sir Matthew Hale (1609-76), The Primitive Origination of Mankind, considered and examined according to the light of Nature, ..., London, 1677.

4. phisicks

the pleasure of y^t Sage philosofer; I Shall onely Endeavour to Make familiar to Sence that Noble Instance of an hyperbole with its asymptotes, whose property's to approach tho Extended to Infinite but Never to touch. It seem's an hyperbole to af= firme it; but being No less Strang & true and Der= ving Exquisitely to prove the Infinite devisibility of Space, take y^e following acc^o of it.

An Hyperbole is the line Made In y^e sides of a Cone, by a section paralell to the axis. as If a.b.c. <diagram> Represent a Cone with a peice. e.f.d. Cutt off by a plane paralell to y^e axis g.a. the line e.f.d. is the Hyperbole. and the lines a.b. &. a.c., being projected in y^e Same plane with the section are the asymptotes. but those Need farther Explaining.

<diagram> Suppose 2. Equall Cones to ly on a level table, contiguous In their cusps, & the axis of both in the same strait line. then suppose. 2. planes Erect upon y^e Same table perpendicular to it, w^{ch} shall touch the body's of Each Cone, & Intersect each other In the Cusps. these planes touching y^e Sides of the Cones [-----?] describe thereon by the Contact strait lines from y^e cusps either way, w^{ch} with y^e bases, make the two triangles. a.b.c. & a.d.e: so that If the cones are

sectioned by those lines of contact, the cones are devided just in halves, and No hyperbole appears but onely y^e 2. triangles. but If y^e section of y^e Cones be paralall to them, (In this position, level.) then the planes being also Sectioned by y^e Same plane. the two opposite hyperboles appear, and also the triangles (becaus the upright or touching planes keep the Same distance tho y^e Cone draws to apart) So by this In the plane of the Section, you have y^e hyperboles, and y^e breadth /or figure of y^e cones\ projected; w^{ch} lines made by the sections of y^e upright planes are the asymptotes.

5

Now it is plaine, that from y^e least part y^t can be absinded thus from a cone to the greatest, that is just Next to Equall deviding y^e cones, the asym= tote lines come Neerer & Neer to be coincident with the Hyperboles, and finally are so, & vanish. Now These Spaces of approach, are devisible In Infinitum so that untill y^e Section be Exact In y^e axis, or Contact lines there is hyperboles, but then None. and wee are at liberty to prove the section may be Neerer then any assignable distance. that is & yet Not Coincident. But be it as Neer as you pleas, the part of y^e hyper= bole at or towards y^e base shall be neerer the asym= tote, then Neer y^e Cusp, w^{ch} still Subdevides.

But to augment the Representation, Suppose these Cones, or Either Not limited by a base as here described but Extended, and terminating If I may So Say, In Infinite distance, the Same is yet

41r

6. phisicks

is yet true. So after you have deminisht ye Space of approach, between the section Making hyperboles & that Making None, as Much as y^e Imagination Will allow, Carry y^{e} cone cut to Infinite, and y^{e} least (to so Speak) point of space, is subdevided ad Infinitum by the Continuall Appropinquation of the hyperbolicall line to its assymptote, & yet Never arriving to touch it. this speculation dissolves \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wonder both of the Infinite devisibility of space, or (w^{ch} is y^e Same) Matter Extended in it, and of that property of y^e /hyperbole with its\ asymptotes ffor y^{e} Case is y^{e} Same of a conick section, whither y^{e} cone be small, or Never so great, cutt in like Manner Nor is it less pleasant to know that the progression of this approach of the hyperbolicall line to its asym= tote, shewed by Extending the Cone to what length of axis you please, Is in Geometricall proportion, from \boldsymbol{y}^e Greatest distance, deminishing In Infinitum. and draw ordinates /or perpends, at equall distance\ from $y^{\rm e}$ asimptote to $y^{\rm e}$ /..?\ hiperbole pitch y^r . 1. In y^e Greatest distance. pitch y^e or Where you pleas, and one way Is Increas, & y^e other demi= nution ad Infinitum In Geometricall proportion.

<diagram> Whereby it appears that this In= finite devision, is expressible as to the method of y^e progression; tho No End can be arrived at.

This shall Suffise to observe as to the Infinite devisibility of Space.

42r⁷⁰

phisicks.

However y^e Italian's were well Inclined to his way of philosofising in other things, defyd him in that, as appears by Severall letters of that time.⁷¹ This Made him Contrive a definition of Motion under w^{ch} he Might evade, and p^rtend to Shew y^t there was really More of Motion given the earth y^e old way then his. but that Mumbling y^e Matter Gave out advantages ag^t him, by Quarreling with his definition, Whilst his Notion is Inexpugnable.

His definition is that Motion is the translation of a body from the vicinity of some, to the vicinity of others $w^{\rm ch}$ are look't upon as Resting. $M^{\rm r}$ Newton finds fault with this, and devides Motion Into ab= solute, and Relative. the former is Referred to ab= solute space, w^{ch} is Eternally y^e Same, the Relative onely, to the vicinity. this absolute Motion, is a Notion $w^{\rm ch}$ is as hard to confute as vacuum. for it is Impossible to expung the Idea of Emptyness, $w^{\rm ch}$ is Imprest by that of seeming Empty vessells. and from that Idea they argue the thing. So becaus there is in the Mundane Systeme, here & there, with Respect to body's of Manifest /Notorious\ positions, as /of bodys as\ the Earth, its parts, & ye Starry host, therefore say they, there is here and there in Infinite void Space. I Grant If body's are in it, they distinguish place. but other= wise /there is\ I may say, capacity of Space (Granting $y^{\rm e}$ void,) but neither here nor there /but all ye same . As angells, & Spirits, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ have No place, are as to body No where prsent

с.

 $^{^{70}}$ This page is not continuous from the previous - note that the numbering is now alphabetical and the topic has changed from devisibility to motion. ff 42-3 have been torn, just below half-way down. Reconstructive work visible across the opening ff 42v-43r.

⁷¹ Claude Clerselier (1614-84) published the first volume of Descartes' letters (Lettres de Mr. Descartes) in Paris in 1657, two other volumes followed in 1659 and 1667.

d. phisicks.

prsent but in power, that is they May actuate this or that body, but Not by any Contact to de= termine their place; Its enough wee know it is So, tho wee know Not how. But Grant absolute Space & absolute Motion, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is the criterium. Wee Cannot know. I may say $y^{\rm e}$ being of absolute space, is re= ferred to body, and that of body Moved absolutely to space. Ingnotum vel Incertum per Equale Igno= tum vel Incertum.⁷² Therefore altho M^r Newton will have a great party on his side, In this excep= tion to Cartesius definition of Motion, (w^{\rm ch} is all Relative,) of those who argue Essences, from the modes of their understandings, yet I that owne [....?] No absolute space att all distinct from body may be allowed to take \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other side, and owne No absolute Space. And the Experim't $w^{\rm ch}\ M^{\rm r}$ Newton Introduceth to build his distinction upon (, tho Inge= niously /made &\ described) argues Nothing to $y^{\rm e}$ porpose, as I Shall demonstrate when way is Made for it.

Another thing, there is w^{ch} Creates great diffi= culty. In Comunicating our abstract thought's of any thing, especially Motion, And that is de= fect of language. w^{ch} is beaten onely to ordi= nary occasion's of life, and litle or Nothing In Sciences but among artists, who doe /ordinarily\ agree termes & know what one another Mean. Els the com= mon use of figures confounds science, as in this of Motion

 72 i.e., 'What is unknown or uncertain is made the equal of what is unknown or uncertain.' (My reading - no quotation has been identified)

of Motion. ffor who can Speak of it, but he Must use y^e words, action, force, violence, &c. w^{ch} are apropriate to ordinary use of things, & judged by a Comparison with life, & spirit? so that If you goe to perswade that a stone from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} hand hath Not an action, or life Impres't you May as well argue, that the person throwing it is Not a= live, but dead. Such a Similitude doe we give to thing's moving, with /ye Idea of our Selves when wee /seem to Move The need of language in this Case, is so Manifest that Even bulls pass ffor legitimate to serve \boldsymbol{y}^{e} turne as vis Inertia^e. w^{ch} after D. cartes, M^r Newton useth, to Express rest & Motion, to have No diffe= rence as to active force. therefore I must be sensi= ble that however clear I think, I Shall Not be clearly explained In this discours of motion, untill having fixt a standard of thoughts, whereto to Referr figu= rate Expression's or termes, Such as, force, action. &c. and then, by vertue of the Resort ffor Explanation If need be, I shall become freer & bolder In Expression.

е

f It is usuall to discours of Motion as Supposed In vacuo, or In pleno, out of w^{ch} difference, Some de= termine Notable points, as the Jesuit pardies, 73 of whom, and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Notion, I may touch afterwards, when a stage is made to act adversarily upon. but at p^r sent I must determine that /supposing\ one way or other the rules of Motion are ye Same, & proceed /so\, Referring the reason's to another place. And therefore I begin with the Consideration of body In the Most univer= sall and knowne state or property of it, Impe= netrability. And in generall I affirme that all thing's that /Imaginable modes & conditions can be thought of body Consistent with Impenetra= bility are, or May be true; And therefore Every de= mension, figure, magnitude, position and alterat= tion /In all degrees to\ Imaginable /& [Infinite?] In measure While place doth Not\ $\underline{place \ Not}$ Interfer/e\ing , May be Supposed as true. on this depends the Mathema= tition's ans r to the sceptick cavil's, /who say that there are No points, lines, squares, rounds &c. for say they they are in Nature, If you could /tho perhaps you cannot develop them, as a statue is In a block, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ appear's upon chipping

away superfluity's /so being possible may be supposed to Exist\; therefore body May rest in /be supposed subsisting in\ $[marg]^{74}$ any /certein\ distance or position /of parts with Respect to Each Other\ or they may be in conti= nuall chang of distance or position. w^{ch} chang may be /in by/, Each body /or both/ altring position, as well as /of parts & Not/ dis= tance, or onely distance & Not position /or both\ and those In any degree /Infinitely various as space (that is body) /it self, on w^{ch} [all?] depends \ & devisible

Infinitely, ffor all these are Consistent with

Tmpe=

43v

phisicks

⁷³ Ignace-Gaston Pardies (1636-76), a Jesuit scholar and author of La Statique ou la science des forces mouvantes, Paris, 1673. Pardies corresponded with Newton by means of the Philosphical Transactions of the Royal Society, notably arguing Grimaldi's theory of the diffraction of light in a discussion of Newton's theory of light.

⁷⁴ marg: 'use y^e Word posture.'

 $44r^{75}$

phisicks.

J. j.

A. The first thing to be considered In order to Investi= gate the rules that take place among body's Mo= ving, (w^{ch} figuratively wee May Call law's, but really are onely reality's Included In Quantity, and Neces= sary consequences of Impenetrability,) is the direc= tion. And when a single body is considered Moving among others at rest, Must be allwais In a Strait line, and Not in any Curve, & when curve direc= tion's happen, It is from perpetuall caus, to be Con= sidered hereafter; but the reason of this rectilinear direction is that all part's of the body Must Mo= ve Equally or uniformely fast, w^{ch} Can be onely in a Strait line. ffor the parts of a body are affected by Each other, so that it is Not possible to Excite one to Move but all \boldsymbol{y}^e Rest must Move. and If by any Mean's, one part is Made to Move faster, the Slower Retards $y^{\rm e}$ other, & those Excite slower, till both have \boldsymbol{y}^e Same measure of \boldsymbol{y}^e Chang. As When body is put in an orbicular cours, the parts Next ye Center move Slower then $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ Remoter. therefore While there is Nought to Restrain the Cours of this body, the outermost parts Shall draw the Inner, & these Retein \boldsymbol{y}^{e} outer, till there is Equality In all the part's & Neither Works on \boldsymbol{y}^e other. & this Is done In an Instant of time, In w^{ch} y^e body is freed. I know that the Caus of recess from centrall ${\tt Movem^{ts}}$ $\ensuremath{\&}$ the going of in tangents is ascribed to this prin= ciple, but there is More in it of w^{ch} in due place.

 $^{^{75}}$ If this is a continuation of the previous pages, which from the subject matter seems likely, then it is clear that one sheet is missing.

B. As in Quantity So In Motion. there is More & less In all degrees of Increas & deminution to Infinite. As when 2. body's chang their distance by ap= proaching a third. and one toucheth it, when $y^{\rm e}$ o= ther is Midd-way the former is say'd to Move twice as fast. and /by this comparison wee have that w^{ch} wee mean /by/, velocity, and time. onely velocity considers $y^{\rm e}$ State of one body onely, but time is a Continuall succession of subdevided Moments or movements Reducible to some Comon standard, as hours, day's, years. &c. $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ are but names Given to periods of Motion, Symmetricall, or subdevided. And as Every part of Quantity, or body is certein and Comparable with Every other in y^e World. so velocity is allwais certein and determinate, and may be added sustracted Multiplyed & devided as all /other\ Quantity, $w^{\rm ch}$ bring's movem'ts within the sphear of Mathematick Sciences, and is termed Mechanicks.

The Quantity of Motion is Compound of the sub= stanc, and velocity. for, suppose 2. Equall body's moved pasi passu.⁷⁶ It is true to say, the Either hath the same degree of Motion; being Each a certein Quantity & In certein & equall velocity. then add these together, or suppose them one, the Motion is Not by that Made less, and then It is true to Say, y^e Motion of y^e Compound is double Either of the Singles. So If you double the velocity of a body you dou= ble y^e Motion; and If you double the velocity

44v k.

⁷⁶ i.e., 'in equal step'

of⁷⁷ y^e Compound, the Motion of one of the Singles is Quadrupled; becaus Each single with double ve= locity hath double Motion; therefore In all calcu= lates of Motion both the Quantity and the veloci= ty are to be Considered, and a lesser body May have as Much of Motion as a greater, If defect of Quantity be supplyd with a par [....?] of velocity. but a= mong Equall body's, the Measure of force ly's wholly In y^e degrees of velocity, but and In unequall.

<short underline/horizontal division>

Then Next If It be Inquired with What velocity Shall body's part? I ans^r, that It is universally true that body's shall part with the same velocity as brought them together, onely with this Exception, when it Shall happen that there be movements after y^e stroke In the Same direction, one Must be Substracted from the other, and then the parting seem's with So much less velocity. W^{ch} will be somewhat Explained In the 3. fundamentall cases of Motion I am about to State.

1. of an Equall /A body /moving upon its Equall /resting. I say the consequence is, that y^e rester shall be Moved, with y^e velocity of other, & that shall Rest in y^e place of y^e touch: Here they part with the same velocity Wherewith they mett; and Each body hath a Chang of State Equall /to y^e caus caus, vist Equall to Each other for one yeilds whole/y to y^e other, and the body Moved hath as Much Motion as the movent had before y^e Stroke, & y^e other aS litle as the body Resting had vist None at all.

1.

 $^{^{77}}$ This paragraph has a wavy line drawn down the LHS, with a short underline at the bottom, perhaps indicating its being excluded.

2. A Greater body moving on a lesser at Rest. I say the less Shall move with the same velocity as y^e other had before the stroke; and the lesser ffor If Equall did y^e Same, a Greater cannot doe less. And No body /movent body\ can give a greater velocity then it hath, becaus Equall velocity is /a\ totall cession from the stroke, & that supposed they could Never touch therefore it Must be y^e Same. but on y^e other side, If a Resting body doth but stop its Equall. It cannot stop A Greater. but the Greater Shall Retein of the velocity, In such proportion /It had so much\ as the /that\ Motion afore in it shall be to y^e Motion after it, as the It had, In this propor It had In proportion. vis^t.

As. the Quantity of y^e Greater to y^e lesser So. the velocity /of y^e Greater afore y^e Stroke to that Remaining after

That is the remaining velocity, is proportionable with the difference.

3. A lesser Movent

It is observable In this case, that When the /dis-\proportion of y^e quantities are very Great, the[y?] ordinarily /body's often\ seem Not to part /at all\ but to Move on /away\ together, /[w^{ch} is not so tho y^e partys be slow?]\ but it May be so criticaly Supposed where y^e difference run's out to In= finite therefore y^e Eye in such cases, y^{\pm} /not\ discerns/ing\ Not Small things, may be Mistaken.

45v m. 46r

phisicks

3. A lesser body Moving on a greater at Rest, Here the parting with y^e former velocity, holds good ffor it is Impossible the less, can follow y^e Greater, ffor its Equall stops it In \boldsymbol{y}^e point of Contact. And of Necessity the greater Must doe more then that, vist, make it Reflect in a Contrary direction. then the greater must be Excited to Move but with So Much less celerity, as ye Quantity Exceedes. and \boldsymbol{y}^e other Reflect's with \boldsymbol{y}^e Rest. as. A. is half <diagram> B. upon the stroke C. shall Move with half $y^{\rm e}$ velocity, & A. Reflect with half so A.=2/3. B. that goes with 2/3 velocity & A. Reflects with 1/2. ffor B. -1/3=A.78 and If y^t = stops, the + 1/3. Reflects it. and likewise. A + If the = drives A. with all y^e velocity, the -1/2 abates so Much. /When $y^{\rm e}$ disproportion is very Great such as may be called Infinite as a tennis ball to ye Earth tho ye rule holds In [thato?], ye ${\tt movem^t}$ of $y^{\tt e}$ Greater is altogether insensibly, & justly Reputed Nothing. & y^e less to Reflect with all y^e former velocity but of Re= flections I may speak afterwards. $\^{79}$

n.

These 3. Cases of Motion are the groundwork of all the rules of Movements, and therefore Should Not be trus= ted to reasoning but If possible be proved by Expe= riment; but Not like Mr Newton's for Motion ab= Solute, the turning vessell of water, of w^{ch} the \underline{use} /Inference $\$ /as with him\ may be Mistaken, but of body's themselves In the very act of w^{ch} the Question is. And If I cannot Say that a practik demonstration can be Made of any proposition of weight & Measure, becaus there is Error in all practis. but In this buissness Тf

 $^{^{78}}$ Here, as elsewhere, RN uses the mathematical notation recommended in the prefatory materials to Isaac Barrow's Lectiones opticae et geometricae, London, 1669.

⁷⁹ This addition is inserted, as can be seen from the pattern of the lineation, between the two paragraphs, and in a tiny script.

If it can be made appear that Without fail, [...?] Equall stops & $y^{\rm e}$ other Equall moves with all $y^{\rm e}$ velocity, and Greater follow y^e less, & the less Re= flect from the Greater \mathbf{w}^{ch} also Move Somewhat and as the differences are greater, the consequen= ces are more apparent & Sensible, Since it is the dif= ference of Quantity w^{ch} Induceth these various Ef= fects, altho we cannot measure it to a Nicety, it is a very cogent argum't that the Quantity Governes in all to a nicety. I shall tell how I have Experimen= ted these cases, & how any one disposed May doe it with more Nicety. I have In an Ironmongers Shop, ta= ken the hammer heads called Sledges, Greater small= ler & Equalls. and managin by Swinging face to face, I found the Effects afore described Ever happen as Nicely as I could discerne; and the holding a weight in hand all its passion's are more Sensible to us then In any other mea method of observing them. I appeal to the Game of Shufle-board, $w^{\rm ch}$ useth equall peices of Mettall Sliding, & often they Strik one and other, and If the Stroke be diametrall It allwais happen's (bating as Much variance as May be ascribed to Inequality of ye peices,) that ye striker stops & ye other goeth on with ye Same Speed. But If any one would Suspend Great weights, as millstones, w^{ch} takes of y^e weights from hindring y^e laterall motion /in/ a Small space /from $y^e \mbox{ perpen}^d\$ (for $y^e \mbox{ rising is as}$ nothing) and manage these one agt another or strike them

Strike them with knowne weights, a very Nice proof might be gathered; I shall Conclude with a Comon Spectacle blacksmiths for potts of Ale shew, one of them Shall ly on his back, & set y^e anvill on his breast & y^e other's forg an heat upon it, w^{ch} one would think Should kill him y^t held it. but on y^e Contrary the Great Iron anvil is Moved by y^e Small hammers So Slow that the Impression is Not much. for it wants of y^e Swiftness of the hammers as Much, as y^e anvill is Greater & that perhaps is as 20. to. 1.

Our Mechanick philosofer's have had a penchant to Represent these cases of Motion as In vacuo, & particularly Cartes, & Mon^{sr}. Pardies. and then find differences In pleno, from What they had as they thought Establish't in vacuo. but In truth, as I sayd it is all one. for lett us Suppose ye Medium to be Some Impediment to Motion, (for it is Not a totall Impediment becaus all our knowne Motions are in pleno, or fluido.) that Impedimen't is but as our ad= dition to the Quantity, and If you suppose the Quan= tity of y^e body as Much bigger, as If Equalling y^e Impedim't amounts to, y^{e} case is y^{e} same stated Quantity upon Stated Quantity. and whatever Im= pedimt is, It works on both sides, for ye body Striking feels it as well as that struck; and In the Experi= ment of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} hammers, so solid is Iron with Respect to air, It is scarce discernable, and If that of G^t Milstones were Made Much less. And I am very sure the fluid doth hinder but Not foreward a body in it, unless it

p.

Moves it Self all one way. besides the decision of Motion Is in a Moment of time, In w^{ch} there is Not [Expected?] ought Effective but y^e Quantity striking & y^e Resisting whither composed of one or More body's. but the manner of this Impedim'^t When I discours of fluids I may better Explaine.

[marg]⁸⁰ I have Recommended Experimenting these cases of Motion because I have observed Great frailty in Reason= ing about them. D. Cartes, who valued himself on his $\texttt{argum'^{ts}} \ \texttt{w^{ch}-he}$ as demonstration's, being as he say's, Such as Engage y^e mind as clearly & distinct as these of y^e Mathematitians, yet is confuted by Experim'⁺ Egregiously Mistaken in \boldsymbol{y}^e Case of A greater body Striking a less, Whereupon the less he Makes Reflect alwais with the full velocity, & \mathbf{y}^{e} Greater Not to Move att all Cujus Contrarium verum Est,⁸¹ being Sensible of the fact, $w^{\rm ch}\ did$ Not Quadrate with his opinion he charges that motion found In ye Greater body u= pon Such Stroke to $y^{\rm e}$ Medium, but In vacuo, he de= termines otherwise, & his reason is, that the greater having a surmounting force, Shall Not be wrought upon att all; for If it can Resist \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other, it Resists it absolutely. w^{ch} is fals reasoning, for it May Resist, but Not absolutely; ffor More Quantity Must Give More Resistance, & less, less. Els the force of all Greater Quantity's were (as to lesser body's) equall $w^{\rm ch}$ to Me is $y^{\rm e}$ Same as to say Equall & unequall are consistent.

⁸⁰ marg:

'cartes pardies.'

⁸¹ i.e., 'Of which the reverse is true'.

47v a.

.r.

Mr. pardies hath Grosser failings in his theory of Movem^t; he took upon him to be a corrector of Car= tesius, and failed ten times wors. Cartes was right in his theory, and Mistaken in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} application to par= ticular cases, but In the attempt Shewed ye World ye way of gaining an Exquisite knowedg in that Subject of Motion, of w^{ch} his cases, were but an Essay, & had he lived I doubdt Not but he would have him= self Corrected, and perhaps Given \boldsymbol{y}^{e} World a Systeme of Mechanicks, as Excellent as his Geometry. but pardies hath Mistaken In $y^{\rm e}$ very theory, and abuseth those Notions he borrow^d of Cartesius. ffor Say's he, Every body small as well as great, In vacuo, Moves every other body it strikes, with \boldsymbol{y}^e full velocity. his reason is that all body's are Indifferent to Move or to Rest, and the least thing determines that Indifference. and that body's Succeed otherwise under our obser= vation, he ascribes to the Impediment In fluido. the Shewing these opinion's, Is Enough to disproov them. I might collect Many More Such, but I mean Not to Comprehend the Whole subject, but to Walk thro the principall path's In it, & therefore decline dis= puting, as well as subtileizing, with Exquisite calculation's; ffor If I can Shew what is true, I need Not produce all I thinck Not so. And Geome= trick calculates are Infinite, & proper for a genius More retired, & Industrious In study, then I can be.

s. phisicks

Hitherto I have, as usuall Supposed the body's Concur= ring, to be Regular & the direction & congress Exactly diametrall, so as all the Substance, of both, are touch't or concerned Indifferently; but there is litle of that In the world, therefore If wee had No use of these cases of Regulars, but of their like, they signified litle, whither Wee understood them right. But I thinck they shew a plain Method of determining any case of bodys striking, Irregular, as well as other, & In any Man= ner as can be thought or stated. And if this falls out to be true, wee have made a Step beyond what hath bin yet publiquely made knowne, therefore I shall proceed In My attempt, be Shewing Some plaine propositions.

1. That Every stroke of one body upon ano= ther is by a point, or Reducible to it.

ffor If the stroke be by a line or edg. a. upon a
<diagram> superficies. b. the consequence is y^e Same
as If the Stroke were by y^e Midle point of
that Edg. a. /so if y^e stroke were by a superficies\ y^e Case is y^e Same, as If the /it\
stroke were by y^e center point of it onely
as. c. upon d. for Whatever is argued
of diversion or alteration, from the Exten=
sion of y^e Matter one way, is answered by just as
much to act opposite from y^e Extent of y^e other way.
therefore I Shall Suppose all Impulses of body what=
ever to be from a point, upon a point.

t.

2. A body fall's upon another with its full force but in one certein direction.

As. Grant that A, upon B, hath its full or Greatest <diagram> Effect coming In y^e line. a.b. It Shall Not have so great effect If it Come In any other di= rection as from. c. the reason that Respec= ting the opposition In y^e Contact, they approach Not by y^e line, e.b. In y^e [line?]. e.b. (ffor If they did Not meet, all directions were alike) but by y^e line. d.b. where the approach is in y^e least time possible In that Swiftness of Motion.

I know after Cartes, all our vertuosi (litle thanking him for his Invention,) make a body Moving to be compounded of what direction's they pleas. as here they would say, y^e Motion e.b. is Compound of e.d and e.f. In y^e same time, Supposed so borne by powers acting severally; and then they Suppose y^e obstacle B. to be opposed to y^e Motion of e.f. but Not to the other e.d. & so prove y^e ang. Incidence = to ang. Reflection.

But I disowne all that process as arbitary & un= cogent. for I Grant Such powers acting Severally would give Such a path, but when No Such powers act but onely a body under its own direction, What Ground for such Imagination's of that w^{ch} is Not?

49r

u. phisicks.

It follow's from this proposition, that there is an Hemisphere whereof the direction of greatest Im= puls is the axis or Semidiameter, according to w^{ch} the force of the Impuls lessen's untill it ceaseth in the diameter, where the bodys never Come to touch; and y^e point of Contact is the center, as <diagram> A. the body, B. struck. c.d. y^e diameter. b. the center. e.b. the axis, or Greatest Impuls. f.b. an Inclined direction f.b.d. the angle of Increas of the Impuls, In the degrees from d. to. a.

f.b. Rad: f.d. sine :: 82 force from, f; force from. a. This Hemisphear I shall call, of the direction, of $w^{\rm ch}.$ b. Is y^e Center.

3. The hemisphere of direction, & Consequently the axis or greatest Impuls, is determined by the figure & position of the body struck & Not of that w^{ch} strikes.
<diagram> The body B. In y^e former posture determined y^e hemisphear⁸³ so as a.b. was the Greatest Impuls, here supposing B. turned on y^e center being y^e point of contact, b. the line a.b. is become an Indi Inclined direction, & and Not as before y^e greatest, w^{eh} is In the axis m.b. therefore tho y^e Impuls comes from d. y^e he= misphere. is d a m. and Not a m c. or any other

⁸² As noted above, throughout the MSS RN uses the mathematical notation recommended in the prefatory materials to Barrow's *Lectiones opticae et geometricae*, 1674; the symbol '::' is employed by Barrow to mean 'eandem rationem habet', i.e., 'has the same proportion'. Isaac Barrow, 1630-77, was at various times (and with interruptions) Regius Professor of Greek at Cambridge, Gresham Professor of Geometry and fellow of the Royal Society in London, and (the first) holder of the Lucasian Chair in Mathematics at Cambridge which he resigned in 1669, to be succeeded by Isaac Newton. He was Master of Trinity College, Cambridge, previous to RN's brother, John North. RN, who knew him well, only ever mentions him with respect and affection.

⁸³ RN varies the spelling of 'hemisphere' randomly through the rest of this essay.

Hence it is Manifest, the alteration of the Impuls by Inclining y^e direction, Is onely In More or less of force, as if the body that Strikes, had less Subs= tance, or less velocity, as y^e Sine of y^e Inclination is to y^e Radius of y^e hemisphere.

4. The direction of $y^{\rm e}$ body Struck is the Same from what point of $y^{\rm e}$ hemisphere, So Ever the direction of the Impuls is.

This is but an Instance of y^e former determination. but it will Easily be conceived, If wee Reflect that there is Nothing but an Inconsistence of the Mo= ving state In y^e way it is in, at y^e Instant of Con= tact; from whence Soever it comes. and More or [marg]⁸⁴ less of force, doth Not vary the directions w^{ch} Must be y^e Same, In less, as In More force of Impuls. W^{ch} latter onely falls out upon Inclined Impulses.

5. The direction of the body Struck, is Such that the Whole Substance of it Shall Recede from the hemisphere of y^e Impuls, by the /strongest Impuls y^t can be on that point has y^e\ largest steps, and so as all the parts Receed Equally or uniformely.

w.

This proposition, Going into Cases of Irregular body's as well as Regular, hath Many vari= ety's, and first of the Regular.⁸⁵

50r

⁸⁴ marg: 'prop.' i.e., identifying a proposition in a proof.

⁸⁵ The whole of the shaded area has been struck out with diagonal lines.

x. phisicks

of all Regulars the Globe is Most perfect, Next y^e Cube. the consideration of w^{ch} two, will I conceiv open a way to clear others. and first of the Globe. <diagram> let that be Struck upon any point and with any direction I shall Move allwais by a line that passeth the point of contact and y^e center of the Globe, ffor that is the strongest Impuls that can fall upon that point vis^t the line a.b. and In So doing keep allwais uniforme degrees of Recess, It Cannot happen otherwise, ffor what should deter= mine or Make one side of y^e Globe receed. /The line of motion, or direction, is y^e path of the center, /&\ \of y^e moving body/ The direction of the body\⁸⁶

Therefore, Since the Impuls May come from any part of y° hemisphere, and give to the body Struck all the same direction, I Shall call y° axis of the hemisphere the direction of the contact, as /to this porpose\ Including all other contact's y^t are possible. and y° point of Contact for position shall be considered as the Ex= tremity of y° line. ffor Imagine the Hemisphear to deminish Infinitely so as to determine in the centre

5. The body Struck Shall Move In such di= rection as Shall Continue the

 $w^{\rm ch}$ is $y^{\rm e}$ point of Contact, that propells, the force must be look't on as most Indifferent to the Whole & to Come in $y^{\rm e}$ line of the axis. Wherefor it is just to determine, that from what point soever of $y^{\rm e}$ hemisphere, $y^{\rm e}$ force comes, the propuls is as by $y^{\rm e}$ axis.

⁸⁶ The shaded area struck out with diagonal lines.

y.

All contacts Must be either on a plane, or Curve If upon a plane, the $\frac{1}{1000}$ the plane /itself\ is the /base or\ dia= meter of the Hemisphere: but If upon a Curve then the tangent /to\ ${\tt of}$ ye Curve in ye point of Contact is the base or diameter. If the body's are Exquisitely shaped y^{e} Case is plaine In both, from y^{e} foregoing description of greatest Impuls. but If the bodys are Compound of Many part's, or Mear rugged coa= gulum's yet If they have had any sort of [leviga=?] tion the case holds trew true, becaus the laterall part's maintaine the fall to be on $y^{\text{e}}% =\left(x^{\text{e}}\right) +\left(x^{\text{e}}\right) +\left($ onely to be touched. as If the body fall oblig on <diagram> b. the prominences being all Equally high depend from all Strokes but What are upon diver's, as a flat or plane the like holds in Curves. and altho nicely speaking, No figure is Exquisitely regular, So No Impuls is Exactly as Globe & plane, or plane to plane, but more or less swerving; yet in ye Main $y^{\rm e}$ Irregularity falling in one as well as $y^{\rm e}$ other, & sometimes one way & Sometimes another with anomaly's Insensible to us, Wee May justly Enough, In theory, Suppose body's Exquisitely re= gular & polite.

z. phisicks

5. The body struck Shall Move In a direction as Neer continuance of the line of contact as Is consistent with, the uniforme recess of /all\ the parts; and where that May be, It Shall be Exactly y^e Same line Continued.

This May Suppose ye body Struck to be regular, & Impelled upon some diameter, or Irregular. the former will be Most plaine. as first In case of a Globe, w^{ch} is regular & cannot be Struck but u= pon a diameter, as $y^{\rm e}$ Globe. c. struck upon $y^{\rm e}$ <diagram> point B. shall Move in the line c.k. w^{ch} is Continued from y^e line of Contact. a.b. and the parts d. and e. reside unifor= mely from $y^{\rm e}$ point of contact. b. as when $y^{\rm e}$ Globe is at K. they are perpetually Equidistant. as at b.m. and b.N: it is also to be Considered that there is No caus to determine either to goe of faster, as at p. y^{e} line b.O. is longer then b.p. and there is ye Same caus /might as well\ to carry it ye other way. therefore it Shall Goe between both, vist all the parts keeping perpetually a parity of distance In their Motion, on $y^{\rm e}$ one side with $y^{\rm e}$ other, $w^{\rm ch}$ I may call an Impuls balanc't.

diagram> the like is true of a cube, $w^{\rm ch}$ the same caus of Reasoning proves.

aa.

But If the body Struck is Irregular /or the Stroke is Not on a [diamatur?] Whereby the part's of it are Not In parity of distance from y^e point of Contact. but one way are Removed more then $y^{\rm e}$ other. so as Moving In continuance <diagram> of the line of Contact they shall Not all re= ceede uniformely, but some faster then others Then the direction must break from the line of contact and ye body Move so as all the parts Shall have uniforme Recess, w^{ch} [)?] Shall be in a line continued from y^e point of contact thro y^e most cen= trall point, called ye Center of Gravity. As a. the striker. b struck. c. the center. a.b. the line of contact b.g. the continuance of it. c.e. the same by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Center. b.d. the true direction, from y^e Impuls at b. g.b.d. the angle of \boldsymbol{y}^e Refraction.

If this Rule holds universally, as I thinck it doth, that upon Every anomalous Impuls upon an /Regular &\ Ir= regular body, the progressive direction /given\ Shall be by a line passing from the point of Contact thro the center of the body /struck\, Wee have a Theoreme In mechanicks No less considerable then New.

52r

52v ab.

phisicks

I Must declare that I propose it as a hint onely and as farr as I can observe from Comon Movem^{ts} of body's, conforme to them, but I doe Not attempt Nice demonstration, but leav that to y^e Curious Since it is well worth their Scrutiny; I shall onely dis= cours it as of a thing probable & In a phisicall Way.

The protrusive force, passeth from y^e point of Con= tact to all the point's of a body struck $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is done by strait lines, becaus I suppose the body /tho Compound of others\ united to= gether as a coagulum. yet y^e force passeth by right lines. If wee could Suppose any one line to be a Small capillary cillinder, & perfectly loos with its Extremi= ty In the point of Contact, that would Shoot forth. If a Curb. or a hoop be struck, tho the part ly round yet the protrusion is by right lines; every one of $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ would if It might be from ye Contact. Suppose a Con= <diagram> geries of very acute angular pyramids; Exquisitely meeting or having but [on?] comon cusp or point b. but all loos, & No way Glued or united together. and an Impuls comes upon this comon Cusp. It is more then credible Every part or pyramid would start forth In the peculiar directions as If they were Severally Struck on their Cusp directly, that is by the lines c.d.e.f.g.h. as was Shewed of Regulars. and then Suppose all these Coaguled Into one Solid pyramid, the part's are protruded and have \boldsymbol{y}^{e} same tendency, vist to Spread Every way In direct lines from ye Contact.

<diagram> Then let y^e body be Irregular. & y^e
contact on a point Contingent as at
b. there are Infinite pyramids, W^{ch} Wee
may consider as so Many lines of di=
rection In w^{ch} this body Is thrust. It Can
Move In but one; and What can that
be, but that wch is a Medium of them
all? w^{ch} I say passeth thro y^e center of Gravity. c. so
as c.d. shall be y^e Effectuall direction, or path of y^e
center. c. I should be Glad to See [but?] a demonstration
of this, or of any other y^t bidds fairer for it.

This setled, wee have another hemisphere Given to /In w^{ch} the protrusif force dilates. W^{ch} I may Call the hemisphere of the effect. ffor the parts of a body struck are protruded to Every point in it and what are loos, as I observed will go accordingly.

All that applyed to the /hemis=\Sphear of y^e Impuls, Make one Intire Spear, w^{ch} I may Call y^e Sphear of action. for they have one Comon diameter, and y^e line of Im= puls (another y^e diameter) I call y^e axis.

I observed before that Inclining the Impuls lessened y^e force of it, on that side. So Now on y^e other Side I must observe that those part's w^{ch} are In or Nee= rer the axis or direction of the Impuls, have More of the protrusive force. this is but the Revers of y^e former, and hath foundation In y^e Same Reasoning for

ac.

<diagram> for a body that goeth from b. to
c. Must Move faster to Make Way
for a. the one from b. to G. In y^e
proportion of the radius to b.c.
to y^e Sine g g.h. and a Greater
power is Required to give such a velocity to. c.
as Shall recede from /yeild to\ y^e Stroke, then to g. for g. by
Moving Slower the y^e force, vis^t g.h = b.f. shall
become No opposition; but to c. It must move
away with y^e ful velocity, to ans^r way to y^e force.
And In Regard Such parts Require a greater velo=
city, the force is More effective upon them. And this
holds In all degrees throout the hemisphear of Effect
Whereby the parts In the axis are Most protruded
and those in y^e diameter least or Not at all.

<diagram> let us Imagine an whole hemispheer
of pira Equall pyramids Small usq^e ad
Infinitum, and⁸⁷ Joyned In one Cusp, and a
force fall upon y^e point a. y^e Comon Cusp.
all these pyramids Shall move away In
their proper directions b. c. &c. but
with different velocity's. at. b. that of
the force (supposing it p^rvalent) but
towards d.e. C.f. & b.g.⁸⁸ Either way, Even
to y^e dameter, where y^e velocity will be litle
or Nothing, and Exactly in or beyond it
Nothing att all, becaus In a degree opposed to
y^e force.

⁸⁷ i.e., 'as far as infinity'.

⁸⁸ On this one occasion RN sets the letters one above the other (it cannot be done in this word processor). He seems to be trying to express the same line between two points (i.e., 'a.b.') as if he had put the letters side by side as elsewhere.

54r

ae

to $y^{\rm e}$ force. that is without $y^{\rm e}$ Greatest Inclination of $y^{\rm e}$ Stroke as I Sayd before.

phisicks.

There is Nothing forc't In this conceipt, but wee ought to look on body's bound together, to have ye same tendency's as If free, but \boldsymbol{y}^{e} binding May hinder the Effect y^t would happen if loos /becaus, severall body's are Compounds & Must have a Compound Effect\ therefore a body may as well be Considered with its parts composed /becaus what otherwise was generally [sic?] is compounded as If it were broken Into devided parts, so as those as to the tendency of them. And as here wee suppose [a Sp?] an hemispherick forme, all that is true of that will for the part be true of any portion of it, as for Instance the wedg abscinded, as In \boldsymbol{y}^e figure ff. h. the part's of w^{ch} are Influenced by a stroke as /while part of $\$ the whole /they\ would have bin, as to all tendency. W^{ch} If bro= ken Into unequall piramids as before, If the Experiment were practicable would appear. then it will follow from two Considerations, that this body Must turne round. ffor 1. the parts F.B. Move towards B. and those at h.g/k.\ towards g.f. not opposite to $y^{\rm e}$ other, So Not hindring, & Not following, $w^{\rm ch}$ Can have No Consequence but turning. And that is the consequence of uniting body's for If loos Some parts would Move faster then others, /and are [not?] paired with others [like?] having Such ten dency from the Stroke /and Not paired or balanced by others\; when united, & Must have /so to have\ a Compound Motion, It cannot be otherwise then by turning Round. So here is opened a New Scene of matters to Speculate, turning & progression in ye Same body, & how they Consist, of w^{ch} Next.

af. phisicks

1. The turning of a body, is a State or Mode $w^{\rm ch}$ (as progressive Motion, or rest), will Con=tinue for Ever, If causes Intervene Not to alter it.

2. The turning of a body, is a Continuall chang of posture, & progression /a Continuall\ chang of distance, with Respect to Such /other bodys\ as you are pleased to Regard as Resting.

3. Those two Modes are No hindrance to Each other, and In No sort dependant but May be /created\ accellerated or Retarded without any cross /opposed\ Influences att all. as If a topp be set up on a board. that /board May be Removed without any hindrance to y^e turning. so the turning Is No Impe= diment to the progression, Nor that to $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ turning. ffor both one and y^e other have No Essence but as Regard is had to other body's, w^{ch} May be so varyed as to Make Motion rest & rest Motion. And Since by Mean's of Such Regards, wee have the Idea of turning, Wee have it also as In= dependent of all other Regards, by w^{ch} Wee doe In like Manner determine of pro= gression; And Nothing can hinder this so long as both these Modes, Independent of Each other are Consistent With Impenetra= bility, the test of all Corporeall possibily's

54v

34. Every body that turnes In a free Medium must turne upon the centrall point, & No other, however the turning Motion is Im= parted. W^{ch} center Is hard to Investigate in Irregular body's, but all agree every forme Whatever hath Such a point, on Every Side of w^{ch} the Matter is one way or other ballanc't or of Equable force.

The reason of this is: that If some part's Move faster, that is If one side of the diameter (choos it where you will Either, perpendicular level [&c?]) move faster, all taken together, then y^e parts on y^e other Side move Slower, & Consequently Must Retard work upon Each other, by /opposite\ Quickening [Els?]on y^e one side y^e Slower, & accelerating [Retarding te] on y^e other Side y^e Slower till there be an Equability /of [fore?]\ on both Sides ffor the part's of a body are all Influenced by eve= ry Motion Imprest as one body Influences another and as upon the Instant stroke of 2. body's, they are as one and y^e chang of states Goes according to Quantity, So the parts of one body Cann have No chang w^{ch} is Not throly Influencing & equally or uniformely disperst thro all.

5. This giration Is determined as force itSelf distributed, In one and $y^{\rm e}$ Same Instant of $y^{\rm e}$ Stroke.

ffor that $w^{\rm ch}$ is done between two body's Striking is also also determined to $y^{\rm e}$ part's of each all In one Instant & for $y^{\rm e}$ Same reason.

ag

ai.89 phisicks

<diagram> 6. By how Much the progressive fore is demi= nished by placing y^e Contact by So Much is the giration Increased. & E contra, as When a Stroke falls upon the very point of a Romb laterally, It Shall have No Motion but tur= ning. but If it fall upon a diameter, It shall have all progression & No turning.

The Experiment of this is ffully Made by the puerile diversion Called, Catt, w^{ch} is a peice of wood of this forme, and upon a stroke of y^e battoon Shall turne With Incredible Swiftness, & Not fly away, but Struck upon y^e body, Shall fly off & Not turne and Intermediate strokes have Corresponding Effects

<diagram> The proportion's of this distribution are
according to the position of Quantity and
so are very hard to Calculate. but are So
but May be done, If the state & position
of the force & Quantity be admitted, & ye Center
knowne; but I am Not a match for Such under=
takings. onely thus farr I may declare.

That there is alwais a part of the body Struck w^{ch} if free would move In y^e direction of y^e Impuls. as. be f. e. the axis or direction of y^e Impuls, take the less part a. b. c. on one side, & its Equall a. d. c. on the other. these two, y^e Rest away would move In y^e axis, c. e. as that p^r vailes the turning is less, and as it is p^r vailed upon it is More.

⁸⁹ RN's alphabetical numbering appears to go from 'ag' to 'ai'. This might be be a writing error. But note that the following page is 'am', implying a *real* gap (confirmed by the reference back to an apparently just-discussed topic on proportion).

am.

This proportion is better accounted When the body Struck is Regular, but Extended very Much in length. ffor then a plain devision of a line <diagram> Gives the proportion, as If the body A.D. falls on B (inexpugnable) at. C. 1/4. then to Goe on there in 3/4. and to Stop or Reflect but 1/4. So If B. falls upon. C. there is 1/4 of $y^{\rm e}\ {\tt Quantity}$ to Goe towards A. & 3/4. to goe towards. D. there fore the 3/4 shall hold $ag^t 1/4$. In that proportion. if the body were devided In halves at. F. then Equall to Equall ballanceth & y^e whole must Goe to. E. /w^ch is. 1. to 1.\ but $\frac{\mbox{ If fo}}{\mbox{ If to 1. you ad 2. More then }y^e}$ odds is 1/3. If the Substance of the body be drawn In length and Irregular as the figure is all the Quantity that is added towards. D. disorders \boldsymbol{y}^{e} proportion.

Hence It is found true ballances, Cannot be Made but In proposition of an uniforme body, & y^e forces falling In a strait line, but of Such [matters?] apart.

Here is y^e reason Why a solid /thick\ body struck tho long shall Not turne Much Nor be very Much Refracted but a very long body struck towards y^e End Shall turne almost all, and Refract very Much.

<diagram> These. 2. power's, or Effects of turning & Refraction of the Progression, hold upon y^e same principle, that is the position of the Matter in y^e hemisphere of y^e Effect. but When the body is Reduc't to a line, then No part is advantaged by position in the sphere, becaus it is Supposed to be In y^e very diameter. and to draw directly opposite as. K. towards C. and D. towards. L. w^{ch} bring's the proposition to the devision of a line. and y^e force yeilding or resisting in y^e parts on Each side, are In proportion to their length. but If the Quantity have place otherwise in y^e hemisphere, of effect that is Not so.

[marg]⁹⁰ As for Instance If A. falls on B. at y^e <diagram> point. c. the stop hath an efect deter= mined by y^e place & Quantity of y^e parts in the hemisphear of effect. but If you Move y^e point C. In knowne proportion Either way, the Effect Shall Not hold like propor= tion, for the reason is Not so. but If you take a Midle line de d. e. and contrive the stop shall be on that; then as you vary y^e stop one way or other the effect shall hold proportion with y^e length's. ffor they are as In y^e diameter of the hemisphear

56v an.

 $^{^{90}}$ marg: above the diagram 'qu', and below the diagram 'is ther progression or No.' (neither of the marginalia refers to the diagram, rather to the text). I read 'qu' (here, and elsewhere in the MSS) in agreement with Jamie C. Kassler (Seeking Truth. Roger North's Notes on Newton and Correspondence with Samuel Clarke c. 1704-1713, Ashgate Publishing Limited, Farnham, 2014, p. 10) as an abbreviation of 'quaere' meaning 'enquire', or as we might say 'to be checked out'.

57r

<diagram> phisicks

ao

becaus the Quantity ly's Equally on Each $[marg]^{91}$ side /of y^e diameter half\ towards A. and /a half\ towards B. and /so as\ one voids y^e Effect of y^e other as to tendency's from the /point of\ contact. becaus If some goe they must draw others.

There may be body's formed, to work as In a Strait lined Impuls or oposition; but I Enter not Into this <diagram> till I come to the cases of Mechanicall powers. onely take this proposition), of Compound strokes, w^{ch} [are/is\?] y^e foundation of all them.

If 2. Equall forces fall at the Same time upon severall parts of a body, the direct direction's of w^{ch} will Not fall in y^e Same line. the body Shall have Moth Motion both progressive and turning, as In y^e lower fig. but If in the Same y^e strokes void Each others Effect In y^e other.

The case of direct opposition is plaine, for y^e other. the direction of A. is. A.D. and of A. is H.E. the axis if y^e stroke A. is A.B. and that of H. is H.C. therefor the direction Shall be mean, as [---?] O.K. deviding y^e angle of the 2 axes, In proportion, as the axis-direction is More or less pevalent. but y^e turning Shall be double for both stroke (In this fig) concurr in Effecting that ap. phisicks

<diagram> If the Strokes are opposite, but y^e [directions?]
In the Same line the /but also\ opposite, the body
shall turne all, & Not be progressive at all
as In y^e figure.

<diagram> If the strokes are opposite but upon the same line, and the body Regular, the powers shall be as their distance in /from\ the Midle point.

Here is Not no progression from Either but all turning and as was Shewed y^e Same diame= ter is comon to both hemisphers of y^e opposite Effects.

57v

of power or force.

Having done with principles of Motion, In w^{ch} I have declined words of Comon use, becaus they Carry a p^rventive Construction, and folks are apt to using them In ordinary discours, therefore doe Not readily distinguish their force when philosofically applyed. As Comonly by force or power, Men Mean Some In= terne principle of action, as animalls have, & So for Weight, & other practick cases of Motion. but Wee Must /also\ use them, but Meaning No other then the Mea= sure of Change w^{ch} body's upon Moving occasion as before hath bin discourst. therefore wee Say a Great body hath More force then a less, Not for any [Essen?]= vertue In it, but becaus upon Collision, the other Gives way, & that is /but\ litle stopt in its way.

This Case of Quantity, varyed as before, is the founda= tion of all the calculates of force. ffor whatever the quantity's are, or the celerity of meeting; If the Substances are /In\ y^e Same /proportion\ (y^e Strokes /& [shapes?]\ I allwais suppose diametrall or full), as 1/1 1/2 1/3 2/3 %c. the separation's are Exquisitely y^e same. but If you compare So y^t considering 2. body to Meet the proportion of their substances, determines the Effect of a Collision. So, that as one substance to y^e other so y^e celerity /wtever it is\ after to that afore y^e Congress. but If you will Compare the /thes\ body's after or afore, with any other's, supposing there is to be in congress with them, & would know the Speed, or chang as will be produced. then you must

you Must take into ye account the celerity of that you Estimate by, as for it is Sure a greater celerity of congress between y^e Same body's Gives a greater ce= lerity after it. therefore If one body falls on another with 2. degrees of celerity, It shall excite a greater Swiftness then if it fell with but one. And If it fall's upon a Greater Quantity, It shall excite a less celerity then If it fell on less Substance. but If you will Say by what degrees, or Compare the Consequent cle celerity with any third Stated. It is Necessary you know as well the proportion's of the substances to act, as the swiftness with w^{ch} they act, & then a due Estimate of the Consequences may be Made by all w^{ch} it appears, that force in $/y^{e}\mbox{-same}\ body's$ is compound of substance, and Swiftness: and that In severall ones Swiftness countervailes substance, & E contra. that is a body with 2. grads swiftness Shall Excite /in another double \boldsymbol{y}^{e} celerity as that body Should with one. and \overline{one} 1. degree of substance with double Swiftness Shall doe y^e Same as 2. of substance, with one of swift= ness. the like as to opposition. for whatever Swift= ness would be Excited by any one Stroke, upon a determinate Substance, double $y^{\rm e}$ Substance, & the Swiftness will be subdubled, or half. So If body's meet both Moving, the velocity's are added to= gether; If unequall, the Greater prvailes accordingly. so If they persue, with less celerity In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} foremost, that is to be subducted out of y^{e} other, & Remain untouch't.

untouch't, and for y^e Rest, chang Made In y^e Congress with y^e Remainder. Upon this theory, May be framed Infinite variety of Cases, as Geometers pleas to Exer= cise their Subtilety, but I Am Not Guifted that Way nor Endued with a Retired patience as they Must be who advance In Mathematecall Study's. So to them wee leav that province, and I lay hold onely on that usefull part, as Subserves my phisicall porposes, to Make Naturall thing's, admirable to view, be fami= liar & plaine to y^e understanding. In order to profit so by this theory of Motion; I Collect this universall rule or Maxime.

By what mean's Soever Movem'ts Can be oppo= sed, and there be difference In their celerity', the account or Effect of y^e force, shall gaine as Much by y^e Celerity, as by Quantity, & they will Recipro= cally ballance or Exuperate Each other, according to y^e Measures of them.

Or More short thus. In all opposed forms, dispatch answers force /substance & contra\,

That is, w^{ch} moves faster, hath So Much advantage. So that universally In practis, If you are Content to loos time, that is goe farr about, you May doe any thing with any force. ffor as In Single Strokes celerity augment's the Effect, for More will have More, so In continuall Motion's opposed, that w^{ch} is y^e Swifter hath so Much of advantage. becaus y^e body So Swift out of y^e frame, as well as in it, hath so Much More force,

I Need Not be so particular as to apply this discours to the comon mechanick powers used In y^e world, w^{ch} are 5. the lever, screw, wedg, wheel, & . . . 92 all $w^{\rm ch}$ are but contrivances to Make the lesser power walk More Space then the greater, and accordingly it is Made More Efficacious, ffor this is of Comon observation. and hath its demonstration from this plaine axiom, that the force of Every body is augmented by acceleration. So all other Contrivances \boldsymbol{y}^{t} ever were or will be found out In the world, for Giving advantage to a lesser power over a greater, will lean wholly on ye same therefore Mechanicall men are of opinion that Engin's are of use onely where ye force is had Gratis as wind or water, or nude force of Men Cannot be applyed as to vast Solids. ffor If you [buy?] $y^{\rm r}$ force, you Gaine litle or Nothing by y^r Engin, but what is a= gaine lost in time.

If it be objected as I have knowne some say, that the case of solute Motion's of body, do Not prove the case when put in frame as In Engin's. but say they the fulcrum of an Engin is that w^{ch} distributes y^e force, becaus that w^{ch} is from y^e [frame?] a looser, is neerer y^e fulcrum & More stopt by it. as where the <diagram> force a. falls at c. is Neerer & More resis= ted by y^e fulcrum. e. then at d. where y^e force b. falls. therefore be b hath an advantage by this Engin. I say the case is all one. the frame doth but sett y^e forces in opposition. for Neither can proceed, without one yeilds; so that at

Уe

⁹² RN momentarily forgets the fifth 'mechanick power' and leaves a space for the word (which would be 'pulley'). The idea of 'the five mechanic powers', like so much else in RN's common sense mechanics, derives from Archimedes, or 'the Hellenistic

tradition', and often *via* Hero of Alexandria (10-70 CE) (this was so commonplace as hardly to require specific citation). *See* below f. 148v; *see* also note in BL Add MS 32546, f. 22r.

 $y^{\rm e}$ Instant of the stroke, there is as Mutuall an opposition, as in Single Impulses. and If the greater yields a less speed will make way then If the lesser yields for that Must Move More space, $y^{\rm t}$ is faster, as the engin Is framed; therefore the less celerity shall rather succeed, If $y^{\rm e}$ other force be such as with its ad= vantage Suffiseth.

Hence is a demonstration, there Can be No per= petuall motion. ffor that must be by successive working of Equall power's on ag^t y^e other, by Means of Mechanicall advantage, y^t is moving faster then y^e others, or not att all. and If one Moves faster then the rest, (or Sink lower) y^e other's Must goe farther (or lower) to Reduce that, & so on, whereby the pro= position of Moving Ever must fail.

Hence also Is demonstrated that all bubles, & drops in y^e air, as also y^e Whole Earth & planets Must be round. for be. a. b. a/n oblong\ drop. that /it\ is <diagram> prest on all Sides, by y^e air alike; If the force of that pressure reduceth it to a round c.d the parts a.g. & h.b. at. a. &. b. Must Move towrds y^e Center. e. the space. a g. & h.B. and y^e parts at k. &. f. must goe from the center, y^e Space d.k. &. f.c. but. that is less then y^e other, as a.b. is More then d.c. and therefore y^e parts a. & b. Must Move Swif= ter, & for y^t reason, all pressing y^e Same way have greater power & thrust y^e other out till an equality, that is not but in a perfect sphear. [page blank]

60v

61r⁹³

<diagram> Motion.

As the length of y^e Wedg. A. a.. is to the Extream width. B.b. Such is y^e advantage or disadvantage of the Instrument.94 ffor While the Motion foreward is by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} line A.a. the block or cleft open's but y^{e} width B.b. w^{ch} is less and therefore gaines, but an Equilate= rall wedg get's Nothing, and an obtus= angled Wedg, throws $y^{\rm e}$ advantage $ag^{\rm t}$ it self. This is Explained usually ano= ther way, that is by 2. levers meeting at. a. and Strained at B.b. but that is Not scientifick, and serves but to Shew a concordance of truth to it Self. but of all devices a wedg hath Most of Rubbing, but Considering by vertue of that, It hold what it get's, It is the true benefit had by it. ffor If $y^{\rm e}$ cleft be So strong, or ye Wedg So Slippery that the Rubbing holds Not, It shall fly out of ye Cleft as Shot from an archibuse, for w^{ch} reason workmen often put dirt in with a wedg. And ye force of blow's, wch are Great & heavy body's put In Motion and Stopt upon the wedg, Exsuperate as well strength of y^e wood as the rubbing, and then holding, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wood Will often clear of its Self. So Much of y^e wedg.

⁹³ As the change of header (from 'phisicks' to 'phisica') indicates, this section breaks with the previous folios which ran continuously from f. 7. The next section runs up to f. 79. The first part of the phisica is on narrower, lighter and slightly more transparent paper. Note that we begin here at RN's own alphabetical numbering of c.d.; if this numbering ran aa-az, ba-bz and ca-cz it would indicate an unusually long continuous passage for RN, and even with RN's cavalier attention to the detail of numbering, we must be at about page 60 (i.e., the thirtieth folio) of a continuous series. It cannot be said whether the first part has been accidentally lost, or intentionally discarded, and when or by whom - it may be the result of a BM/BL redaction. All we have to go on is the evidence of re-numbering (see note on f7r).

⁹⁴ The earliest instance of the term 'mechanical advantage' noted in the OED is from 1799 (OED, online, checked November 20, 2013); the concept and the term is employed here (see last sentence on f. 61v), indicating RN's much earlier usage. The concept and the term was surely used in engineering circles in this earlier period. Note the expression of the mechanical advantage in terms of the 'walk' at the operating lever relative to the work done at the point where the machine acts.

phisica

Motion.

The last of the comonly denominated Mechanick powers, is but a perpetu= all wedg. ffor that gaines litle, and by reason of the Much Superficies Imployd holds fast what is got. but there is ad= oyned to this comonly a /double\ lever, made fast to y^{e} stem. as. a.b. on Either side, w^{ch} <diagram> works it. for Compute y^e walk that a makes in y^e Same time as y^e weight riseth, such is y^e advantage of the Con= trivance so wrought. the Convenience of this device is, it is applicable to almost all porposes, with litle Nois & Incumbrance It is ordinarily Resembled to a Wedg Rolled about a cilinder: and It is as= suredly Nothing but Shoving a body up by a slow declining plane.

It is Needless to Shew how all these powers may be redoubled & compou combined one with another to Infinite Increas of force, If materialls & place (w^{ch} Archi= medes /also\ wanted) would permitt. All that can be sayd of them is, that compute & Compare the walk of the power and of y^e weight, that is y^e Space Each Moveth in y^e Same time, & it will be found that as one is to y^e other, So is the Mechanicall advantage or disadvantage by the device.

61v

phisica c.f.

Motion

I shall add an Instance or two, to Shew y^e universality of these Measures, w^{ch} fall Not under the ordinary denominated Mecha= nick powers.

<diagram> 1. If a drop of water be Suspended In a free air, It shall Ever be orbi= cular, and If accident makes it oblong, It shall /im/mediately collect Into that ffigure. ffor Every thing in fluido is found to be Comprest on all sides (almost) Equally. and If any part of the Drop Stand out, so that going in it Shall move less /More\ Space then any other part shall be thrust out. Such part Shall yeild to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Compressure, as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} longest arm of a lever, and the rest be thrust out. as for Example, c.A. the drop long, & c. D. y^e Same round. the diameter. C. A. is longer then. C.D. therefore the ge= nerall pressure is More operative at A then at. e. y^e Consequence is plaine, & that a round figure onely, is Equally Resistant off ye pressure. Wee find this pres= Sure so considerable that Mercury one of y^e heavyest body's, Not cleaving to Wood hath Not weight to Resist this pressure In small parcells, therefore Such are Ever round.

c.g. phisica

Motion.

Water, air, & all liquids Made to pass thro narrower spaces in $y^{\rm e}$ Same $\frac{}{as}$ o= ther $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ time as \boldsymbol{y}^e like Quantity passeth thro Greater, acquires a Swiftness propor= tionable; and So a slow force, excites a great swiftness, as at $y^{\rm e}$ shorter arme of a lever is allwais done. It is pleasant to observe, an acute-conish-figure made of lead of $y^{\rm e}$ Shape of an hunting horne <diagram> dropt with y^e Mouth downewards Into water, the water Shall shoot up as in a ject'd'eau to a great height. ffor Each= moment, that $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{t}}$ Enters at $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ broad Mouth (y^e pipe being full) must make a discharg of as Much at $y^{\rm e}$ vent, $w^{\rm ch}$ is Impossible withouth swiftness In proportion. So In all forces of water, If ye plugg Moves in a Great vessell very Slow, ye Water Shall shoot out with speed & violence, as the vent is Smaller. I know it will be Sayd <diagram> that these must happen so a not att all, I grant it, & say y^e lik of every mechanick force Whatever. And Moreover that as the water Shoots out With more violence, $w^{\rm ch}$ Must be from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Caus aforesaid, So Much More work ly's on $y^{\rm e}$ force that is Imployed for it. therefore all the water Engeniers

62v

phisica c.h.

Motion

In calculating their force, take an acc^o Not onely ag^t what force of altitude they have to drive, but What Quantity is to vent, & how fast. Els perhaps the force they provide Shall Never work their Engin.

And for the Same Reason Water In any bulk /or forme\ at the fountaine, Shall Not work to make the water at y^e vent, Rise any higher then its owne level. ffor if more water works then just so Much as Makes a ballance between the height at y^e Spring & at y^e vent, It Must pass, and So create an Extraordinary Swift= ness, at y^e vent. But No More can

Rope Extended. hath an Infinite force to Sink in the midle some what. and It is Not an infinite force Can draw it strait. ffor when it is neer a Strait <diagram> &, by drawing out at. a. &. b. continually approximates the so the Space drawn out in length at a. &. b. must be More to that of d. rising, that y^e advantage shall Still be at. d. to Sink, and (to speak geometri= cally) Infinitely neer the strait. ab. y^e point d. draws downe with Infinite force.

c.l. phisica.

Motion

But above all chimeras that of a per= petuall motion Shall have $\ensuremath{\mathtt{p^r}}\xspace{\texttt{ference}}$ for vanity, and yet it is that $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ Every beginning/er\ In Mechanicks is possest with. It needs onely to be say'd to prove it Impossible, that It must, If att all, be founded on this project, that Equall forces by Mechanick advantages, Shall $p^{\mathrm{r}} \text{vaile}$, and so In perpetuall successi= on, with a sort of Rotation, drive one and other on. then doe but consider that If Equall prvailes over its Equall It Must goe farther, & so Every on & on till In sume, it becomes a downe right progressive motion, tho Complicated & perplext sufficiently, and Not a Rotation. phisica Cm

Motion.

Hitherto wee have bin discoursing of Motion as well turning as progressive depending on the Condition of one body; Now we Intend to launch Into More Intricacy, & consider other variety's of body clash= ing, as may happen from the very di= vers modes of them, with Respect to the figures, postures, and other Circumstances. wherein wee shall have the 2. fformer heads of Inquiry. 1. Quantity of force 2. direction.

In order to these the first Matter to be adjusted, is the Condition of touch, Whi= the Manner of that may make any differences. and ffirst I determine that whither It be by a line, or Edg, or su= perficies, Either is Reducible to a point w^{ch} I Shall Call y^e point of Contact, and wish it may be Noted as a very materi= all designation of Irregular Impulses. I thinck It will be admitted that a touch by y^e midle point of an Edg, or the center of distance In a Superficies, will have y^e same Effect (other things agreeing) as if y^e touch were by a line or a superficies. ffor that point is the most equall &

Indifferent

B[-?]n.

phisica

Motion

Indifferent as to the Extension of the superficies touching, whereby, If any pro= montary be In one part, there is the like opposite, Spread in one Manner or a= nother to ballance every Consequence of Either. So If it happens that a touch is by divers points, or Mixt of lines Su= perficies & points; those points doe in tanto⁹⁵ Constitute y^e forme or Shape of the touch as If it were a Continued flatt. as touching by y^e 3. points of a triangle is touching by a tryangle.

Then however a touch be, I account it a point, as really it is on all curve sided body's, and Conclude there is a certein plane, w^{ch} may be Contingent to the body Struck, In y^e point of Contact. and No other plane in y^e world Will or Can touch that point. ffor If it ly in a Superficies, It is that Superficies exten= ded, and a Curve can have but one tangent to a point of y^e Surface. And the point of Contact, is comon to both the tangent plane, and y^e surface of y^e body, w^{ch} No point (speaking of Curves) can be but that. This tangent plane may

 $^{^{95}}$ i.e., 'in time' from the Italian.

Motion

May be considered belonging to Either body touching, (for more then 2. Can= not touch on one & $y^{\rm e}$ Same point). but In y^e Moment of the Contact it is Com= mon to both. And here I have found some what fixt, whereby to gage other matters, that seem Not to be reducible to any rule att all. Therefore I would have this Notion of the tangent plane (wch In My scemes will be Represented by a line,+ deviding a circle) and is positively deter= mined In Every touch whatsoever. ffor tho two body's touch, there Cannot be more then one Comon plane tangent to both. so that \boldsymbol{y}^{e} point of Contact is in this plane, and Comon to both the body's.

Next it will be admitted Impossible for a body to touch another, but from some point In the hemisphear without the tangent plane, whence it is directed <diagram>⁹⁶ Be f.⁹⁷ y^e point of Contact. A.B the tangent plane, f may be touch't from any point in the hemisphear A.D.B. but Not from y^e oposite hemisphear as from E. w^{ch} is too plaine in Geometry to be here proved.

⁹⁶ All the diagrams in this section have been carefully drawn using a compass. RN usually draws (even his circles) very schematically, and freehand in pencil, before (usually) finishing the diagram with ink.

⁹⁷ There is no lower-case 'f' in either this diagram or the similar one overleaf. There is, however, an upper-case 'F', a point on the line AB, and the mid-point of the sphere comprising the two hemispheres (of force and effect, as RN terms them below) this is 'the point f' discussed in the following passage. RN very rarely uses an upper-case 'F' in his writing, preferring the 'ff' form; he does however consistently (though not exclusively) use upper-case letters in his diagrams. The difference in the formation of the lower and upper case 'f's is minimal and it is mere pedantry which directs me to impose the distinction here. all 'f's in the following episode, lower or higher, refer to the same point of contact being discussed, but simply imitate the letter on the page as closely as possible.

C.p.

Motion.

<diagram> The hemisphere A.B.D. from whence the force Comes I call the hemisphear of the force, the opposite that of the Effect. ffor It will be found as Impossible, as y^e other, that a body Struck at f. shall have any di= rection to Move towards any part of y^e hemisphear of y^e force; tho In some Ca= ses of turning It May seem so, but that will be understood from a particular caus, And as to all progressive Motion It holds universally true, that the Stroke Shall drive y^e body /to move\ In the hemisphear opposite to that from whence y^e force Comes.

phisica

Next I account that the body struck must have the Same direction to Move In the hemisphear of y^e Effect, ffrom what point soever In y^e hemisphear of force the Movent falls. ffor at y^e Moment of y^e Stroke, Nothing More is to be found but opposition of Quantity In that point, W^{ch} Must be Reconciled by parting again. and y^e point once designed for the stroke all the Consequences are determined but what proceed from More or less force.

65v

Motion.

There is Neither drawing, Shoving, Nor Sticking In y^e Contact, for a point ad= mitts No such differences, No Nor line nor plane If y^e parting be Not obstruc= ted. therefore If the body at f. upon a stroke from D. (for Instance) be de= termined to Move to. E. It shall have y^e Same direction, tho y^e Stroke Came from C. or any other.

The direction of the force from D. $w^{\rm ch}$ is the rectangled diameter of the Same hemisphears, and Shall be Called the axis of the force, hath the greatest power of any. and It is Not possible that a direction from any other point In y^e hemisphear Should Carry so Much force at the Contact f. as from that. ffor the understanding $w^{\rm ch}\text{,}$ and other other matter's thatt will depend on it, wee must Consider, that the touch upon \boldsymbol{y}^{e} point f. is $y^{\rm e}$ Same, as if the body Struck were Extended In Infinitum from the tangent plane. A.B. So as wee Must look upon the tangent plane, to be as It were the body to be Struck. then will here result a double velocity, one

absolute

C.r. phisica

Motion

Absolute, and y^e other of approach, the absolute velocity is [Exponed?] by the semidiameter of y^e hemisphere Representing y^e direction of it, and is supposed allwais y^e Same, but the ve= locity of approach is less as the Incli= nation of the direction is Inclined to the plane. ffor from C. w^{ch} is distant from y^e plane. A.B. but c.g. = G.F. In Moving the radius c.f. = D.F. tou= cheth. but from D. Every degree of the velocity , is a degree of y^e approach, and is coincident. therefore from D. the ap= proach is with Greatest force.

It is also Considerable, that the tendency of the stroke at f. is to Move f. from that place. and is No otherwise the If wee Should suppose the whole Hemisphear A.D.B. to strike y^e other A.E.B. So that If nothing /on y^e part of y^e body struck\ altered, but the tendency of y^e force p^rvailed, f. would move in a direc= tion continued In y^e axis D.f. Now it Requires a greater /now a less\ velocity /In. f. so passing\ will answer the force from c. then from. D. by so Much as C. approaches slower then D. for to make way for. D. Requires all but c. but a /velocity\ proportionable to y^t of y^e access phisica c.s.

Motion.

<diagram> Then It will appear from the
construction of this sceme, that
The force of the stroke
deminisheth, In the propor=
tion of the Sines of the In=
clination to the tangent
plane. So that.

As the velocity absolute. C.f = D.F. Is to the velocity of approach. C.g. = G.f so is the radius. D.f. = C.F. to the sine of the Inclination. C.g.= G.f. whereby If the rdius f.d. were marked as a line of sines, It gives the Increas of force rising from /f.D.\ y^e tangent plane, With. Where it is None, to /fD.\ the axis where it is greatest as from. D.

This is one of y^e mean's of deminution of y^e Stroke, there May be another, w^{ch} is from y^e figure of the body, and the mode of its falling upon. f w^{ch} May be with more or less force, as those matter's happen. but I Shall postpone that till I have Con= sidered y^e body struck, w^{ch} will Reflect an Explanation on it. but In y^e Meantime I may call one y^e deminution of place & y^e other deminution by posture.

c.t. phisica

Motion.

Now as to the direction of the body Movent after the Stroke, It depends partly on the Inclination, partly on y^e opposition at F. and partly from the figure & manner of striking; this latter I shall postpone for y^{e} Same reason; therefore at $p^{\text{r}}\text{sent}\ \text{I}$ shall Suppose the stroke to be made Regularly and direct as with a Globe or side of a cube. &c. but a Globe is the most Natu= rall to suppose. If there were No Inclina[=?] tion from $y^{\rm e}$ axis, It is certain It must Goe or Come in that line & No other, but being Inclined as at. C. It will goe in some other direction. and whatever that may be Supposing the direction at resistance at f. to be Infinite, yet sup= posing any degrees of yeilding at. f. they will be seen In the angle A.f.H. w^{ch} distinguishes the direction after the stroke from what had bin If No oppo= sition had bin at [-?]. f.

Many thing's are best judged by their Extream's, and this case as I take it May be so. ffor first grant the opposition at f. to be Infinite, or whose Compari= son is too wide ro be accounted. then two thing's ffollow, vis^t. 1. that the velocity of

Motion.

/of \ the Movent is No whitt abated, ffor the rule of a less falling upon one Infinitely great Refects with all its fforce. 2. It shall goe from the tangent of Impuls, with the Same Speed as it approach't; w^{ch} two thing's Cannot happen In any direction then Such as makes the angle of Reflection from the tangent plane Equall to y^e angle of the Incidence upon it. that is. ang. C.g.F. = H.F.B. that is In short, the velocity absolute, and y^e velocity of departure shall be after the stroke, the same as the absolute, & approaching velocitys were before it.

This celebrated proportion meets with a different proof, ffor they Comonly observe that y^e point c. is Compound of two motion's from C. to G. and from c. to g. In y^e Same time, w^{ch} Carry's it In a diagonall to F. where onely y^e part c.g. is stopt, y^e other remaines. &c. but I have Quarrelled that Supposall already, and Cannot allow it In this Instance. ffor Supposalls of path's are arbitrary & Infinite, however If it agrees with

phisica.

Motion.

with others, I dispute Not; but take oc= casion to Say once more that truth May be proved Severall way's, and of all that is y^e best y^t is drawne from y^e nature of the thing. but one thing I must take Notice of w^{ch} is that None have Stricktly defined the plane that these angles are made with universally as here is done, In the tangent of y^e force. And it agrees with the comon Instances of Reflections, of balls, light &c.

But to proceed, It may be demanded what shall be y^e Result of yeilding at f. and by what degrees, to appear in lessening the angle. H.F.K. I must for answer (I might say guess, ffor I doe Not undertake such abstruse Calculates as here might be Made) Re= sort to Equality, & first see the effect of that. therefore suppose the Magni= tude at. F. to be such as hath thereby a force exactly to that of C. falling upon it all things considered, then I say. C. Shall Not Reflect at all, for that Requires a superior force, but it Shall Move In y^e very tangent. A.B. being

neither

68v c.x. phisica Cy

Motion.

Neither raised Nor depressed; but goeth one with a force of velocity. equall to D.G. ffor so Much force as the Inclina= tion made Ineffectuall Remaines untoucht. Then If the Resistance Grows still wea= ker at. F. the direction Shall fall be= low, or without the tangent A.B. as for Instance to M. and then it is Called Refraction; and is More or less deminisht under an Exact Equality to y^e Movent force at K. So here wee have the rules of Reflection & Refraction defined by the tangent of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force, and the resistance at the point of Contact. If that throws $y^{\rm e}$ body back Into the hemisphere of $y^{\rm e}$ force It is called Reflection, If it be so litle as to lett it into the hemisphere of y^e Effect it is called Refraction, and both are ordered after the plaine and clear rules of Impuls of one body on another. It Must Not be Won= dred $y^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm t}$ wee say No ang^ of Reflection Can be Exactly Equall to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} ang of Incidenes becaus the opposition w^{ch} Wee Call Infi= nite is Not so, but onely very disproportionat as

c.z. phisica

Motion

as a childs marble to y^e Globe of Earth w^{ch} cannot be sayd to be Nothing, No More then y^e Motion given y^t Globe of y^e Earth by it, or the defect of Equality In y^e ang. of Reflection, all w^{ch}, are tanto⁹⁸ however small. <diagram>

⁹⁸ i.e., 'something'.

phisica.

D.a.

Motion.

Having done at p^rsent with the occ= urrences of the body striking, I come next to consider, the case of the body struck at y^e point of Contact, f.⁹⁹ W^{ch} Will plunge us In yet More Intricacy.

It Must be Remembred that $w^{\rm ch}$ I hin= ted of the Inclination of the Impuls, as Not altering the direction of the body Struck. as If f. upon a stroke from D. should be determined to Move to. E. It Shall have the same direction as If \boldsymbol{y}^e force came from C. or any other point in the hemisphear of the force. for 1. a Contact upon the same point cannot be varyed but In More or less of force, and all that can be thought or sayd of protrusion In the Contact (w^{ch} is but an Instant without /any\ Resting) is Equally true from all parts. then 2. It will appear by what ffollows that the direction of a body struck is formed by the Condition of the Movent and Not of that struck. In order to clear w^{ch}, I propose

first that the force Imprest upon the severall part's of a body must

⁹⁹ Change of pen. Here we can note (evidenced by the change of pen in the penultimate line of the first paragraph) that RN had already written the headers and numbering before starting on the text.

D.b.

phisica

Motion

pass from the Contact by strait lines Every way. as If it were asked how the <diagram> points G. & f. were Influen= ced by a stroke at. E. It Must be answered according to the right lines E.f. &. E.G. And If the body should happen to be annular or hol= low, the case as to the Influence of the stroke directed to and among the pa[rts?] is the same as if it were Solid, as Sup= pose the body D. to be hollow. It Must be granted that G. Is Influenced as if \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force fell upon it by a right line from E. thro y^t hollow. this the Consequence of a perpetuall union of Matter, In Continued body's, that whither $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ parts hold together directly in the line of the parts struck, or laterally, When one is Moved all the rest are Inclined or tend to move from the force, \mathtt{W}^{ch} is at the Contact. And the Nature of force Speak's the same thing, ffor what is that but a Consequence of the Im= penetrability, wch In two body Coming together cannot consist without al= teration /and\ that Cannot be other then par= ting again. phisica. D.b.

Motion.

Againe. Besides Consider the parts of Every body are to be accounted Small to Infinite, and then that they are regularly formed as in Cubes. &c. as you pleas. then take any particle & conceiv it Influenced from ye Stroke <diagram> as c. or. D. and Imagin lines from y^e contact f. to the Ex= tream's of the surface of those particles obverted to the force and from \boldsymbol{y}^e Sceme it is plain that the particles must Move on by D. &. c. as if struck by a line directed from ye point /of Contact to ye Medium point of ${\tt to}$ that obverted surface and the direction of the Influence on the parts is f.d. & f.c. So of the rest & More Exquisite as the devisi= on is Infinite, whereof the Sume or comon conclusion is, that the ten= dency of Every particle of a body struck is to Move in a right line from the point of Contact, and that If free, they would actually doe so. Another observation is that, No part

of a body struck Can directly tend or move to any region without the hemisphere of the Effect. And this gives us

D.d.

phisica

Motion.

us the different Manner of force Wor= king on a body Struck, as it May be formed. that is. 1. driving. 2. drawing. That \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} wee discours of ordinarily is onely the driving Manner, and drawing is Not to be understood at all, without it be Expressly stated. As here, the Influence of the stroke is to <diagram> caus a separation of the body's at the Contact f. and the tangent of the force A.B. is Comon to. both, so that to part, is for the bo= dy struck to Remove from the tangent Into \boldsymbol{y}^e hemisphear of the Effect. for If it Should Remove Into the hemisphear of force, It goes against & Not from the force. Wherefore Every part of the body E. tends to Move tow[=?] ards some point in the hemisphere. E. and No part Into \boldsymbol{y}^{e} hemisphear. F. but If a Body D. were Joyned as one to E. and lay In the hemisphear of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force I say. D. had No tendency at all from the stroke, but is all Impediment and is drawne by $y^{\rm e}$ part to $w^{\rm ch}$ it is annex= ed, and is So Much detension and Nothing els. phisica.

D.e.

Motion.

Els. The consequence whereof is, to divert the direction of. E. as also the Speed it would have, from the stroke, after y^e Measure & place of it; but hath No Share of the tendency. the rather becaus If D. lay contiguous but loos, & f. were a stroke on. E. (Not turning it) all parts of E. would be Moved but No part of. D. therefore that /being loos\ is Not concerned but /being fixt\ as Impedim't /onely\ and, consequentially; and clasheth Not with our conclusion's, tho for clearness wee Shall yet Suppose all force driving & Not at all drawing.

Then it is to be Considered that the direction of every body struck, is by a line (or the path of y^e Center) w^{ch} on a strickt Calcul shall fall out to be a medium of the direction of all the parts; ffor All the parts tending by right lines from y^e point of Contact the whole must have a tendency Compound of them all. ffor Every whole is Compound of its parts. And This line I take to be universally determined from the point of contact thro the center of the body. I doe Not

here

D.f. phisica Motion.

here, More then Elswhere $p^{\rm r} tend$ to Make a Calcul, It is y^e work of Geometers, & such Indeed $y^{\tt t}$ study & practis litle Els. I must Content My Self with a phisicall way of Guessing, & Should be glad to have No More reason to doubt of any point then this. But In Regular the <diagram> case will readily be demon= Strated, Such as strokes upon Globes, or the diameters of cubes Ovalls, parallelipipedons and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} like. ffor there is just as much drawing from $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Contact}$ one way as $y^{\rm e}$ other, towards A. as tow= ards B. therefore the whole Must be di= rected In a Medium Equally taken be= tween both as. to. E. \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} is continued from y^e contact thro the center, as was observed. And I shall once More Repeat that whatever the forme of a body be, whither upon the Stroke it turnes or Not the direction of the progressive Motion of it, Shall /be\ by such line.

And In order to Investigate the Con= sequences of the variation's I am a= bout to Consider, I determine of one sort of Impuls, upon a Conick

or

Motion.

or piramidall forme, being Isoceles. <diagram> and acute angled. let e.c. be the axis. D. y^e Center; and AB the tangent plane at axisextreme point. f. a stroke u= pon E. I say the direction of this body shall be by the line E.C. ffor being as is Stated Every way uniforme & y^e Impuls Indifferent, as a Globe or cube &c. It must Move So Without tur= ning.

Then let us Imagin the Whole hemis= phear devided Into Infinite pyramidall body's whose Cusps all meet in one comon point the point of Contact f. <diagram> such as. a.b.c. &c and an Infinite /force\ fell fell on the comon cusp of all those pyramids, I say they Should Every one move, In a direc= tion by their centers from the contact, as f.a.: f.b.: f.c. &c. So that If one /con\templates the Influence of this Stroke on the Infinite piramidall body's, that is on the whole body struck It is as perpetuall Ray's alike proceeding every

D.g.

73v

D.h. phisica

Motion.

Way throout the whole hemisphere of the Effect. It is Not a Novelty In specu= lations of this Nature, to Imagine Infi= nite devisions of matter, Especially with Geometers, all whose demonstration's of curve spaces depend on it. I am sure I am neerer truth then they /ffor I take the conclusion in finite parts to be true &\ ffor I Ima= gin /but\ what really Is /subsisting that is\ the Substance Infini /very Infinitely\ taly devided, they Imagine what is Not a circumscribed, as well as an Inscribed figure, and by fluxing them Into a coalescence, finish their argument.

In the Next place I observe that alltho <diagram> all the body start's in ray's from the force yet it is Not with Equall force In all parts of the hemisphear; the diffe= rence depends on the Same argument as served for the deminution of force, by the Inclination of the Movent to the tangent. ffor Supposing an Infinite force at. f. It is certein the body e. that ly's in the Axis of the hemisphere, must move away with y^e whole velocity of the movent, ffor that is Required (as I have often Noted) Motion.

to Reconcile with the force, but the body's a. b. c. d. may Reconcile or answer $y^{\rm e}$ Way of $y^{\rm e}$ force, with less velocity, & less, as they ly Inclined to the tangent, and at length neer B. the velocity of the Motion will be litle or Nothing. I mean here onely what is progressive, upon ye Supposall of a Strok upon y^e extremity of y^e axis of Each pyramid; when is No turning as was before Shewed. Wee Must Sup= pose that ye Motion of ye point f. is $y^{\rm e}$ Same as If $y^{\rm e}$ whole tangent or he= misphere A.B. struck. and contract by force into y^e point of Contact, for free= dome, In $w^{\rm ch}\ y^{\rm e}$ part's may be Supposed to Move according to the Exigence of ye force; And that is, however wee May Sup= pose y^e force Infinite or directed, or Re= $p^{\rm r} {\rm sented}$ by $y^{\rm e}$ whole hemisphere A.B. Contracted at f. to drive the obstacle to. E. the axis of the other hemisphere of y^e Effect. So taking the Extremes, at fB. there is No protrusions att all. for the point F. can pass away without deturbing the other points in y^e line A.B. But at

74v

D.k. phisica

Motion.

But at. E. the axis, Nothing less then the full velocity of the stroke, serves to Make way for $y^{\rm e}$ point. f. In $w^{\rm ch}$ all the force is contracted; therefore it is Necessary that as the direction of the piramids open from y^e axis, that is Make More way with less velocity /as they are from their $\$ position determined to Move, so in the severall degrees from all, (pts. fe.) to Nought (by f.B) the /less\ velocity of their Movement answers or Re= conciles with y^e force that drives them. <diagram> as for Instance suppose a particle of body at h. & one at b. and by the stroke at f. upon y^e whole Hemis= phear of pyramids, those particules were Influenced to Move. I say as the Elongation of B. vist . H.b. is to the the prime force. F.E. so shall the velocity at b. be less then that at H. or E, or any other point in y^e axis, as also towards B. In any point of the Ray f.B. ad Infinitum, one way and contracted Into \boldsymbol{y}^{e} very point of the

phisica. BD.1.

Motion

contact y^e other way. Whereby that is conceived done in the point of Contact vist. the distribution of the velocity of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Stroke among the parts of the Quantity struck, as would be done In like Incli= nation, to Infinite distance. And take it thus. In the direction F.H. the body is never out of the forces way, but as full velocity, by direct movem^t carry's it but by f.B. the body In Moving from f to b. is departed from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} way of the force. by the space. H.b. that is the sine of the departure of the direction from f.E. as that departure Increaseth the velocity of the tendency or Motion deminisheth then wee Must say, of Each of these piramidall body's, or parti= cule of matter In that hemisphear,

As the velocity of y^e Stroke, Exponed by y^e Radius fc. = fB. Is to the velocity after y^e stroke y^e vers sine d.b. = fH.¹⁰⁰

As the radius (exponing y^e whole velocity of the stroke). fE. = f.B. is to the velocity of /sine of the angle of departure of\ any pyramid or particle (exponed) F.b. = f.[8?].¹⁰¹ so is the velocity at y^e Stroke f.B. to that of y^e pyramid a particle after it, if. [8?].

 $^{^{\}rm 100}$ The shaded area is crossed out by diagonal lines.

 $^{^{101}}$ I transcribe this figure as an '8'; there is a point on the diagram on f. 74v (to which RN is referring) labelled (as I read it ...) '8'.

75v

D.l. phisica.

Motion.

So If the radius, f.B. from B. /be\ devided as a line of sines, those sines will ex= pone the degrees of departure, and /consequently\ de= minution of velocity, as beginning at Nothing in y^e direction f.B. and Increa sing to y^e utmost In f.E.

It is Remarkable to observe, how the degrees of deminution of velocity In the Hemisphear of y^e Effect, answers the deminution of force in the hemisphere of the force, ffor both are Exprest by $y^{\rm e}$ Radius Marked as a line of sines. [...?]= ly Reverst, for the shrinking of ye force $y^{\rm e}$ devision beginns at $y^{\rm e}$ Center, /[circumference?] & Ending at $\$ and of the velocity exerted, /and ending at $y^e\ Circumference \ at the circumfe=$ rence. as from \mathcal{P} /f\ to /f\ D. for y^e Inclination of $y^{\rm e}$ Movent & from. B. to f. that of the Moved. for In both the force at A. & the velocity at B. are Nothing, but at D. & E. both Absolute. So as the Inclination from D. towards. (. of the force in that hemisphere /towards C.\) shrinks y^e force, & is Marked on the radius from f. to D. deminishing so the depature from E (of the velocity Impres't in that Hemisphear) towards [B?]. Shrink's the velocity, and is Marked in y^e radius f.[D?]. deminishing. What is More reasonable, then that What Incli= nation in one hemisphear deminisheth

```
the
```

phisica.

Dm.

Motion.

the force, In the opposite hemisphear should have like Influence In y^e Effect. ffor on one Side, the body Moving being Inclined, becomes as If the Quan= tity shrank, so on the other side y^e body Moved, should become as If the Quantity Increased. But these are I fear but jargon, so I proceed.

<diagram> But before I come to consider turning (w^{ch} fall's Next In My way) I propose this case upon What hath past. Suppose the pramidall body. f.E. plac't Neer but Not to touch the tangent of the force A.B. and a Stroke to be at f. as before on the Extremity of the dia= meter of y^e Body A.B. the motion of this that is $y^{\rm e}$ direction Must be by that di= ameter Continued from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Contact thru the center. g: by F.E. And the force to be also directed as Neer ye tangent plane on y^e other side, as from C. I Say here the direction Must ffall within a small angle, devided from

the

76v Dn.

Motion.

the direction of the force, and Seem's as if it were an Effect contrary to $y^{\rm e}$ tendency of the caus. w^{ch} in practis, as the case of Sayling by, (as seamen terme it.) that is, In a cours Neerly opposite to ye wind (and is from hence) seem's very misterious, but is by these consequences of y^e comon laws of force of body upon /body\ clashing is universally true, is explained, & made appear rea= sonable. ffor suppose the Shipp, (as May <diagram> be Reduced) to be as the severall body's Com= pounded. C.D. and y^e Wind from E. falling with paralell direction E.f. upon the severall part's of it, and those by our law's directed, towards c[;?] It is plaine the ship must goe towards C.[|?] against y^e Wind: but If y^e Wind also fall on the part H. It then, by like laws directs it also towards K. so the Cours will be mixt of the direction H.K. and f.c. & thereby fall towards M. w^{ch} is that the seamen Call leeward Way and is Ever observed to happen More or less in Sailing by. The rest of this Case

phisica.

phisica D.o.

Motion

May readyly be Suplyed by the Imagi= nation. allowing for all circumstances of forme of y^e vessel, resistance of y^e Water and position of y^e Mast's & sailes. of w^{ch} pardie's hath Made a Most Intricall work, and It doth Not appear to Me that the matter is at all understood from what he say's.

There is one Grand Corolary from all I have Sayd hitherto, w^{ch} is that upon Every possible clashing of body's, If one by Moving Never so litle May Make any way for the other to pass, It Shall Move but so Much slower, as the way Making is faster. ffor the tendency of a stroke spreads Every way In the hemisphere /of effect\ but /not\ Into y^e very tangent or diameter /of it\ so The force is Some what /coming\ from Every /any\ part of that/e\ hemisphere /of ye force\ but /nothing\ In ye very tan= gent /or diameter\ and If the one and other body be so plac't as not to be In the very tangent there will be effect on Each other, but More languid as the approach or Neer= ness to \boldsymbol{y}^e tangent is More or less; \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} is the /very\ thing I have p^r sented, & will appear to be the caus of Infinite practick occur= rences In ye World. as In place I may Intimate.

77v

D.p.

phisica

Motion.

<diagram> Now to Come to the rea= son of turning, (having as yet, avoid stating body's so as must occasion it,) wee must Retain In me= mory, or former sceme, of the too hemispheers, the Tangent plane, the force to come from any part as, D. the stroke on f. dispersing ray's of velocity thro= out \boldsymbol{y}^{e} hemisphere, more Swift neer \boldsymbol{y}^{e} axis of $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ hemisphears, and less Neer $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ tangent of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force, In the proportion of Sines, of the angle of departure from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Axis. I say having this Condition of the case in our mind, [as?] May be had u= pon Every Impuls on body whatsoe= ver, wee may determine of the Success however other Circumstances vary. as Suppose y^{e} body Struck at f. to be Regularly or Irregularly formed or pla= ced. as Gloes. f.H. paralellipipe= don's as p.H. trapeze. as A.H. or tryangule, K.[A?]N. that is solids re= $\ensuremath{p^r}\xspace$ sented under these plaine figures. ffor 78r¹⁰²

phisica.

Dq.

Motion.

for What place in the hemisphere the part's of the body struck possess, they are Influenced accordingly Some to Start one way and Some another, and with various Celerity's, as the rules Require, So Much Insisted on; as for ye Regular & uniforme body's p.H. and K.N. they have bin spoke of, as ballanc't by their part's w^{ch} draw with Equall force, towards K. & towards. H. So Nei= ther In any Respect $p^{\rm r} vaile$, & the di= rection shall be f.E. the medium, &with force, as $y^{\rm e}$ Rules of Impulses, of $W^{\rm ch}$ these were y^{e} Supposed Circumstances [Require?]. But among other figures one May Ima= gin to posses room In the hemisphear I Shall Single out $y^{\rm e}$ triangular figure A. K. N. to discours of. And it being plain that the parts M.N. are In place to have a swifter Motion the the parts f.K. or at least, If a part of f.K. as. S.M. be equally Inclined with. NM. yet the Rest K.p.M. have More that have Not Such Swiftness Impres't. as at p.K. and those must In conse quence

 $^{^{\}rm 102}$ This page has received treatment, presumably for a tear, which runs vertically from the top.

D.r.

phisica.

Motion.

<diagram> Must Move Slower then those on \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other side or neerer the axis. $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ of Necessity make the body turne around. and there is no mean's of making a body turne, but a Stroke Influencing the parts with these une= quall tendency's. If wee appeal to the extream's, wee shall have great guess at the measure of turning, Compared with the progressive. ffor a body perfec= tly uniforme, & struck on a direct dia= meter, as S.M.N. Whose line of Mo= tion or \boldsymbol{y}^{e} direction of it, is Coincident with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} axis, or Greatest force. f.E. Shall move onely progressive, & Not turne at all. but If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} body were formed as here <diagram> s.K. and the Stroke fell upon the point Next to the Extre= mity of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} diameter, A.f. that is or. f. this body Should turn upon its center, and Not move progressively att all. but In observing this Case, wee Must have a caution Not to Suppose the Stroke on \boldsymbol{y}^e very End of \boldsymbol{y}^e diameter fA. for then it becomes a Regular and direct Impuls.

phisica.

Motion.

It is Not amiss to Remember a puerile Experimt or rather play, with a bat= toon, and a Romboid peice of wood they Call a Catt. If the stroke falls on the shortest diameter. S.K. It fly's a= way Swift with No turning. but If it be Struck at the Extremity, It shall Spin very much & Swift, but as to y^e flying away the Stroke is lost. And as y^e Stroke falls on the Intermediate points More, or less, So the turning is More or less Refract from the direct Impetus of the battoon. I doe Not know that a More Nice Experiment would disclose the Generall tendency of these Irregular Impulses, then this, that ffew Men are Strangers too.

Then If it be the Moments of subs= tance and their position In the hemis= phear, w^{ch} distributes the force of the Stroke by turning & progressive Mo= tions, what have wee more to Say then that a point Indifferent to y^e Substance Shall (as Before was urged) be y^e center of the motion. and a me= dium or adequate proportion take

place

Bs.

79v

D.t. phisica

Motion.

place between the turning & progression. That is the degrees of Inclination of the line passing from the Contact to the center of the body's force. and the right sine of it? That that line is ye progression of ye center I held before, Now I must upon the Same termes of Guessing, hold that the force of turning on the body and the progression toge= ther, are Equall to y^e force of the Impuls. and as the sine of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} angle of departure is to the whole radius, so Is the turning force to the whole force of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Stroke, and substract the force of the turning from $y^{\rm e}$ whole, $y^{\rm t}$ is the sine of $y^{\rm e}$ departure from the ra= dius. and the residue of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force, exponed by the residue of y^e radius is the force of the progression.

I must Repeat that I am a Naturalist & Not a geometer, and these conside= ration's of solids & their passion's are Matter of most abstruse demonstration, therefore I leav the Calcules to such as are capable & delight in them. and most Readily Should Imbrace, a disco= very that I argue fals, desiring onely to know and Impart what is true. 80r¹⁰³

(G) Comentations.

A par.¹⁰⁴ 1. Angells the distinction of Cartesius by cogitation and Imagination is unintelligible, for wee can have no knowledg of any thing but throw some Sensible Images, and pure abstrac= tion is a declared Ignorance, as when wee say cogitation without sensation, wee mean nothing. and Referring to Angells or spirits doth not help, for as to us their Essence is a meer ne= gation, and what wee $\ensuremath{p^r}\xspace{summary}$ of their way of thinking is but similitudinary with what wee perceiv of our selves $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is $\mbox{prcarious & vain.}$ The Error lyes In the misapplication of the word, think, w^{ch} with us is Imagination or nothing, wee continue in thinking that is in continuance of time but with angells or meer Spirits, perhaps there is No time at all, w^{ch} may be further hinted Afterwards, It is Enough at p^rsent that the word cogitation in abstracto Is not allowed in these discourses to signifye any thing.

par. 1. B ... mixture &c. (1) This is Not Intended &c. 2. C _ _ Brutes &c. 3. E_D_ Instinct &c. (6?) this is Not Intended. &c [----?]

7. D E... W^{ch} facultys, &c. I have conjugated these two facultys, sensation and memory, as necessarily con=

¹⁰³ The rest of the volume consists of full-width sheets of generally heavy, opaque paper. In pencil at the top of this page the capital letter 'G' is inscribed, encircled, in pencil. From here to f. 91v the handwriting appears very similar to other handwriting examples from RN's old age (i.e., post 1730). So these next fragments are possibly a quite late texts.

 $^{^{104}}$ For the next dozen folios (also up to 91v) RN uses a wide LH margin (the wide LH margin is also found below, for example from f. 165r-175v, and from f. 240r to the end of the volume).

phisica

consorting in all animall judgm^t of things, for without a sensation nothing is perceived or known, and without compari= son of one with other, as of p^rsent with things past, and of those one with another, w^{ch} /is\ y^e office of memoriall Reflection, Nothing is judged, And such transitions give life to y^e Animall, for <u>from &cr</u> there hath. &c.

5. f Idea, notes fol 7.

- 5. 8: G. <u>Attention</u> &c. (8)
 - 7. H Are called prjudices; (10).
 - 8. I. Exotick experimts (13)

9. K. This paragraff perhaps may meet with more Reprehension then any other In the heap that lyes afore us; ffor It Intimates that y^e phisicall science, mostly thought to be vain and Incertein hath a firmer fown= dation of truth then any other science w^tever That is made good by shewing that it affirmes nothing but what truly Exists or must happen. and allows of No principles that are Not truely what wee take them to be, nor consequences that may or may not Succed, but that all things natu= rally are certein; and what Incerteintyes are obs=

phisica

observable they must be ascribed to our fond and Erroneous thinking, w^{ch} to Correct, and to bring our opinions to y^e truth of things is y^e work of Na= turall philosofy. What are the ordinary scien= ces in y^e world but so many Conjecturalls, & most depending on free will; and Such as have no Indubitate principles, whereupon to Relye; And mathematicks, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ are a branch of Naturall philosofye, deal onely In measure, and that Supposi= titions, there being no real thing existent in ye world to answer what is p^r sumed. What shall wee say to one (& he a mathematitian) /who affirmes that all his Complex Events may proceed from pulsion, attraction, or other power un= known, and Still his demonstrations stand firme? But here is \underline{but} /onely\ one principle asserted and that/universall and\ Infallible. And If what wee know /is or may be\ truely Existent, with a Mathe= matically Liberty, wee Suppose Such to be, definitely, It is not /wholly\ Conjecturall, becaus the subject or principle is found to be universall, Exclusive of all quallitys, besides what is Com= prehended in $y^{\rm e}$ Essence of it. And Such being $y^{\rm e}$ founda= tion of phisiologys, I have Reason to value y^e science as superior in certinty to all others, provided it be Con= ducted with a right Judgmt denuded of /all\ Either vulgar or artificiall prjudices whatsover.

-ı

L. 12. After 75. a Coment or apology.

phisica.

fol. 103 par 53.105 The case is reduced to meer length) the doctrine of Mechanicall power's hath not bin clearly Enough unfolded, whereby the true caus of such surprising Effects, for w^{ch} Cartesius was Much at a loss. Might be manifest to Com= mon sence; therefore I shall make such addition of Notes here as may Conduce to that End. The foundation of all is, that as Space, so force is com= parative /and\ admitts of all degrees of More & less; The spaces, by Extension; & force, by effects. And those are y^e alterations of distances, & aspects u= pon Impulses, when bodys occur, and separate. These matters have bin set forth In particulars; $w^{\rm ch}$ may serve to authorize our Expression's $w^{\rm ch}$ must be such as are usuall in Mechanick discour= ses, Such as force, Resistance, Excite, direct ob= lique, & some others, without further Explana= tion then hath bin already given. And first to consider the state of a Single body and the difference of the force of it between direct progression, and turning without progression. and the consequences of such difference. ffirst It is allowed, that velocity added or detrac/t/ed to or from any moving body, Increaseth or Lessens its force, and there is No difference be= tween Equall magnitudes, as to force directly

 $^{\rm 105}$ This heading, or page numbering, is in the margin.

phisica 104¹⁰⁶

But velocity, for $w^{\rm ch}$ reason our discourse will fall cheifly upon that

If any body Impells another, it strikes with Equall force whither be by an ar= cuate or Rectilinear progression by the tangent at y^e point of Impuls.

<diagram>¹⁰⁷ As Let y^e Impuls be at D. whither it Comes by C.D the arch of a Circle, or by A.D. the the tangent at D. the Motive Effect upon the Impelled B. will be y^e very same, ffor at the poin[t?] D. the strait line and the Arch become one and the Same, and cannot be devided between Rec= tilinear and Arcuate.

If a cilindricall body Impells ano= ther, by, the Extremity of any diameter, while it turnes upon the axis, It is with the whole force that in such action May be ascribed to that body, So Impellent.

ffor the utmost Swiftness is there, and all the Sub= stance acts. And such an Impuls is subject to y^e rules of plain Impulses, and y^e force may be Gaged by y^e magnitude of y^e Impelled, for it may be such as by its Equallity Shall Stop the turning, or by more reflect it; but if less the utmost

 106 This appears to be a page number following on from that in the marginalium on the previous page. There was no numbering on any preceding pages (although there were marginal page references), but there are some 'numbered pages' below (see f. 86r ff).

 $^{^{107}}$ This diagram has been cut from another sheet of paper and very neatly pasted into the margin after the text had been written (it overlaps the text at one point). All the diagrams in this section (i.e., as far as f. 91), including this one, have been drawn directly in ink, mostly using compass and straight-edge, and have sometimes been smudged in the employment of those tools.

phisica.

utmost Energy's is to produce an Equall ve= locity, altho Much More minutely opposed.

The force of $y^{\rm e}$ Cillinder progressive is Greater then $y^{\rm e}$ former by one fourth 108 part

That is the Magnitude, which will Stop the Turning, being quadrup-/-led\ will stop the whole Mo= ving progressively, and Neither more nor less, but will have just that Effect

<diagram> W^{ch} Seems to be thus demonstrated. Let /AFC\ be the /[centrate?]\
diameter plane or transvers Section of a solid
cilinder turning In y^e order A.F.E. with any /a\
velocity /force Irrisistable\, and let A.B.= to y^e perifery. that is to
AE. x [(ferez?)] Let infinite cocentricks, g.h.s. be
described and of each the periferys layd downe pa=
ralell. as ad: b.e: f.c: I say that all shall ter= /so that A.B. = And then \
minate in y^e diagonall C.B. for a body at a /let A. [.?] turn with any velocity, a
body Impelled at [A on exertion turns?]
struck with a superior force, but with a velo= /will describe y^e line AB. and y^e same
body, at [.?] y^e line\
eity of y^e perifery a.g. of w^{eh} the diameter /a.b. and at b & bE. and at c:c.e. and all
y^e Rest so\

is to y^e diameter of y^e whole A.E, as [I. to ..?] /that Every velocity shall terminate on y^e diagonall BC¹⁰⁹

A.f.E. a /transvers\ Section of a turning Cli Cilinder by y^e at /by\ y^e center. and turning upon the axis C. with a force Irresistable, but velocity Exposed by y^e /tangent\ line A.B. w^{ch} is Equall to the perefery A.f.E. /I say\ a body Struck directly at /the Extream point\ A. will arrive at B. in one Revolve of y^e cilinder

 $^{^{108}}$ The word 'fourth' is written over a washed/scraped out word, as are the words 'which' (unusually here in its full form, rather than abbreviated) in the next line, and 'quadrup' in the line below that.

And y^e like body struck at a, and /another\ at b. and another at c. will in y^e same time describe y^e velocitys D. and E. and. f. for y^e spaces¹¹⁰

Then supposing a.d. = perifery a g. and b.e. = perif. b.h. and c.f = perif. [b s.?] I say that an Equall & like bodys struck at, a. b. & c. shall move with less velocitys & exposed by y^e Respective tangents. a.d: b.e: and c.f. and each describing y^e severall /same\ Revolves arrive at. B. d. E. & f. at y^e same moment of time. w^{ch} is Evident by y^e construction for circles are as the diameters.

Every Body, as the whole Clinder is Com= posed of parts or Momenta, as they are termed and the [Sum?] /force\ of y^e -each body / y^e whole\ is y^e Sume of y^e force of all y^e momenta, And those being allwais understood in Equallity, y^e forces of y^e severall momenta are Estemed as y^e spaces moved in the same time / y^t is [on?] y^e velocitys\ therefore taking y^e velocitys of all y^e momenta of this cilinder to be /to Each other\ as the con= centricks a. b. c. y^e same layd forth in length will describe y^e triangle A.B.C. w^{ch} Exposeth the sume of y^e force of y^e whole body turning.

Then supposing y^e whole /to be\ progressive with y^e Extream velocity of y^e /extream\ Turning A.B. All y^e momenta will describe paralell lines (Equally Swift) and fill y^e space A.E.B.D. of w^{eh} the w^{ch} Exposeth in sum y^e velocity of y^e whole and of

¹¹⁰ This paragraph struck out with a single diagonal line.

And of that \mathbf{y}^{e} triangle A.B.C. is just a fourth part, QED.

The Consequence of this is that If a body Re= Sisting at. D. will stop y^e whole in its progre= Sion, a fourth part applyed at A. will stop the turning. but this action is understood to be In= stanter, and in that Respect is No other then Comon Impuls As A = B Impells it with the velocity C.A. the body B. Shall pass to D in y^e Same time as from. C. and if with half the velocity from E. the time of y^e Access & departure vist E.F. and. G.H. Shall be Equall and half the former, and hereby the state of a diameter is Reduced to that of Solute Impulses, and that of y^e foremer is Enough Shaddoed by y^e prickt lines. A.u. and F.s.

Hither to y^e movem^{ts}. are supposed to be directed from y^e Diameter, and Equall Quantitys with unequall velocitys. Now wee should turne y^e tables, and suppose y^e directi= ons to be towards y^e diameter and Equall [-?] velocitys and unequall Quantitys w^{ch} would be found to amount to y^e same, but In Regard the Impulses falling with obliquity with Respect to y^e scenter, there would be a progression as well as a turning excited w^{ch} would create a perplext acc^o. And

And that I shall Leav at p^rsent, and take up plainer subjects, as for Instance Resistances and y^e Consequences; And becaus Everery body Imp/el\led is a Resistance to y^e Impelled, w^{ch} may be more of less indeffinitely, I will suppose the Resistance to be Infinite or Immoveable.

<diagram> Then considering as before that In a
body Esteemed moving in directum,¹¹¹ Each /all ye \
parts or Momentam describema paralell lines
Strait and Equally Swift. Such as In all Move=
ment are supposed as at A. w^{ch} body being
moved towards B. with all its momenta des=
cribes the paralells A.B. And as ye whole body
hath a perseverance in its State, w^{ch} Moving is
Called a tendency, so Each momentum hath for
its part ye like perseverance and tendency; w^{ch}
words I must use, having long since p^rmased the
Explication of them.

<diagram> Now when a moving body is Resisted, at any
point, the momenta on Either Side have a
tendency opposite. And those Sides are distin=
guished by a line from y^e Contact thro y^e cen= /paralell with\
ter of y^e Impellent, ffor y^e force of y^e Resistance /with the paths of y^t direction, as
here y^e body D\
is directed in y^e Manner /. directed from AB. the separating line is D C\ as hath bin
Shewed.
and. A.B. and D.B, the opposite sides. If the y^e
separating line pass thro y^e center as F.E. there
is an Equallity of /y^e \ tendencys & Neither can p^{r=}
vaile ag^t y^e other, And this Line C.D. I call the

¹¹¹ i.e., 'straight' or 'directly'.

<diagonal> gage, being directoriall in all cases direct or obliqe that Can happen for Every momentum in that line hath No tendency but In directum to the Contact, but on Either side there is a tendency, more or less Either way. As If y^e body A.C. In such posture In the Direction A.E. B.C. falls upon y^e obstacle at D. the para= lell D.f. is y^e Gage line. and No part /mentum\ of y^e body on on y^e part of E. hath any tendency towards C. nor E. contra.

But none of these Instances of Moving bodys Impellens will Serve our turne at $p^{\rm r}\text{=}$ sent, becaus there is a Resilition from y^e obs= tacle; therefore wee must suppose that co= hibited as may be done /by\ divers means as will appear, and the the body E.C. Instead of fal= ling Instantly into a Cours of turning upon \boldsymbol{y}^{e} proper axis. will take a center. D. at the point of Resistance, and if at all turne upon that. and all ye rest wee have to doe with is but the account and Events of Such tur= ning. And this center will be Called the fulcrum, and is y^{e} cheif $p^{\text{r}}\text{sumtive}$ in all y^{e} science of Mechanicall powers; but how ever the body is held to y^e fulcrum, It is to be supposed onely against ye progressive, but and as to turning absolutely free.

_1

85v

A body may be stayed at y^e fulcrum by a magnetisme, or by a gluten, or by a Succes= ion or /w^{eh} shall be here supposed Reiteration of y^e Same pulses, or by a continuall flux or action of the Medium; w^{ch} last wee shall have onely /cheifly\ to do with, and if un[=?] stood by y^e terme gravitation, but the conse= quents are y^e same in all as also If the union at y^e fulcrum /should\ be by y^e act of a living force /mechanisme\, as y^e hands of men, or /force of\ animalls. At the contact the progressive ceaseth, /Just\ as If y^e [...?] /where\ body were /by its equall is directly\ opposed directly, /And\ the cause of adhesion that is /w^{eh} I suppose to be\ a continuation of Impuls/es\, permits No /separation of

that is $/w^{oh}$ I suppose to be a continuation of Impuls/es, permits No /separation or <diagram> further progress; as here. A.D. in y^e direction E.f. falls upon G. So as the Gage /G.H.\ passeth ye center. C. the continuing force from E.f. per= mitts no Resilition, and /but\ turnes /as it were\ to Rest at. G. ffor the momentu A.C. tending. In $y^{\rm e}$ /same/ direction one way, / y^e same and those at D /likewise with y^e same of stop /[...?] between are opposits and being Equall /Impede\ are a full stopp to Each others /process\ and this is properly a ballance, as Comonly understood, wherein the Impulses /or motive caus\ ceas /[as?]\ not, bu[t?] ye Effect in the /whole\ body A.D. failes and is lost on \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Immensity of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} obstacle. G. And when ever any possibillity happen's for A.D. or any par[t?] of it towards A. or D. happens /to move\, y^e Effect will ac[=?] ordingly appear and in ye mean time this State is Called pressure, tendency or Conatus ad ${\tt Motum^{112}}$ w^{eh} allwais is Seen to work when y^e obstacle /Impediment in any degree\ ceaseth.

¹¹² i.e., 'inclination, or tendency, to movement'.

phisica.

Of Muscular action & force ende par- 309.113 The portions of our Substance subservient to all our voluntary and Involuntary ac= tions and are Called Muscles; however in continuall use and observation are yet so litle understood, as In their functions to be more then wonderfull. The philosofers in all times have strained hard to gain a tol= lerable solution of them, the Result where= of hath bin onely a Coyning of words, and such as facultus motrix, vis motiva, dispo= sitio Cerebri, officium nervosum, Influctio spirituum animalium, $^{\rm 114}$ and the Like all of Equall Intelligence, as when Men talk they know not what. The Cartesians are most out of the way, who fancy an Effervescent rarefaction of the blood In the heart as of milk over $y^{\rm e}$ fire, $w^{\rm ch}$ by meer tumefaction lifts up the [mucro?] and so forceth y^e blood to vent by y^{e} artery's, from w^{ch} originall all \boldsymbol{y}^{e} powers of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} body are Inspired. But the whole Supposition is Contrary to truth ffor first there is no such Rarefaction of the the Blood.

¹¹³ In top LHS margin.

¹¹⁴ i.e., 'the capacity to move, motive force, mental (i.e., willed) inclination, nervous function, [movement?] of the animal spirits'. The word 'Influctio' is written over a washed/scraped out word. These terms are later (f. 87r) identified by RN as coming from Borelli's *De Motu Animalium* (see below), who had adopted them directly from Aristotle.

phisica 62.

the blood in the heart, and¹¹⁵ Next the very heart acts as a muscle, and falls under the Same Inquiry as all y^e Rest of the genus Musculosum; 116 and that appears by the Incessant action, Even after it is Evul=/sed\ from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} body of a living animall, and the manifest pulsations continuing for some time without any Influx of Efflux of any blood at all, but as some animalls Eluct after both head and heart are deprived therefore other Sources of vitall motion then Rarefaction in the heart Must be looked after. It is certain that the muscles act with the advantage of mechanisme, w^{ch} hath bin demonstrated by Borellus. In his book de motu animali.¹¹⁷ But then he is at great loss to find out the true & pro= per Caus of Animall motion; And is dri= ven to Insist upon a double agency, first a proper force by Intumescence or Inflation of the muscle; but that is found Insufficient to lift so great weights, as are Raised by the

 $^{\rm 115}$ The word 'and' is written over an illegible washed/scraped out word.

¹¹⁶ i.e., 'muscular kind', another 'scholastic' category.

¹¹⁷ Giovanni Alfonso Borelli (1608-79) was a Italian natural philosopher who experimented in and and published on a wide range of topics from physiology to astronomy. Like Descartes, he enjoyed the patronage of Queen Christina of Sweden. His richly illustrated *De Motu Animalium*, (Rome, 1680) employs the same title as an existing work by Aristotle, and also used many of Aristotle's terms. It has been called the first treatise on biomechanics, combining not only a descriptive account of animal muscles, but also mathematical analyses of the mechanics of movement.

63. phisica

the members; therefore another agency is Imployed, W^{ch} he Calls vis [motia?] or facul= tas motiva, W^{ch} is no better then an occult quallity, And if any such were it might do y^e work without y^e help of muscles or me= chanisme at all; And so all this Elabourate work as to Resolving y^e mistery of Muscular action is of No service, but further Inquest is to be made, and If that in like Manner failes y^e Caus is to be given up.

I doe Not undertake to discours of this sub= ject with a view to Coming to a clear Reso= lution of y^e whole, But If I can gain any Ground upon it, I shall be well pleased. My first posi= tion is that Every muscle is a perfect spring amd acts by y^e like Internall principle, as other Elastick bodies are observed to act;¹¹⁸ And that is by a subtile matter Inclosed in cavity's, w^{ch} (cavitys) are obnoxious to Contract upon any flexure¹¹⁹ of the Substance and the action of the Inclosed matter [Ela?] tends to Restore y^e figure as was shewed when wee discoursed of Springs. This Elasticity of a muscle hath for its station or

¹¹⁸ RN's position, that elasticity is enabled by pockets of 'subtile matter' which can compress and allow flexing, is maintained thoughout in opposition to Robert Hooke's argument in his *De Potentia Restituva* of 1678 (apparately Hooke had already stated the argument as an anagram in an an earlier *Book of the Description of Helioscopes*, of 1676, and had considered seeking patents for his ideas as early as 1660). Hooke was engaging with a problem earlier inaugurated by Galileo in his *Discorsi e Dimonstrazioni Matematiche* of 1638. Edmé Marriotte, 1620-84, a member of the Académie des Sciences, brought the two enquiries together, so to speak, and sought to develop general principles. Both were interested in the loading of materials in structures (the engineering issues, so to speak) as well as the issue of the return to original shape. Marriotte's work attracted the interet of Leibniz. See Hetnarski, R. B., & Ignaczak, J., *The Mathematical Theory of Elasticity*, Second Edition, CSC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boka Raton, Fla, 2011. *See* RN's further discussions of the topic, especially that following f. 336v, below.

 $^{^{119}}$ The word 'flexure' appears to have been washed out and then rewritten.

phisica 64.

or place of Rest, the utmost [Contration?]. ffor If a tendon is cut, the muscle, in whatever po= ture it was, Immediately Shrinks up, and with y^e other flesh; and this force of Contraction is Not So Slight as it may seem, for if a forceps were applyed to the tendon, and $y^{\rm e}$ Muscle /being\ None of y^e least /to be drawne forth\ It would Require y^e force of a Mans hand to Reduce to its former place. here is no discovery of any vis motrix, or Motive quallity but onely a natural Effect Comon to all vegetables, nothing of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ kind is with= out an Elater operating according to the /various\ plastick formations of them. And as to Strength If taken directly, mechanisme apart, It is not to be singly taken, ffor \boldsymbol{y}^{e} muscles of the whole body are, for the most part, Especi= ally In the largest attempts assistant to Each to Each other, operating Either together, or or alternatively, as must be observed in running, walking, and all Sorts of Labour or agitation, of Men Brutes or foul. And in Most of these Instances \boldsymbol{y}^{e} mind or will hath No power at all, as to Reduce a /disabled\ Mus= cle, or to stop y^e alternative agitation's; But now

65. phisica.

Now wee have to Consider the State of a Single muscle and its dependences, of w^{ch} the cheif are the one or more antagonisticall muscles. there may be a force upon a Mem= ber that shall hinder the Effect, or other Muscles that draw Counter, w^{ch} May be with less or more force, if the former y^e member to w^{ch} the tendon is affixed is drawne /[?]\¹²⁰ If y^e latter p^rvailes it yeilds, so that the muscles, and their Anta= gonists seem to be a variable ballance, to learn as the advantage of power is given one way or other; the caus of w^{ch} Alternation in particular cases, is the cheif matter /here\ to be In= vestigated.

Since wee can find No facultas motiva, or other principle of action, but an Elater onely, It seems Reasonable to Charge the Efficient upon the Relenting muscle, ffor when that Relaxeth, the effect follow's, and that /(Effect)\ being by a force Notoriously Coactive, what need is there to Search after any other, and /then\ the stress will fall upon the yeilding muscle, and the caus of its Relaxation, ag^t y^e force of the /it\ [......?]¹²¹/spring\

¹²⁰ Whatever was added here has been washed/scraped out.

 $^{^{\}rm 121}$ Both of these words are washed/scraped out.

spring supposed as to tractive power, to have bin alter Idem¹²² with y^e former. this occurrs obviously, but whatever the caus of a Relaxa= tion on y^e one side may be, (of w^{ch} afterwards) I cannot Conclude but that the same agency may at y^e same time Invigorate The opposite, altho there Seems No Necessity for it, W^{ch} Matter may be better Judged by what follows.

Taking a Survey of the Intire genus Mus= culosum, the apparent means of all thats Mo= tive in Animalls, Especially referring to hu= man kind, (for Eminence),¹²³ and what wee best understand,) the Comon devision is Into vo= luntary and Involuntary actions. to begin with the latter, It is manifest that the mind or will, neither Excites, nor Cohibits the motions of the viscera, as of the heart, Intestines, and the Conducts of the humours; The voluntary are better knowne by the Expe= rience and use wee have of our Exteriour members, w^{ch} seem to be in our power to move or Not and in certein Manners as wee

⁸⁸v

 $^{^{\}rm 122}$ i.e., 'a second self'.

 $^{^{\}rm 123}$ The bracket is washed out.

67. phisica.

wee thinck ffitt but Notwithstanding this partition, I cannot but think that ori= ginally all animall Motion is involuntary and that the voluntary is but an $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Im}}=$ perfect modification of that, ffor wee find our Selves very unable to Imploy our mem= bers as wee would, or in any Respect but under certein qualifications and Circum= stances concurring, as shall be shewed: for an animall at first is a Creature begun and Con= tinued by motion, and that necessarily Sub= servient to Nutrition, w^{ch} is fiercest at first but Continues more or less, to $y^{\rm e}$ finall dis= solution. And what spontaneity is Joyned in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} animall, as to volition is a Single facul= ty, and hath it beginning Even with life it self, but appears Not in [act?] /but\ from the Na= tivity; And then as to power, as I sayd, is Most defective, and Grow's up by degrees but Never sufficient to comand all the muscular Capa= city, but Some parts, and in a quallifyed Manner and^{124} or

¹²⁴ 'And' washed out.

phisica 68.

or members onely. and those but lamely whilst the rest of our Muscular [powers?] /Efforts $\^{125}$ more Essentiall to y^e Continuance of life, are wholly out of our power; And Now it is Expedient to know whence these differen[=?] ces, that is of voluntary and Involuntary action's proceed. And that I charge [wholly?] /altogether $\^{126}$ upon sensible observation or Experiment. And that falls wholly upon the Exterior member's, and not at all upon ye viscera The leg's and armes are Exposed to our view, and obnoxious to application & tryalls as well as /to the\ Imitation of other's, Whereupon the benefit Encourageth continuall Endea= vours to persue \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Like advantages, and so more or less is acquired. Hence wee Learne to look, walk, run, and to subserve our ap= petites, not as wee would, but as wee may, be= caus our powers are Not absolute but Restrai= ned according to more or less Capacity or ap= plication. A child moves its armes & Leggs being urged by Naturall Impuls or appetite but

¹²⁵ The word 'powers'[?] washed/scraped out and overwritten by 'Efforts'.

 $^{^{\}rm 126}$ The word 'wholly' [?] washed/scraped out and overwritten by 'altogether'.

69. phisica.

but it is long before it can Either look or point Strait, or Even walk upright; all w^{ch} Improvem^{ts} In children, are as manuall arts, & practicall Exercises in Men, acqui= red by observation, Endeavours, & Immitation upon the whole wee Conclude that in plants as well as animalls vegetative Motion is perpetually Concomitant; and In y^e process of life the differences are that plants shew onely the distention or Increas, but In animalls there are symptomes of sensation and volition, w^{ch} In humane kind are felt known & understood And all push't on by nutrition till motion cea= seth of w^{ch} y^e Least moment is death.

All our knowledg of muscular action, as well, as power of Exciting or cohibiting the use of it, is Confined to the Compass of our Ex= terior or Grosser members, such as are Exposed to our Sensible proof, by Handling, and more nice anatomicall Experiments, and those onely as to certein (but Not all) movem'ts are Sub= ject to volition. The muscles w^{ch} are found

90r

phisica

70.

found to actuate these members, are of various kinds, and for $y^{\rm e}$ most part act An= tagonistically. It is reasonable to Consider well the fabrick & Composition of these muscles, so farr as Anatomy and sensible experiments Will assist, and if in any one Instance wee can Come to a tollerable Intelligence, all \boldsymbol{y}^e Rest may by Analogia be /Equally\ understood. To describe therefore a muscle with its appendages, the body of it is that wee Call flesh, w^{ch} is Soft, and may be Com= pres't, as well as Extended; this at both Ends de= termines in Strong sinues they Call tendons, w^{ch} are compact, tough, and Stringy, and at Each End fixed to the bones of the members the muscle is to work upon. and these tendons seem to be \boldsymbol{y}^{e} very Substance of y^e muscle, onely drawne Into a different Compendium. The appearance is that When $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ muscle draws, it grows turgid and hard, and the Ends come Closer together by w^{ch} means y^e member is drawn. It's sayd that \boldsymbol{y}^{e} substance of the muscle Consists of fibres, as they are Cal[=?] led, but what those are Non Constat, $^{\rm 127}$ and No sence however assisted will discover them, Nor what

90v

¹²⁷ i.e., 'not clear'.

71. phisica

what makes the Substance So bulbous In the muscle More then in \boldsymbol{y}^e tendon? therefore Con= Sidering that wee have the Elator as well as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} matter to account for, and that the order of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole body is vascular, I conclude that both muscle and tendons consist of tubes Continued thro the whole, but strait in ye tendon and Spira= lised, contorted, or In some manner Conduplica= ted in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} muscle, so as to Resemble a Spirall wire spring, as I Cannot but conceive a single fibre to be. How this may Relax, and Resume the tension, May be shaddowed, by Sheeps Guts [Reefed?] for those will lye strait as a Cord, but Wind for= ced in at one End, and stopt at y^e other will Make the whole swell and curle up. and thereby lift a considerable weight. and as ye wind is more or less forced in, so the Gutty spring draws. I doe not bring /this\ foreward, to favour $y^{\rm e}$ Hypotheses of Inflation, w^{ch} is Irreconcileable in Many Res= pects, but of Nutrition as I shall shew. Here is Enough to shew how a muscle may act as a Spring, and become turgid or flaccid, as it is freed, a left to contract it self more or Less

91r

phisica.

72.

Now considering that ye power of volition is not absolute but Qualifyed, ffor making some advance in the muscular Theory, wee will first Sup= pose that an animall from its Nativity, to be wholly unable to Controul all /any vitall acction, but as to all mo= tion or action of its parts, altogether Involuntary. and that ye head, hands, armes Legg and feet, might move but without any direction of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} will more the heart viscera, or other Insensible agitations of the animall State. And then wee have a creature with these Requisites; first an Internall heat, $w^{\rm ch}$ tho not fire, hath all the processes of actuall fire; for it is Not necessary that all fire should be Culinary, to discerp matter into frusta, 128 and so dispers it, nor to Shew any Lumen, $^{\rm 129}$ but fire as other powers may have $\rm \frac{11}{2}$ degrees and act with like modes, \boldsymbol{y}^e lesser, as \boldsymbol{y}^e Greater. then a constant supply, (as fewell) of An additional recruit, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ failing $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ fire ceaseth, and y Creature dyes. then a perpetuall motion of y^e parts and members by $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ the effects of $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ fire, thro various meanders and divers changes is /are\ at last Exhaled. And if any Incidents obstructs these passages, the fire choaks and goes out. Now having made this paralell of life and fire, w^{ch} is allwais observable, I will Endea= to find some composition, w^{ch} In y^{e} practise of burning, may serve for an Image to Rep^rsent life directly.

¹²⁹ i.e., 'light'.

¹²⁸ i.e., 'pieces'.

73 a¹³⁰

It is In my thoughts to free my mind, by wrighting of a charg created /cargo\ there, /created\ by a long series of Reflection concerning Naturall thing's and severing the Reality's of them from /y $^{\rm e}\$ comon acceptation /fantasmes or shapes wee know them by , W $^{\rm ch}$ hath heaped up /In my thoughts\ many Notion's & distinctions w^{eh} /that\ demand to be brought forth; And I propose to doe it in as good order as I can, but with absolute veracity, & free from the vices of /confidence & arrogance, & domination as well as too Much Con= fidence, w^{ch} hath Corrupted /performances of\ Most of our Naturalists, and Made their works of less credit, then is /were\ really their due, ffor Some /on acco of divers very considerable discoverys owing to them. The Most harmless of these vices is /for who hath passed clean from ye milder of those vices \ Confidence, and that In subject's \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} cannot be proved, and at best but quessed at. Such as $/at \setminus all$ those are w^{ch} depend on the Minute Component parts, & texture of sensi= ble things? and yet how positively have Men /for authors usully\ pro= nounced of them, as If they had bin Educated In their /as positivly as of the grossest bodys they dayly\ most familiar acquaintance? /handle\ Speculative Men are /most\ propens to this failing, ffor they frame hypotheses, or /[as?] May agree/ing\ with Many sensible appearances /sensations\, & then [Straining?] they /strain & argue them to hold in Every thing Els /[---?] wherein their owne thoughts, & self /It is generally self-\partiality /that\ Engages them, (ffor those dear freinds to Humanity, opposi= tion & Contradiction /rarely\ dwell In No Man's /privat\ Study With him) And /then\ they come forth /they come and then very loath they are to\ with y^e assurance of Conquerors /loos so Much Invention & paines\ litle Considering how the problematiq takes place / Reignes\ In Most things /sciences\, and Especially /In y^e Region of things\ those, unseen; And where all modes and agitations are [...?] shun all /the possible examination.

¹³⁰ For this next continuous section the paper size is slightly taller, but no wider, the paper quality is very slightly thinner, and slightly less opaque. The handwriting quite different (within the RN range of variation). Note that the BL numbering, previously running from 73, was changed during the compiling of this volume, the previous BL numbering has been crossed out in pencil, and sometimes erased/rubbed out. RN's numbering begins here at page 'a' and runs though to the end of this section at page 'dz' (f. 151v). It will be apparent by now that in these MSS, it is always the first few pages of a text that suffer the greatest number of alterations and corrections.

92v

the disposition of y^e Many /even of y^e learned as of y^e vulgar\ is to Contradict & Confute rather then to Reforme and Correct. for When did wee see any /The failings of _____ in philosofy or style $\ good \ thing \ mended, ?^{131} \ but \ on$ the Contrary, If any /wee allways find where \ fault /is \ were the /however \ Easily set right. /and nothing either is or continues In perfection, yet\ for that very /small\ caus, ye whole is Kicked downe. I should take a view of $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ State of philosofy, In this prsent age, but before I come So low, I would ob= Serve a litle of ye More ancient, In order to Shew how Error vanity & arrogance, ye Corrupters of science, come round and Ever will Interpose to drive out that litle purchase /some\ Men's honest thin= king have procured. The Condition of Naturall philosofy, $\boldsymbol{w}^{\text{ch}}$ the Greeks called phisicks, was in a Way off Improvem't till ye age of Aristotle; Socra= tes diverted y $^{\rm e}$ Study of it Much by Introducing, a philosofy of another sort, such as Wee Call Morall, but he did Not vitiate ye other, as Aristotle did, with a proud designe, of bringing y^e former /professors\ w^{ch} he /In a sort of Scorn\ Called phisicoi, with /Into\ Contempt enough, downe to ye du and setting up a New Model of his owne in ye Roome /weh Mons* Rapin¹³² say's is rather a logic then filosofy being but a $\ensuremath{\mathsf{structure}}$ of words and terms $\$ The phisicoi applied themselves to Resolve Naturall appearances, by y^{e} Effects of Reall substance, and Motion; And, as is thought, had the knowledg of y^e worlds Imensity, plenitude,

fluidity, & Movements, as are now beleeved to /of late have bin thought true

take place; so that the moderne mechanicall

/or corpuscular $\$ philosofy is Grounded upon & y^e foundations

of it

¹³¹ I read this mark as a question mark.

¹³² René Rapin (1621-87). RN could have been stimulated by the publication of *The Whole Critical Works of Monsieur Rapin Newly translated into English by several hands*, London, 1706 (such an assumption would be qualified by the date given this text). Rapin's *Les Réflexions sur la philosophie ancienne et modern, et sur l'usage qu'on en doit faire pour la religion*, was published in Paris in 1676, and was translated into English in 1678. RN had also learned these criticisms (or similar criticisms) of Aristotle from his brother John (see the *Life of Dr John North*, etc). RN's opinion of Rapin was not wholly positive, *see* Add MS 32546 ff 171v-172r.

74 e

of it taken from these ancients, $w^{\rm ch}\ Cartesius$ & some others doe Ingenuously confess; those Ancients had some Notion's, Now layd aside, such as atom's, for It is found Matter is devisible, and /I think\ much of it actually devided, In Infinitum. they ascribed to Matter a devious Motion, In vacuo Infinito, $^{\rm 133}$ whence they argued ye Justling of things y^{t} occasioned y^{e} variety's of y^{e} world, & when that would Not doe, for how can things Justle that March all one way? then they were to Move laterally, & so Crossing one and other, were to do ye Same thing. but ye Modern's have layd aside thi/e\s/e\ and for what reason's May be touched here= after. but Generally the ancient phisicoi, aimed to Resolve Natural Question's, in a Mathematicall way, as neer as they Could; and how plausible /a method\ that was /let\ the opinion's of $y^e \ p^r sent$ age /valued for it\ Declare.

It seems that y° art of disputing was come to great perfection about y° age of Aristotle, who was a Re= ducer of it Into Method, and a great founder of y° logiq wee use. And the Scope of Aristotles /his\ phisicks was, Not to find out truth, & to make it plain, but to set up a new model of Naturall Philosofy, & confound y° former, Continuing it so as the then power of disputing Should Not be too hard for it. If he [secured?] that his tenents were Such, and in Such termes as Could Not be /logically\ Confuted, all was well. Therefore

93r

 $^{^{\}rm 133}$ i.e., 'in an infinite vacuum'.

Therefore he Invented, New termes, & /many\ Qualitys /for principles\ as also a world of empty, unscientificall distinctions and scarce touched a true plain caus of any thing In ye World; of $w^{\rm ch}$ any one May be satisfyed, that will look into $y^{\rm e}$ works of Bacon, Gassendus, &c. And Mons^r. Rapin¹³⁴ will tell us that his phisiks are ra= ther a logiq then a philosofy; w^{ch} is sayd to Ex= cuse him, being a favorite of ye Roman Theology funded in disputing whereto he is wonderfully assisting. No wonder then, that the Grand hierarky took advantage from ye Works of Aristotle, to set up that twisting trade of ye Scoolmen, to Make ye plaine Duty of Man, and the clear principles of Christian Religion, an Inextricable knot of Eternall dispute, Subservient to an Immens usurpation of power, but litle to truth, where of Not one Single grain was Ever found out by ye help of it found out

It is admirable to Consider, that about y^e time of Reformation of Religion In Europe, when men be= gan /to\ free their minds from y^e tyranny of disputing and Endless, as well as Unintelligible distinguishing In Matter's of Religion, and Ecclesiaticall autho= rity; the vertue penetrated Into all other sci= ences, and philosofy it self, began to look out Into fresh air from under the Same clouds. Then The authority of Aristotle began to be Questioned, a daring thing, w^{ch} raised almost a persecution as If the GoSpell had bin blasfemed. The history of this

93v d.

¹³⁴ Francis Bacon, 1558-1626 and Pierre Gassendi 1592-1655 were both notable critics of Aristotle and the scholastic method (what RN here calls 'disputing', or the 'twisting trade'). Rapin, a Jesuit intellectual, although critical of Aristotle, was even more critical of the New Philosophy (notably of Descartes) and wrote as a defender of Catholic doctrine and the scholastic tradition.

```
75 e.
```

of this Matter, May be observed from y^e works of Bacon and his Cotemporary's, to w^{ch} wee Referr, But that authors name, being of or owne Nation gives me occasion to observe how litle a popu= larity in philosofy is to be Regarded. ffor his works had No credit Nor acceptance with our vertuosi or university's, till they were Eccoed So strong from forrein parts, that Men were a= waked, & looking /More Seriously\ into them found those Noble Hints w^{ch} Conduced so Much, as Nothing More to the Inlightening of Naturall philosofy. Its true he is guilty of No hypothesis, and points to ye way, ra= ther then goes before to shew it, $w^{\rm ch}$ was Not Mis= becoming a man of his post in $y^{\rm e}$ world, (however $^{\rm 135}$ unfortunately in $y^{\rm e}$ Catastrofy.) ffor affairs would Not lett him put in practis, what his Great Mind suggested.

Now wee find y^e world In a way of being Manumi= sed from the disputing, to Injoy the free Searching part of philosofy; but Not Compleately /so fully\ till the time of Cartesius. who built upon y^e Model of the an= cient phisicoi, Corrected & layd aside as he thought ffitt, discharged all that Came after as useless to y^e obteining truth's, chalked out a method of Exa= mining all thing's, and Regulating y^e Judgm^t upon the surest foundations Nature hath allowed, And from Generall Reflection's, In y^e way of Meta= phisick's, gathered y^e Certeinty of our beings and understandings, proved our faculty's true, with other

¹³⁵ This is a rare example of a bracket integrated into the formation of the first letter of the next word, thus showing/proving that RN sometimes (at least once) included brackets as he wrote. The 'Catastrofy' was Bacon's conviction for corruption in 1621, and his subsequent exclusion from public life.

94v f.

other Important Notions tending to an assur/e\ance of our Stepps, In search of truth. And proceeded to the Mundane Systeme, opened ye Univers to Infi= nity, formed a systeme of $y^{\rm e}$ sun & planets, with great facility upon the Model of Copernicus, 136 Supposed \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world Repleat, and Motion to be the life of it; In w^{ch} Cours he ran so ffarr as to pre= tend to solve $y^{\rm e}$ Magnetisme of Iron stone, &c. & So Gravity, light, & Colours, &c. for subserving w^{ch} particularity's /porposes\, he Stufft his Mundane systeme hid a parcell of particularity's, as Globules, particulae striata^e & y^e like; All w^{ch} are in dark minuteness and Can neither be argued Nor proved. In short Θf /he was\ so great an Inventor Never any /In philosofy that No other Ever\ brought forth So much /more of truth, & so New /then he . And If in some way's of Expression ye thought is Not delivered so pure, as /I fancy\ It was In his Mind, /ffor opposition onely & objection setles formes of speech [.?]\ it is Not to be wondered at, for when was any new Invention produced perfect at ffirst? And If he carryed his Confidence too ffarr, In being very positive In subject's w^{ch} cannot be Ex= amined; it is Excusable on acc° of humane fraile[=?] ty, for who Ever alone subdued all his owne p^r = judices. Therefore to him wee Must owne the Invention of or Moderne philosofy, Whatever Cry hath bin raised, and yet Continues to the p^rjudice of his works, as If he were an heretick and author of a pernicious sect rather then a philosofer

¹³⁶ Nicolas Copernicus (1473-1543), Polish mathematician and astronomer, whose analysis of stellar and planetary movements led him to propose a heliocentric model.

76 g

philosofer.

It hath often fallen In My Mind to observe, the ill usage this author hath Met with in $y^{\rm e}$ world, $w^{\rm ch}$ ly's cheifly In this, that Notwithstanding they ow the light of their Eys In great measure to him, and run away with his Notions Refining on them, & then Calling them their owne, they are Not Con= tent, not onely to allow him Nothing, but to depreciate & Revile him; And this by No one More then his Notorious plagiary's. Instances of this are plaine and Many; as that process of the Earth's formation; w^{ch} Cartesius Modestly owns but as a possibility, & Not as truth, Supposing onely that Such principles as he advanceth, would at this time out of such Materialls as a Caos, produce such a thing as y^e Earth is. But D^r . Burnett with his theo= ria telluris sacra, 137 Makes this his Invention /it/ /will have ye cration [ruled sumesuch?] way as he hath projected\, & En= gages Moses to vouch it. And at $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ Same time with y^e Greatest arrogance, despises & Reviles his Master And a like traine of others of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same Meal wee have spinning out of Cartesius flax, Neither ow= ning nor so Much as well speaking of their author. In like manner Cartesius /also\ professed to treat Natu= rall thing's More geometrico, and pretended to Es= tablish them upon principles of Equall Certeinty as Mathematicall propositions. Then Comes forth $M^{\rm r}.$ Newton, with a book, bearing that very title, 138 and

¹³⁷ Thomas Burnett (1635-1715), *Telluris Theoria Sacra, etc.*, (first Latin edition) London, 1681. See the similar argument made in the '[Essay] on Authoritys', in Add MS 32546, in particular regarding Burnett, at f. 221v.

 $^{^{\}rm 138}$ i.e., Newton's Philosophia Naturalis Principia Mathematica, first published in 1686/7.

and how well he useth Cartesius, after his using so Much as he doth of his ph philosofy, May be Shewed afterwards. I shall conclude this discours with Noting some generall thing's In \boldsymbol{y}^e principles of cartesius, with the caracters of them, and the vertues or failings. And first that of the plenitude of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} World. this is one matter wherein the latter philosofers batter cartesius; and I know No one argument agt it, but one, wch is ye difficulty of Mo= tion, $w^{\rm ch}$ I shall ans r In another place, ffor I am In this of y^e Cartesian faction. The advantage taken is from Expression's, or manners of Arguing. ffor Says cartesius, Extension and body are y^e same thing. a vacuity is a Contradiction & Impossible, for If No= thing /is\ between any 2. bodys, (as y^{e} Sides if a vessell.) then they Must touch. Now say they, wee have a Notion of space, devided from that of body, Ergo it is possi= ble. /And If possible why should it not be? weh so say they \ and Extended body is one thing, & space Extended without body another. And So /thus\ the/y\ party's differ. Car= tesius argues from the thing; wee know Nothing of body but Extension, why Should wee suppose any Ex= tension yt is Not body? or why should wee assigne to body any other /different\ Essence /or Intrinsick property\ since wee have No dis= covery or proof of other then Extension /all other propertys are deprivable but that holds thro all possible tryalls. \. If men will assigne to body any essence apart from Exten= sion, or /any\ Inherent Nature or Quality's, as principles or hypotheses, to serve turnes in Resolving Natu= rall Questions, they are all Gratis dicta, & May be denyed

most part, 139

 139 It is not unusual for RN to leave a marginal note to himself, or even to jot down an idea in the margin as it springs to mind.

95v

77 .i.

denyed as readily as assumed; and there is No End or certeinty, If wee goe upon suppositions of thing's wee have No experiment of, Especiall/y\ If that be /meer extension\, as he affirmes, /be \ Sufficient to /ans^ all $\underline{porposes}$ /occasions \ In Naturall science. The other's argue onely from their owne Imagination that is, that becaus wee have an Idea of vacuum or Space apart from body, therefore it is. This May be said to be but a vulgar, & Not a philosofi= call way of arguing, for what wee can thinck /Imagin\ or Not, thinck, Signifies Nothing to the truth /reall existence of things /w^{ch}\ and It may /or May not\ be /what Every formes ffly In our Imagination\ EitherWay, for all that, or at least our Imagination /of things\ is No Necessity /of the\ Nor Indeed so Much as an argument /of their Existence\ And that Idea /we have\ of a vacuum, is In truth but and Idea of transparency /or [Insibility?] as\, becaus wee see /or feel\ not that w^{ch} is in a vessell, wee argue there May /it is\ possibly/e/ /there may/ be Nothing /at all/ in it. And If our vision and touch were so Nice, that wee Could Not & /Nor\ Ever yet Could by any of our Sences perceiv an (idea of) Emptyness wee had /had\ No Notion of it, and y^{e} Same argument had held ye Contrary way, vist that wee Could Not Imagin a vacuum; So In /therefore there was none; so ffor our Notion of \ time, our argum't that. it is /a thing\ Ceasless, is /wholly\ taken from our /built upon like\ Imagination. w^{eh} /for\ being Continually sensible of time, wee cannot Conceiv any beginning Θr End or lacune in it /to be\ possible. And If /by such accidentall means in time as Instance\ wee had perceived, Such seeming voids in /of time, as /by an empty vessell Repleat only with air wee doe of body, /like as in vessells full onely with air, wee had /had\ a like Idea of No time, as we have of No body, & argued from it as strongly. $/w^{\rm ch}$ shews how vain it is to argue from Imagination $\$

96v k.

Therefore Cartesius seem's to have the better of the philosoficall problem, as grounding on thing's (so farr as he Concludes) certein, against y^e adversa= rys, that have No argument but their owne $p^{\mathtt{r}} \mathtt{ju} \mathtt{=} / \mathtt{Imagination}$ dice, or opinion, as If Nature were to follow human Imagination, w^{ch} wee know chimericall & Confu= ted in 1000 Instances. And /as\ for y^e Instance /assertion that\ Θ/i \f a ves= sell /be\ supposed Empty /therefore y^e sides touch\ that is Indeed a Sume of Words & No /& litle\ argument, & rather /but serves as\ a peice of witt /rather then argument\; for it is concluded by others becaus If there can be No va= /the question is whither they \ cuum the vessell cannot be Empty; or in that state /touch or no; yet it is scarce easy possible in termes, to say what\ a vessell /keeps parts ye sides asunder\./when nothing, (and Space meer empty is /mere \ Nothing) /is\ between them; such puzles will happen, when reality's are argued onely from fancey. As to the Case of Motion, they say $y^{\rm t}$ In $y^{\rm e}$ winding about of body's, as must happen In promiscous Movement, there Must be angular Spaces, Infinite= ly Small, w^{ch} cannot have part's formed exactly to fill them. therefore there Can be No Motion without vacuity's Interspers't. I answer. 1. that Admitting vacuity In ye World, yet the same diffi= culty Remains, ffor ye Matter of our World Rolling about a Center, hath a perpetuall Crowding from it, so that If there /be\ any vacuity It would be about y^e Center /of y^e Motion, that is, y^e sun.\. but It is certain y^t force of Recess from the Center /combined of all $y^{\rm e}$ Intermediate substances\ is Stronger then $y^{\rm e}$ Movement of /any\ any /some \ subtile part of matter; whereby If In turning /to Reconcile Motion \ /it is necessary\ the Small body's, Must Make way against that /Imens\ force, to Gaine Room, litle motion /swing of things\ would Remaine. /In y^e World∖ but.

78 £

but then. 2. I ans^r the objection fully, by alledging an /an\ actuall Minuteness of body to Infinite. It is Matter of ordinary dicours, that Matter is devisible ad Infinitum; wherein they mean /Intend\ Mentally, but Not by any ad actuall means; And I doe Not suppose otherwise. But yet however it Comes to be so, I must Account that there is No limitts In ye actuall Smal= ness of matter, but /And affirme In $y^{\rm e}$ Mathematitians phrase, that there is /here & there & lalmost Every where /Intersperst Matter In=tersperst Smaller then any space assignable /that can happen\ So as No space can happen /be assigned\ so small, but Matter of Conge= ries of matter is at hand /much smaller\ to Supply it. And. 3. I Say that admitt, /some\ body's that touch May Not happen to devide /againe for want of apt matter to Interpose, yet other's may Not be so [pent?], so that Motion May be In some Instances Impeded, & In others free & those /[a?]gaine If parts cohere for want of accomodating one sort of Motion, another may part $y^t \backslash^{140}$ Enough to maintain y^e action of y^e World. 4. lastly It is Impossible that Influences should be conveyed to & fro, from all part's of ye univers as wee perceiv, In $y^{\rm e}$ forme of light. If Empty spaces posses't the Greater part of it, as Mr. N. most p*sumptuously /amazingly\ Insinuates. w^{ch} matter is discust in a proper dis= cours of light.¹⁴¹ It is to Make all /Naturall\ knowledg & p*ten= sion to it, as to Naturall causes, the advancing such /the\ contradictions, as he maintaines of that sort /are stupendious\ ffor /for Not onely /Makes natural knowledg in y^{e} [....?] of light /w^{ch} [he?] say's is corpuscle but\ but / In other Respects [is sett?] up\ attractive, dispersive, centripetall centrifugall &c. powers $/ \texttt{w}^{eh} \backslash$ are to work Effectually a [thwart?] meer vacuity's; but of these in

¹⁴⁰ It is not clear how this additional text fits into the whole.

¹⁴¹ This reference to Newton offers an argument for a date for this section of the text as post-1704, the year in which Newton published the first (English language) edition of his *Opticks* (a 'proper discours', as opposed to the various writings on light that appeared, for example, in the *Phil. Trans.*).

98v m.

in another place, where I purpose, to bring together his Monstros tenents, by w^{ch} it will appear the Geometer hath Spoyled the philosofer. It will be materiall to My Intended discours of loco Motion to consider what our Notion of place, & what the thing /itSelf \ is, & Not amiss here, being Conducing to the Maintaining an universall plenitude. laying aside the puzles about defining or describing place, supposing, that however wee may Not agree in words wee mean \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same thing, My proposition is that place is onely Relative, & Not absolute, as Mr. Newton Maintaines. Whereby it is supposed, that If there were but one body In $y^{\rm e}$ world, at larg In vacuo Infinito, It Could properly be /sayd it is\ here or there; w^{ch} I utterly deny. If there were /Must Necessaryly be\ place without Relation to body, that is /absolute conceived by\ abs= tracting all body (Mentally) from ye World /and\ yet place that is to say here & there /place, meaning here or there, absolutely & eternally fixt must /shall\ Remain; /then\ Something & Nothing are Reconciled; or /Els\ Spas/c\e is a /becomes a necessary\ being, like the devinity Immortall, as having a Necessary Existence. And All the while, goe to the reality, what? wee Say Nothing, he says space; that is talking In a circle. space empty is Nothing, and yet Space, w^{ch} substantive word Imply's something. But take away one prjudice, w^{ch} few can shake of, but /for most\ Suffer It to Influence \underline{y}^e /their\ Judgm^t In almost all discourses of Motion

79 n

of Motion, and the Controversie drops. that is Men while they Speak, account their owne persons, as one of the termes, or as a standard for Judging of all place & Motion'. ffor who Say's here, or there but with Respect to his owne person as a Standard; and then In truth, the absolute space or place, $\boldsymbol{w}^{\text{ch}}$ of its self is Nothing, becomes something by Relation to his owne /the speakers\ station. Therefore all that apperteines to place, subsists Intirely with Regard to somewhat it is collated too /with it\; whence wee say here, there, farr neer, high, low, & $y^{\rm e}$ like. Thus hard it is for Men to abstract the Most vulgar of prjudices, and argue to ye Nature of thing's, from their Imaginations, prsuming that Nature it self Must truckle to our defects, and that Essences, possibilitys, & Impossibilitys must wait upon our Conceipts. So Much for vauity, plenitude & space.

There is another Speculation w^{ch} falls In with this, And it is of Time, w^{ch} is well Enough understood by the Comon definition of it /vis^t\ Comparison of Movem^{ts}. I have onely to say of it, In this place, that it is as motion attendant on body, and Mensurabe by y^e ordinary Extension's of thing's, and hath No Standard or Criterium, or other difference, w^{ch} doth Not arise out of comparison of dimension's, one Cannot find any Quantity of time, but by Some Quantity of Space assumed for y^e 'nonce, as y^e Sun's annuall cours. and that

99r

79v 0

and that Subdevided Measures the days hours, &c. w^{ch} tho very Irregular & Inconstant, as astro= nomers say, and perhaps In stricktnes Incomensu= rable, /yet\ serves the occasion, and y^{e} Mind, w^{ch} is thought /so\ Sensible /a judg\ of time, discernes it Not. It is an hard Speech but, In My opinion, very true, to say, that /If you\ abstract /all\ body, and time is Gone; Neither 'fore Nor after Remaines; And Mr. Newtons absolute time, is No longer lived then his absolute space, coeval with body, & Not otherwise. And what is to be argued agt this? wee Cannot Conceiv /say some\ but Whatever be= comes of us and y^e World, time must Remaine and 'fore & after continue. No Wonder, for Wee Ne= ver lived a moment, but Either senible of time, or (supposing wee slept, & felt No time) that o= ther any animalls were awake to keep the acco going; ergo time /as well as space\ is like y^e diety, Immortall & Inexpug= /Eternall\ nable of this way of argument, I have said enough and Need Not add on ye other side devine authority w^{ch} in words & sence prove otherwise $/y^e$ Contrary $\ \mbox{-}$ before abra= ham was I am. that /oracle from a sublime Example Inferrs\ is to being's. Not dependant on body, & /& Not not like us Creatures\ having all their /sensations & most of their\ thoughts from Corpo= reall Impressions,) as wee humane Creatures are, know No time; but Wee can Scarce, tho it be Gos= pell, beleev it, becaus wee Never felt it. In a word to Returne to or argument, that w^{ch} Exists wholly in Estimates of Motion as time doth Must stand

80 p

stand and fall with body, and that ceasing be no More.

I cannot pass by another Speculation \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} is of Magnitude; a subject yt hath Created much dispute & puzle as well as admiration /even\ among the vertuosi. As whither wee discerne or can have knowledg of the just magnitude of things: & $y^{\rm e}$ like. but When the Extended Spaces of \boldsymbol{y}^e world are $\text{Rep}^r\text{sented}$ by Relation of any thing wee know, as When the good $Mons^r$ Hugen's proved a canon bullet with its prime swiftness continued, would Not Reach to the Great dog starr, Sirius, 25000 years, wee are amased, 142 And on $y^{\rm e}$ other side in $y^{\rm e}$ Way of [decrement?], that an Inch hath Infinite parts, and No Moment of Quantity hath any defect from its Exility, but is Induced with all demension's and powers, as other body's have, onely bating for measure. but a triangle & globe, Incon= ceivably litle, hath all ye property's, of ye Greatest and (w^{ch} is More) is yet devisible In Infinitum; this /ordinarily/ is accounted distraction, and were it Not supported by y^e Joynt authority of all Intelligent persons, /Geometricians as well as philosofers\, would by $y^{\rm e}$ comunity of men /be\ Hist & derided. Now all these difficultys & wondermenrts will dissolve If wee Consider that Magnitude is Nothing, but onely Comparative. ffor If there were but one Globe, or triangle In the world, It were all one whither it were Greater or less; And No Magnitude Could be assigned to it, or ought truely to be affirmed, but that it is Extended or body

¹⁴² Christiaan Huyghens (1629-95) wrote a general account of cosmography, *Cosmotheoros* ..., The Hague, 1698. Although completed by Huyghens before his death, it was published posthumously. It was translated into English (from Latin) as *The Celestial Words Discovered* ..., (London, 1698) even before a Dutch translation. RN here refers to the chapter 'A way of making a probable guess at the distance of the stars' [vol II, p. 153]. The text is available online at http://www.staff.science.uu.nl/~gent0113/ huygens/huygens_ct_en.htm.

99v a.

or body. And all that is true of one Globe or triangle (I mean prisme,)pyramid) would be true of any o= ther's in their places if these were away. But When wee suppose, divers body's to fall In $y^{\rm e}$ Same view, then a New Essen's start's up that is Comparison /or ration\ and difference of Extension, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ gives Imployment to all Mathematick Sciences. The fault here is that wee cannot Imagin but /that\ one, /body alone exists\ abstracting our owne body's /w^ch will obtrude in our minds\ to Make another, & then Comparison Enters. But be pleased, and Say this Solitary Globule is a great one, prsent your Self that are vastly lar= ger, & then you say it is a Small one. But yet, Say you, Admitt this Globule In view, Increast by adding Equally quantity to it, then it is Not ye Same as it was; true, becaus you Compare it with it self, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is y^{e} Same as with another. So that turne y^{e} Matter as wee will, wee cannot find any Reality In Magni= tude, but by Comparison. ffor If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole world were Manga Magnified, all part's alike In proportion, No sensitive thing could find any difference, so If $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Same}$ world, were, by an almighty power, with all y^e parts of, or in it deminish't to a Nutshell (as I may Say) the creatures would have $y^{\rm e}$ Same judgm't of Magni= tude. The reason is they make themselves the Com= mon Measures of thing's; and such as much Exceed them, are Esteemed very great, and other's that are so small as to escape their Gross scrutiny, are accounted Even of feeble Existence, & ready, If any thing to become

81 r

become Nothing; therefore Nothing of Quanti= ty or Number is wonderfull. and a proportion of 1/10. is No More plausible then 1/10000 &c, If y^e fraction were Extended In a line Reaching to y^e fixt starrs. But from Comparison of Magnitudes wee are but Extension, In our Sence, arise Infinite vari= ations of thing's. as the differences of space, Number Quantity, weight time, & Every thing Els, falling under the sciences of Extension Called Mathema= ticks. And there wee are to look out for the Source and originall off all our variety's, In What shapes soever they appear to us, Whither sound, light, tale, weight, time & y^e like.

Having touched upon \boldsymbol{y}^e Mathematick sciences whose Sphear is Quantity or Extension, It Comes in My Mind to observe a comon mistake, like others that happen In men's sentiments of things, when their personall value is concerned. (ffor self flattery is $y^{\rm e}$ very head Quarter of Error.) And that is the high Esteem set upon the capacity of humane minds Exercised in Mathematick operations; & particular= ly In y^{e} way of Algebra, w^{ch} is y^{e} Most Compendious. And by late author's Exalted almost to pitch of devinity, as who will may see In Malbranch;¹⁴³ Whereas In truth In= stead of Eulogy's, he ought to have lamented the poor= ness of humane Capacity, to have Need of such wretched shifts, w^{ch} argue more defect, then ability. It is Made a Symptome of Ideocy, Not to tell ten, and really it is verv

 $^{^{\}rm 143}$ i.e., Nicolas Malebranche (1628-1715).

100v

very Nerl Neerly our Comon Case; ffor altho wee can count ten successively, wee cannot Retain an Idea of ten, without some forme or marks by $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ wee are to know it. If cards were made with \boldsymbol{y}^e stamps out of order & accidentall, It would puzle a Gamester to Count his hand, without telling his pipps. as ffar as 4. 5. or 6. wee have a ready knowledg, when the numbers of any thing are layd afore us; but beyond wee must choos some shape, mark, or symboll, to know ye Number by. ffor wee are Not Capable otherwise to know them, Nor have wee in our Minds any Idea distinct of larger Numbers, much less rations of other Quantity's, and Must obtein them by pre premising, & discoursing from what is prmised. And So taking y^{e} totum's 144 In peices, and putting y^{e} peices together againe, w^{ch} is but a sort of practick experi= ment (If I may so Call it) tho performed in ye Mind. And I can liken y^e Mathematick arts, ffor p^r eminence, to Nothing More then ye Skill of almost Every blind man, who /often\ can from touch & sounds discover as Much as many doe with all their senses, as Mathe= matitians by their, premises & discourses, /endeavour to\ supply the Inability of humane Capacity, as to all Imme= diate Comprehension's.

It is Not Improper here to take Notice a terme Much used by y^e vertuosi of all sorts, & that is Infi= nite, & Infinity; There is No question what is Meant by it, but /for\ all y^t use it artists & others are agreed that it Mean's onely, Repetition of y^e same Ideas Tn

¹⁴⁴ i.e., 'wholes'.

82 t

In succession, without thought of any End, probable or possible. as Infinite space, is the Ideas wee have of distance added to one and other, Infinite Number Much $y^{\rm e}$ same, as If an order of Numbers were Conti= nued as space, without End. Infinite devision, is a per= petuall Repetition; Infinitely small, is \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Result of Infinite devision. but becaus the word is often used substantively, y^{e} Notion has bin quarrelled, as If it were a certein account; and they have put cases of adding & substracting Infinites /& Eternitys\ and So forg diffi= culty's & Inconsistences, & charge them on $y^{\rm e}$ Notion of Infinite, as /if \ that were No better. There is to Much of it In Hales Origination of Mankind, 145 as is fulsom to read. /The error ly's In taking Infinite for certeinty w^{ch} is positively, no certeinty & so cannot eome /fall\ in any acc° or [-,-?] ration\ Cartesius to avoid these Cavills, used the word Indefinite, and In y^{e} Sence I have proposed, y^{t} is, No knowne termination. but that was onely to Evade ye lash of his adversary's of ye Roman faction, who would have accused him of Ma= king his Infinite world Equall or coordinate with y^e diety, /had\ he used that word, so knowne a de= vine attribute. but where is \boldsymbol{y}^{e} difference between ye one word & ye other; without End, or undefined, The Mathematitian's who will Endure No confusion or ambiguity, say /thus, Infinite is either\ More, or less, then any Space or part assignable. so that as often as you make a step foreward, they take a step beyond, & this In their sence is Infinite, and it is that w^{ch} /with cavillous people\ wee can, upon cavill /best Resort\ appeal too;

Eternity is a word of y^e Same Import, for Endless time

¹⁴⁵ See note on f. 40r, above.

time, Is Infinite space, or Number of spaces Revol= ved, and as space extends ante, & post, so Eterni= ty is a parte ante, & a parte post. and as y^e begin= ning, so y^e end of thing's must be y^e act of an Al= mighty power; ffor Nothing is so surely Revealed to be his will, by Never failing Experience, then [marg: *] that y^e World will continue in y^e condition It hath and so Every Individual in it, subject to y^e Rules p^rscribed them, till a finall doom be past upon it.

I Must distinguish Infinity Into Mentall, & actuall, the former is y^e Comon sence of philosofers who Suppose, for Instance, Infinite Subdevisions of things but this Mentally; for they doe Not say that it is possible to devide very Minute particles of Matter. But I must suppose, as hath bin hinted Elswhere, that /some\ Matter is Not to be /sensible onely\, but is actually so devided. and accordingly severed. W^{ch} in y^e Mathematick style is, that there is /some\ matter actually Smaller, then any smallness you shall assigne. And this I take to happen In almost /about Every place; as /for Instance within ye limitts of comon flint stone, there are Interstices ffilled with a= -nother sort of Matter, w^{ch} is finer then the Component part's of the flint; then in ye Interstices of that, there is yet finner finer, & In ye Interstices of that ye like, & So to Infinite. And you May as well find limitts to Mentall devision, as Off this actuall devision, And Neither hath any Ground or reason wherein /one More then ye other to

101v

83 ₩

to argue any limitation or stop. All ye difference I can find betwixt 'em, is that ye former carrys a Ne= cessity of devisibility, but y^e latter Not, as to /of\ actuall devision; But according to My sentiment of Naturall /And\ thing's, this actuall Infinity is of that /greatest use and\ Importance for Reconcile/ing\ as I thinck it doth, almots some /severall diffi= culty's /In nature\ other wise in-Extricable; nay the onely such /very difficulty\ as have hitherto bin /and are (according to Mons^r le Clerck)\ dispaired of may be solved by aid of that Notion, If admitted; weh /And that with Me amounts to a sort of Necessity, /for admitting it\ to be /more\ Explained afterwards In ye Mean time I Must owne, that this Notion /of devision May be Styled /or Infinite litleness looks\ p^rcarious, becaus there is /y^e termes Imply\ Not an absolute necessity In termes to admitt it, as there is for /the Infi=nite divisibility /there is\. And hipotheses Not proved May be denyed. I should Not have Introduced /launched\ Such a one If I did Not find Naturall knowledg doth /abslutely\ Require it or Els, It must be layd aside /and without it must be No science at all, \; for that / phisicall principles\ Cannot have principles as /are not like those \ Mathematicall proposition's have of /that is prcisely stated, \ Exquisite certainty to be Resolved Into /or data\; but /but in phisick\ there Must and will be some /and strong probabiliys, in many cases, sanctifie ye [precognita?] / / be\ latitude, of Conjecture, And all the phisicoi, Even Mr. N. that phisico-geometer, useth /& all others have it. And /allowed them\ Cthe Criticisme of y^e -matter is Referred to /Judgm^t is left to good sence &\ Candor, and Not to /without being suspended as\ Scepticall Cavill; So that If Such / Therefore\ Cogent probabilitys are presented as will lay hold /that volens nolens¹⁴⁶ [...?] our\ on ye assent, It /that\ must in some Measure Comply, but /may be enterteined with a measure\

not with /of confidence perhaps /according to y^e Nature of but as the thing is /as//it is more or less. problematiq, /accordingly to suspend as Inclin/e ation of /or opinion. I Should have

a

a fainter Inclination /disposition\ to this way of proceeding, I Mean to assume an hypothesis as may be denyed, & I Canno[t?] prove; If I did Not find all our Naturalists doe y^e like and More Grosly. Aristotles phisicks are all built upon assumed hypotheses, as that body's are Indued with a Motive faculty, & y^e like. Cartesius makes Great use of Globules, & particula^e Striata^e. M^r. Newton of attractive powers, all w^{ch} are proved alike. And If I doe Not, by y^e use I make of this actuall Infinity, Re= concile it to a probable sence, So as to Incline a fair opinion to favour, rather then Reject it, I Miss my aim.

This Consideration of devisibility, brings the Notion of continuity of bodys, Sur La tapis.¹⁴⁷ Cartesius hath a bold thought, Concerning the cohesion of parts, \clubsuit so as to /In\ Compound such /&\ Conglomerate body's /such\ as are \boldsymbol{y}^{e} ordinary objects of our senses. He say's that perfect Rest holds them together, $w^{\rm ch}\ M^{\rm r}$ Newton say's is, Merum Nihil.¹⁴⁸ Cartesius was so attach't to his owne way of expression, that I Question whi= ther he Could /Ever\ have bin $p^{\rm r} vailed$ upon to alter, (So much doth Intens Study engage & hold y^e Judgm^t) but It seem's as If he might have made more of his thought, If he had Expres't it another way. that is, In stead of saying, as he doth, No Glew could hold body's together More firme then perfect Rest, he had Sayd, body's Resting together became one & ye Same for

102v x.

 $^{^{\}rm 147}$ i.e, 'on the carpet' (that is, bring it into the discussion).

¹⁴⁸ i.e., 'mere nothing'.

84 y.

ffor Supposing 2. body's to touch, It is hard to say what difference there is between the union of y^e other part's, and those that touch, ffor it will be found there is Nothing but touch any where to hold things together. but this will Not doe, for y^e Question Ever Returnes, vis^t. What holds Even the part's of parts to= gether? This objection hath No bridle; therefore Mons^r le Clerck, lay's aside the whole Matter as demonstra= bly unsolvable. I thinck y^e Case Not so very desperate but very difficult, and Never to be Resolved with that certeinty & clearness, as many others are, but there will Remaine place for argument & dispute. It will be Enough, If wee can Shew how possibly It May be, & then wee may venture to say probably it is so.

I must first suppose that Compound body, are Made of part's subcompound ad Infinitum. That $w^{\rm ch}$ wee see In larger formes, wee may affirme to fall out in lesser, and know Not where to stop. There is a sort of Great Stone Compound of small round pebles, and a sort of Gravell between, and Some of those pebbles appear to be Compound of others, & those of sand, & [sic?]. &c. And it appears by y^e cohesion of many thing's, that they Insinu= ate Into ye pores of Each other, wch tacks them together, and is by naturalist's 'titled hamosity. The Reply is what holds the parts of those clasps or hooks together? It is returned, other hamosity's; and as often as the Question is made, the ansr is Ready. Now the Notion of Actuall Infinity premised, here are hamosity's, & Subha= mosity's ad Infinitum, the sume or aggregate of $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ must

103v z.

Must bring forth a generall cohesion of that lump; ffor where will you goe to find a loos? There is No part assignable without hames to coadunite ye parts of that part. If you say this is an Evasion, is it Not $y^{\rm e}\ Same$ In all demonstration's that argu are built upon y^e like reasoning? I grant If an attom be supposed, I /This were sufficient to ans y^e captious\ eannot ans "what holds y^e part's of that together, If /Returne of the Question, but then there are Inconvency's follow $\$ I may be Excused the Impropriety /In other Respects, as Reducing all matter\ almost to Nothing; there= fore I hold it but partly, & then this is My hypotheseis That The matter of the world is In its Nature, Not onely Extended, but adamantine, & ut unalterable, or as some say Indiscerpable. And that Conformable to things /And devided or separate In Minute\ w^{eh} fall under y^e Notice of sence, that is Earth, Gravell, /parts or body's, stones, &cae. I Judg that It is all broken /devided' into very Small parts; weh is without limitt, or, as I says, Smal= /or Individually whereof and such as the largest is wonderfully by small=\ ness of Matter is Infinite, and to our Sensation, /ness, removed from all sensi subjection Whereof the largest are so Small, that Were wee amongst them, they are all to Subtile for our Sences to apprehend; perhaps If Magnifyed, as 1/10000. wee could Not perceiv them. And Judging by what wee see & feel of Compounds /(what guid Els can be of things of some materiall unseen) \land wee suppose them of all formes & ,(In that Compass,) /of all\ Magnitudes, /& formes\ And both /those\ /both\ Regular & Irregular, cheifly ye latter, ffor ffew things are Regular by accident, however the approach may answer it, and wee may be allowed to say Globular, oval, oblong, triangular square, & y^e. like; for If they are neerer such figures then any other wee may pass them on account without prjudice. It May be there is More of Regularity among them then is here fancyed; but of yt the effect's must Incline ye opinion, /& that is\ Reserved, to our Consideration of Compounds

115 aa.

Here are divers things to be Considered, ffirst that of Exquisite hardness; This is an allow^d property of body, and never to be taken from it, for Every thing is hard, or May be Made so; The onely Ques= tion is whither there be degrees in hardness? Wee have Not Experience of any, ffor all the yeilding wee know, is from cession of parts, And therefore the point Cannot be Experimented, but argued upon probability's onely. therefore wee Say that since wee have such proof of hardness, and None of any de= grees of it, wee have No reason to admitt any. and Next the Cours of things in Nature doe Not Require, That one thing should be harder then another, becaus the subdevision of Matter, w^{ch} makes continuall ces= sion & succession of body's, accomodates Motion, on \mathtt{W}^{ch} \boldsymbol{y}^{e} action of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} World depends. It seem's that Matter Must be Either adamantine, or Impalpable. that is; If the part's will break away upon force, any force should doe it, & then there Could be No Continuum. If any /some\ force, what? or where should \boldsymbol{y}^{e} way first break. It is a comon sophisme, to say, that If a Cord be Equally strong, No force Could break it; becaus it is Not de= termined, where y^{e} Rupture shall fall. And the ans^{r} to it is negation of y^e fact, that nothing Extended & compound of part's can be strong Every where alike. or Els to Say a sufficient force, (& what is that?) shall dissolve $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{e}}$ whole all att once.

104r

104v ab.

So for the part's of Matter $w^{\rm ch}$ wee say are Not Compound but Intire & unporous, If a force (sup= posed) Sufficient to break any, Should fall, It Must Not be Rupture, but Contusion; the whole Matter Must be dissolved, & Not broken. for Where Should It break? It will appear afterwards that a per= cussion of one body on another, drives the whole at one Instant, & Not successively, or any part a= lone. Then If upon a Stroke a part Shall crush, Into what part's, or how Many? there is No Such thing in Nature as answer's our Idea, of amalgama wax or butter, In the Manner of Cession to ye touch. for that is meerly one part Giving way to another, as sand, or ashes: and Not Crushing ye Substance, Nor is any Experiment to be Made of any st such effect of all ye practick force can be brought upon any body, but after all it is Resolved by cession of parts. and No pouder Can be so small or fine, but a Microscope shew's to be & consist of compound lumps or congeries of Matter diversly formed. And what is it Gives to body, of w^{ch} Wee know Nothing but Extension, its Measure of hard= ness, so as some shall crush with this, & others with that degree of force? It Must be a severall principle, In Every severall body, and heterogene. what a world of principles will be found, In such a world of devided Matter?

Therefore the Shortest & plainest principle (& such

116 ['b' washed out] ac.

Such are Comonly found the truest.) that the pri= mary parts /of matter\, a uncompound or unporous body's, are Indiscerpible by any practick force. And y^e frame of Nature should Crack, as soon as one of them be broken. for hard wee know, but by what measures to Qualify or limit it wee doe Not know; therefore Wee take hardness to be a part of Extension, so as it is Impregnable; and that degrees of hardness are No More plausible then degrees of Extension taking it, In the same Matter & Magnitude. Wch is Nonsence, for Extension admitts No degrees within its limitts, and So I say, hardness is y^e Same thing and hath No degrees. Extension or body May be dilated in magnitude /or limits\, but then the Extension of Every part, as also y^{e} hardness is y^{e} Same; the Image of degrees of hardness, wee Receiv from our Sence of yeilding /to\ Impression's; some Compounds being More so then others; And that is ascribed to cession of part's, and Not a qualification of hardness. But that, as Extension, must be absolutely so, In Single part's, or body's unporous, or Not at all. Therefore I Conclude that such body's or part's of Matter are absolutely adamantine & unalterable. And herein I differ from Cartesius, Who Insinuates, that the Glo= bules have bin worne Into forme, & that $y^{\rm e}$ Subtile matter, hath bin knock't off their their corners, and ano= ther sort formed Into Screws to pass thro the Span= drill Spaces of y^e Globules; all w^{ch} I wholly Reject.

105r

105v ad.

Then Supposing the part's of Matter unalterable in themselves, the Question /vist\, what holds the parts of those part's together? so apt to Returne, is stopt, for it is answered that $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ Made them Extended. And the matter of Continuity will be More readily Re= Solvable. Many accidents will Concurr to it. 1. figure, ffor when very Irregular stuff comes together, It is Not to be wondered it clusters In heaps. for tho there be Not direct hooks in them, the hollows & prominen= ces may Interfere Enough, to hinder the Motion, or separation of them. 2. Rest. I will suppose a parcell of Cubes close apted together, It is easy to brush off some at ye Sides, or ye Corners of ye lump, but No Gross force Can Come at y^e Midle to devide them. And al= /or touch one \ tho Matter is Supposed Infinitely Small, to supply y° /part without driving many rather closer a [....?] wa= $\$ Comon occasion of Motion, yet It may Not Exactly /ter shall lift them asunder, when hammer's doe but\ [to me time?] Every occ /beat them together, And. 3. want of Gravity\ Wee are Not to look upon the case of lumps of Minute Composition, as heaps of Stones in building or otherwise of a Gross Sort Such as wee handle. ffor those are affected su with weight that /so as \ Even that tears them one from another, w^{ch} will Not have that Effect, In Minuteness; ffor there Gravity is lost; as wee find in water drops such as compose clouds have Not force to fall; w^{ch} pro= position is Explained under that head /as also Gravity\ This Makes a Mighty difference; for it is No wonder that parts stick together, when they have No weight to Move them. The whole Shall have full weight & Eff= fectuall, but /compound of y^{e} weight of all y^{e} parts, yet seperatly\ y^{e} parts are disabled, as I there shew. 4.

117 ae.

4. The plenitude of the World. this must be allow'd In Some Sort to Impede, tho it doth Not Supplant Motion. ffor altho Generally Interstitiall spaces are Supply'd by small matter even to Infinite. but accidents May fall so that /as Even that may not be. as for Instance a body Compact with Equall cubes, one that touches others Exactly, cannot be Moved out, for want of Such Supply. this difficulty Impeding motion, May conduce to the holding together bodys that Rest Contiguous, $\ensuremath{\wp}$ make some shapes of Matter, apter to Conglomerate then others. 5. Touch, It is Not clear but body's touching by a flatt superfices may become one to all Intents. ffor It is scarce possible to cast a distinction In y^e Substance In any other part, and as it is at that contact; and then according to what was sayd of the adamantine Nature of body, they Could Never be devided againe. but I doe Not agree to this; but Must suppose, however flatt, If properly moved, they Must be separate; for as one Can= not, as I say become two, so as surely, two Cannot be= come one. But yet such touch Must Egregiously Con= duce to y^e holding thing's together, becaus they cannot part flatt, & sliding onely. for flatt they Cannot part, If y^e World be full, and wee allow No pores, because the Sub= tile matter cannot Enter between at y^e Sides & Midle all at once; Some time is Required, for passage from y^e Edg to y^e Midle, but y^e parting is In an Instant; This is Not like $y^{\rm e}$ Case of 2. Marbles, $w^{\rm ch}~y^{\rm e}$ weight of y^e atmosphere, for a force superior to y^e almos= phere, Shall devide them, and Ether Comes freely between

106r

between, thro y^e pores. but In y^e case of unporous parts touching flatt, nothing of that Can be, and supposing y^e World full, No force can part them flatt or otherwise then by angular opening, or sliding aside, becaus there can be, (as wee say), No vacuity. So doth this /meer\ touch, meerly as such, conduce to holding thing's together. And /In this matter\ Cartesius was wanting to his owne Method, w^{ch} was to Reduce principles to y^e fewest y^t Might be, and yet Made Rest a principle of Cohesion, without giving an acc^o. why or how. but he had In his Mind Some farther glimpses, w^{ch} he could Not well, or failed to Express.

The Modernes Especially of the french, with whom $M^{\rm r}.$ N. seems to Comply, Make springyness, $w^{\rm ch}$ they Call Elater, or Elasticity, 149 a principle. That Many body's, Compound as wee know them, are Springy is apparent by proofs of their yeilding, & Resulting, but It follow's Not from thence, that originall or un= porous matter hath any sort of yeilding of the Substance, & consequently Spring; I deny, And I po= sitively deny it, as Inconsistent with hardness, & Impossible. as to hardness Enough is sayd. and as to y^e possibility in other Respects, consider that If A body stricken yeilds in y^e Substance, Either there is penetration, or the figure of the body Must alter by $y^{\rm e}$ part's giving way to one & other. the former will Not be prtended; then ye other way Must take, and a Globe become an ovall. this must be done bv

106v af.

¹⁴⁹ See note on f. 87r, above.

118 ag.

by the parts protruding some, and sliding by, or lightly displacing others, So that No one part in y^e whole body kept place with Respect to the Rest. Whereby $y^{\rm e}$ forme of $y^{\rm e}$ whole is altered; and the sides of y^{e} Globe, at and against y^{e} touch, some neerer and the alternate sides depart farther from Each other, Whereby an Elliptick sollid is formed out of a Globe. Now I would demand of the Witt of man to tell me what caus there is to Reduce this ovall Solid bag back againe to a Globular shape. It Can= not be say'd, the Matter stretcheth, No More then that it shrinks, and Nothing but dislocation, w^{ch} will demand as active a caus for a Restitution can give such a forme, as is Necessary to such a Spring. If they say it is a quality or principle in body \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} hath that Effect; Gratis dictum, No More's to be sayd. Therefore wee must take it ffor Granted that Sprin= gyness is the effect of Composition, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} being a Subject of great Importance & Nicety in philosofy, I designe a place Express for declaring the manner of all springyness In Body's solid /fixt\ or fluid. but I must Needs observe the authors $y^{\rm t}$ Court this Quality of sprin= gyness, as Inherent in body, assigne it severall de= grees, and allow one Extream to be strong as Infi= nitum, w^{ch} hath y^e Strongest Reesult, & In vacuo, say they , Equall with the movement of y^e percussion. Now if Exquisite hard yeilds consequentially a Springyness, as they Seem to Grant, what Need of any

107v ah.

other Caus or principle to be forged for $y^{\rm e}$ purpose of it? so that I lay hold of those effects of hard body as an argument of this adamantine Nature of body. of w^{ch} More will be sayd In y^e laws of Mo=tion.

I Must before I proceed observe, that this hypothesis of continuity, founded upon Irregularity of Minute parts, and y^{e} Effect of close Contact, yeilds us an acc^{o} how fluidity Maintaines it ste self, as wee see it doth In Much $y^{\rm e}$ Greatest part of the world. ffor to Say truth the Solids w^{ch} are onely in & about y^e planets, are like pin's points to ye univers. If body's touch by points the Contact hath No Manner of force to hold them together, so also If they are of rounded Shapes Globes, ovalls, cones, /cilinders\ & $y^{\rm e}$ like. (I mean Not with any exactness, but Inclining to such shapes, as well as other's are in \boldsymbol{y}^e Same Reason) one hath No hold of and are small hindrance of Each others movements, at least Not So Much, as others of /towards\ a Quadrate forme, And those touch others onely by lines or points, and So tend Nothing to Continuity. $\mathtt{W}^{\mathtt{ch}}$ argues fluidity to consist generally of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} former Sort Whither simple or Compound parts /& this shews that the Rounder figures have Greatest share in y^e world.\ But yet allowing all /the\ Reason's to hold thing's together, the action's of [Menstrunery?] and fire, $w^{\rm ch}$ operate [particulatim?], will discerp or disolve ye hardest Compound wee know. And when it is fire, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} consequence is fluidity, or melting, $w^{\rm ch}$ is the moving of parts, $y^{\rm t}$ Rested together.

```
<del>119</del> <del>aj</del>.
```

This is What I thought considerable to premise Con= cerning the substance of the Corporeall world. I Next come to consider the alteration's it is subject too, ffrom whence wee derive all our Ideas, the Creatures of sence. If body were all one mass, & undevided, It were like $y^{\rm e}$ ptolemaick sphears, or $y^{\rm e}$ Celum of Aristotle, 150 a dull lump of useless substance, But so farr from that by the divine ordinance, it is /all\ broken into Innumberable parts, and those held distinguished, by their Motions. It is hard to say whither the creation of Empty space, If such may be conceived, or Solid Extension, were more fruitless, If that devine Invention of separation had Not bin added. But this Extruded Mass, so dis= posed, with ye adjunct of sensation given to Crea= tures, produceth that Image [...?] /of beauty as well as order in y^e world wee dayly observe, and ever Invites to Religious Dutys. Considering that a sensible or arationall creature, dyes when Motion leavs him; and on ye other side ye World is almost all alike, having No Essence Nor variety but what is Extension, or the consequences of it, without colour, sound, prospect, or any thing y^t wee ad= mire In it self, And yet occasion's in us all these Glory's; Surely the wisdome of ye Creation, is In= finitely to be Exalted, beyond ye vulgar suppo= sitions, Nay ye Suppositions of Many philosofers /wch Riseth No higher then of y^e performing /all the [seens?] /universe of y^e world's or natures phaenomena by \many & forc't/151 contrivances as a small

¹⁵⁰ For Ptolomy see above, f. 20r; Aristotle's '*celum*' (properly: *caelum*) is his heaven or sky, a crystalline sphere encompassing a geo-centric system, like Ptolemy's.

 $^{^{151}}$ 'many & forc't' is set below the line, I am supposing that it fits here - it certainly seems to relate to the word 'contrivances'.

108v ak.

small mckanick Makes a clock, or any other Machine, Consisting of divers parts, & multitude of notches, & cava= tures adapted to Each other, of w^{ch} any one failing y^{e} Whole designe failes, & y^{e} Mass Returnes, (as to all such porposes) to its originall Element. All Machine & Contrivance, Even among us, argues want of power, and Not the Excellence of humane nature, that ffor Supply of Wretched Needs, Must find some Extraordinary way's; but to doe all thing's by Immediate force & with one act, is surely /[....?]\ the Caracter of perfection ra= ther then \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other. Then what an Infinite perfection doth it Argue, when it is made appear, that onely one Essence called body, or Extension, (for that is ye onely property wee know of it, & may be its de= nominator) put Into a Cours of perpetuall chan ge of place in small parts & devisions, Shall by No other or farther contrivance, but by Necessary consequences of that /one $\$ Essence produce /in the sensitive minds of animals $\$ all the varietys formes, and appearances in y^{e} world. 152 I wonder what makes men fancy, this opinion to be against Reli= gion, w^{ch} according to Right reason, as I Judg it doth most Eminently promote it. or what Need is there & why should folks strain as they doe, to p^{r} vent /ob obviate\ the Expectation of some curious person's of hav/e\ing /of gaining a Satis= faction concerning Naturall thing's out of this Corpus= cular Hypothesis? The case of the ancient's I touched before; ye world is much changed; Now policy, I would Not say pia^e fraudes, 153 succeed. what Els can be y^e Meaning that y^e Ecclesiasticall order, make it a buissness to batter

¹⁵² Here RN expresses the 'metaphysical' core of his materialism (and of his reading of Cartesian materialism, too). A counter-intuitive (or rather counter-sensual) paradox which has a rhetorical effect not unlike Milton's double-take on Adam and Eve's Expulsion for Paradise as being a '*felix culpa*', or 'fortunate fall'.

120 al.

batter an hypothesis merrely philosofical; as If it were an heresie. I am sure the Making matter of truth & falshood In phisicks, to come under theologicall ${\tt Govern}{\tt m}^{\tt t}$ Is superstition or wors. One would wonder ffor what reason, the greatest Hinter (I speak with= in Compass) that Ever lived, Cartesius, is fallen upon and those that doe Not rail Not at him, and fall out with Irrefragable truth's, becaus founded on his discovery's, are accounted a pevers sect, that are deaf to comon sence, as sworne to a master, and followers onely with a blind faith, & No judgment, and treated, with y^e civill address, of y^e Cartesians; Those gentlemen, & $y^{\rm e}$ like. and one, No Small phi= losofer in oxford, Shall with a more then tutoreall arrogance, despise all $y^{\rm e}$ drops from Cartesius, & scorne those that thinck \boldsymbol{y}^{e} pholosoficall world hath Received great helps from him. And another of Cambridg, Shall make it his 30 years Study, to Confute Cartesius, and consequently all his dogmata must be perfect¹⁵⁴ contradictory's, $y^{\rm t}$ one thinck's $y^{\rm e}$ World full, & $y^{\rm e}$ other next to Empty. the former thincks that the Rolling of $y^{\rm e}$ generall Ether about $y^{\rm e}$ originall luminary's (w^{ch} Must last long Enough,) carry's y^e Secondary ones y^e planets, as In a silent stream. y^e other will have them goe on by a vis Impressa In vacuo 155 (& Note y^e vis Impressa is a discovery of Cartesius,) and are kept In plaice place, y^t is Elliptick path's, by mutuall attrac= tion, & for y^t End sets up an Idoll called attraction supposing

 $^{^{154}}$ The above five or so lines seem to have been written with the pen pressing so hard that the ink has flowed more heavily than usual, causing slight blotting. It is likely that John Kiell was the academic in Oxford (see note on f. 30r) - it was Newton at Cambridge.

¹⁵⁵ i.e., 'a driving force in a vacuum' - in this section 'the former' was Descartes, 'the latter' Newton. The use of the word 'Idoll' just below is not only a reference to the religious/heresy contexts previously discussed, but also draws in Francis Bacon's metaphors of prejudice (the Idols of the Tribe, the Cave, the Marketplace and the Theatre) set out in his *Novum Organum* of 1620.

109v am.

Supposing that all body's attract one & other, accor= ding to Quantity, then w^{ch} Nothing is More fals, & Con= trary to Experience; for suspend a millstone, and a pistoll bullet, by long string's will y^{e} bullet draw from its perpendicular, & cleav to $y^{\rm e}$ Milston? I suppose none will fancy it. The former had found a solution of light & Gravity, by ye Recess from ye Center; tho as to light wee doe Not agree with him, yet have our hints of what wee doe opine, from him. the Other will have light Corporeall, tho absolutely a contradiction & Im= possible. And Gravity must be vis centripeta. the former found out y^e Reason of Rarefaction to be y^e parts by motion drive each other Into larger Com= pass, & smaller supply's ye place, ye other will have ra= refaction a Quality In $y^{\rm e}$ parts of matter contrary to attraction, & may be called Recession, that is they flye /from\ one & other. And In a formed polish't work, declares his principles all but supposalls, that is vacuity a= broad in ye world (I wonder how that Should be proved) The body's attracting one and other; and Some= times flyng one an other, with certein Measures of force, and so centripetall & centrifugall forces, professing to proceed Geometrically upon admission of those principles, and to have No phisicall Regards And yet In Conclusion falls to demonstrating other men's phisicall accounts fals, who If they were called to answer; would cutt short his process bv

121 an

by denying all or Most of his postulata. Nor is this a fair proceeding, tho he countenances it, by styling it analitick, as beginning Not with Experiments but hypotheses. whereof the fallacy is apparent. It is Easily allowed the best way to argue from Ex= periments to principles; and Not from principles to effects, becaus effects may fail. But to call at= tractive and Separative powers $\mathtt{Experim}^{\mathtt{ts}},$ is besides \boldsymbol{y}^e point. for those are hypotheses; for the experimt is that body's come together, and sometimes separate but that it is by vertue of any Intrinsick power, Θr /& Not/ from Comon Impuls, or /Externall\ protrusion, is Not ye Experimt but the /argument inference or, \ hypothesis, built (tho faultily) upon it. So he proceeds Inverso ordine¹⁵⁶ directly Contrary to what he professes. But I am too long here upon a comparison of this author /caprices\ with Cartesius as dogmata, (tho for Candor & Ingenuity, there is No Comparison) And proceed to draw these Reflection's to a Conclusion.

I had once an opinion, that is generall and af= fected swerving from a plaine & Just Method of phi= losofy, had bin y^e Result of humane Infermity, of w^{ch} it hath bin observed, that When any art hath bin by ultimate Endeavour's raised to a pitch, beyond w^{ch} the Greatest witts despair to advance; they will Not Rest, but rather goe out of y^e Way, & be singular, to appear Extraordinary and Not but just as wise as other's have bin, And so languages, painting, &c, when at y^e height of perfection

¹⁵⁶ i.e., 'the wrong way round'.

110v ao.

perfection, Continually decline, till a New Inclina= tion to vertue & true Excellences In Some Extraor= dinary geniuses happen's to Rais them againe.157 thus so In philosofy, after \boldsymbol{y}^e phisicoi had Subtilized as far as their witts & Invention would Carry them, comes Aristotle a transcendent genius, who found No [...?] was to be gott, by prosecuting the former Methods, Re= solved to Make a New one of his owne, different from all y^e Rest, $w^{\rm ch}$ W should be Inveloped In words so as It should be Impossible regularly to Confute him for Example, Motion, is the act of an Entity /in potentia\ as it is in potentia. Wherefore y^e ancients were [amused?] yt went about to Confute him, but ye Modern's & ye cheif of them Cartesius confuted him uno flatu, 158 by Saying, Nothing was understood of Nature out of his phisicks, & they were useless, If Not pernicious to all philosofy. however after y^e Revivall of lear= ning, that Method $\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{r}} \text{vailed}$, as being More adapt to y^e disputing humour of y^e Scools; Who In Religion also, would Entertein No dogmata, $w^{\rm ch}$ Would Not bear Everlasting wrangle. Then came in those great light and as lovers of truth, with an honest heroick Intention, to bring \boldsymbol{y}^{e} vertuous part of Mankind out of darkness Into light, Exposed the vanity and In= sensibility of the Scools, & the founder $\bar{\rm Aristotle}\,,$ In his work's concerning Naturall thing's. And threw aside y^e Rubbish of Quality's & Quiddity's, layd open the very Essences according to our clear & distinct perception of them, owning Nothing doubdtfull; but on ve

¹⁵⁷ This is a remarkably similar conclusion to that of contemporary art historians. Vasari expressed anxiety of this happening afer the death of Michelangelo; RN's contemporary, Bellori, introduced the notion of a degeneration of art through the imitation of masters rather than the imitation of nature (its negative corrolary was a slavish submission to nature). Although, such a view of the inevitable decline of an art or discipline is a commonplace, it does little harm to imagine that it might have been learned (or reinforced) in discussions in the studio of his friend Peter Lely.

¹⁵⁸ i.e., 'in one breath'.

122 ap

on y^e other side, to Make sure of some things, at first doubdted all things. And aimed at demonstration upon as clear principles, as the Mathematictians use; This Reformation must needs be Well accepted, as it was by ye Ingenuous and disinterested; but ye faction $\operatorname{ag^t}$ it hath bin touch't & is Not unknowne. however The vertuosi proceeded on that foot, & Courted Experiments, Even to another Extream, as our Royall Society's Motto, Nullius In verba, 159 testifyes. But after all this, the aforesaid Author is to Start up, with Geometry upon his Sheild, and fights for a Res= tauration of the So justly deposed Quality's. this I Say once I thought was meerly an humour, of Seeking fame and preference, More then truth. But of late I find, and am therein guided by the Excellent ability's of y^e person's; that all is a Meer Confederacy to depose Not onely the plain principles of philoso= fy that Cartesius useth, but Indeed all Naturall philosofy, and to Reduce all Speculation to the Compass of Geometry; And there Men are Encouraged to Expatiate as they pleas. And this I beleev may be done /by many sincerly, as they struck\ for $y^{\text{e}} \text{ Improvem}^{\text{t}}$ of Religion, and out of an opinion that philosofy leads Men to Atheisme. then w^{ch} In My opinion Nothing is More fals; and they Must Not thinck as I doe, If they Can conceiv ye Mun= dane Systeme without adoring. But perhaps It May Make men a litle too Inquisitive apo about Autho= rity's & power, and Not yeild so ready a Consent to some mens Insinuation's, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ they see tend onely to

 $^{^{\}rm 159}$ i.e., 'do not accept words', 'take no one's word for it'.

to aggrandise themselves. And therefore Ignorance of Nature things, makes way for Supernaturall $p^{r=}$ tensions. one great reason of this Jealousy of Mine is the Notorious practise of the Roman Hierarchy w^{ch} will let No New philosofy (as it is Called) be Read Nor by their good will privately studyed; & they have gone a great way, Where they have power, towards wholly suppressing it, and some amongst us, Inclined to y^e other Extream are practising y^e Same thing.¹⁶⁰

Now to end this digression, & Returne to Consider $y^{\rm e}$ changes & variety's body is capable of, so as to fur= nish our perception, with such Multitudes of very diffe= rent phantasmata, I note first that No change can happen that is Inconsistent with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Extended Nature of body, that is of More or less substance, conteined in limits of any one part. This is Called penetra= tion of demension's, and Nothing more generally disowned, Nor More constantly proved, by the Never va= rying experience of things. But then, Consistent With Extension; body is capable onely of these varietys. 1. figure. 2. posture, 3. distance, All w^{ch} fall under the like Estimate as body it self, that is Exten= sion. for 1. figure is the Extension of y^e parts one way & other, some more & other's less Remote from any comon point or Center. 2. posture, is When Some part's of a body /Respect or Not Respect or \ are neerer or farther /Removed \ from others. 3. distance, is Measured by body, or Extension \boldsymbol{y}^{t} may Interpose between one body and another.

place is posture & distance¹⁶¹

111v aq.

¹⁶⁰ RN implies that Newton was in some way associated with the extreme of 'freethinking' protestantism (and even Republicanism, or at least the pragmatic revision of true kingship); in RN's demonology this position provided an corresponding error to that of the other extreme of Roman Catholic scholasticism.

 $^{^{161}}$ This is written in a tiny hand at the very bottom of the page, as shown. RN occasionally inserts notes and memos in this fashion.

123 ar.

I might here have spoke of magnitude, & place; y^e former hath bin discourst, but In Regard I account body In its primary parts, unalterable by Increas or deminu= tion, or devision. I put it Not among y^e changes body admitts. 2. place, cannot better be Explained then by y^e very word, w^{ch} children understand; and If I went about as Many doe by going, as they say, between y^e paring & y^e apple /to make a to description of it\. I must draw it out of y^e former thre, figure, posture /&\ distance. ffor /y^e\ place /one body\ must be Mar= ked with Respect to certein others, there being as, I sayd, Nothing of here & there absolutely, and /as\ for dis= tinguishing between y^e body & y^e Room it fills, I have No disposition to such Nicetys.

1. figure Respects Either one $\mathsf{bod}[\,y\,]^{\,162}$ alone & y^e parts of it as was described or it may R[e?]spect divers body's, & that Whither continguous. or Not; As to the parts of Every body and the disposition of them with Respect to Each other, composing ye figure; It is well knowne how it may vary, In some Rotund, in others, Quadrat triangular, & y^e like with degrees ad Infinitum. And there Must be some one point, In position Neerer a true center then any other. $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is called $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ Center of Gravity, & for brevity center onely. The circular and Sphear or Globe, are defined by equality of all lines from y^e center to the Circumference. but /in $\$ other figures, some uniforme as Quadrates, oblongs, & ye like, the lines are Not Equally but uniformely distant from the center, that is when a plane devides

 162 There are two small holes in the page here (and in the corresponding position overleaf ... of course).

112r

112v

devides the body thro ye center, Equall parts with Equall distances & Respects from y^e Center, are found. But when body's are very Irregular, perhaps that Cannot be, and then wee set Quantity $\operatorname{ag^t}\nolimits distance$ & Make an Equality, as will be shewed, In the few proposition's I have of $y^e \ \mbox{Eternall}$ Irrefragable rules of Motion. That such a point is In Every body, I thinck will Not be disputed. as let AB. be $y^{\rm e}$ body that <diagram> Hath More Quantity towards A. then towards B. So as. 2. to. 1. then $w^{\rm ch}$ Quantity I Expose by ye points d. &. e. then on y^e other side is only y^e Quantity. B. w^{ch} is 1/2. d+e. then the distance of B. from y^{e} point. C. is to that of d. &. e. as. 2. to 1. then the propor= tion is. As Qu[an]tity. d+e. to. Quantity. B. so is distance B.C. to distance d. or e. $y^{\ensuremath{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{t}}}$ is A.C. then C. is y^e Center. Here is a knowne proportion, vist 1/2. but perhaps on other sides of the point. C. (for a solid Extends Every way, of w^{ch}. A.B. is but one) there may be a different proportion that Shall Not fall Exact according to this Equation. then I ansr, move y^{e} point. C. so that by gaining one Way and loosing another, It shall be placed, as Neer an Equation Every way as may be, then I Say that will be still ye Center, and who will know ye methods of Calculating this may Study Wallis de Calculo Centri Gravitatis.¹⁶³ But one thing I must note, that however I shall use, In diagram lines

¹⁶³ John Wallis (1616-1703). These appeared first in *Phil. Trans.*, No. 43, 1668-9, and in chapters IV and V of Wallis's *Mechanica: sive, de motu, tractatus geometricus,* London, 1670.

124 at.

lines /& linear figures\ as the plainest description, yet I shall mean Solids and planes. as here the lines Ac. & C.B. are Supposed planes. And when I suppose a body bisected thro \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Center of Gravity, It is meant by planes, and that y^e Equation, is between one side of the plane and another. before I leav this I Must Note, that it is Not Necessary, the center of a body fall within ye Substanc of it, but any Where Els according to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} forme, be it annular or otherwise curve, & Irregular. And then Where [ye?] /[&?] center-\ plane cutts the body, It marks In $y^{\rm e}$ Superficies a line, $y^{\rm e}$ Center of w^{ch} line, In y^e Same Manner Estimated, hath y^e place of y^e center. w^{ch} to Render less Confused let us suppose it, to be a point on y^e Superficies, ${\tt In}\ /{\tt thro}{\tt \}$ w^{ch} /and thro y^{e} center\ all planes Intersecting one & other devide y^{e} body according to $\bar{y^e}$ former Equation. W^{ch} point upon y^e body Some, Call ye Center of Gravity, becaus the body May be susteined upon it, with out Inclining any way by force of its weight, yt is in Equilibrio. as may be found among wrighters of staticks.

<diagram> let. A.B. be an Irregular body of w^{ch}
C. is the Center of Gravity. let the line
C.D. (w^{ch} in staticks is y^e perpendicu=
lar, bisect the body, according to y^e for
mer equation, y^t is so as distance from c. and solidity
on Either is taken in /cross\ proportion, makes an Equality,
I say (drawing 2. paralells. A.F. &. B.G.) the Equi=
librium will be at E. And Every plane, that Intersects in
the line. C.D. will bisect y^e body according to y^e Same
Equation.

113r

Equation, and the distance E.A. and E.B. that is from \boldsymbol{y}^e paralells on Either side, touching \boldsymbol{y}^e ut most parts of y^e body, are to Each other, as y^e substance or Soli= dity on each side. so that as. E.A. :: EB, distance so B.G.E. :: E.A.F. solidity. This is a difficult proposition to demonstrate, becaus Every Irregular figure is a severall proposition. But I Ground ye Con= clusion on this; that If ye same equation happens Not Every way y^e point E, & line D.E. must be Moved Some= What to meet with it, w^{ch} considering matter or Space is devisible in Infinitum, may be so plast plac't, as to produce y^e Same Equation on Every side, and let any point of ye body be Called ye point. E. or D. In Regular's there will be small scruple, and for ye Rest, whither it be here or there, If there be any point More Indifferently posited with Respect to all y^{e} parts, with setting quantity ag^{t} distance and the Contrary, that point is the Center, and that there is such a point attends every body In ye World, I thinck there is No reason to doubdt. Now I must here distin= quish to prvent Confusion, that ye center of ye body and ye Center of Gravity May Not be ye Same in Some men's sence. for If an heavy body. B.A.G.F. Equilibrates upon y^e point E. that is a Center of Gravity, so If suspended at. D. but \boldsymbol{y}^e Center of the body is at. C. And such a Center of Gravity May be Every point of the surface, but \boldsymbol{y}^{e} center of ye body. C. is allwais ye Same. Nor doth the des=

cription

113v

au

125 aw

=cription hold, vis^t. that y^e Center of Gravity is y^t point in y^e body, at w^{ch} the body suspended, Every way Equilibrates, for there may be no such point in y^e limits of y^e body; but within or without there is allwais some point or other, by w^{ch}, (supposing an (Imaginary) union) y^e body propt or suspended, would Every way Equilibrate. I would be Nice about y^e Notion of this center, (for I shall Mean No other, tho I say center of Gravity) becaus much will depend upon it, & this is all I shall say of [-?]figure, Respecting the part's of one body onely.

2. As to figure Respecting divers body's or parts, If they be Contiguous and Cohere, w^{ch} is y^e Case of our or= dinary Compounds or Continuums, They fall under \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Consideration of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} former paragraff, as one Single body to all Intents. If they doe Not Cohere /but Move\ y^{e} Case is y^{e} Same to Most porposes, as If separated; and the porpose \boldsymbol{y}^{t} destinguisheth Is Not of this place. But supposing them separate /or being contiguous unconnected\ then the figure is Compound of Each part, and of all taken together. But here No one is any Connected with ye other, and If one be disturbed, ye other are free, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is Not so in case of single bodys, for of them No one part is passive but it S all are So together. then of separate body's ye figure is perpetuall and Infineitely variable in chang, for It may be wrought, by one more, all, & each by Infinite various manners. So as the/is\ Comon figure of y^e whole shall be changed, but Nothing Els. now

114v

ax.

Now those who have thought ffitt to Connect separate body's by certein mutually attracting forces, (A Chimera ffitter for $y^{\rm e}$ Moon, then our Sensible world) have found it Necessary to find /obtein\ a center, Not by my measures of distance, & solidity Counter proportioned, but Consi= dering y^e attractive forces deminish as y^e squares of y^{e} distances, there is some point In w^{ch} all y^{e} solidity would concentrate, If y^e attraction carryed it thro, & /there\ that (I thinck) is their scenter of Gravity (as they Improperly Call it.) fixt. [-?] <space left> till I find some what more then Imaginary, to Connect separate bodys I Shall have No Occasion to Concenter them at all. And whenever it is that center's are to be found, it Must be onely where ye body's are materially Con= nected, as In Statick's, of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}\ M^{\mbox{\tiny r}}.$ pardies, has made Some use. And I shall have No other Regards here, but to $y^{\rm e}$ comon forme or disposition, as they are $p^{\rm r} \text{sented}$ to $y^{\rm e}$ understanding, of Divers body's separated from Each other, & this is all I shall say of figure.

2. posture, Is a part of the figure of diver's body's /of one body but with Respect some others\, whi= ther cohering, contiguous or separate; and It means the parts that Respect Each other. As a globe may maintaine y^e comon figure, and yet Chang y^e posture Continually as one side is Now obverted to another, and anon averted; If y^e body be Irregu= lar, or unequally Extended, the comon figure is also changed as well as y^e posture. This Idea of posture as well as that of place vanisheth with abs= traction of all other thing's in y^e World. ffor one Single thing 126 ay

ffor thing hath No place Nor posture but with Respect to Some other, w^{ch} In Comon thincking is the person that thincks, & Makes himself Not onely a Spectator but a party In all these Images of alteration. How far Measure of Space is concerned in this Notion of posture, will fall in with y^e Next paragraff.

3. Distance. The Notion of Extension, and of More and less are coincident, & y^e Same, for those of 1/2. 1/4. &c. & all subdevisions are Included, so also body is the Mea= sure of it self, having all proportion's Included In its Extension. And as it is Equall to it self, another May be Equall to it, so More or less. And by a Mentall ap= plication of body to a supposed space, the account of distance is taken. therefor from Every point, to Every other point, there is a stated distance, W^{ch} Considered Every way, compose the comon figure of diver's body's and partly y^e posture of them, (for distance [asof?] y^e parts as well as Respects, vary In alteration of position)

I might with good warrant have spared this devisi= on of chang, and left out, figure, & posture; & taken onely distance, W^{ch} might have served y^e turne. but Wee have such free use of words, that different Names seem for y^t reason different thing's, & with that for clearness, wee Comply a litle. But this I affirme positively that Body's of stated Magnitudes, are Capable of No Chang In any sort or Manner whatsoever, but of Distance onely, And that chang, and Nothing Els is Mot<u>ion</u>. <flourish underline> 115v az.

Now here wee come into ye bryars, being in the land of prjudice, /from wch\ I know Not one person, author Nor philoso= fer, tollerably free; but all, more or less, have fallen Into the plowman's notion, that what moves is (quasi) alive, & what lys still is, as it were dead. that is as= signing somewhat /of\ positive essence to Motion, as sub= sisting In y^e Movent; or at least some difference between a body moving and Resting, Intrinsically Considered; for who doth Not thinck the bullet that Comes roa= ring out of a canon's mouth, In a condition farr other then, those that lye Quietly by? Cartesius him= Self, who opened the way, and to whom is owing all ye Justice wee have of thincking about Motion, It seem's had Not thought Enough of it himself, but Must talk of laws of Motion, & so others af= ter him, and then of motion passing, from one body to another, & $y^{\rm e}$ like. yet to give him his due he fairest defined motion to be the transla= tion of body's from the vicinity of some to the vicinity of others, taken as Resting. And he also must ascribe a positive force to Rest, w^{ch} M^r. N. after, (tho with litle thancks to) him, Calls vis Inertiae. all $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ might, as some such way of Spea= king Must, be endured, for Explication sake, after once the true Judgm't of the thing is declared; It being almost Necessary to use ordinary Speech tho In Extraordinary subjects. but $\ensuremath{\mathtt{M}}^r$ Newton, hath distinguished in his Notion of loco movem^t so as wee Cannot agree with him; for he will have such

 $116r^{164}$

127 ba

such a thing /to be\ as Motion absolute, that is Supposin[g?] but one onely body In vacuo Infinito, that body Might be sayd to be Moving or Resting, Without Relation to anything up Els; $W^{\rm ch}$ is /all\ of y^e Same peice with his absolute place, & time. for all w^{ch} I know he will have $y^{\rm e}$ shallow philosofick Rab= ble with him, (and a man is surely a Doctor that jumps with them), So as I cannot but Won= der one of his depth of thought, and that Can accise Cartesius of making reasons of meer Nothings, should pitch on such Shaddows as have No essence, but In humane defect, and prjudice. Now I know much Must be expected to come after this censure, w^{ch} I engage shall be pure & honest thoughts and as much devest of prjudice or (In some men's language) Nothing= ness as I can, Conceiving \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole fabrick of Naturall philosofy to depend on a just ${\tt Judgm^t}$ of loco-movement, and that therefore it ought to be weighed & deliverd pure & defecate as possibly may be; Therefore I say that

Motion¹⁶⁵ is onely the chang of the figure po= sition, or distance of divers body's of stated Magnitudes, with Respect to Each other. This Str small description (for I am No /strickt\ logicall de= finer) affords much subject of discours, to Recon= cile it to ordinary apprehension; I doubdt Not but it will appear true, but many [witt?] not thinck there is truth Enough. therefore I first observe

 $^{^{164}}$ There is a small patch of damp-marked paper at the bottom of this and the following folios, ... and five worm holes which are not apparent on the following folio.

 $^{^{\}rm 165}$ The word 'motion' appears to have been written in a larger script, it would seem for emphasis.

116v

observe, that Motion consisting onely in chang Relative to others, without other body's supposed, of is considered correlatively, there is No Motion. It was Noted and /must\ here /be\ Remembered that, In our ordinary thincking wee putt ourselves, In $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ post of y^e correlative, and so determine of Motion as chang happens with Respect to our owne body's And when I shall Comply so farr, as When I speak of $y^{\rm e}$ Motion of one solitary body, I shall $R\!\!e$ Mean with Respect to our Station, as If wee stood together to Regard it, & what happened to or from it. 2. That when such changes happen, w^{ch} produce the Idea of motion, It may begin or End, as Seems In any of the body's Regarded, but ye Motion at Rest cannot be ascribed to Either; And to say that this or that moves is (In stricktness) an Insensible speech, or that $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ hath Nothing in y^e Nature of the things to $ans^{\rm r}$ it, but the true E= nunciation, that there is some chang between such & such body's. In figure posture or distance. So it is Equally true, to say this changes with that, as that changes with this, as to say this moves & that Rests, for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} chang is betwixt both and In Neither; As If 3. body's lye in a triangle suppose it Equilaterall, and one part is varyed from ye other two, so as to make it an Iscosceles or a scalene; It is Equally proper to say, the one as the 2. Rested, and y^e other moved, ffor y^e Conse= quence is $y^{\rm e}$ Same, Nor is it true to say Either

but onely

128 be

but onely that the figure & distance is changed, And tho In consequence of thing's, the Caus of Such chang may by accident falling upon or other, that makes nothing $\operatorname{ag^t}$ me, for even that is as vagrant a supposall; for if it is Not determined whether that caus be motion, or Rest; and It may, as comonly understood be either. ffor If ye whole triangule moves, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is Judged by somewhat besides y^{e} triangle, then an obstacle, makes or is ye Caus of ye Chang, as well as a Movent, supposing y^e triangule lay still; In w^{ch} language I Comply with y^e vulgar; ffor In truth, all thing's In y^e World May be judged to Move or Rest arbitrarily, As Regard is had to one thing or another, So as to Collate & Compare them In Systeme, as having Some Comon figure /and for that very reason It is In Neither\. doe Not wee all know that $y^{\rm e}$ book's upon shelves In My library are at Rest and yet (Respect ye Sun) they Move in ye diurnall cours, and (Respect y^e Starr's /fixed (to goe no further)\ they move againe in $y^{\rm e}$ annuall orbit? /and so may 10000 other ways\ Whereby wee give and take No= tion as we pleas, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ I thinck argues Enough that there is No essence In Motion ascribed to this or that body, but the essence ly's In y^e dementions of /divers\ body's Respecting the /comon figure &\ distances betwixt them. Hence it follows, that if one, Nay y^e least parti= cle of matter in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world Moves, there is No rest

cle of matter in y^e world Moves, there is No rest in y^e univers; If I may so speak. ffor the thing itself is chang, w^{ch} Resides in each part, & Not in Either. ffor y^e very Idea must equally concerne both.

117v

and as many different Relation's as may be made of divers body's In Systeme, one to another, and so those systemes to other's, or other systemes over all \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole univers, so Many severall sort's of Motions or Rests, wee may pleas to pronounce Reigning a= mongst them. The planets vary from y^e fixt stars, In Each planet y^e parts (as In our's being y^e Earth) vary with Each other, as Rivers, shipps, walking Riding, flying; besides y^e More Minute agitations of matter, by w^{ch} wee perceive, as light, sound, heat, cold, &c. and this all over \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole univers so that one May say Every thing Moves & Rest's Innumerable way's; $y^{\rm e}$ Same of $w^{\rm ch}$ is onely, that The comon figure of $y^{\rm e}$ universall matter of $y^{\rm e}$ World, with Regard to postures & distances, is E= ver changing, whereof some parts /or systeme\ Retein the mutull Respect's, and those are say'd to Rest, tho the totum In other Respect's is sayd to Move In w^{ch} speculation travelling y^e Mind about the visible world, soon branches into Infinity & is lost. And this truth will Ever hold, that If there be any y^{e} least Motion (I must use y^{e} Comon terme for brevity) In the world, there is No Rest at all.

That w^{ch} Creates in us the Ideas of ordinary Motion and Rest, is partly a sence of our powers; and par= tly p^rjudice. first as to our powers, wee perceiv things bruis us, by Impressing our flesh, and wee would Resist so wee apply our powers in placing & displacing & the like, w^{ch} creat's In our Idea /minds\ an Idea such as

129 bc

as Wee have of loco-motion; then for prjudice it is so Egregious, that our certein knowledg, will scarce lett us get y^e better of it. our comon Experience is, y^t some things move with litle force, or by ordinary means, and that others, not without some what Extraordinary. hence If wee chang $\frac{1}{2}$ /our distance or posture\ with any thing that is vastly Greater then orselves, wee conclude it is our selves that move & Not that; so y^e Contrary. As being in a small whery at Anchor, and A great vessell passeth In ye Stream by us, wee Conclude wee move & Not that. So when, In a shipp, y^e Crew is weighing Anchor, & Hales $y^{\rm e}$ ship upon $y^{\rm e}$ Cable, that Gather's In at $y^{\rm e}$ Haus, by how Much $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ is drawne nearer her anchor. one Standing by cannot but thinck the cable comes Into y^e vessell, & Not that y^e vessel comes on upon that. And Nothing is more Gross then ye Same Experimented in a windmill. Stand In ye lower Room, when ye Mill is turned round upon y^{e} post, w^{ch} stands In y^{e} Midle. and It is Not Easy to perswade one, that is is Not y^e Mill y^t turnes, & Not y^{e} post. for they verily beleev y^{e} latter. ffor they see often thing's turne within houses, but sel= dome or Never houses about any thing. And the walls of y^e place, argue weight solidity, & fixation In Comon opinion, w^{ch} Makes it Easyer to give y^{e} Motion to y^{e} lesser then to y^{e} Greater. Even y^{e} Motion of y^{e} Earth, is a Notion y^{t} labours under this very p^rjudice; ffor wee live upon y^e Earth & it

/& it\ looks bigg & heavy, Not apt to Move as wee take it, but wee are So farr from y^e Starrs, y^t they seem Small & light. that they chang position with us is agreed on all sides; but wee cannot thinck wee turne, becaus wee perceiv by a Constant con= tinuance of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same posture of things about us, that wee Stand Still. Another prjudice is, that When any one chang is $y^{\rm e}$ Caus of another chang. wee thinck the Effect is wrought, as wee work; \boldsymbol{y}^{t} is with paine or rather Intention of force, & strength. And So When diver's changes of postures and distances, according to accident, clash & produce others, wee look upon it, as /upon\ men In a hudle croud, or at play or fighting, of w^{ch} y^e Jostling is action on one Side and passion on \boldsymbol{y}^e other. And thus by our $\underline{\text{feep}}$ feeble apprehension's, w^{ch} are so full of Error, wee determine of the state of Nature; and No Wonder, If wee have so litle Justice In our Minds of Naturall things, and are so zealous In Maintaining our opinions, & pervicacious agt any Regulation of them, Since few or None truely dispose themselves to Lay aside prjudice.

Having thus given as well as I can a Just description of what motion is, wee are Next to Consider, how it [it?]. ffor as motion is but a mode w^{ch} relates to di= vers body's, so that mode hath submodes as Infi= nitum. But first In generall.

Matter as hath bin touched is capable, of all y^e modes & variation's possible to be thought off, Con= sistent with the Impenetrability of it. Therefore wee may 130 bg

may freely Contrive all ye changes In Nature, & body will Receive them, with salvo ${\rm to}^{166}$ its essence that is holding its bounds & limitts. So as all the old disputes of Motion's being contrary one to another, (w^{ch} Cartesius takes off by saying motion is Not contrary to Motion, but Motion to Rest \mathtt{W}^{ch} is as wide from $y^{\rm e}$ Mark, as $y^{\rm e}$ Rest) so as divers opposite Mo= $\texttt{vem}^{\texttt{ts}}$ cannot subsist In eadem Corpore, 167 & $\texttt{y}^{\texttt{e}}$ like $w^{\rm ch}$ all vanisheth as soon as it is /(& hath bin) $\$ alledged that Motion is Not in ye body, but In the Respective position, that is Not in but between them. And the same body is capable of Infinite severall motion's to & fro, this way and that way, without any Inconsistence or contradiction, as It hath Infinitely various Regards to other body's, & Systemes of body's In $y^{\rm e}$ World. And why Should this seem strang, when the body hath No al= teration Intrinsically from Moving or Not Moving. This is a way of thincking of Motion, as it were half way, that wee find In Most writters, Every One hath some litle others more, but None throly Conceives or Expresseth it. And If it be once understood how absolutely Necessary it is, In the Science of Nature, without w^{ch} scarce any one Complex action Can be understood or made seem possible, It will Not be denyed however paradoxicall, that body's have Infinite severall motions, at one & y^e Same time.

As to Modes of Motion, or body's y^t appear to chang position with others; 1. Swiftness, 2. direction, The Swiftness is Measured, as Quantity, by Comparison, as

 $^{^{166}}$ i.e., 'saving', that is 'as long as they do not alter its essence ...', etc..

 $^{^{\}rm 167}$ 1.e., 'in the same body'.

119v

as take any two Motion's, and Compare ye Spaces, the difference /of those on Each part\ run In ye Same time, is ye difference of their swiftness, Either in More less, or as it May happen E= quall. from these Comparison's, wee take our Idea of time; for ye Moments are distinguished by Continu= all New positions of thing's, by $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ duration is Noted. But If No chang happened among Extended beings there were No time; as it is with those who sleep, or amuse, they beleev time hath past, but for want of observ= tion of differences, felt None. But there is difference be= tween our sence of Motion and of time. for Motion is a Continuall flowing; but time is Compound of many small pulses; and accounted by periods or coincidences of motion, as days, hours; &c. according to ye Revolu= tion's noted in y^{e} Suns cours, clocks, & y^{e} like. And altho wee have an Idea, as If time flowed as most motion doth; yet I thinck there is reason to suppose it made up of pulses or points, but Indistinguishably swift. for sence of time, is Noting of different things; the act of sensation, that subsists In observing one occurr= ence from another by its difference. And If you Could suppose a moment, as also any duration, In $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ there is No sence of diversity, It is hard to put that Intervall Into acc°, of time. And that is the Case of amusemts & trances; And time being comparison of movements, & those measured by Extension or space, small creatures thinck time longer, then larger as wee May Remember, when children, day's & years

181 bi.

years seemed Not so long as when wee grew up becaus then our stature, was small, & that made all other (according to proportion) /measures\ seem greater, so it is very strong, as In paine; the time seem's long. but when pleased, our attention is less, & then time is shorter. But however our opinion of duration is, (for Most assuredly In our apprehension, without Resort to o= ther things without us /time hath No certein acc°.\) there is No Measure/s\ of Com= pared Motions is certein. as when a space is past /[run]\ ever, so all y^e fraction's of it, as is /are\ Measured by the Spaces themselves stated parts of y^e whole, Called halfs Quarters &c. so that Nothing is measurable in Space but y^e Same is In time, and time & Space may be Sayd to be but one Extension; And accordingly as spaces So are velocity's, & time doth but Cutt out y^e length's.

2. The other Mode of Motion is Direction, that is y^e Manner how spaces are Inlarged /or contracted\ by Motion. ffor In the Instance of a system of 3. body's in a try= angle. The space between one, and one other, be= comes shorter, but the latter & y^e third hold same aspect or position & distance. this may be by y^e supposed translation of y^e 2. together or y^e one Single, or of both, as you shall pleas to Regard other body's, w^{ch} may declare all or but this or that to move. Nothing is certein but that the Intervening Space becomes shorter; and that is the line of direction. And If the Caus of the Chang fall

120r

120v bk

fall/ing\ upon y^e one, (as supposing some competent force make affecting that onely,) Makes the space Shorten, then that body is say'd to Move, and the line between [on?] body & y^e other is y^e line of its Direction. And directions May be In straits or Curves or continue in Regularity or deviate as cases Re= quire, of w^{ch} in their place, but generally for re= Son's to be given, by ye word direction wee shall Mea[n?] that line, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ path of a Moving body, and ordi= narily strait; and there it is to be Considered, that The same body, May be mentally distinguished Into part's, w^{ch} may have Each a severall direc[=?] tion, as when y^e posture changes, by turning, as well as distance of the whole, Then \boldsymbol{y}^{e} direction is accounted by that line, w^{ch} is y^{e} path of y^{e} Center, and May afterwards appear Never to swerve, but move in a strait line, unless confined, by being Made part of another body.

Now it May be asked, how motion, that is Change of position & distances of body's in y^e World, first began and how it Continues. The ans^r to y^e former /this\ is owing to Cartesius, that Introduced first y^e axiom, that thing's will continue in their state, till altered by some Caus, & then Supposeth y^e Same Almightyness that created this Extended world Gave it that Cours of Continuall change wrought in y^e Most Minute parts of it; w^{ch} once begun Must Continue till Cau= sably altered. to w^{ch} this onely is to be added, that motion Is in No sort a strang thing, so long as do 132 bL.

doth Not temerate penetration, ffor I proposed for an universall rule, w^{ch} I know Not Infringed by any observation, that body admitts all manner of States and Condition's with Respect to others, till penetration clasheth. And when that happens wee must conclude that when body's approach /In any certein direction\ they will continue so to approach; /and If never touch part in y^e same manner\ but If y^e direction line Cutts Each so that they must touch, then it is Impossible that Direction Should Continue. And Some alteration Must happen; And thence I conceiv It is Not So Much the Motion as the direction, w^{ch} Makes the Inconsistency, changeth, ffor y^e Motion is Nothing in y^e body, but y^e direction of y^e approach, is as cer= tein as the body;s are Extended.

But before wee advance, wee must a litle adjust our language. When wee consider of moving bodys wee Comonly bring upon y^e Stage. 3. whereof two are y^e actor's and a. 3^d. w^{ch} is our owne, y^e spectator. and allwais supposed Resting; that is keeping positure and distance from all the part's of the theater, where these games are played. ffor as Mo= tion is y^e Chang, so Rest is y^e Continuance, as you pleas to give it Regards & Nothing Els. There ac= cording to this project, the motion is assigned to these. 2. body's under observation, according as they chang or continue place with Respect to our Selves. If one changes & the other Not, then y^e former Moves, & y^e other Rests, If both chang, then

121r

then both Move, all w^{ch} Must be Remembered to be arbitrarily spoken, and taking other Regards, that w^{ch} Moves may Rest, & y^e Contrary; but wee must at p^rsent suppose and speak as it one moved or both as shall happen, to our thinking, and is comonly is done, How ever y^e matter I stricktly to be Judged at larg, w^{ch} wee allwais Reserve.

Next it is reasonable to take notice of some hypo= theses Some have used, In order to setle $y^{\rm e}$ laws & Rules of motion, It may be less plausible In Judgm't then in termes. As that body in it self is Indiffe= rent to Move or to Rest, that is, it is Indifferent to Nothing, or $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ Same, what concernes it Not. for what is it to one body, whither another is Nee= rer or farther off, thus, or so posited; & what as= pect it bears? This is [bilk?] and all that is argued from it, is built upon Nothing. Then a difference is made between Motion In vacuo, and In pleno so that various law & rules are priscribed In $y^{\rm e}$ former, not Injoyned in y^e latter. as M^r . pardies say's, the least body shall move the greatest body in vacuo with Equall swiftness, why? becaus it is Indifferent to Move or Rest, ergo. &c. these are words. as to vacuum. If such a thing were, the onely difference to be made, twixt that & plenum as to motion; is that In the later More body's then that in view are concerned. for If a body be moved In a fluid as air, &c. It carry's before and behind according to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Swiftness a part of the

121v bm. 133 bn.

the fluid with it to bring y^e wedg-like action round, w^{ch} is but so much more body added, that is If Instead of one, a great Number of body's are driven, whilst In supposed vacuity, there is Nothing but ye body's under Consideration Concerned. So that taking all \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Substance together, there is a sta= ted Quantity to be moved, as In vacuo, but Not \boldsymbol{y}^{e} same. Then againe, the alteration the plenitude makes cannot be much, as it may be ordered, I Grant fethers or leaf Gold, or such light stuff, will be Impeded in $y^{\rm e}$ air, but substance gets $y^{\rm e}$ better of that, ffor towers falling, Great Stones Rolling they say meet with litle Impedim't from ye air, as May be deomonstrated, from $y^{\rm e}$ Quantity, $w^{\rm ch}$ gives $y^{\rm e}$ force, & so litle Superficies, $w^{\rm ch}$ gives the Impedim't. Then they say the force of Gravity makes Great al= teration; I ans $^{\rm r}$ that is taken off by suspension. As if 2. Milstones were suspended in long cords to high places, the motion of a minute or two of a degree neer ye perpendicular (/wch\ may be a Consi= /very dis=\ derable /=cernable space.) raiseth ye weight but Inconsidera= bly, & is scarce any Impedim't to such short Movemts, w^{ch} y^e proportion of a line of sines will readily shew. In fine I account all discours of Motion In vacuo to be a Chimera, a mere figment, and that whatsoever Regulation's are found in y^e effect's of body's clashing In their motion's, are Neerly Exact; and from the Inconsiderable opposition of $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ Medium to heavy body's disposed rightly for experiment wee May account them, as so.

I proceed to Shew that Motion being Created, Must have continuance, and be Regulatd by the Quantity or substance of y^e body's concerned.

It was sayd, that If the direction /line\ of mutuall appro= ach between any two body's, whither either or both were sayd to move, transected their substan= ces, they must Necessarily meet, and at the Instant of the occurs some alteration of that Mode Must happen /to Reconcile the opposition of substance to substance\. I add that the alteration, whatever it is must be in both, and Not in Either single, ffor whatever is sayd to argue it to fall in one onely is as true to argue it in y^e other, therefore it Must be in both.

Then take the case of Equality, A=B. Strikes it directly, If they adhere without farther, & Rest in ye touch, then onely. A. hath its Mode changed And B. Continues as it was; w^{ch} Cannot be, for B. must share in y^e Chang as well as. A. Then again The chang on Either side must be Equall to the Caus $y^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm t}$ made it, that is $y^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm e}$ Substance, in a certein Swiftness. therefore B. must be moved with ye Same Swiftness as A. had. And A, that had that swiftness must Rest In y^e point of contact. And No other success will answer the Necessity, but that Gives the Rule so, as an Equall striking its equall directly Gives it $y^{\rm e}$ same swiftness as it had & Rest's In $y^{\rm e}$ Contact. And from thence it is Easy to Calculate ye success, when both are supposed to move, either approaching, or following, but I stay Not to Refine.

122v

104 ap.¹⁶⁸

The Next case is of Inequality. vist . A. □. B.¹⁶⁹ Strikes it with any certein Swiftness. B. must Move with the Same, ffor it is Impossible to have More, becaus If it had had but So Much, there had bin No approach at all. and Nothing can be a caus of /and ye opposition never happened; But\ more then it / then. A. Instead\ of Resting in y^e place of Contact, shall follow with a Swiftness so proportioned to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole as the Excess of Quantity is to y^e whole. vis^t . as y^e Quantity of A. is to $y^{\rm e}$ excess, so is $y^{\rm e}$ velocity after to y^{e} velocity afore y^{e} Impuls. \textbf{W}^{ch} is all argued upon ye former reason; If an Equall Gave Equall Swift= ness, a Greater cannot give less, and there is No need to give more, becaus so Much takes away ye opposition. that for \boldsymbol{y}^e chang on \boldsymbol{y}^e side of B. but then As to A. If an Equall took away all the swiftness, B. that is less, cannot doe so Much, and by how much less? ans r, as y^e different Quantitys are ffor Quantity is that $/w^{\rm ch} \backslash$ opposeth, and Makes the Chang of y^{e} Mode necessary, any variation of y^{e} Quantity must be Seen in a correspondent variation of the effect. ffor. suppose A. = C+D. Iit will Not be denyed but C. &. D. have upon all oppositions some stated Effect; add $y^{\rm e}$ substances, & $y^{\rm e}$ Effects are added. And so the Quantity of any body as also the Corresponding Effect's, are as totum's Compound of all their parts, and what is taken from ye one, will be Mis't in y^e other.

The 3. Case is of A. \neg B. and strikes it directly. I say, B. Shall not move with y^e Same velocity for

¹⁶⁸ RN's 'own' numbering is never consistent.

¹⁶⁹ The 'strict' inequality signs, ' \Box ' and ' \neg ' (nowadays '<' and '>'), meaning less than or more than, were introduced by Thomas Harriot (1560-1621), an early innovator with algebra, in 1626. The 'unstrict' inequality signs (meaning equal to, *or* less/more than, with a horizontal line *above* the chevron) were introduced by John Wallis in 1670; the mathematical standard sign (still in use) adopted in the eighteenth century used an inverted form of this - with the line *below* the chevron.

for. A. being lesser, cannot have y^e Effect of an Equal[1?] how much then must it Want? I ans^r. as the difference of Quantity; for as A.B.:: the swiftness of B. after to y^e Swiftness of A. before the opposition, on y^e other side. A. was stop't by an Equall, that went away with Equall force, there must be somewhat Els hap[=?] pen, when it is stopped by a less greater w^{ch} goes away with less swiftness. how shall that be? I ans^r by Not onely a stop of A. but also Returning it in a Contrary direction. by what measure? I ans^r the difference of substance. ffor as the Excess of B. /beyond A.\ to B So y^e velocity of A. Reflected In a Contrary direction to the velocity of it before y^e opposition.

from these three Capitall cases, thus determined flow divers admirable Corrolarys.

1. That Body's that Come together directly, par[t?] again with y^e Same Swiftness as they Mett, saving onely, where y^e future direction happen's to fall in the same line, & y^e same way. w^{ch} is the second case.

2. That If y^e least body in y^e World Strikes the Greates[t?] It hath as true Effct as any other proportion, and the Greatest is moved, & y^e less Reflected in just Measure as In other proportion's. this May look strang, but is Not More So then true, and it is a defect in our ap= prehension, to thinck any thing lost becaus it is litl no proportion off effect, holds In all proportion's to In= finite.

3. when the difference of Magnitude is Inconceivable

123v

aq.

br 135 bh

as between y^e Earth's Globe and a Marble bullet, The latter seem's to give no Motion to y^e former (be= caus it is vastly beyond perception) but to Reflect with all the force Swiftness of the access. Here is the Ground of Reflection of one body from another upon Impulses. ffor a greater Never Reflects. Some Solve it by a Springyness in body; w^{ch} Supposed hath like effect, but body perfectly obdurate do y^e like from y^e Ne= cessity afore described. And a french Author is So modest, to Call that Spring Infinitely hard, that is No Spring at all.¹⁷⁰

4. The Greatest of opposition's is Equall to Equall, ffor If either side want, the opposition is less; In Regard one side p^r vailes, and proportionably with that

5. Body's have force according to Quantity' and swiftness Combined. as to Quantity I have spoke, as to Swiftness, the reason is y^e Same, more will have in Effect more. And the swiftness is combined with y^e Quantity. that Increasing y^e Quantity, every addi= tion brings also the swiftness with it. And double Swiftness, in double Quantity, is Quadruple force. The Notion of force is from y^e measure of chang as Is made on Either side, w^{ch} is y^e Effect, for that in force is more or less, w^{ch} produceth more or less in y^e Effect.

6. At the Instant /of contact\ the force is scarce truly Sayd to be in Either, but then, y^{t} is at y^{e} Contact, It is in both, & tends onely to a reseparation. ffor The opposition

[v?]is Inertiae.171

 $^{^{170}}$ RN might be being intentionally vague here, having forgotten which author. I have not been able (yet) to identify the discussion to which he refers.

 $^{^{\}rm 171}$ This in tiny handwriting, in the bottom LH corner.

124v

bs. bs

opposition or necessity of separation ariseth on both parts Indifferently, onely where is more substance, there y^e opposition is stronger, as it would be In Every possible manner of coming together, wheither one other, or both Moved. And all other thing's Removed, There is Nothing Con/Af\firmed true but that they Come together and separate. Therefore M^r. Ns. vis Inertia^e is but an Expression of a vulgar thought, and hath No true Science under it, but rather /Error\; ffor the vis is In both according to Quantity, & in neither otherwise.

6. Hence In all percusion what soever, the action is mutuall; and the Reaction to be accounted as the action. W^{ch} as M^r . N. Shew's, will be of Infinaite use in Mechanick solutions.

7. The separation of Body's upon percussion, is as it were a continuance of y^e Same process with= out stop or stay in y^e Contact /& y^e whole event is determined in y^e very moment of touch\. ffor according to Cartesius, If there be but a moment's Continuance or Rest at the contact, No reason can be given why those should part, then /there is\ that body's should move themselves. Therein Springyness differs from the Result of hard body's, foe some time passeth in bending & Result of y^e Spring, but None is y^e other Case

8. Hence wee have y^e Resolution of Mechanick pow= ers, ffor If a contrivance be made so as to Master substance, by advantage of time, y^e work is done, and this is y^e reason, of y^e proposition datum pendus Cum data potentia.¹⁷² but this is an head so copious & Im= portant It deserves a place by it self.

 $^{^{172}}$ i.e., 'the greatest weight with the least power', RN is quoting Archimedes.

bt 136 bh. Hitherto wee have Not Supposed other, but /that\ body's approaching In a strait direction, and Meeting di= ametrically, so as the whole force of each is opposed to the other; Next wee must Shew that /motive\ Direction may possibly /be\ Curve, and /yt\ body's may meet & touch so oblig. that the substances doe Not Wholly oppose Each other. 1. As to $/y^e$ Means of effecting Strait or Curve Direction /I observe the former is necessary /&\ allwais /succeeds\ In a body free /or from y^e Instant of freedom\ however ye /antecedent\ Move= ment is made /or works\. ffor w^{ch} there is these two Reasons. 1. If the parts be not Equally or uniformely Swift there is the Same Reason for y^e slower to /must\ stay y^e Swifter and y^e Contrary, as for /for y^e same reason as that $one \ body \ to \ /shall \ Make$ another /move or stay\. ffor part's Compounded act on one $\mbox{\tt \&}$ others substance as well as meeting from distance. And all that is sayd of Impuls or meeting, is true /ffor divers body's doe but touch, and so doe y^e parts of one & y^e Same body /also\ of parting, if any ligature /or connection\ stops y^e Cours, & y^t /may be supposed to\ be Shortned /even\ to an Imediate contact. for a thrust & a /draught\ or clogg, have No naturall difference. but /They \ If a body moves in any Curve, Some parts /must\ moves swifter then others, Ergo. &c.. This is the just caus of all Rectiliniar Motion, but It may be added, that motion is in a medium, that is in pleno, $w^{\rm ch}$ Im= pedes more swift the slow transits /& so Reduceth ye former . but I Rely Not on this becaus I take the Rectiliniar direction to be determined In ye Moment of ye Contact. /This will be granted in case of motion once directed in a strait line. but\ Then Say some, suppose a body deteined In a /curve as for Instance a $\$ Circular movement; and set free, why Should Not ye Same Cours continue?

125v

bl. bu

continue? I ans^r, first as before, and Next, that a curve. is but an Infinite-sided Rectilinear figure, as a circle for Instance, is an /one\ Infinite Angled, & Rec= tilinear. for y^e ang. of Contact, as Mathematitians agree, is nothing. and y^e tangent in y^e Contact, tho but a point is part of y^e Circle. then a body Mov= ing in one part of a strait line, vis^t, y^e tangent Must Continue so to doe. what should divert it? /In fine there needs no farther demonstration then this all motion and y^e direction of it is determined in a moment, and there is no curvitude in a point, therefore it must be de= termined st<u>rait.</u>

Hence it follows, that a body deteined in a circular movem't , and set free, moves in a tan= gent, to y^e first point of y^e freedome;

Hence also body's moving circularly, must tend to Recede from the center, for the direction being by y^e tangent, It must be /a\ force continually /applied that\ added to Reduce /can Retein\ it to /in\ Compass.

But of these Matters more Expressly hereafter.

2. As to Curve directions, I account them properly belonging onely to the parts of compound /single or continued\ bodys, /And such as are anyways connected as In [enginns?], it is y^e same thing\ ffor Every body, whither y^e whole be accounted to Move strait, or not, may turne round, W^{ch} is chang of position, and Respects y^e part's onely, and What Aspect they bear to y^e Circumjacent bodys. ffor if a body turnes, the other's are supposed to be at Rest; and If those turne too, y^e Same way and so as they keep y^e Same aspects; the turning vanisheth & is Nothing. If y^e body be supposed at Rest, the other's turne, but /be it\ one /way\ or other, while y^e aspects chang /In some manner\ it is the same thing. therefore wee will suppose 137 bw bm¹⁷³

suppose, the circumjacent body's, that is our owne /for one\ (ye Spectator,) to Rest; & so ye turning of ye other is declared. Now that this turning may be, and /that\ Ma= ny /nay Infinite\ Severall way's /at once, & all consistent\ is argued as before, / vist\ that any chang In y^{e} modes of body whatever $\underline{y^{t}}$ are /Not In=\Con= sistent with the hardness & place, are possible. As ye Body A. may turne upon ye center or axis. BD. <diagram> And at y^e same time from some other Caus turne also upon ye axis, A.E. & so /on ye axis F.G. &\ Infinite other way's. and so the path of any one part, as B. (for Instance,) be a /sort\ Curve & /or\ Recurved line formed by /upon all those /different motion's, with /tho with Infinite variety, and such thing's are ye work of Mathe= matition's to Investigate & bring to rule, so as /&\ to be understood /or Calculated\ If they can.

One grand proposition w^{ch} I take to be true In all cases of free turning, is that y^e Motion shall be upon an axis w^{ch} cutts the center of y^e body, and this /holds\ universally. In all body's, & shapes Regular and Irregular. This will be of Great Consequence in y^e cours of these observations; And for proof of it, I argue thus. circles are as their diameters, there= fore Each part moved round is swifter then other, accor= ding to /the\ distance /of it\ from y^e Center, and that Indevisible point /or axis\ onely, Is /Imagined to be\ Infinitely slow, y^t is Stands Still. Then In y^e Same body, the part's acting upon one & other If the swiftness be unequall, there is accelerating & Retarding, till some Equality take's place; And that cannot /possibly\ be by Equall/tion of y^e same\ swiftness, therefore

It

 $^{^{173}}$ RN has here crossed out 'bm' himself.

It Must /shall\ be Reduced to an uniforme Swiftness. that is the part's on one side of Every axis=plane shall have y^e Same force as y^e parts on y^e opposite side. W^{ch} cannot be, but by a Movement upon the true center of the body. ffor there the force is a= justed as was observed, by Quantity and distance In counter proportion to Each other.

I beleev a moderate attention to comon & accidentall movements will shew this to be true, ffor Nothing but a perfectly adjusted round moves smooth, ffor that hath a Regular axis Next the Quadrates, & other's uniformly Re= gular. But Irregular body's, Move perversly, as is seen when they are tos't in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air, with such a movement Impres't. as for Instance, the body <diagram> A. will turne upon a center at. C. So that $y^{\rm e}$ part's at D. Shall move very Swift, & those at A. slow, but then substance at A. makes $y^{\rm e}$ Equation. And Whither the whole be progressive or Not, this turning is on ye same axis, onely If you are to describe ye path's of any part's, with Relation to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} circumjacent Resting bodys, It is More complex. So that as y^e observation ledd me to \overline{to} this opi= nion of turning Motion's, so ye consideration of ye Reason of it upon \boldsymbol{y}^{e} former principles, confirmes my opinion of y^e turning with Conformable Exact[=?] ness, but If these Irregular Extension's of matter in the shape & sort's of substance, be considerable the Impediment

126v bnx 138 boy.

Impediment from the medium hath Great Influ= ence to disturb this effect. ffor suppose the promi= nences spread out farr & thin as a tail, or as an Arrow, having a solid Head & thin shaft, & perhaps feathered at the End. there the Impediment from y^e Medium is such, that toss y^e body as you will y^e motion shall be according to y^e extended length, & y^e lighter part follow y^e weightyer. therefore wee here abstract y^e Impediment of y^e Medium, or, W^{ch} is y^e Same thing, suppose y^e Materiall so Gross, & y^e pro= tuberances such, as surmount y^e Impediment, & Make It Inconsiderable.

And knowing how Much is ordinarily ascribed to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Medium, to trouble all hypotheses about Motion and Especiall of that founded on plenitude. I take occasion here to Examine that matter, ffor many Strang Effects In Nature may be Consequentially Re= solved. The main consideration is, that Motion hath force from substance, & is accordingly More or less, but Impediment is wholly upon Superficies, so that The proportion of the superficies to y^e Substance of any body, gives the power to y^e force, or to y^e Im= pediment, as it /Either\ prvailes. ffor If y^e Substance be great and y^e Superficies Not considerable, y^e force meets Inconsiderable Resistance from ye Medium, & E Contra. This May happen Either from figure or from Mag= nitude. ffor all oblong body's, Endways have Grea= ter force /w^{ch} is y^e caus battering Ramms have such force\ so If they be formed wedgfashion.

ye Ex=

127v

bp. bz.

 $y^{\rm e}$ Experience of $w^{\rm ch}$ is obvious & comon. Then as to Magnitude It is No less demonstrable, that Great body's have less Impedim't from y^e Medium then Small ones have, becaus \boldsymbol{y}^{e} superficies is litle In proportion to $y^{\rm e}$ substance. as. a Dice. is. cube. 1. superfi= cies, 6. (vist. as 1. to 6.) 4. dice is cube 4. and Superficies, 24. (vist. as. 8. to 24. = 1. to 3. So the proportion of superficies to ye Substance of one cube. being 1/6. take $y^{\rm e}\ \text{Cube}$ of double the root, and it is /but\. 1/3. no wonder that Great body's have More force to move, then smaller. Hence wee have divers admirable solutions. as. 1. that the reason small dropps w^{ch} Compose mist's & clouds hang in y^e air, and when greater fall in Rain. so. 2. Iron pulverised Impalpably, hang Invisi= bly In water. &. 3. the Celestiall body's as y^e planet's, or Grand Vortexes, have not sub obs= truction's to wast their Motion as lesser, of our Neerer acquaintance have, and so May persevere More. $w^{\rm ch}$ shews $y^{\rm e}$ weakness of $M^{\rm r}.$ $N^{\rm s}.$ Argument that becaus all Movem^{ts} wast, therefore the car tesian System /of y^{e} heavens/ cannot be true; admitt that waste one cannot thinck it Could be sensible, In 100000 years, whereof wee doe not allow, 6000, yet spent and by what should wee know or perceiv its wasting It may be ye Revolution's were Quicker afore the flood, $w^{\rm ch}$ might a litle answer $y^{\rm e}$ longevity of ve

139 ca. bq.174

of y^e patriarks; If that bauble of a childs topp be Considered, how many 100, turne's it makes be= fore it seems to abate; and calculate the propor= tion between that and the saturnian orb. w^{ch} May not be 1/10. of y^e diameter of y^e Sun's vortex; And the value of y^e Impediment subdubling, as the roots or diameters duple, And there will be found Small reason to argue any sensible wast In y^e movements of y^e planetts, In our Inconsiderable age of y^e World, and I may Justifie y^e Epethite, Considering y^e Magnitude, If I allow'd y^e chinese or any other fabulous acc^o that Ever was made of time. but so men will argue when a caus is Espoused, as with him that of opposing cartesius In Every thing, is plaine Enough done.

But now to Returne to the cases of Motion, w^{ch} have had a generall view, that is, the consequences of collision's in direct cases, determined by Quantity and swiftness; And then the nature of directions Rectilinear & curve, whereof y^e former belongs to free body, & y^e other to the part's of continued or single body's; This matter of directions and y^e Con= sequences of y^e Same upon Impulses, is a subject to be more nicely treated; And I must once more Respect that In the direction of Motion, there is so much reality, that the meeting & clashing of body's, depends wholly upon it; & without Such meeting, Motion hath no reality, but In <u>Relation.</u> <flourish underline>

¹⁷⁴ 'bq' washed out.

It will be needfull to pass some Items, $w^{\rm ch}$ will Not be Controverted, and /being Explanatory\ conduce to establish others, as.

1. The distances of all the body's In y^e world, & of the severall part's of them from Each other, are Cer=tein & [computable?], as Quantity it self is.

2. This distance is taken upon the shortest line, W^{ch} Joynes y^e Severall body's or parts, & that I Shall call y^e line of distance. And If here I /Sometimes\ take a body's as If it were but as /to be as\ points, I shall not fall into Error becaus I Referr all y^e Quantity to y^e center, and at p^rsent suppose that point to be y^e place of y^e body, & this will be made more plaine hereafter. but In y^e mean time when I Speak generally of distance & direction, It is Intended from centre to center.

[marg]¹⁷⁵ 3. This distance, May Continue y^e Same, or chang and that In all degrees of More, or less; w^{ch} are Called Swiftness; and is /are\ subject to multiplication & devision ad Infinitum, whence time is accounted & compared and may be taken In /according\ any given Measure.

4. Body's taken Intirely May continue the same distance, and y^e part's vary, w^{ch} is Called turning and allwais changes the position or aspects of body's; or body's may chang distances both of y^e whole according to the Centers, and by part's also at y^e Same time, & so by Infinite Manners, as hath bin Noted, without Impedim^t, or Inconsistency.

128v

cb.

¹⁷⁵ ' q^{u} ' in margin.

140 cc.

5. But to bring our specualtion's from Infinity Into Examinable compass; wee allwais Suppose a Systeme of body, w^{ch} is a certein Number as occasion May Require, & then Imagin all y^e Rest of y^e world annihilated; for If wee transferr our Regards abroad, wee loos all o^r footing, & that w^{ch} was motion May be called Rest, & E contra In all degrees.

6. When the line of distance between bodys changeth, that is they approach or depart, It is Either upon y^e very line of distance, or upon Some other line. And so the line of direction and y^e line of distance, may be severall. If the movem't be approaching I shall call it, y^e access, and If deviding, Departure; and the lines of access, is the direction of the body's approaching & y^e like of departure.

7. If the line of direction be coincident with the line of distance it is Necessary upon access y^e body's touch /In Some point of that line\, otherwise they may Come to a neerest dis= tance & depart without touching. As A. & B. ap= <diagram> proach upon y^e direction & distance A.B. It is necessary they come together upon, D.C.E. or some other point.

8. If the Contact happen upon Either Extremity then all y^e movem^t is ascribed to the opposite body and Rest to that. as If the Contact be on B. then It is A. onely y^t moves, If upon A. then onely B. & A. Rests.

129v

ef. cd.

9. If the meeting & contact be upon y^e midle point <diagram> C. then Each body moves with Equall velocity, If upon 1/4. as. E. then A. with 3/4. & B. with 1/4. of $y^{\rm e}$ velocity & so in all other pro= portions. Thus the Motion ascribable to the bodys under /in a state of approach, is determined by the Intermediate point of ye line of distance, or direc= tion of y^{e} access, In w^{ch} the meeting, or Neerest distance happens. To Give an Example. let A.B. be the <diagram> direction of both body's, that is the line of Distance & of access coincident. the meeting must be In some point of that, as before, but If the direction of B. be to C. and of A. to E. they may Never touch. but Come to some Neerest distance as F.G. & then depart againe.

10. The swiftness of access is Not y^e Same with that of the Movem't, unless, the lines of distance & access are Coincident. as the line of distance between <diagram> C. &. B. is C.B. Suppose. C. to Move & B. to Rest. and y^e direction of C. to be. C.D. I say. B.E. the shortest access, is less then that of y^e distance. C.D.

11. If the direction be a line y^t makes an acute ang. with y^e distance y^e body's approach, Els they depart. as If G.B./C.F\. \Box^{176} B.C. be y^e direction, the distance Increaseth (as y^e hypotenuse of a Rectang. triang.) by y^e Movement; and y^e least acute is some aproach, & obtuce, perpetuall departure.

 176 I understand RN to be using the figure ' \square ' to represent 'the angle between' ... but I am not satisfied with this understanding.

ce 141 cg.

11. If body's have /move in\ direction parallell, they Can never meet to touch, but may depart ad Infinitum and Come No Neerer then a line cutting y^e paralells at Right angles.

12. If the directions Intersect Each other, and the ve= locity's are proportioned to y^e distances from the point of Intersection, they Shall meet & touch in that point; whatever y^e distance from Each other be, as <diagram> C. Moving towards D. &. B. towards
A. If the velocitys be as y^e lines
CE. &. B.E. vis^t. velocity C.B. ::/lines\ C.E:
B.E. they/bodys\ touch in E. And In like manner, If the proportion holds Not, so as y^e body's doe Not touch the points of neerest distance, If occasion be are Calculable.

13. To calculate the velocity of y^e access, that is by what degrees compared with y^e velocity of y^e Motion the body comes to y^e neerest¹⁷⁷ distance. let C. Move in <diagram> y^e /tangent\ direction C.A. & B. Rests. the Shortest distance will be Rad^s. A.B. and the longest Distance. y^e Secant C.B. the difference. C.D. amd that Exposeth the velocity of y^e access as C.C. y^e tangent, Exposeth y^e velocity of y^e Motion. So that. tang. C.A. secant C.B. - Rad. D.B. :: the velocity of y^e Motion to y^e velocity of y^e access. If B. be Supposed to Conspire In the approach by Moving towards A. or B. or any other part, y^e Calcule grows more perplex, but may be found, and all that is true in

cases

130r

 $^{^{\}rm 177}$ The word 'neerest' is written over another (possible the same) word.

cases of access, will hold in cases of departure by Inverting $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ termes.

I proceed Next to Consider what alteration of the direction of movent body's upon mutuall Collision of them. for Such must happen, becaus the same Can= not continue without penetration; and If y^e body's y^t Meet must part, It must be for y^e Most part in different directions, w^{ch} is y^e buissness to Shew. And If I here use diagrams In plano, I must beg assistance of y^e Imagination to translate them In Solido.¹⁷⁸

Every contact or Mutuall Impuls of body's, is Either by a point line or superficies. If by a point, wee Call it the point of Contact. If by a /strait\ line then y^e Midle point of it is the point of Contact; ffor What ever is Argued to alter y^e Case one way is answered by as Much to set all right againe y^e other way. If y^e contact be a curve, It amount's to A superficies as If (y^e Curve Not closing) the 2. Extream points were Joyned by a strait. If by a Superficies y^e Center (according to y^e Notion of a center of Gravity) of that superficies, Is y^e point of contact; w^{ch} Stated, I say

The point of contacted is to be accounted (as Every Imaginary point in y^e World is) y^e Center of an Infinite Space Extended spherically Every. and ffor observation sake, account it the center of a sphear, from /some point in\ whose circumference Every direction of motion Comes, & Returnes /or goes\ to Some other. & this wee Shall call the sphear of the direction

130v

cf.

 $^{^{\}rm 178}$ i.e., 'imagine my 2D diagrams as 3D'.

142 bc. cg.

Every Surface of a body touched by another, is Either strait or Curve; If strait, then No body Can touch any point upon that flatt, but what Comes from Some point of the Sphere, or rather hemisphere of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} direction, whose diameter plane, is Coincident with that flatt. If Curve, there is Ever a tangent plane to ye point of Contact And No body Can touch another upon any point, of a Curve super= ficies, unless it come directed from a point In the hemisphere, whose diameter plane, & that tangent plane, are Coincident. So A plane Coincident /with $[\varTheta]$ and tangent to y^e point of Contact, w^{ch} is y^e Center, de= vides the Sphear of direction, Into 2. hemisphears. And that W^{ch} Respects the Movent I call the hemis= phear of access, & $y^{\rm e}$ other that Respect's the tenden= cy of y^e Movement, the hemisphear of departure.

<diagram> As. A.B.D.E. is the sphear of y^e direction
A.B. the plan of y^e Stroke, or tangent to y^e
point of Contact. C. A.D.B. is the hemis=
phear of y^e access, and A.D.E. that of the
Departure.

prop. It is Impossible that a body. C. Struck by a body directed from y^e Hemisphear of y^e access access; Should Receiv any direction Into y^e Same hemisphear, but it must be directed some where Into y^e Hemisphear of y^e departure.

<diagram> ffor so the body struck will move In a di= rection towards, & Not from the body that strikes it. for let the direction of c. struck be Not to E. or any point in y^e hemis= phear but to F. In y^e hemisphear. AD.F. let. ang. H.C.A. = F.C.B. Joyne. H.f. then If y^e point C. must move towards f. the whole plan, or Tangent A.B. must fall /in\ & be Coincident with. H.F. w^{ch} is directly ag^t the force from. D. and whither y^e Superficies A.B. or be it a tangent to a Curve be more or less Spread It is the same case, for y^e Sphear A.D.F.B. may be demi= nished towards y^e Center, ad Infinitum.

The Next proposition will also prove this.

1. prop..¹⁷⁹ The body at C. Receivs y^e Same direction <red BM stamp> from y^e stroke, from what point soever of y^e Hemisphear of access y^e force comes. As If the body that Strikes Comes from H. and the direction of y^e departure be C.E. If y^e force Comes from. f. it will be y^e same.

ffor supposing as wee doe, that y^e point of Contact C. Receivs y^e stroke, and y^e Separation In the same Instant; It is all one from whence y^e force Comes. ffor [it?] is No other but Contact upon a point w^{ch} allows No variation.

This proves y^e foregoing prop. ffor say upon y^e Stroke c. shall Not Move to E. &c. but to. F. then (construct [or?] before) from A from. f. must have y^e Same effect, so the departure /of C.\ is , directly opposite to y^e access of B. w^{ch} is Impossible.

131v

¹⁷⁹ The term 'prop.' (i.e., proposition) is used repeatedly over the following pages. It is often emphasised by being written in a slightly larger script, and often appears correspondingly more heavily inked, or bolder, as it might have done in one of the printed examples RN is imitating.

143 ci.

<diagram> prop. The greatest force, is from y^e vertex
of the Hemisphear. D. the direction being
Rectangular to the tangent of y^e Contact.
but as the direction Inclines, as to f. the
force deminisheth as y^e Sine, ang. f.c.G.
vis^t. f.G. to Rad^s . f.C.

Let the tangent or plane A.B. be Extended to ye pe= rimeter of the supposed Sphear of y^{e} direction /Then/. ffrom y^{e} point D. /to C. is\ is the shortest, & so $y^{\rm e}$ Spedyest access that Can be to it. therefore it is Impossible /for $y^{\rm e}$ force\ to fall more Effectually then from thence. but from. F. the shortest access to y^e plane is /not the direction F.C. but\ ye sine. f.G. and in every moment of ye access the same proportion holds between F.G. & F.C. Even Into ye Contact so that $/y^{\text{e}}$ force from $\$ f. falls upon. C. by a slower access, then from D. It cannot be Replyed that I assume arbitrarily the Extent of y^e touch, w^{ch} is but at. C. a meer point. I ans^r. There is an Incoative Quantity /or Extent\ between $y^e\ point\ C.\ \&\ F.$ and that is no sooner any thing then the proportion of access takes place; or In other words, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} last moment of the access is Slower from F. then from. D. And If from f. a body is to pass F.G. In y^e Same time, as /or/ force from f. arrives at C. it Must be slower, as fG. to F.C. y^{e} Sine to y^e Radius.

This Radius D.C. I call the axis of the force or of $y^{\rm e}$ access, and C.E. the axis of $y^{\rm e}$ departure, for like reason as will appear.

132r

prop ... Every body struck, at the Instant of the percussion is determined to Move in some certein direction. and the like Impuls shall allwais produce the like direction.

This is so sure, It might be wrote as an axiom, ffor Quantity of Substance is so exquisitely terminated & ever y^e same, can have no operation, but what is as certein & Necessary as its owne Essence.

prop. If a body be touched /Impelled\, Not Imediately, but by mean's of the Interposition of another, the direction of it upon such Impuls, shall be y^e Same as If the stroke were Immediate upon the point touched.

<diagram> As A by striking B Impells c. con tiguous to it at D. I say the di= rection given to. C. shall be the Same as If it had bin struck Imediately upon D.

This is No less clear, for what is done by touch u= pon y $^{\rm e}$ same point, can have No diversity, for y $^{\rm e}$ point is Indivisible, & doth Not admitt it.

prop. If one body touch 2. others or any Number and is smitten, there is the like argued of Every one of them.

<diagram> As A Impelling B. B. Impells C. &
D. as If they had bin severally struck
upon y^e points of Contact Immediately

132v ck. 144 cl.

The like holds of any Number of body's Contiguous one to another, thro all Contiguity's, ad Infinitum.

prop. If body's are Contiguous as above, and fixt together, so as Not to be Separated. the Influence of y^e stroke affecting them is by the same rule, as would take place, In case they were loos and Could Move.

<diagram> As. B. & C. are united In y^e point D. and B is Impelled at E. I say the Influence of y^e Stroke upon. C. is the same, as It would be If it were loos & Could Move.

This is proved by $y^{\rm e}$ former, but for $y^{\rm e}$ Word Influence It mean's No other, but the Effect of $y^{\rm e}$ Stroke upon. G.

prop. If in y^e former Instance, the Direction of C. and that of D. Influenced by y^e Stroke are Coincident, they shall Move in y^e Same posture. but If D. have a different direction from. B. the the Influence of y^e Impuls shall Caus the body's to turne as well as to Move progressively.

The first is plain, If they have both a Coincident di= rection there is Nothing to determine or Incline a turning Either way. But If. E. tends to [B?]F. and [Đ?]C to. G. both those tendency's Cannot have their Effect, but at y^e Contact, In a Mixt & Mutuall cours

133r

cours, as must happen by Each hindring $y^{\rm e}$ other, and drawing it aside from $y^{\rm e}$ proper direction.

It is to be Remembred, that a turning once began, fall's Into a movement upon the center of y^e body, however y^e occasion was: And this Instance of 2, extends to all Numbers.

<diagram> prop. A continued body upon Every Impuls
Is Influenced [so?], as Every part shall have a
tendency by strait lines from the point of
contact.

This is solved by Imagining the body Composed of Infinite small Globules, or parts, and then y^e Result is aeccor= ding to the proposition. for the part. E. cannot be pro= truded but by y^e [Intermediates?], one way or other; so of the Rest. Now if it be say'd the part's compounding Most body's are Irregular, & there is No certeinty but y^e part c. &. may be thrown, or Influenced awry from y^e direction B.c. I ans^r. 1. that such body's If made up of Irregular parts, are uniformly mixt, then I argue y^e Same, that what falls, /one way\ to cast y^e direction aside one way, hath as much to Reduce it by another, and so y^e mean of all Extravagant's Governes, & pro= duceth the Same consequent direction as If y^e part's were all Regular.

This proposition is complex, & uncapable of demon= stration from particulars, vis^t, y^e shapes & contact's of the several parts, such being Not examinable; Therefore it Must be allowed, that accident May produce a variation in some Instances. As perhaps the

133v

cm.

145 cn.

the part c, may be of such a Shape, that If loos would goe off by c.g. & Not by B.c. Continued, but other's would deviate as much another way, w^{ch} still Recurrs to y^e Mean. But If a body or part[,?] be Single and without pore, y^e proposition is Exactly true, for No part can be driven there, but by that w^{ch} lys directly between y^e Contact and it, and so Must goe off If set loos, In a line from y^e Contact Continued, this I say for caution, Not Meaning to advance Incertein= tys for Rules.

prop. The like is true of the body Striking, ffor that Resisted at B. all the part's are Resis= ted by that strait Comunication, between all the part's and the Contact. And as to all Influence of Impulses, they operate on both body's exactly In y^e Same manner.

prop. A body that Moves from the Contact, by y^e axis of y^e departure, hath Most swiftness of departure, then to any other point. /And &c.\

<diagram> This is y^e Revers of y^e prop.. concerning the Swiftness of access, w^{ch} being Inclined to y^e tangent or plane, deminished In proportion of sines. so here by like Reasoning. the swiftest departure of y^e body C. from that point. is. C.F. but If it be Not to F. but to D. then at D. the distance departed is D.G. the sine, and y^e Motion C.D. y^e Radius prop. when a body is made up of parts or other <diagram> bodys conglomerate. and is struck, whereby y^e parts are all Influenced to Move in di= rection continued from y^e Contact, thro Each part; the Effectuall direction, Shall be /in\ a medium of all those.

As here C.E. is struck in. C. and y^e Influence is exposed by Ray's directed strait from the contact thro Each point. It is Manifest that the Influences towards D. Incline the body to Move that way, and those towards F. Incline it that Way w^{ch} Influences of opposite power, must produce an Effect Indifferent, or Equated between both. So as the powers on Either side are ballanced.

prop. The direction of Every body In y^e world, that Receivs a stroke from another, is by a line w^{ch} Intersect's the point of Contact and y^e true center of the body.

As In y^e former diagram, C.E /passing thro y^e Contact c. & y^e Center D.\ shall be the direction ffor by the rule of centers, the part's Every way about that axis. C.E. have Equall force, either by Mean's of Quantity or distance from y^e center or axis, both w^{ch} are y^e factors of y^e force. then the part's towards. D. on one side of C.E. have Equall Influence & power with y^e parts towards. F. Ergo. &c.

But lett this be denyed, and Say the direction Shall be C.H. then moves from y^e Contact faster then y^e parts. D. ffor those In some sort approach it. w^{ch} will not be.

134v co. 146 cp.

This is a most Important proposition, and is that I have Not Mett in any author of Mechanicks, vis^t, one /comon\ -Rule for the direction upon Impulses of all body's upon others. Their discourses have bin most of Globes, w^{ch} are Regular, as may be seen by M. pardies who hath bin y^e Greatest dabler In these Matters. and of Such the Case is Notorious, as upon the center diameters of cubes & y^e like, but this Goes to all universally.

I know there will be objected, that the Turning w^{ch} is consequent of Some Impulses, breaks all our Mea= sures & arguments; I ans^r, Not at all; ffor y^e turning is not opposite too or Inconsistent with y^e progression. I ad= mitt as to opposition or yeilding. the turning is very considerable as will be shewed, but as to the progression or departure from y^e Contact, with whatever swifftness It happens to be. more or less, there is No reason to urge for y^e altering of $\frac{it}{it}$ y^e direction of it. the rather becaus the turning carry's a perpetuall Equall force on all sides y^e axis, so that If one part Should draw y^e direction aside one way, the parts on y^e other would set all right againe.

prop. If the direction of the departure, and the axis, are not coincident, the body must turne, and so much more as y^e angle of difference is opened, and at y^e Quadrate or Rectangle (or rather Neerest it) most of all. y^e turning allwais Increasing as that Angle opens.

As y^e body. F. is struck at. C. of w^{ch} stroke, C.D. is y^e axis of departure, and C.E. the direction of it. I say that body Must turne. ffor admitt it as 2. devided at. a.b. It is certein that If y^e part towrds G. had the direction <diagram> by y^e axis. C.D. and Influenced to that, but then Reunite y^e other part F. it must draw y^t direction, or rather Retard /Incline\ the motion, towards. E. and the parts C.b. Must draw y^e part F. so the Effect must be mixed of these 2 Influ Ences.

But this then clears the Matter, all the Influ= ences of y^e stroke, are stronger as they are neerer y^e axis, as was shewed, in y^e proportion of sines. therefore In case of Inequality, as here, the greater /some\ part draws towrds F. & So Neere y^e plan or tangent of y^e Stroke and are consequently weaker, as at F. w^{ch} parts. F. I here suppose Equall to y^e parts C.b. but those draw tow[?] ards D. stronger then F. doth towards E.B. and Conse= quently y^e body Must turne.

But If the direction C.E. were Coincident with C.D. y^e axis. then from a parity of Influence Either way ther[e?] would be No turning at all. on y^e other side, If y^e body lay most towards B. then as $a/n \mid ine / oblong body \mid struck upon y^e$ Extre mity, It would turne with y^e Most swiftness. and So as y^e ang. D.C.E. opens, the turning Increaseth, In w^{ch} pr[o=?] cess all y^e substance lying on one side is y^e extremity, In y^e way of turning, and the axis & direction being coincident, is the Extremity In y^e way of pure progres Sion.

135v cq. 147 cr.

prop. If the body F.C. be Supposed Movent and Resisted at. C. after y^e Impuls It shall turne. vis^t. F. towards B. and C. towards D.

This doth but Invert ye Reason of ye other, ffor chan= ging y^e words, for force (y^e former case) to /take Resistance (y^e present.) w^{ch} in truth are /termes\ Convertible and May be taken on either side, and in reality are $y^{\rm e}\ Same$ thing, the argument is \boldsymbol{y}^e Same. for \boldsymbol{y}^e parts between $y^{\rm e}$ Contact and A. are less then between that &. B. and both have fore according to Quantity to proceed, towards some part of y^e hemisphere. H. but C.B. being more, p^rvaile, w^{ch} must turne the body, and y^e pro= cess & extream's are as In $y^{\rm e}$ other case. In $y^{\rm t}$ case $y^{\rm e}$ parts B. hang back, & here are forewarder, & thats all y^e difference, w^{ch} is Nothing If the Regards to sta= tionary things be altered; and what was sayd to stand still, be sayd to Move; then the body. F. was Either ye, Reaster or Movent accordingly, wch Notion Can= not be too often Remembered.

prop. A body that turnes, the fforce taken at y^e Extreme parts, is less then the same fforce upon progression, with y^e Same swiftness.

for In pure progression, all y^e part's have the same swiftness, but In turning, the swiftness deminisheth. from y^e Circumference towards y^e Center; so y^t the parts have unequall swiftness accordingly, & if the greatest Swiftness, be Equall to y^e progression, If the force of y^e whole so turning is less then y^t of y^e whole in progression. prop. As the turning Increaseth In swiftness, so the swiftness of the progression demini<u>sheth.</u> ffor the obliquity of y^e direction of y^e Stroke to the axis, is that w^{ch} Increaseth the turning, and at the same time, the same Im obliquity debilitates the body, In y^e case of Movent, as to its force, at C. and consequently opposition at the same point, C: In y^e proportion of sines.

Hence the turning and the progression together ta= ken, equall the force of the body Moving, In Such Swiftness, as the stroke would have given had the axes & direction bin Coincident.

Hence also, the force of y^e turning, is to y^e force of [progiction?], of y^e same body, as y^e sine of the ang. between y^e plan or tangent C.B. and y^e direction C.E. vis^t. as sine. E.B. to Rad. C.E.

Mdum¹⁸⁰ when the axis, and direction are Coincident I call it a direct Impuls, and when severall, obliq. The first are /In Regular cases &\ those Impulses, w^{ch} In statick language are sayd to be ballanced; and y^e others, Resemble also a sort of ballance, called a Stilliard, but belong to all Irregular and unequall Cases. however by the means, of the tangent to y^e Impuls, and y^e Sphear, by y^e foregoing application, brings all Regular & Irregular to the Same rule.

And these case's of Obliq & direct Impulses, are to be considered both In movent and the body struck.

136v cs.

¹⁸⁰ i.e., 'memorandum'.

148 ct.

Struck, Even in one & y^e same Impuls, W^{ch} gives a plaine and clear Notion of many practicall Won= ders In Mechanicks, such as they call Sailing ag^t the wind and the like, w^{ch} however Wrapt up in per-Complexity of matter, is Reducible, & Resolvable by this Speculation, of Individualls, upon the Effect of oblige Impulses.

<diagram> As for Example let the force come from D. upon. C: It was shewed y^e direction of C. would be \boldsymbol{y}^{e} same as If it Came from F. or any part of y^e hemispere. Then let \boldsymbol{y}^{e} obliquity of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} puls on the part of C. caus the direction to be tow= ards. E. Then the Success will be such that the direction of y^{e} $\frac{\text{Strated}}{\text{stroke}}$ stroke, will carry y^{e} body within 10. or. 15. degrees of y^{e} hemisfere, from the very access of the force, that Caused the mathr motion, w^{ch} (In seaman's language,) is as neer as a Ship can sail by. So also In Windmills, ye wind fal= ling obliq on y^e Sails, carry's them Round, & many like Instances might be given; All $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ are $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ Creatures of proof & Experience, for it is Impossible, otherwise to Compose such Engines as those are. And it is very hard to Resolve them Into these principles, by fin= ding out the sceme of y^e access departure, with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} obliquity's, so Invelopped are they in Inextricable multitudes of parts. But Instead thereof, I will lay downe this rule, as universally true In Mechanicks. Nothing

Nothing can be performed by aggregate heaps of Matter, y^e cannot be Reduced to, and Resol= ved by Instances simple and Intelligible in the Method foregoing, or some other of like Invention.¹⁸¹

I Must Confess that the Invention of this, by me, for bringing Irregular Cases of Motion to Rule, was Not \boldsymbol{y}^{e} product of analitick, or any Reasoning, but Meer observation of fact. And Not by any Nice or vexatious Experimenting way's, but by comon and obvious occurrances of mottion, that are of Quotidian view. ffor one Cannot, Even In ye Scholastick State, but ob= serve, when the litle double-piramid of wood, they call a catt, was struck with a battoon, upon the very Extremity, It would twirle with wonderfull swiftness, but as to flying away, cheat ye Stroke, And when Struck upon $y^{\rm e}$ Midle, It flew away Swift and Made No turnes, & yt is ye [act?] of ye Stroke. so If struck upon an Intermediate part, It would fly with Indifferent Swiftness as y^e Stroke was placed neer ye midle, and turne also more or less as y^e strok approached neer y^e extremity or Midle of y^e litle Machine. The resolution whereof is here annexed.

<diagram> A Rep^rsents y^e Catt made to twirle with= out progression. B. progression onely without twirling. and C. a mean of both.

137v cu.

¹⁸¹ As with several instances of the word 'prop' in the preceding section, all the words in this paragraph have been written in a larger script, it would seem for emphasis (as stated above, this presumably anticipating the kind of typographical highlight that would be used should it ever go to the press).

149 c₩.

Hence Wee have another rule In Mechanicks. vis^t That If the direction of y^e body struck, will make any tho y^e least way, & slowest, for y^e Movent to pass;¹⁸²

Hitherto Wee have taken No Notice of the direction of the body Impelling, but that of y^e Impelled onely, Now wee Come to Examine what happen's to y^e former. And first I Note this difference between one & other. the body Impelled, (unless very compass, of w^{ch} I shall take Con= sideration anon) /but being\ but of a very Compact forme, Never is driven Into the hemisphear of y^e access, but the body Impelling often passeth Into y^e hemisphear of y^e departure And as to that, If it happens that y^e Impelled, doth Not so, but Moves from y^e Contact to some point of the he= misphear of access, It is Called Reflection, but If it pas= seth into y^e hemisphear of departure it is Called Refraction.

Now to begin with an Extream, let us suppose an Immen's or If you pleas, Infinite Resistance at y^e Con= tact, such as wee account y^e Globe of y^e whole Earth is Against a tennis ball, or childs Marble. Here According to Rule, the body Impelling, Reflects with y^t same (or very neer it) swiftness as it Came with. And the Surmounting obstacle or power at The Contact, Receivs just, as it were, Nothing of Mo= vement. So all the separative speed, ffall on y^e part of y^e Impellent.

 $^{^{\}rm 182}$ This whole paragraph has been crossed out with diagonal lines, the word 'post.' in LH margin.

138v cx

<diagram> prop. If a body falls upon an Infinite
Resistance, directed by y^e axis of access,
It shall Reflect with y^e same swift=
ness as it had. (fore.) and In the same
direction [Revolve?] It

As D. the body, Resisted at. C. Reflects againe to D. with y^e Same swiftness. In w^{ch} proposition we Intend a Globe or some body. so Regular that y^e axis, and the direction /of y^e Impuls If it came upon D. [as?]\ are Coincident. but If the Case be oblig on the part of the Impellent, and If struck at C. It would have any other di¹⁸³

The body \boldsymbol{y}^{t} falls upon an Infinite obstacle, Is Either Regular, as a Globe or cube (when it touches by a flat side.) or Irregular. and it falls Either without or with turning. If it be a Globe, and hath No tur= ning. It falls Either /directed $\$ by y^e axis of y^e contact-plane $\underline{y^{t}}$ is direct, or Obliq.; y^{t} is by some other direction. If it be direct as from D. to C. It must Reflect in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} same direction Reverst. but If it Comes obliq Then it is to be Considered That, the direction from. E <diagram> As in y^e figure, is not onely the direction of y^e Center but of all y^e parts of y^e body Therefore the whole, tends to proceed to. F. But e force of $y^e\xspace$ Impuls, tends to drive it to D. As it would If the body were at. C. and there struck. But the /contact\ opposition at. C. is Not [an?] Equall $\overline{\operatorname{oppo}}$ agt the tendency of all the parts. ffor that must be

¹⁸³ Both paragraphs crossed out with diagonall lines.

150 cy.

must be done, by a Contact at. a. where y^e tendency of all y^e parts had bin opposed Indifferently; and Nothing res= ted Either way to Incline the parts to proceed in the ten= dency of y^e access, more one then another, but here the propuls at C. doth Not wholly obstruct the parts a. & b. from drawing y^e body, according to the force of them, towards f. Therefore the direction after the power of the stroke Must be Mixt that is, determined by the power of y^e Impedim^t towards D. and the [overpois?] of y^e tendency towards. f. And the buissness is to find the proportion or Measure of these powers. & then I argue thus.

The obliquity of y^e access, with y^e Effect of it as to the power at. C. is measured by y^e Sine of y^e Angle – made between the direction or axis, D.C. and the direc= tion E.F. then so Much power as is lost /in Effect\ by y^e obliqui= ty, Remaines In the body, to take from the Extreme power at. C. and then the obliquity of the depar= ture, will be y^e Same with y^e Obliquity of y^e access that is by Equall angle.

Hence Is that rule, that Angles of Incidence and of Reflection are equall.

I know it is a Method of demonstration Much used of late, for in propositions of that Nature, to Suppose the direction of y^e Motion to be a diagonall or Mixt of. 2. Whereof y^e Impedim't Stopps but one, then the other prvailes. as in y^e last figure but one. E.C. is Com= posed of a Motion from E. to K. and from E. to G. and this latter is opposed, so y^e body must Come to y^e line E.K. In y^e same manner as If it had Gone by. a. But this Is a way of proving I have No fancy too, Not being scientificall, or taken from y^e Nature of the thing And least approved by mathematitian's who doe Not approve, the synthetick way of drawing a case to an absurdity, forrein to the Question. wee may Refuse to admitt a line compound /or produced\ of 2 motions, but Say it is on Simple motion; then for ought I see y^e demon= stration failes. And No authentick deffinition or postu= latum, Requires any one to give such assent. If they say whether it be so, or Not, y^e line hath y^e Same pro= perty's, then I say, argue from y^e property's without Such supposition, and see what comes of it. that I aim at, Intending to prove from y^e Nature of things, so as to create knowledg, as well as argue.

There¹⁸⁴ are some Exceptions to this Rule of Equa= tion of angles. the forst proves it sufficiency, & is this If the ball turnes It break's all y^e measures of it. ffor If it turnes opposite to y^e access, In y^e order, b.a. the Ang. of Reflection Shall be greater then y^e ang. Incidenc[e?] becaus the tendency In y^e direction of y^e Stroke, at the point of Contact, /is\ disabled /by\ y^e proceeding of the parts b.a. back againe, and, so the direction C.D. gaines Ground. this cheats many a tenis player, by Ma= king a fals Reflection; And for y^e Same Reason, If It turnes with the motion, vis^t in y^e order. a.b. then the ang. Reflection Shall be less then y^e Ang Incidence The Reflection of billiard Balls w^{ch} is comonly just doth

139v cz.

 $^{^{\}rm 184}$ The first 'T' had been washed out.

151 da.

not Contradict this, becaus the Rolling of them is u= pon No certein axis, but allwais varying, or Els, on one paralell to the board, w^{ch} at y^e Side makes No distur= bance, as a rolling upon a perpendicular axis would.

Another Exception to this Rule, is when y^e body that falls Is Not Globular, and toucheth upon any prominency. <diagram> so that the tendency of y^e parts are Not equally all Resisted. as y^e body F. a parallelepipedon touches an Infinite Impediment at C. With a direction D.E. this shall not Reflect by y^e rule and perhaps not at all but, by y^e tendency at E be throwne Into y^e hemisphere of y^e departure, below A.B. To have made a Reflection of that body, with an E= quation of angles, y^e touch Must have bin at. [E?]. Et sic deceteris.¹⁸⁵

A third Exception is that w^{ch} destroy's y^e Rule, and all y^e p^rtended demonstration's of it that Ever were Made, and that is that No Reflection is with an angle ex= actly (as mathematicall demonstration holds forth) equall to y^e Incidence, for there is No Infinite Resistance for y^e Globe of y^e Earth Gives way to a tenis ball, Enough to destroy a demonstration. Therefore that of Cartesius and one of our M^r. N^s. w^{ch} follow's, are both lost.

<diagram> M^r. N. supposeth a body driven into a fluid & emerging. while under it moves In a line parabolick, but Emerging Strait, & takes y^e same angle with y^e Surface as at Entring It had; as y^e figure Rep^rsents.

140r

140v

db./de.∖¹⁸⁶

In the Next place, wee must Consider the consequence upon bringing y^e Resistance at. C. Into Compass. then It will follow that, by how Much, \boldsymbol{y}^e resistance at [C?]. yeilds by Making way, the ang In of Reflection, made with $y^{\rm e}$ plan, lessen's, and If $y^{\rm e}$ Movent be much Superior, It shall be but litle diverted and pass on to some point In ye hemisphear of the departure with a direction broken at ye Contact. And this yeilding at y^e contact was y^e Subject first treated, where it was shewed that equality majority, & minority made the gross distinctions. the first by $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ Movent Resting & $y^{\rm e}$ other moving with same speed, the second by the movent Reflecting In proportion to y^e difference of y^{e} Magnitudes, & y^{e} third w^{ch} is y^{e} prsent buissness buissness, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} movent passeth on with a deminish't speed, in like proportion, and y^e other with that of y^e Impuls.

<diagram> There are two sort's of Reflection, and both
are but different Reflection, and Must proceed
from obliquity of y^e access; for a direct Impuls
can Make no Refraction, becaus the direc=
tions of both body's are after y^e Impuls, on y^e
same line, as /was\ y^e Movent before. as a direct
Impuls In y^e axis. E.C. never Refract's, and this is found
true in all optick Experiments. ffor y^e Sake of w^{ch}
wee

 $^{^{186}}$ This is hard to make sense of - but it would appear that RN has here crossed out the first number, and then washed out the second number. Whatever the reason (or indeed, whatever it is that we can see), this shows that there were likely issues with the ordering of the folios; we find such corrections throughout this section.

¹⁸⁷ The 'h' has been washed out.

152 de

wee labour this matter of Refraction, w^{ch} as I said is but the Movent passing the plan or tangent of y^e Stroke Into y^e hemisphere of departure, w^{ch} is done as I sayd 2. Ways The 1. is the Case of single bodys, and the 2. the case of Aggregates, and the latter onely concernes opticks.

As to y^e first it is onely when A body from D. Meets with a feint Resistance at C. w^{ch} Removes towards H. and the movent, goes on making a blunt angle at c. towards G or some other point In that Hemisphere. but this as I Sayd not being y^e Case of optick Refraction, and withall obvious In y^e Sceme of movem^{ts} wee here Make, I say No More of it.

The other sort of Refraction is not of single but aggre= -Gate parts, so that the tangent of the Impuls, Must Not be y^e plan of one onely but of divers; Such as the sur= face of water or Glass. And that Not seldome curb as the prospective Glasses are. $\boldsymbol{w}^{\text{ch}}$ Curb is Composed of so many severall [strains?] as there are particular's In the operation, $w^{\rm ch}$ are too small to be Examined, there= fore must be supposed; and at prsent I Shall take it In y^e circumstance of a planed surface, as water &c.ª <diagram> wee must Imagin some forme, tho It is not certein that but wtever ye forme is more or less a litle one way & other will amount to y^e same. as here

A.B.

141v

[df.] dd.

A.B. is a Surface of a transparent body, whereof y^{e} first order of parts $\frac{1}{15}\text{are}$ Globules, c.d.e.f. It is plain an Inclined Motion, taking the the sides next to the direction, are thrown Into y^e hemisphear, by E= quall angles. as to G.h.I.k. and that Motion is also Composed of divers coming with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same di= rection, whereof some falling upon the point of y^{e} Globules, y^{t} lye in the superficies line, are re= gularly Reflected to ang.= andg Incidence. other's are scattered to & fro, and some onely Refract Into the body, and there pass in uniforme order as Re= fracted light, but In greater proportion then here may be Represented. Where note that I doe Not Sup= pose that all ye Movement Refracted shall be done In one order of part's at $y^{\rm e}$ Extream surface, but also Much In others next & Interior to them. and that the Refraction is Never a single fracture of the direction but divers. of $w^{\rm ch}$ More when I come to consider the case of the light, the Most Recondite & Complex of all $y^{\rm e}$ Subject's In Naturall philosofy.

In sume therefore, Refraction is Nothing but Qua= lifyed Reflection, and that onely the comon effect of body's clashing, by No other Rule then proportion of substance, and Every Instance of Striking one thing agt another, continually ever since y^e world began (by y^e ordinary cours of nature, Miracles excepted) done by that simple & plaine rule and No other w^{ch} producing those admirable Ideas In us, /(as that of light & colours to say No More) \ shews a consumate wisdome in y^e Creation of y^e World.

153 dg de

If this be so M^r. Newton's solution of Colours, by a Separation of ray's according to measure of Refran= gibility, must fall. ffor wee admitt of No Qualitys w^{ch} are proved onely, by the fantasmes & Ideas of our sence, w^{ch} wee know are not in but onely occasio= ned by the things, whereof they seem y^e Images, as was shewed In another place. And this Matter of light & Colours, wee Shall also deferr to another place, being y^e Subject rather of a Just treatis then a branch of a philosofick Essay.

I have pretermitted one head, w^{ch} ought to be de= clared, not as novel, but falling under y^e same rule; but to p^rvent Query's. It is proposed. And that is attraction; W^{ch} differs in No Effectuall Respect from Impulsion. as the body. A. being a Impedim^t <diagram> to y^e Movem't of. c. by mean's of y^e Cord & hook b. Makes it move with y^e Same regu= lation's as If It were impelled at D. by y^e same substance & velocity. W^{ch} case I state In a direct regular Impuls, for manifestation, conceiving Every other case with due allowances will be y^e Same.

But one might create many propositions concer= ning attraction, and Resolve them Into our princi= ples, as studious person's often doe for their owne, and other's Exercitation. It is Enough for me to shew the thing In gross, and If it be believed That they are In all variety's Capable of Such Resolution I thinck it Enough. 142v df.

As If an annular body, be struck on ye Inner surface. If a body be so Rams-horned, or crooked as No acc° can well be made of it, or otherwise deformed, one May conceiv that \boldsymbol{y}^e termss of \boldsymbol{y}^e Substance given, and the place of $y^{\rm e}$ Impuls, It may be calculated, where $y^{\rm e}$ Centr[e?] of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} turning will fall, and where \boldsymbol{y}^{e} line of direction Will be; and how much of ye body may be Considered as Impelled, & how much as attracted; as for Instance <diagram> the body A. altogether Irregular, is Struck upon D. first the tangent of y^e Contact B.C. determines the 2. hemispheres. that of the recess towards A. that of the depar[=?] ture towards. E. and It appearing, that much ye Greater part of ye body lying In $y^{\rm e}$ former, it is made to move In $y^{\rm e}$ Way of attrac= tion More then Impuls. that the attraction is by the segment of \boldsymbol{y}^e tangent Intersecting \boldsymbol{y}^e body. and the Impediment of the proceeding of \boldsymbol{y}^e part. E. according to the tendency of y^e stroke gives it, is by the Quantity of ye part A. as If it had layne in a Counter position, that is in y^e same manner. as for Instance at F. And then a New center, Emergeth, by $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ the direc= tion is to be Made, and \boldsymbol{y}^e obliquity of that to the tangent B.C. determine how much shall be [Sunk?] by turning, and how Much left for progression. And thus the Case admitts a Calculate If any used to Such practis would undertake it, by $/y^{\rm e}$ means of Quantity's known /or stated in /practick\ measure & position, and accordingly I leave it to them.

154 dg.

It is to be observed that the Moderne Naturalists have taken estimates from apparances resulting from Complex Effects, without Reducing them to simple ones by w^{ch} onely they are to be Judged; And one error Entered on this occasion, I have shewed, vis^t that ang. inci= dence is Exactly equall to y^t of Reflection, w^{ch} is Not true: I mean mathematically; And those demon= stration's p^rtended of it, being Not taken from /drawne from founded upon \ the Nature of y^e thing Scientifically Misledd them, being also fallacious In themselves. I have one More as Gross as that, w^{ch} I wouldexplaine. And that is

Of the Recess of body's from y^e Center of a Compass movement, by mean's of a rectilinear direction In a tangent, Where it is alledged that a body de= teined in such a movement shall at y^e Instant of y^e freedome, be determined to Move In y^e tangent. W^{ch} Is Not true In that generality, but onely as it falls wth= in y^e Comon rule if direction upon Impulses.

<diagram> As let y^e body C.D. of y^e forme Exprest, be deteined at C. In a compass motion from C towards. B. and set free at. C. I say the body Shall Not Move In y^e tangent (for I goe by y^e center) a.E. but Obliquely from y^e contact toewrds. D. More from y^e center then is y^e tanget. a.E. ffor at y^e Instant of freedome The case is as of an Impuls upon y^e side c. and then y^e point c. is y^e point of Contact, by w^{ch} & y^e Center of y^e body shall be the direction, without being tyed to y^e tangent. But It must be admitted that ordinarily body's being Covered on one side by y^e deteinemt

of

of them. and then the rule of direction is Coinciden[t?] with y^e tangent, w^{ch} they have taken to be the rule it self; Judging by y^e frequency, & from thence the comon appearnce, & Not y^e Nature of y^e thing. as May <diagram> be Instanced according to these diversitys of

figure, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ May well Repyrsent y^e Generality

that produce such effect.

But I am Not Satisfied that any, or rarely Irregularity's will bring a body Neerer y^e Center then the tangent tho Many may send them farther. lett us <diagram> suppose a body of this shape; deteined at the points D. & B. of w^{ch} a medium point A. is the contact. and that drawne to y^e Circle, falls at C. or the circumference of y^e Motion May be thro A. then the direction A.E. will fall neer If not In y^e tangent. A.E.

Or suppose a body of this forme, deteined at y^e arch <diagram> D.B. /say\ y^e point of contact is. C. according to that, y^e body should goe off by C.F. w^{ch} will not happen. and the reason is, tho y^e body were Glued to y^e Circle at D.B. yet the Im= puls is as If it were at D. and then D. is y^e Contact by w^{ch} and y^e Center. A. gives the direction D.A. /as will happen\ More towards y^e Circle. but admitting that It doth Not take a way y^e Recess, for Every rectilinear Motion must Receed from a center. therefore the Recess from y^e Center may Increas according to y^e power of Matter, but Never wholly, or scarce Ever Much deminish

Thus frail is it to make hypotheses, out of appearances without going to y^e Mechanisme of them, as M^r . N. doth With his vira^ees, centipetall centrifugall, attractive and aversive <u>&[c?]</u></u>

143v dh. 144r

155 di.

The Next matter wee have to declare, leading to practise, is the consequence of divers Impedements or obstacles to bodys In Motion, /besides\ that of Immediate Impuls. Concerning $w^{\rm ch},$ I first observe, that when di= vers body's are contiguous, and one is touched, the Influence of the stroke is conveyed Quaquaversum ad Infinitum;¹⁸⁸ And very often body's are Made to move as well directly opposite too, as conforme with the direction of the first stroke. as If A. Strikes <diagram> B. or any one of y^e Contiguous body's. C E.D. Every Other of them are moved by y^e Influence of y^e Stroke, and how small or Numerous soever, by No other rule then hath bin touched; vist. Every one by contact on some part of it, inclining it to Move as If y^e first stroke had bin there; and however a Confused effect this seems to have, by Scattering small body's about Every way, yet in truth No one of them but is moved by y^e Rule of Impulses as stricktly, as y^e body B. when struck by A. So that Every /seeming Confused Effect in Nature is Reducible to the rigor of those rules, If Men had mean's by capacity & knowledg to doe it.

<diagram> And that by a stroke y^e Influence may come round appear's by y^e line thro y^e Cen= ters of these Globules. by A. upon. B. C. D.E.f.G.H. In y^e order & position as they ly. But much more in cases of irregulars thro w^{ch} onely Imagination, & that scarce can track the Infinite path's of force conveyed by these various contacts

¹⁸⁸ i.e., 'in infinite directions'.

contacts & centers, as are found amongst them. All $w^{\rm ch}$ leads to conceive the posibility of many actions In fluido.

If a body falls between. 2. and strikes them So that according to the direction given them, they moving shall make way, tho the least & slowest Imaginable, they shall separate accordingly, In case sufficient force is added to Recompence the disad= vantage of the striker. As the body A. Moves be= <diagram> tween B. &. C. and at the Same Instant toucheth both in y^e points a. b. I say the body's shall move towards D. &. E. Each on its owne direction. whereby way shall be made for A. to pass. but the $y^{\rm e}$ Mutuall forces are to be Considered. If the obstacles a. & b. are Extream, then A. for want of force cannot pass but shall be Reflected from ye place G. back again for the reason's In $y^{\rm e}$ Case of a less body striking a greater. It being \boldsymbol{y}^{e} same thing whither the touch be on one point or More, the medium point is ac= counted y^e Contact.

But admitt y^e obstacles moderated, then If the ce[=?] lerity they take is such or more, that the parts of y^e body. A. In passing, touch No More, then the Who[le?] Effect is as upon an Impuls In an Instant. but If y^e body B. &. C. are so stiff, as may /be\ by an over mak[..?] ing Quantity, that they tke a slow Motion, the[n?] it is Necessary to add force to A. at G. or it Cannot pass

144v

dk

156 dl

pass; that is Increas ye magnitude of A. or demi= nish those of B. &. C. and that supply's the occa= sion. And this Rep^rsentation shews, by y^e figure of a Comon wedg in practise, that $y^{\rm e}$ force of an hea= vy, or massy maul, added, w^{ch} doeth wedg wonders, wherein wee must take notice, that the force of $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ obstacles at a. &. b. from the obliquity of their departure, makes them have less force then their Quantity would directly allow. And so the Wedg were it of a sharper forme at y^e angle. f. by Increasing that obliquity, would Still gaine ad= vantage. as In y^e forme A.B. the body's C. &. B <diagram> must open; and but the length a.b. while $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ wedg passeth the length A.D. so that a Slower motion of C. &. D. will Reconcile the passage, of A.B. then, If the obliquity were less, and it taking less force, to give a slow then a swift Mo= tion, ye Wedg by that hath ye advantage. more of this when I come to Consider & Enumerate all sorts of Mechanicall powers.

But that w^{ch} is Most Considerable, In y^e Conside= ration of y^e former diagram, is that onely Rever= sing y^e proposition, and by the Consequence that Strang Effect's are Reconciled. ffor suppose that the Motion was In B. &. C. upon the Body G.F. striking it upon y^e points a. &. b. In the same Instant. as before the wedg devided them, so those

145v dm

those Now drive out y^e wedg, as will be Manifest from y^e reason's often toucht of Reversing the termes of Impulses, w^{ch} Makes no alteration of y^e Effect, but with Relation onely to y^e by standers.

Another /consequence\ is from hence, that If any body upon w^{ch} a stroke falls, or by any mean's Moving should make way to y^e Influence of y^e Stroke, for thing's to pass in their direction; It shall Move In case the movent have force Enough to sur= mount the difficulty from y^e oblique position, as <diagram> let C. be an Impediment of power Infinite, agt w^{ch}. y^e body A.B. Rests. And an Impuls falls, upon. D. If it have force Enough, it shall force A.B to Move In y^e direction B.A. so as D. & C. may come together.

Another Grand theorem Results from all that is Gone before, is that when forces any way op= pose one and other, (ceteris paribus)¹⁸⁹ If one moves with a greater swiftness; that hath the Greater force, and Shall p^rvaile against y^e other, w^{ch} is the onely roots and foundation, whereon y^e Reason of all Mechanick powers depend, as will appear In due time.

Another great rule In Mechanicks, /from hence is\ {Not Much dif= ferent from y^e former, but in termes}, ¹⁹⁰ is that In all application of powers one against an other, time is equivalent to force; If you gaine in power you loos in time, wherefore few machines are of any great

¹⁸⁹ i.e., 'all things being equal'.

 $^{^{190}}$ The word 'is', and both of the brackets, have been partly washed out.

146r¹⁹¹

157 dn

I Shall next persue, this head of Mechanicall powers That have foundation upon the last observation and Rule, Concerning w^{ch} it Needs onely be Remembred, that By the Effect wrought upon Impulses, whatever Quan= tity the body Striking (w^{ch} wee shall Call y^e force) had, as the velocity was Increast, so a proportio= nable Increas of Effect appeared in the body struck w^{ch} wee may Call the weight. as If equall Quantity Gave $\underline{\mbox{Equall}}$ swiftness, double velocity In y^e Same Quantity gave double; that is still its equall, so If half Quantity Gave half velocity, then dou= ble Quantity velocity In ye Same Quantity, Still doubled what was before. So wee say also of Quan= tity, and it shall give equal velocity, to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other doubled. & so In all proportions; Whereby as $\ensuremath{\mathtt{M}}^r$ Newton expresseth, double velocity In double Quan= tity is Quadruple.

Now the Essence of the velocity is, the passing Greacertein space in certein time, and Comparison of velocity's is the comparison y^e Spaces past by y^e divers body's In y^e Same time. Now If by any Contrivance it is brought about, that body's oppose one and other In Movement Reciprocally. that w^{ch} moves Swiftest ceteris paribus hath most force. that is If y^e Spaces run by Each are unequall that w^{ch} runs y^e most in y^e same time hath most force & shall p^rvaile.

¹⁹¹ Although the numbering is continuous, this is clearly a different version of a similar work. Note that there is no continuity from page to page; the hand-writing is slightly different (later?).

146v do.

Shall prvaile. as In a single Instance, A=B. And Moves <diagram> towards B. with. 1. grad. force /swiftnes\. and B. Moves towards A. with 3. grad Swiftness, so as they meet & clash In. 3. the rule is that these body's Counterchang states, And A shall be driven back with 3. grad, & B. follow with one. Next take am Instance of opposition by a medium. let A.=B. <diagram> both move agt an oblong body, agt w^{ch} an Infinite obstacle bears. If \boldsymbol{y}^e obstacle bears upon ye Midle, and ye body's both fall on ye Extremity's at the same Instant, as If ye obsta= cle is at 2. then the body's Shall both Reflect back with all their velocity. for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} opposition is on Either side Equall, & neither can prvaile; So It amounts to a falling on y^e Infinite opposition both Imediately & at once. But if y^e obstacle be at. 3. so as 3. parts of y^e barr, ly on one side and 1. on the other. Whereby (Circles being as their diameters) the barr Moving agt ye obstacle at. a. or. 3. the point D. shall Move with a velocity to $y^{\rm t}$ of $y^{\rm e}$ point C. as 3/1. Now If $y^{\rm e}$ body A. Rested on C. and were (Quasi) Struck by B. on D. 1/3 of velocity would take away $y^{\rm e}$ Resistance, & Make way to y^e force, that is A. by moving 1/2 of y^e loc velocity B. from D. amy proceed without Impediment; So C. Can caus No More Swiftness In. A. then so Much as is Necce[=?] sary to take away all Impediment. on ye other side if B. Rested or. D. And A. struck it, upon. C. B. Must move with triple the velocity y^t A. had, to take away y^{e} Impediment. And A wants of that power. $2/3^{\text{ds}}$. Ergo

158 dp.

according to this posture, A hath agt B. power but as. 1. to. 3. Consequently both striking at once the power of both are in y^e proportion. A. 1. & C. 3. wherewith y^e Consequence agrees, ffor the bodys can= not Move in that posture, but y^e velocity's will be as. 1. to. 3. And whereas A wants 2/3^{ds}. add, Quantity to A. vist. make it triple. and then that with ve= locity. 1. is Equall in force, to y^e other with velocity 3: & so this comes to a ballance, or equilibrio. And Hence is all the Rule of staticks, w^{ch} is y^e Art of proportioning things by weight. ffor Weight is accoun= ted as a comon velocity all body's have /ad Normam perpendicula¹⁹²\ & then y^e ballance proves their Quantity; as authors of Staticks Declare.

This art of ballance is so Much of use, and clearly understood by so few, I shall add a few lines of it. There is but 2. sorts of Ballance one with Equall & y° other with unequall armes, or Extents on each side y° Center or prop of it. The Equall beam, as it is called, is but of 3. sorts 1. that w^{ch} shall be in Equi librio & Stand however y° beam is Inclined, 2. that w^{ch}, put out of Equilibrio shall Returne to it. 3 that w^{ch} put out of Equilibrio shall Neither Returne Nor Stand, but fall Into a posture perpendicular. The reason of w^{ch} differences is founded upon y° placing 3. centers. one y° prop of y° ballance, & y° 2 others at each end one, whereat y° Scales are suspended; for those allways perpendicular from their points or centers

 $^{^{\}rm 192}$ i.e., 'to the perpendicular norm'.

147v dq.

centers. In the first case, all three points are Exactly In a line, and equidistant from \boldsymbol{y}^e prop. ffor so put <diagram> y^e peam beam In any posture levell or Inclined, the weights hold Exactly \boldsymbol{y}^{e} same distance from y^e perpendicular. w^{ch} must Ever Equilibrate. The 2^{d} . case is when the points lye below y^{e} prop. <diagram> ffor so y^e beam put out of level. one End carry's its weight from y^e perpendi= cular, & y^e other brings it neerer. as ye Draught shews; but scale makers have 2 way's of making this Effect In y^e beam that it shall stand allwais levell, and yet ye points be in a true line, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ onely true weighing. and one is by charging \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Metall or substance of the beam most below \boldsymbol{y}^e center of prop, then when \boldsymbol{y}^e beam is <diagram> put out of level, More of y^e Substance falls on the rising side & Reduceth it. so that ye beam is as it were pendulous, and Will hold its true perpendicular. $y^{\rm e}$ other way is by y^e forme of the center pin, w^{ch} being of y^e Shape A. almost like an heart, takes equall distance <diagram> from y^e points onely In y^e level posture, & y^e mucro¹⁹³ is In perpendicular according to y^e length. as here. at A. for If ye beam be put out of ye levell & Inclined, the Mucro of ye pin run's to $y^{\rm e}$ Rising side & Carry's the Met= tall of the beam that way w^{ch} Reduceth it to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} levell againe. This way is Most used, When the

159 dr.

the level Makes weight, as In troy Weighing, but when the weight is to sink the centers & mettall ought all to be Exactly Equall. that is aver-dupoids, as y^e word Imports.

The 3. sort is where y^e centers of y^e armes, are a= bove y^e center pin. that Inclining y^e beam, Carry's <diagram> y^e falling farther, & y^e Rising neerer the perpendicular, w^{ch} carrys it downe apace.

This cannot be a true /fine\ scale, & other's /more\ fals are Con= trived, as unequall armes of y^e beam, and [paysed?] In y^e mettall. but that, and whatever fraud May be used in a beam & scales, is corrected by weighing Cross as they Call it. that is changing y^e weight to y^e Goods & 'E contra; If y^e pois hold Not, y^e Scales are fals. Therefore it was a vaine project set on foot, for Reglating, & sealing beam's; for accidentall falls & Injury's Might Make them fals, and weighing Cross allwais discovers it. so a scal could Never assure a true beam, and Every one has a ready Mean's to Ensure against a fals one.

The other Sort of Scale, Called a stillyard, is a beam with unequall armes and y^e weight is Increast Not as In y^e ballance, by addition, but by moving y^e Same weight farther from y^e Center pin, for w^{ch} is adjusted by Notches on y^e beam for account, farther from the center; and y^e truth of this In y^e Making & adjustm^t, w^{ch} is Manufacture; so here I acquitt the ballance.

Artists account. 5. Mechanicall powers, meaning such comon methods as workmen use, in lifting & disposing Imens weights, and accomodated to divers occasions. The wedg, & ballance I have touched; the lever is Coincident, having the same reason as a stiliard. vis^t. as the spaces, or arches at each end (y^t is y^e Space In w^{ch} y^e weight Moves, to that In w^{ch} y^e power Moves) are to Each other so is y^e power to y^e weight; and needs No farther Illustration. The other's are the Axis in peritrochio,¹⁹⁴ the screw, & y^e pulley.

1. The axis In peritrochio, here described, is but a <diagram> perpetuall lever. where A. is y^e power. C the weight. & B. y^e propp. w^{ch} doth Not Come to a stop as a lever doth, but by turning on y^e Center pin. B. is Ever y^e same, and hath y^e same continuall advantage to y^e force ag^t y^e weight. as y^e circle A. to, y^e axis. C. or as A.B. to B.C.

The screw they analise, by saying it is a wedg turned about a cilinder; and so Resolve y^e force it hath; w^{ch} I doe Not dissapprove, for it must fall upon y^e Very same reason; but y^t doth Not So clearly sa= tisfie y^e understanding, as by Shewing it with an uni= <diagram> versall rule w^{ch} accounts powers by time or swiftness as well as by Quantity or weight. The screw is ordinarily combined with a double lever as In y^e figure. then Compute the way the hand that turnes at A. Moves. to y^e space

148v ds.

¹⁹⁴ i.e., 'wheel and axle'.

160 dt.

the weight riseth In $y^{\rm e}$ Same, and so is $y^{\rm e}$ advantage on $y^{\rm e}$ part of $y^{\rm e}$ power by $y^{\rm e}$ Mean's of $y^{\rm e}$ Screw.

The last is the pulley, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is analised, by shewing that a single pulley is a perpetuall ballance. and gives no advantage at all. then a pulley below Is y^{e} like, onely y^{e} weight plac't at y^{e} center and $y^{\rm e}$ props at Each End. takes off half the weight, & <diagram> hangs up y^e other half to y^e propp of y^e upper pulley, Then ye cord passing another pulley takes aloft yett Nothing but Every lower pulley takes of Half. y^e Manner of w^{ch} is at. B. And thus with 4. pulleys 2. aloft &. 2. below is made that they call a double tackle, a single tackle hath but one pulley below. Thus they Endeavour to Make y^e force understood, w^{ch} is done much clearer and plainer by saying No-more, then as the Cord $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ In working passeth y^{e} hand, to y^{e} space y^{e} weight. vis^{t} as ye spaces, &c. moved in ye Same time.

Now these are the ordinary applications for acco= modating occasion's; but these may be combined, & other sort's Contrived, If need were, ad Infinitum, so long as the power can get a longer walk the the work, so Much purchas as Seamen say (and No other speech in y^e World affords a word for Mechanicall powers) is gained. And the Gaine is great when y^e force is Gratis, as Wind or water; or /where\ Men /Enough\ cannot Come to handle things /& work\ /All\ w^{ch} Engin's doe, tho In longer time. but If the thing

thing Requires Men's labour, /& they Can Come to work\ No Engin like y^e armes of a Man; there is No rubbing Nor breaking; So y^t a man, by his Naked hands, Shall doe more work In less time, then he Can /doe\, by any Engin, for those Will all sell their work for time. And where y^e force is payd for by account of time, small profit is made of engins. I should say more on this delicate subject, but It is so well done In D^r. Wilkins Mechanicall powers, It were but actum agere.¹⁹⁵

What was say'd of a Wedg is Not forgot, to $w^{\rm ch}$ Rest's to be added onely an acc° of what $y^{e} D^{r}$ hath left in Incerteinty yt is the force of blow's, as ye way is of wor= king upon wedges with weighty Maulls. That is onely \boldsymbol{y}^{e} effect of substance, with addition of velocity of its Gravity, called weight; but If let fall from an High place upon the Wedg, It hath a velocity actuall $w^{\rm ch}$ In such substance, is great force, but to that is added double or treble velocity by ye force of Men's hands, w^{ch} augments y^{e} force accordingly. There Might be a Machine to Measure y^e force of blows, by w^{ch} the Whole Rule of Impulses, might be proved. the way of striking upon one arme of a beam, with a weight on y^e other, is not comodious, becaus you strike ag^t ye force of gravity wch disturbes the acco. for In Ex= periments of Motion all Gravity must be Removed as also Rubbing. And this Cannot be so Well done as by Suspending vast weights, & then striking them laterally. ffor ye Magnitude takes away the Impe= dimt

149v du.

¹⁹⁵ John Wilkins (1614-72), polymath and cleric, published *Mathematical Magick, or, The wonders that may by performed by mechanical geometry: in two books, ...,* in 1648 while still chaplain to Charles Louis, Elector Palatine, and in the same year that he became warden of Wadham College, Oxford. He supported the Parliamentary cause. He married Oliver Cromwell's widowed sister and was promoted to Master of Trinity College, Cambridge. He was a key mover in the early days of the Royal Society. Despite official disapproval after 1660 he slowly advanced within the Restoration church, in 1668 becoming Bishop of Chester. He was widely respected on both sides of the political divide. *Mathematical Magick* remained a popular book, and was republished in 1680, 1691 and 1704. The Latin phrase 'actum agere' means 'already done'

161 dw

-diment of $y^{\rm e}$ Medium, and also Makes slow Movem^{\rm ts} w^{\rm ch} May better be accounted, and the long radius Enervates $y^{\rm e}$ force of Gravity, In short swing's, as was observed.

Now as to Engin's In generall and the Rule, here and Every where p^rscribed. for calculating their force, I Shall set downe some observation's, and Experiments, ffor clearing y^e Matter to y^e plainest capacity.

It is comon ffor the coursest labourers, If any thing heavy be to be wrought upon, to Get heavy /weighty\ loggs to drive with, as plum'ers, masons; &c. ffor a light thing can scarce have force by any Swiftnes put to it, sufficient to Remove weighty things.

The Rule of Waterworks, is y^e proportion between the diameter of the force, and the diameter of y^e vent. <diagram> and as y^e vent is less with Respect to y^e tube of y^e force, more power must be added to doe y^e same work; becaus the water must be put in so much greater swiftness. ffor an Inch water at y^e force, may be 10. inches at y^e vent and therefore must move swifter as 1/10. <diagram> Take an Hunting horn, an press y^e Mouth downe

Into water with y^e small end upright, and the water Shall spout up strangely, & be No small surprise. ffor the mouth takes in a larg diame ter and y^e small end dischargeth but by a very small one. so If y^e force of y^e hand be added so as y^e water shall rise rather then y^e Mouth put it by, In y^e small part of y^e horn it acquires Swiftness.

The sence by mean's of the armes & hands Mana= ging things is Egregious, tho /as to account\ Not Exact, and the power of body's /striking\ upon one & other may In great Measure be proved so. As If you take 2. wedges and swing them In Hand at armes End so as to knock their heads together; If they are Equall, It will be perceived that one hand takes the Mo= vem't from y'e other, w'h shall Rest. So If one be greater it shall follow, If less Reflect. And as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} odds of Quantity is, so shall ye Symptomes be More Notorious; w^{ch} so plainely perceived, will Need No farther demonstration. that y^e degrees of Quantity proportioned to Each other, governe $y^{\rm e}$ Symptomes. & to Say truth, Nothing that Consists in Event, is pro= per for rigorous demonstration, as existant Quan= tum's are; for futurity will have No degrees of proof beyond utmost probability; as for ye Suns Rising, however probable, there can be No demon= stration.

The action of a Coachman's long whip, is Remar[=?] kable, how such an Incredible swiftness should Ga= ther at y^e small end, as to break y^e skin of an ani[=?] mall. but when it is Considered, that the strength of the arm sends a force, to y^e fall, w^{ch} lessen's Conti= nually to y^e End, and the materiall taking a bow or loop, and so y^e force passeth into less Matter at y^e Small End becomes so very Swift.

It is diverting to see a long cart-rope, layed out at length, and one End taken up & with an hard stroke

150v dx. 162 dy

stroke, & layd downe, there shall be an arch of y^e Rope pass along most deliberately, according to y^e big= ness of y^e Rope to y^e farther end, as If it were a <diagram> pipe y^t conveyed somewhat. the Man= ner is here Represented. where y^e arch a passeth along from b. where it is first set going on to. c. where it goes off. Now If It were so Contrived that y^e Rope lessened towards. c. y^e arch Would Mend its pace, and Contrarily widen being greater, Slaken.

The demonstration ag^t a perpetuall Returning Mo= tion is this. It must be done, If at all, by making forces /In themselves\ Equall /by Mechanisme to\ become superior. becaus that w^{ch} is Ever to Returne must take all places, and be some times superior & sometimes yeild. for If there were Not this Returning, If way were made, Wee Should find No End of falling; & that Might be a perpetuall motion. but the Earth stopps that; therefore whatever is ye principle of ye Movem't must work & be wrought upon alternately & Continually, $w^{\rm ch}$ can be by No means proposed but by equall power's Mechanically disposed. The Equall In force, Cannot be superior In effect, without more swiftness, & consequently is Removed farther from its place; the same is made sayd of ye Next & ye Next, whereby the Returning failes, and It becomes continuall progression till It Meets a stop. If the Equalls are Not so made su= perior, the machine Must Necessarily Rest.

151v dz

The Grand tides of y^e world, May owne a great [...?] to this principle, ffor a small rising In y^e ocean, when It Comes with such a body of water, among shoals & Creaks, by Contracting y^e body, It acquires a celerity $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ carry's it up Into country's, In height 40. to 1 of the Main ocean, as \boldsymbol{y}^e patterne of \boldsymbol{y}^e Horn In Water Shews. Nor doe I thinck M. N. so much in ye Right that accu= seth Cartesius of an Error, in supposing y^e /Inter\ tropicall ocean, to sink under $y^{\rm e}$ Moon, whereas, say's he, It swell's, being attracted by ye Moon. Now If ye Judgm't be made by coasts & Rivers, tho In $y^{\rm e}$ Intertropicall Region's, there may be gross error. ffor If y^e sea be Com= prest it is where it is deepest, and for there \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wave Can play, and Not on \boldsymbol{y}^e shallows. And who Can tell whither y^e water In y^e atlantick deepen's or shal= lows, under ye Moon? or What time ye Wave takes be it Either way, before it Influences ye Rivers? who Can tell but it may be a succession of divers and Not yt one wave onely possesses ye whole sea and all[---?] $y^{\rm e}$ Coast's and creeks. It is 6. hours rising & falling at y^e Mouth's of Geat Rivers, who can say that is the same 6. hours $y^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm t}$ It riseth & falleth in y^{e} Main. As y^{e} Wave of y^{e} lord was, so are Comon wa= ves, on y^{e} surface of y^{e} water, and y^{e} Grand wave y^{t} is $y^{\rm e}$ tide, Not a Current but rising, and falling one= ly as the /by meeting shoals & creeks also \ Contracting, onely makes a Current; W^{ch} may be seen in y^{e} Samll channells, neer y^{e} Mouth of a pool; but It is a dignity, as I perceiv, to blame & affirme, Els learned men Would Not so Much affect it.

principles¹⁹⁶ .1.

In the designe of Establishing principles of Naturall knowledg, I think ye best method is. 1. to Consider what certeinly is, and under that wee find by Indubitable Evidence that Body Exists, being that $w^{\rm ch}$ will part with No grain of its Extension, but holds it's limit's one way or other, with= out Increas or deminution. I might have first sayd with Cartesius, that wee are sure wee perceiv; but that is allwais p^rsupposed, whatever we discours of. So I pass from the thing to the manner. And as to that wee find Much variety, ffor object's appear to us very different, some Small other's larg, & y^e Same object often both way's, & some= times hott, and as often cold; some Resist some yeild & in all degrees; Some Sound, other's Not; Many have light attend them, others black, or dark; & when Com= mon light shines, most thing's are coloured and with much diversification; Some appear to Increas or grow, other's wast, & dissolve or Corrupt; wee are much plea= sed with \boldsymbol{y}^e Elegance of some, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} gratifie us, as flowers fruits, Green's, & the like, as well as Nourish, for $w^{\rm ch}$ reason's wee desire and Covet them; other's are offen= sive In Extremity, as ordure & the like; And some If admitted Into $o^{\rm r}$ body's, destroy us, as poysons. And other variety's are, w^{ch} are past o^r skill to Enumerate. And all the fruits of our proof and Experience; w^{ch} withall gives us Such direct Indication's of our mistakes of all these things, as makes it Necessary to Reject them all from being accounted principles. ffor such must subsist of themselves, and furnish Causes, of these changes & variety's, and Not these of them.

¹⁹⁶ The title of this section squares up exactly to confront Isaac Newton's Principia.

2. principles

ffor as cartesius hath p^rmised, and In y^t all follow him or at least In most Instances, that all these Images are flux, and changeable, Something wee Remember and then dream, often are decieved by taking one thing for another; fancy lyon's in y^e clouds, & as Whitting= ton, language In y^e bells. Wee have No reason to look out of ourselves for them. But thus wee Argue, that the various p^rsentation's of objects to our senses, doe Stirr up or Caus these Images in us, ffor they Constantly doe it In some sort or other; so that however these Ideas are within us, the caus/es\ of them are without us. Then w^{ch} way should then wee find the true distinction of what is wholly within, & what wholly without us?

As to that I thinck, ye Method I propose In other In= quiry's, that is to Examine things not exquisitely In the Examination by mean's of or facultys, by the a= nalogy of thing's that are so is Best & surest. As for Instance a sword Run Into an arm, is one sort of paine Into ye thygh, another, Into the Eye, cheek, or neck yet otherwise; yet all from one and the Same sword! so it is certein these diversitys of paine, are Not in y^e sword, for that is allwais the same. So When a Battoon falls upon our flesh, & makes Contusions It is yet another sence then ye Sword Gave, and as the stroke falls upon our flesh, & makes Contusions, It is yet another sence then \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Sword Gave, and as the stroke falls on severall parts & member's so $y^{\rm e}$ sence, be it painefull or Not is perpetually diver= sifyed, as all other manner of attaques upon the sence

152v

3

sence, however various the Instruments & mean's are, are all accordingly diversifyed, as as wee know most of our sensations, digest 'em into heads & Give 'em names, & subdevide them to accomodate our signi= fication of them In discours. as all that Touch us upon the Eye, whither ordinarily, or otherwise by Gross strokes, well call light, & subdistinguish it Into Colours. So upon $y^{\rm e}$ timpanum, for Sounds, palatt for taste, & all \boldsymbol{y}^e rest of \boldsymbol{y}^e body for touch; And In all this that is within our Scrutiny wee are certein the Images of sence caused by Externall objects is Not In \boldsymbol{y}^e object, but In the fancy. As the pain of Thrusts & blows, w^{ch} doe Egregiously affect ye sence, Impressing ye Image of pain, is Not In the sword, or Cudgel; tho those Caus it. And How? by parting the Continuum of $o^{\rm r}$ flesh, & vessells or membranes, and breaking \boldsymbol{y}^{e} tone of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} parts by brusing, that is In short by the Motion of them. And since This is so Notorious In these Instances, why should wee goe farther for understanding ye means of light sound, tasts, &c. tho $y^{\rm e}$ body's Causing them, are not In our power to Scrutinate? But Surely it is reasona= ble to beleev, that /since\ divers paines are caused by $y^{\rm e}$ Mo= tion of Gross body's falling upon our members, other Nicer sensation's /as vision for instance\ are Caused by some Motion of or from body's y^t affect our sight, and diversifyed by /according to\ y^e modes of those action's, by ye forme & Image wee have of light and Colours; or Why should wee argue light or Colour to be in y^e object, More then pain in the Sword or Staff; so also of sound /from\ In the ringing body.

So having fixt these points. that possession of the place, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ wee know under y^e Images of Resistance, hardness, and fullness, w^{ch} can never be devided from body, and Carte= sius thincks is \boldsymbol{y}^{e} onely Essence of body, tho all other property's, as colour, tast, smell, heavy, light, &c May. one principle is Gained; concerning things without us is Gained, vist. Body filling place; w^{ch} wee May Style Extension, Impenetrability, or otherwise as words May flow, but allwais Reduc't to this sence of filling place. Then wee find Nothing true of body Extra to us, but that it is here & there, and passeth from one thing to another sometimes saluting us civilly and agreably, & Sometimes rudely & painfully, and wee with our owne body's have like complements for others. w^{ch} gives us an Idea of Motion; And that is a subject Nicely to be weighed becaus wee can find No other diversity In that Mate= riall that works In us so Many various Images, as wee have thro our comon organs of sence. So as to all causes of our knowledg without us, wee have No principles to build on but. /1.\ Body, $w^{\rm ch}$ wee May call promiscuously parts, corpuscles, lumps /parts | particles, or ye like occasionally. and 2. Motion. W^{ch} I Shall Not account any reall Existence besides body but onely a Mode of body, with Respect to distance /and position\ among divers from /Respecting one and other, and In single bodys, Res= pecting the parts of it. And otherwise that there is No Essence In Motion, What Wee Call so being Not a Reality of it self but a Relation of one thing to a= nother, then there Will be but one onely principle. Body.

153v

I know this discours will be sayd either to be very ordinary & comon, No rarity, and $w^{\rm ch}$ is was fall under y^e Censure of those who treat such as /doe Not\ like themselves rail & Revile all that Cartesius brought forth, bestowing y^e title, of those men, and perhaps, those gentlemen, with a scoff, upon them, who are so used to triumph over In Collegiate lec= tures, as they pleas, and /they\ cannot forbear In print the shewing a more then tutoriall Arrogance, In= sulting their better's, nay, benefactors, for so was Cartesius Whose Methods & notion's one may trace Even in their haughty language against him; but be it my tutor, or Cartesius, amicissima ve= ritas,¹⁹⁷ & wee will Neither decline Nor Quarrell any reason or truth for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} sake of Either, Neither uphold any thing upon any authority but that of Reason according to \boldsymbol{y}^e light wee have. And Whither the late Author hath observed this golden Indifference or Not, fixt libri Indices, 198 where it appears, that It hath bin \boldsymbol{y}^{e} drift of his whole undertaking, It may be of all his philosoficall studys, and he failes Not on all occasion's, Especially ye Main hinges, tho /will\ very seeming temper & Couchant, 199 to Confute and depreciate all that Cartesius brought forth. W^{ch} is all the Stranger, becaus Cartesius was author of the Invention, that Motion had law's. And that

¹⁹⁷ i.e., '(greatest) friend of truth'.

¹⁹⁸ i.e., 'sets down indices in his book'[?].

 $^{^{\}rm 199}$ i.e., 'temperately and reclined'.

Philosofy might be [conduceted?], more Mathematico, & with as clear light, as Geometry; w^{ch} are y^e Model[s?] of all this Author's works, that he put's forward as his owne, and In that /so doing is Not\ y^e onely Cartesian plagiary. But, as I was Saying, however comon or Cartesian these rea= soning's are, I know My owne thought's, where they are sincere & pure, as I Repyrsent them, want without aim or designe, but Either displaying a fair understanding, or by open Errors, provoke some Corrective pen, to Chastise them, & So /whereby I may\ obtein a better Information. And I must, tho I am ashamed to say, I know No profes= sion, In y^e way of arts or learning so Incumbred With y^e vices of y^e Mind, Nay want of Comon honesty, as Naturall philosofy is /so\ that In My Judgm^t, sincerity with Modesty may Compensate a world of failings. Then I proceed.

There being Nothing by without us but body, In a State of Chang, or Motion to be relyed on as a principle of Naturall knowledg; And y^e World appearing to us, to have So Much of variety besides, wee must look for principles of all the rest somewhere Els, that is In our Modes of perception, w^{ch} is a subject that treat's of humane Capacity, I Shall Referr y^e Most part to a Just discours, as I Intend, of that, And Suppose here onely, that all Seeming Qualitys and property's of body, as colour, tast, sound, blunt, Sharp hot, cold, wett, drye, & y^e like to be but fantosmes in our Imagination & Not In the things, w^{ch} are the occa= sion of them. And have onely to seek out In these narrow

154v

7

Narrow straits of Body's and their movem^{ts}, for varietys w^{ch} possibly /be\ (I am Not positive y^t all are) the Causes of them; As by y^e sequell may appear;

But In $y^{\rm e}$ Mean time under this head, I ${\tt must}$ Must take Some Notice of Some other thing's, $w^{\rm ch}$ have bin, & yet by many are accounted principles. As for ye old Aristo= telian Elements, as also the -salino-aerio,-Sulfureochimicall principles of $\ensuremath{D^r}$ Mayo, and of $\ensuremath{y^e}$ Rest of pyrotecknian's, w^{ch} they Reduce under the titles of Salt sulfur & 200 They are all Sufficiently Exploded by M^r Boyle, who Experimentally hath demonstrated those Not Elementary body's, becaus all other sub= stances, & one out of $y^{\rm e}$ other are by distillations Ex= tracted, as who Will may see in his works. And \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Noble Mr Boyle hath also by his Industry & sagacity demon= strated, that Nothing is so usefull In philosofy as Elabourate Experiments, and Chiefly by beating Men out of fantastick Imaginary principles. ffor It is In ye Nature of Man, who allwais thinck's himself a philosofer, to be prcipitate in Enterteining prin= ciples, and then No less pertinacious In holding to them, never to be removed by reason or authority And onely Experimentary demonstration Can doe it.

The Moderne's have taken Empty space for a princi= ple, calling it Vacuum, opposed to y^e Cartesian plenum, this is like y^e Aristotelian privation, w^{ch} is a very odd

²⁰⁰ RN makes several disparaging references to John Mayow in his MSS. See BM Add MS 32526, 100r, n. 96. RN leaves a space underlined by dots here - it is not clear whether he is intending to return and fill in the space, or inscribing a gesture of depairing contempt.

odd Matteriall to make a principle of, and is as Much as to say Nothing Engenders something. but this being a larg and Important Subject I have Resigned to a place apart under y^e title plenitude.²⁰¹

The late author of y^e principia,²⁰² seem's to decline all phisicall principles, and assumes certein powers ffor geometricall Ends onely; as centripetall, centrei= fugall, attractive, aversive, Inertiall, and y^e like. And It had bin as well if he had made No phisicall Conclu= sion's; for In process from these p^rcarious principles, he falls directly upon y^e vortexes, of Cartesius, theres' his biais. w^{ch} is a strong proceeding; one would have expec= ted, the scaffolding at least on a phisicall bottom, for the hacking downe such a structure as that. but perhaps y^e Author had, or hoped, y^e Reader would, having brush't thro such a wilderness of demonstration, forgett, that the very principles, as phisicall were disclaimed.

In a latter book²⁰³ y^e author proposeth a Method, he Calls analitick, rather then synthetick, for proceeding In naturall philosofy. If he mean's thus, that wee are to Argue from facts, sensation's, or Experiment's to principles and Not E contra, from principles to phenomena, wee must agree with him. ffor what light have Wee, for discovery of principles, real from Imaginary but proofs and tryalls; that is Experiment? but he means far= ther, or I mistake him, and that is, that wee are to collect constant Effects, and then denominate powers

155v

²⁰¹ See below, 210r-219v.

 $^{^{\}rm 202}$ Unless this text dates from after 1727, RN's use of the term 'late' must mean recent rather than dead.

 $^{^{203}}$ Newton's *Opticks*, published in English in 1704 and Latin in 1706; RN is referring to Query 23 of this edition (which became Query 31 in the second English edition of 1717).

principles 9. powers from thence, & take those for principles. ffor say's he, what wee constantly find happen's, wee May build upon, true; but then It must be distinguisht, if It belong to our Imagination, and opinion, or to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} objects afore us. ffor In objects wee find Nothing per= manent, but place-filling. but It is as Constant, to be observed, that ye Sun is light, & shines, that all bodys seem Coloured; wee must Not therefore ascribe \boldsymbol{y}^{e} light to y^e sun, or colour to body's, but to our fan= tasia, and ye Caus of it onely to ye Sun, & those bodyes, so wee are there hitcht off our principles. So admitting Refraction shews y^e Rainbow-colours, (w^{ch} is Not true In all cases) to argue from thence, that Ray's are In their nature Induced with that w^{ch} makes in our sence, y^e Image of Colours, Red, blew &c. /and accordingly have different Refrangibility\ and in comon light are blended, but In Refraction Separate, & so are displayed In order according to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} proper angles of Refrangibility. This is Error /In principle\ ffor it is as Easily denyd and, It may be sayd, mixture, as well as separation /may produce colours\, of $w^{\rm ch}$ In proper place. So The Cours of $y^{\rm e}$ planet's, say's he, is to move In Ellipses, with ye Sun In umbilico, and tend to move In strait lines, but are deteined by the at= traction of their center, and would be more circula= tory, but that they act by drawing one & other aside, Into Ellipses. therefore there is a force of attrac= tion in all body's, according to Quantity, whereby thev

156v

10

principles

they tend, according to ye Magnitude of Each, mutually to come together. so \boldsymbol{y}^e moon by attracting or lifting up y^e Sea, $w^{\rm ch}~y^e$ Center of y^e Earth attracts downewards, & makes y^{e} tides. And y^{e} anomala of y^{e} Moone, is from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} unaccountable attraction's of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} planets passing by In $y^{\rm e}$ Monthly Revolution. And consequently body's must all Gravitate by attraction towards ye Centre of the Earth. And Attraction Is made an Instrument called a principle In philosofy of attraction, to Remove all weighty doubdts. I had rather he had sayd directly an occult Quality; ffor If I ask how, attraction? the Ans^r must be that. what is this but Relapsing back Into the dreggs of \boldsymbol{y}^e peripatick philosofy? His argument for this is In his opticks, where he say's that to take constant Effect's for principles, is an analitick procee= ding, and most scientificall. And /but\ grant /that\ all bodys in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world moved as If they did attract one and other It doth Not follow that /It/ is by /from/ an/y/ Intrinsick principle of attraction, but /may as Well be\ by Impulsion, (as ye truth will appear to be.) and therefore the true analitick is not to make a principle of an abstract, as attraction is, of whose Essence Non Constat.²⁰⁴ but so use the fact, and argue What necessarily follows from that, and so by Conformity With other appearances, become argumentative, to Confirme ye use of some power's, wee know must Exist; and then Inferr it is Most problable, those powers also caus these Effects. as to Instance, bodys must upon occurs alter the cours

 $^{^{\}rm 204}$ i.e., 'it does not appear'.

157r

principles.

 $\frac{11}{1}$

cours of the Movem't. this wee know follows Necessa= rily, from y^e Nature of body, that admitts not penetra= tion, & is all Resistance. And If by any generall or Com= mon Effect's, wee can apply this principle, It is well, but Not to forme one wee doe Not know. Such as Attraction, and all Quallity's vulgarly ascribed to body Except onely tenacity of place.

For a principle should be demonstrable, or at least not capable of being Confuted by Experiment, as this of attraction may be, & will, as also that of Re= fraction, as to Colours. So vaine is it to Collect prin= ciples, otherwise unknowne, from Certein /collection of $\ \mbox{Effect's, W^{ch}}$ can Never be argued universall, & If they were so, y^{e} analitick consequence is Not true. but /lett that pass y^{e} text is/ y^{e} Strangest principle of all is that /w^{ch} he Calls vis Inertia. w^{ch} says he, is a most significative Expression; of what? Not of a principle, but It is a description of certein effects, upon collision of body's. It is a thought of Cartesius that body's at rest, demand $y^{\rm e}$ Same force to /be\ Moved as others in Motion to be Stayed. This is from a Na= turall axiom, drawne from universall Experience, that all thing's that alter, are caused /or\ forced to it, and that Nothing doth it self. for Ex Nihilo Nihil fit.205 But the ascribing active force to dullness, Was Not in y^e Mind of Cartesius, and it is onely a New expres= sion, & somewhat specious, & therefore is a favorite. And however blind it is as to all reason and prin= ciple, yet I must Grandt, & In place shew, that it describes

 $^{^{\}rm 205}$ i.e., 'nothing comes from nothing'.

157v

12. principles.

describes, the very Events of Implu Impulses, but Makes none understood, w^{ch} may be sufficient for a Geo= meter, but must not claime a post in philosofy. The Same thing Introduced from a true principle, Will do y^e Same service, as he apply's it, but then, as will appear, It is by consequence & Not as a principle.

One thing More to be observed of this Method, of Ma= king principles, of Seeming powers, & giving them names, & then working back into Nature, taking really nothing from thence. such are attraction, separation, and the centranean powers. It is that they are hy= potheses, and Not principles, becaus \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Substance of them is demanded, and not proved or allowed. Hypotheses are built on principles, but Not principles on them. Therefore, these power's Should have bin Interpreted, or Explained, so as ye source or founda= tion of them had bin under Stood, then as Hypotheses It were reasonable to have proceeded with them, as in other Cases. Therefore the title of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} book Is fallacious [marg]²⁰⁶ vis^t. Naturalis philosofia^e principia Mathematica. ffor he disownes the principles as phisicall, then how can they be principia Naturalis philosofia. they may be data, for ye use of geometry but Not principia, therefor In My apprehension y^e author is a Great leader, In geometry, & In phisicks No less a misleader, and It may be demonstrated the Geometer hath seduced & Corrupted ye philosofer.

I doe not prtend that wee know all the principles from whence may be Resolved all naturall effects, for Much of y^e apparance depends on animall life & sence, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is less understood, then matter's abroad. It is Enough If wee know and are secure of some. Now There are Egregious effect's, of w^{ch} I never could be satisfyed Critically of their principle. as. 1. semi= nality. 2. vegetation. Wee doe Not know that any plant's are produced Spontaneously, No More then Animalls; but all by propagation, Either from a part of y^e plant, or a seed. but as to that wee have this acc°, that a seed is but a budd of y^e plant, Repo= sited In a proper nutriment, to Supply $y^{\rm e}$ first Growing, till it can shift In y^e soyl at larg; So It Returnes that ye Continuation of plant's is by perpetuall propagation /of by its parts transplanted; but there cannot be a new plant made, however by In= sertion's Strang alteration's are made of them. And I know Not any filtration, aeriall pressure, accor= ding to Malpighius, 207 with his valves, or other Na= turall Experiment, will Shew Satisfactorily, the manner of vegetation. Nor is it In ye power of art to make any vegetable, or seed, the most contemprible moss that is, is above the boasts of chimist's, & triumph'd more over them, then their Antimony triumph's as Basil valentine²⁰⁸ p^rtends, In medecine. And so wretched is $\boldsymbol{y}^{e}\ \boldsymbol{p}^{r}tence$ of Making what

²⁰⁷ Marcello Malpighi, 1628-94, physician, comparative anatomist, and early microscopist who worked for much of his life in Bologna. He was famed not only for the astuteness of his observation and interpretation, but also for the precision and clarity of his drawings. He was a a member of the Royal Society in London from 1669.

 $^{^{208}}$ Basilius Valentius, believed to have been an alchemist in Erfurt in the fifteenth century, and to have been the author of numerous works on chemistry/alchemy in German and Latin. It now seems likely that he never existed. It has been suggested that he was the invention of Johann Thölde (c. 1565-1624, the publisher of the earliest known volumes) and others. RN seems to be referring to Triumph Wagen Antinomii (The Triumphal Chariot of Antimony), first published in German at Leipzig in 1604, and in French and in Latin in 1646.

14. principles

What men Most covet gold, they Cannot Make y^e very ordure of a beast, nor the least thing that is of ordinary Naturall proceeding. Therefore here wee are to seek for a principle. And for Necessity use the termes of Growing or vegetation, with y^e Names of y^e Individualls, as language to Express what Wee mean, without other understanding, then of the thing's themselves produced, as Nature Shews them. And herein Cartesius, I thinck, was too aspiring, In $p^r=$ tending, out of his principles to Resolve all Naturall process whatsoever, w^{ch} Made him Strain Into an ab= surdity, by auato automatising Brutes, and Indan= gering the very Essence of human soul. So fatall is p^r sumption, In such Cases.

Another thing is Most difficult, If Not Impossible to be understood, and that is, animall generation. And altho the late discoverer's have shewn the ana= logy of Egg's, to /with\ seeds of plant's, and y^t all creatures are eviparous; yet the process of Increas, from y^e punctum sa= liens,²⁰⁹ as well as y^e Incoation of even that, to an orderly formation or rather structure of the members, & parts of Every animall, is and I fear Ever will be a Mistery. the rather, becaus, w^tever to y^e Contrary is p^rtended, with y^e old blind story's, of Egiptian slime, & half formed animalls In it, (or rather half drowned) there is No such thing, as a new animall in y^e world, but all that appear to us are produced by generation w^{ch} is Not Equivocall in any thing. And the Con= currence

158v

 $^{^{\}rm 209}$ i.e., 'salient point' – presumably implying the immediate product of the very moment of (sexual) generation.

15

currence of male & female necessary to y^e production of them, Some species are very Strang, as what Should limit variety. peper water In may produceth Infinite animunculi; wee cannot say it is Equivocall, being so small as not to be examined, and No Examinable cases are so, w^{ch} argues those should, tho wee know 'em not, be as all other's wee doe know /are\. Then other Species some thinck are Include both male & female, and act on Each other at y^e Same time alternately, as Snails w^{ch} particulars are y^e Subject of Naturall history, but tend litle to Explanation of the mistery, so that must also be fe let alone, as wanting just principle whereupon to found a Resolution.

I know many, and the thrice most worthy D^r. Barrow In his discours of the failing of y^e Cartesian Hypothe= sis,²¹⁰ Instances In these matters, and Inlargeth upon y^e subject of Anima Mundi, where he with others as I sayd have a sort of faith, that there is somewhat more then Mechanick in vegetation; And they have an opinion that a sort of soul, or Sprituous prin= ciple Reignes In all plants, &c. but More visibly In animalls, as Brutes; And to this, I cannot say other but that I deny am Ignorant In y^e Matter, I cannot owne principles I know Nothing off, Nor have any mean's to Examine. If folks will beleev such extraordinary principles, I am Content & /Inclined to\ Joyne with them; but this Must be faith, & Not philosofy. That will not honestly walk out of its knowledg.

²¹⁰ Isaac Barrow, 1630-77 (see note on f. 46r, above.) RN refers here to Barrow's critique of Descartes (for his materialism) in his 1652 MA oration - In comitiis, 1652, Cartesiana hypothesis de materia et mote haud satisfacit praecipuis naturae phaenomenis (The Theological Works of Isaac Barrow, D.D., Master of Trinity College, Cambridge. In Nine volumes. ... ed. The Rev Alexander Napier, M.A., Cambridge University Press, 1859, xi, 79-104). Barrow had also reflected, to some degree critically, upon Descartes' notion of space in Lecture X of his Lectiones Mathematicae xxiii; In quibus Principia Matheseôs generalia exponuntur: Habitae Cantabrigiae A.D. 1664, 1665, 1666. etc, London, 1684, (in English: Barrow, I., The Usefulness of Mathematical Learning explained and demonstrated: Being Mathematical Lectures Read in the Public Schools at the University of Cambridge. etc.. Translated by the Revd. Mr. John Kirkby of Egremond in Cumberland, London, Stephen Austen, 1734). The term 'anima mundi' (i.e. 'world soul') was also used by Newton and the Newtonians, it re-'animated' the materialist universes described by the mid-century natural philosphers (i.e., Hobbes and Descartes), RN describes their use of the term well here.

16. principles

I cannot but take notice of one method, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ Cartesius and other's after him takes, In the theory of Motion w^{ch} is Not so much faulty In the thing, as unphilo= soficall in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Manner. and that is the subjecting Mo= tion to severall laws, and those law's they pass as prin= ciples. This I say is unphilosoficall, for they May as well make Every phenomenon In nature ye Consequence of an Immediate law, as any one Effect of corporall Impulls. Therefore I know but one law to $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ Matter is Subject and that is Impenetrable Extension, w^{ch} will be obeyed whatever happen's. As for the Continuing of things in their state, It is Included In y^e Notion of body, as Not having life or automatall principle. All the Rest of The conclusion's In this hypothesis, are either Necessary Effect's, or such as may be consequent to Necessary Ef= fects, so \underline{y}^e /wee\ demand Nothing but that body is Extended And Impenetrable. and waive all other laws & Max= imes whatever.

159v

 $160r^{211}$

principles.

1.

It is shewed partly already that the principles of Na= tural philosofy, are drawne from two stocks, one is Humane faculty's and manner of perceiving thing's, and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other is from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} essence of things themselves their Modes and changes. So much as moves from sence consists in this. The Body is a frame composed of divers members, $w^{\rm ch}$ have capacity of being moved, more or less, without being destroyed; but Receiving Impression's from Exter= nall force, are yet $y^{\rm e}$ same, capable of $y^{\rm e}$ like Conti= nually, so long as life lasts. These member's or part's of the body are all, by certein ductuses or Nerves, con= centered in some Comon part, (supposed In the brain) /so\ that none of them can be moved, but the action will continue to that part of $/w^{ch}$ is ye Resort /[...?] called the comune sensorium; $^{\rm 212}$ and In that place the sensitive being Re= sides, and Receiv Notice of all these action's that affect y^{e} severall member's or parts of y^{e} body, w^{ch} is sensation.

Some parts of y^e body are more susceptible of Motion from without, and destroyed with less violence, then others; and those are Called organs of sence. as y^e timpanum of y^e Ear, the tubes of y^e Nostrills, The tongue & pallat, and y^e Retina, or bottom part of y^e Eye; The Rest of y^e human body is not so signalised, but the small Im= pression's upon it from Exterior action's have one deno= mination, & that is touch. But y^e organ's are from their particular tenderness, distinguished; and ac= cordingly

 $^{^{211}}$ This next section (to 161v), which is clearly later than the preceeding one, is written on very slightly smaller paper (height is 2-3mm less), in a slightly finer pen.

²¹² The imagined location in the brain where all sensory apprehensions would be brought together and (in many schema, including that of Descartes) be submitted to reason or judgment. This is the process which RN goes on to describe below. *Sensorium commune*, as it was usually written, is therefore the latin source of one now obsolete (although very useful) meaning for the term 'common sense', sometimes called the sixth sense (the sense of sense).

dingly denominated, as hearing, Smelling, tasting & seeing; It is first to be Noted In generall that a Mo= vement of Every Exterior part of the body, is a Caus of sensation, and the variety of things occasion's, that No two sensation's are alike, and accordingly the touch at y^{e} foot, is not y^{e} same as a touch upon y^{e} hip or shoulder, and so of every, & the minutest part of $y^{\rm e}$ body. by $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ difference In $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ Manner of the touch, the Mind from very Early Experience, learnes to distinguish between ye foot, hip, & shoulder, &ce. from ye specifick mode of ye sensation, and Not otherwise; ffor If a member be cutt off, and by mean's of y^e conduct-nerves, y^e Same mode of sensation arives, as it will at the sensorium, the mind hath a sence /as\ of that even absent Member. but I Inlarg Not here of this, and Conclude obser= ving that touch upon y^e organ's, is so peculiarly different from $y^{\rm e}$ Rest, Either from $y^{\rm e}$ tenderness of the part, or some neerer concerne it is to $y^{\text{e}}\xspace$ Mind, that $y^{\text{e}}\xspace$ sensation's from them, are so Equisite & unlike the Rest, they have Names apart. as all touch upon ye timpanum is sound, & what they call a Ringing In the Ear. And all touch upon $y^{\rm e}$ tender Retina of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Eye, is sight, light, or Colour; and this is Not onely from y^e proper object wee call light, or colour, but any Gros contusion with a fist, or putting a fin= ger In at a corner of y^e Eye, all Impress a sence of light, becaus the Impression reacheth \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Retina from whence Every Sensation is called light.

160v 2

3.

Now as to the Images that ye Mind Conceivs upon Each of those sensation's, that is such as wee know proceeds from a stroke upon a bell, & wee Call Ringing, and others that ${\tt Moves^{213}}$ from $y^{\tt e}$ Sun, well call light, with $y^{\rm e}$ Subdistinction's of Blew, Red, yellow, & sn /so from other sen= sations with Infinite variety, the Naturalist can Make no account of them but as Essences, w^{ch} doe on Such occasion Exist in $y^{\rm e}$ Mind, and thats all. ffor If one asks why the Impression that shew's Red should Not Shew as well blew; or why sound should not be as light or this manner of sound, as another, it is the same as to ask, why is this Island & that Continent, here sea & there land. Cartesius answers It was $y^{\rm e}$ Will of God It should be so, w^{ch} is y^{e} true $\mathtt{ans}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is to be given ffor the Existence of things. But yet it is possible the Naturalist May find out, what difference there is in y^{e} action's y^{t} caus different sensation's, as In the case of Musick is done Most Exactly. ffor an octave is found to be pulses swifter as. 2. to. 1. and fifths as 3. to 2. and It is Not despaired of but \boldsymbol{y}^{e} like may be found as to Colours, but for Comon touch wee are aided More In Examining ye object; ffor wee know what it is Makes the Sence of soft, hard, rough, Smoth, clammy and ye like. And if wee had not these helps of Eyes & Ear's with the varying y^e posture of feeling, but lay fixt, and Received Impression's on or body, as wee doe on ye organ's, there Might Emerge in our sensation such specifications of

²¹³ The 's' is here scratched out.

161v

4

principles

of Meer touch, as Would be analagous, or have forme as sounds & colours have. So In this place we goe No farther then to be assured, that all the Images in the mind occasioned by sensation, are essences re= siding there; and the sensation is onely a Movem't of some part of y^e body, where of y^e variety's Mall /are the\ caus of our distinguishing the parts touched, and /(thro experience) of distinguishing\ y^e object also. so this being dispatcht, wee have done with that part of /the principles of\ Naturall philosofy as depend on humane capacity. and the modes of sensation.

1. the next stock from whence wee draw all other principles of naturall knowledg, is the mass of ex= ternall thing's in the world, abstracting all sensi= tive creatur's, and considered as if None Such as are In y^e World. And those must be the same, as wee sayd had Existence, for $w^{\rm ch}$ no caus could be given, or other discours had of their being, but onely the bare affir= mative, that they doe Exist. Then setting aside all that was sayd to belong to sence onely, w^{ch} In vulgar disours (but untruly) is attributed to Externall objects, as colour, tast, hard soft, cold, hott, & y^e like, wee can find no mean's to know there is any thing in y^{e} world, but by the resistance wee find one body is to the movement of another. and so by universall Consent, founded upon universall proof, It is Concluded that body fills place, and is uncapable of being pe= netrated by any other. and this by an abstract terme and very properly Is called Impenetrability. And that TA7Ch

162r²¹⁴

9

And doth not Concerne himself, with y^e Efficient Caus; That is would seem to say something, but In truth say nothing, or wors when /as\ it seem's somewhat, & is No= thing. ffor when he say's two body's come together by attraction, what is it but to say they Come toge= ther by coming together, unless he will explaine how y^t attraction is; that is, shew y^e Efficient caus. I might give here farther Examples of like darkness, as vires centri= peta^e, centrifuga^e, se mutuo attractientes, fugientes, & the like. but one onely ans^d, as that of attraction answers all.

principles.

But while he Supposeth, as he Insinuates, that all body/s\ Is /are\ Indued with a vertue of attracting Each other, ad mensuram densitatis,²¹⁵ he proposeth a plaine principle If he Could prove it. And it is of y^e Same forme with A= ristotles Quality's; and he seem's to follow him, for as he produceth/cing\ attraction of Gravity, ye Magnet, & Electricalls, [...?]/he\ Say's he /farther\ there may be other attraction's Not so discernable, by w^{ch} the Continuity of body's and divers other phaenomena may be maintained /Resolved\. Now In gene= rall /as\ to all Quality's and property's /I say\ What are possible and Not, Is Not the Question, but what /really\ are? and by that Gage wee have None wch wee owne distinctly & clearly annext to body but that of Impenetrability. And to ad= mitt principles, (w^{ch} are like Rudder's In a voyage,) of w^{ch} there is No clear evidence, is to condemne ye voyage be= fore it is undertaken. And No apt-ness, or other defect of solution /otherwise\ will be Sufficient to set up a principle, of w^{ch} there is Not a specifick & certein Evidence; of w^{ch} More will be sayd when I treat of Hypotheses.

²¹⁴ The paper is very slightly taller (see footnote above, on f. 160r). The sheet is marked on the top RH corner, which part also seems to have been folded back and rubbed, and is darkened, apparently owing to dust. Folding also at the foot of the page, with further dark marks. If this is a continuation of the essay on the previous pages, as seems most likely, then according to RN's numbering, two pages are missing.

²¹⁵ i.e., 'in proportion to their density'.

10. principles.

Some have Introduced principles of various kinds; Some whereof may concerne simple body's, other's aggre= gates. as to the latter I cannot allow any, ffor What is Not in simple body's cannot be In a Collection of them; ffor It is onely Multiplication & Not Creation that Result's from adding & compounding. And as to Simple body's wee have to Consider, Springyness or Elasticity /&\ Continuity, ple and of compounds fluidity Rarefaction & condensation, most of w^{ch} are so con= siderable, as to diserve discourses apart.

As to y^e old atomists who Imagined y^e Matter of y^e World devided into parts Indevisible, have bin so farr follow= ed, that Except, mentally, but as to actuall devision y^e part's of matter are [accounted?] Indicerpable. But when they come to their p^rcipitate Cours In vacuo Infinito,. wee leav them; Nor is there any Need to En= ter upon Repetition, of comon discourses, have bin on that Subject.

But while wee discours of principles, one would thinck all reasoning of that sort, should be undermined, by y° late book, Intituled, Naturalis philosofia° principia mathematica. And If y° p^rtension of that title were made good, the Royall Society ought to Erect a sta= tue In hon^r of y° author. but I fear y° termes are Mista= ken, ffor the principles of Geometry as taken from phi= sicks, ffor they shew the materiall, & y° Geometers weigh & measure it, and wee thanck them for their paines, becaus in many thing's y° Naturalists profit /greatly\ by them.

An hy Every Supposition is an Hypothesis, but In the lan= guage of phisicks It means A few supposition's applyed to Resolve many, If not all y^e phenomena In nature. and in this age Nothing is more Cryed out upon the Hypotheses, as ye Greatest Impediments of science. Whereupon the humour of Rejecting all Hypotheses, and Relying upon Experiments onely Came in; Our Royall Society is founded on ye Modell, whose Motto is, Nullius in verba; and they prtend to Col= lect Experiments Enow to suggest an Intire hypothesis of Na= ture, and untill that good harvest is Ripe, they will Subsist without any Science at all. This I account an Extream the other way, ffor /one May securely wager, \ Such a Collection of Experiments, as one may Securely Guess will Never be made; and to Say truth wee are not capable of being acquainted Enough Either with great magnitudes, or deep Exilitys /To hope for a [perpect?] Information of Nature\ and what wee know, or (to Speak in Compass) guess Concerning them /those Reconditi\; must be founded on a Conformity with $y^{\rm e}$ Event's of thing's, wee doe Cer= teinely know; and that is Hypothesis. To say truth Nature it self is uniforme and aggreable to method in all things, & causes apparently run together Into Some Comon princi= ples; wherefore truth in Naturall philosofy neither is, Nor Ever will be out of Hypothesis; And this is Confirmed by the most antehypotheticall men, & None More then the Author of y^e principia. and Since ptolomy's orbs, there hath Not bin so Rank an hypothesis as he hath made /of ye heavens on \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Subject of attraction; And this is so violently strained the poor distressed vagrant Comets are brought into pe= riodicall elliptick revolution's, without any Certein Evidence.

2. principles

To compose an hypothetick unity. This had Never bin as I dare say, If the spirit of Contradiction against Cartesius had Not raigned.

Since Hypotheses In philosofy Must, & will be Enterteined, It is reasonable to Inquire how to secure the best. And as to that, y^{e} onely rule is, with y^{e} Experimentists, to find & Not make an Hypothesis, That is, gather all the Cer= teinty's wee can, as was before discourst of principles, and holding those fast, see wah what changes they are Capable of, and what must Necessarily be Consequent of such changes; and then we Gaine so Much Ground In the generall, whereon we may Rest. Of this sort I take the rules of Motion to be /And\ Then the Most Recondite phenomena w^{ch} by y^{e} suit of those sure $p^{\mathrm{r}}\text{misses}$ may be shewed possibly to be Resolved, the extent and Nume= rosity of those possibility's will argue considerably a probability. As for Instance, If all the knowne $\ensuremath{\mathtt{k}}$ visible effects of palpable thing's in ye World be plainely consequent of Body being Impenetrable and moved, producing changes on all sides by mutuall collision's. and It can be shewed that heat light, sound. &c. /ye Imediate causes of $w^{eh} \setminus w^{ch}$ lye hidd in Inscrutable Exility, may be solved under ye Same principles, It is Not a litle probable they are really so solvable Constitu= ted. And If there be /such secret\ phaeNomena wch will Not agree with those principle's, It is needfull to rest Suspended and owne an Ignorance of them, till some farther discovery's are made to Give an Insight Into them so. fuga vacui?²¹⁶

 $^{^{216}}$ The writing at the bottom of the page is very crowded, and these last three words are written in a tiny script - perhaps added at the same time the phrase was used on the following page.

And wee are Not to despaire of such discovery's, as as wee Need to p^rcipitate opinions, without great clearness, let ffor the case of y^e air teacheth the contrary; It is well knowne the condition of our air, untill the torricelli= an Experiment, and In suit of that, the air-pump & baroscope, was No less unknowne to us, then to y^e Inhabitants of Saturne, if any such be. But those Ex= periment's have disclosed that profound Mistery of fuga vacui,²¹⁷ and shewed us an Hypothesis of y^e air, by w^{ch} most phenomena in it are clearly, and satisfac= torily Resolved; ffor w^{ch} reason's I conclude the safest path in y^e way Hypotheticall, is to be sure of y^e principles, and upon them to walk no farther then y^e clear light guides; and rather then Enter Into mist's, rest Contented Expecting such may clear up.

But If I may conjecture what made the hath ledd to such an erroneous use of hypotheses, as hath so discredi= ted them; It seems thus. Some have thought an hypothesis that hitts all points and solves all the phenomena /is to be Enterteined\ and such as comes short of any to be Rejected. And the disputing humour w^{ch} for some ages Infested knowledg, Styled, of the scools, augmented this fondness; ffor they ffeared nothing Equall to a Non plus²¹⁸ in dispute, and If by Cap= tion and asseveration they could wrangle it thro, they never found fault with their principles; and the Cri= terium between Good & bad Hypotheses, was No other but it will, & it will Not Solve y^e pha^enomena. this appeared in y^e Case of the ptolomaick orbs & Epicicles; ffor If y^e moderne vertuosi, had Not shewed by y^e phases

3.

 $^{^{217}}$ i.e., 'flee the vacuum', i.e., that in nature the vacuum will always be filled - i.e., that 'nature abhors a vacuum'.

²¹⁸ i.e., 'no more'; i.e., that nothing could be added, that it was complete.

phases of venus, w^{ch} that Could Not solve, that it was fals, the Acadamy's had Reteined it to this day, and very unwillingly they parted with it as they did.

The 2. Hypotheses that Now are sur la tapis with ye vertuosi, for p^reminence are the Mechanick or Cor= puscular, and If I may So Call it, the Attractive, the fformer lean's on $y^{\rm e}$ main principles, & Method of Car= tesius; and hath $y^{\rm e}$ Suffrage of the vertuosi Immedi= atey Succeeding him. And particularly Mr Boyle hath Gone a great way, by his Experiments, to demonstration the main /reasonableness\ of it. And this is that Hypothesis or rather method or Model, I work upon. aiming to distin= guish /of principles /the sure from /the frail, and to move to Con= sequent's, ffirst In plain cases, by Indubitable Necessity, and in other's less plaine by Conformity; and In those I comprehend Not, to Rest suspended. But As to the Hypothesis (as I must Call it) of attraction, Supposed Inspired to matter, so that /whereby\ Every thing draws Every thing by unknowne, I might Say /or rather \ Incorporeal Mean's, I have onely to say, Negatur.*²¹⁹ And this is the acc^o so ffarr as I Shall be troubled to give any Hypotheses.

To conclude this subject, It is to be Noted that aptitude of an Hypothesis is no argument of its truth, and Next No ones hypothsis is y^e Stronger, by shewing weakness in others. but If wee observe author's, particularly him of attraction, wee Shall find frequent Notes, that this & that were never het Resolved by any, as If the ffitting things that sat not so well on others made 'em his owne. there= fore It is Not Inventing /making\, but finding, that furnisheth an Hypothesis; *_____.

164v

4

²¹⁹ 'Negatur' means 'denied'. It seems likely that the asterisk indicates a footnote, referring us to the dashed line following an asterisk at the bottom of the page. The essay is here cut short, even though it is drawn/drawing to a conclusion there are likely to be pages missing. And there are pages missing from the beginning of the next section too, where we 'begin' at page 73 of a similarly titled (if not identical) essay.

165r²²⁰

Other Notions /of things\ y^t Subsist In Compa= rison onely, very hard, to be Rightly considered. vist.

> Magnitude Space Motion time.

of Magnitude., And how our In= [f?]irmity's give I= deas of demension or rather Carac= ters, w^{ch} are not [I?]n nature but In [fa?]ncy onely.

Hypotheses

Come

There are Some other Notions /fall under this title\ $w^{\rm ch}$ I Shall take into debate, becaus I thinck a right Judgm't of them Conduceth Much to y^e accomplishm^t of philosofy, and y^{t} is /they are\ so Much harder to at as our p^rjudices, Concerning them are Strong, ffor they are dated Even with life it self, and ordinarily are coevall with it, litle happening In y^{e} whole cours, to create y^{e} least doubdt or Scruple concerning them, Nor Can they be abstracted, without ye Greatest violence of thin= king, so much as Even $y^{\rm e}$ strongest & clearest thinkers In other matter's, have bin hurryed

away by them. These are Comonly knowne by ye termes of Magnitude, Space, Motion and time. but fall all very near Into a coinci= dence, In the more generall terme Extension on $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ all depend; but yet to Comply with language, I Shall discours of them a part.

1. As to Magnitude, wee have Notion's of Immensity, and Exility. and these goe /not onely\ to all sensible Idea's, whither of space or ge= nerall Extension, & body In all its demensions but /also\ to Motion and time, $w^{\rm ch}$ as to Measure are $y^{\rm e}$ Same thing, and those also Referr to dimensions of body & space as will be shewed. these notion's [wch?] are besides the matter, are truely of nothing but our owne²²¹

73

 $^{^{220}}$ Many of the remaining folios in this volume use a wide LH margin. The wide LH margin seems to be a signature form of RNs later writing. Fols 80-91v (above), also later pieces of writing, used this format.

²²¹ This page was cut unevenly at the bottom. It has since been restored by conservators. See further comment in note overleaf.

All magnitudes In same proportions alike, but p^rjudice doth Not bear thinking Indiffe= rently of them.

Hypotheses.

74

Strength to sustein, and capacity to know. ffor wee are apt to ascribe somewhat In y^e composition of Great thing's, that did Not be[=?] long to small. As when I say the courses of y^e planetts about y^e sun have No more naturall Excellence then straws In a whirl pool; It raiseth a Sort of passion, so that from arguing folks grow angry, as at a fals & profane speech; all w^{ch} is a litleness of mind, that doth not readily find /out?\, that all body's gGreat & Small are governed by ye Same rules. So wee can scarce hold ou[r?] admiration back when wee conceiv such Imensity's, to $w^{\rm ch},~y^{\rm e}$ /stupendious\ systeme of $y^{\rm e}$ sun / &c.\, is but one of a Numberless Number of the like, of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ wee have Intimation from $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ scintillation's of y^e fixt starr's; and that all proportion's conteined in it may be found with Homer's Iilliadds, In a Nutshell. one thing that conduceth this Idolising Immensity of body & space,²²² is that great thing's put us to pain, & torture, becaus our body's are not of a composition to resist them, /&\ but they are apt to destroy us; hence wee have a sort of ffear of thing's greater, as also a contempt of things less then $o^{\rm r}$ Selves. It is a pain to travell a few miles, so wee thinck y^e Way long; and $\underline{\texttt{from}}$ /according to\ these passive Imagi[=] nations, wee carry on the proportion, to Immen= sity, as I have shewed, $w^{\rm ch}\ Must^{223}$

make

²²² See note on f. 109r.

 $^{^{\}rm 223}$ This shorter line is the result of RN 'writing around' the uneven cut at the foot of this page.

75

make us have, a Stupor in thought, where as In Comon thincking wee Compare orSelves with y^e whole world, as It is In a geometrick way set forth by astronomers. on \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other side In y^e Idea of exility, wee have an Admira= tion that it Should be possible things so small should Exist. and /as\ wee thinck it Im= possible a watch with all $y^{\rm e}$ Comon /clock\ move= ments, Impossible to be made, In ye bigness of a Mustard seed, becaus wee cannot doe it, so wee thinck an animall in pepper water supposed to have all ye ordinary conduct's of life as greater have, a kind of Miracle; and did not microscopes shew, wee should Not beleev 'em, and the Imagination of any living crea= tures less then they, wee account a dream or figment, & with $S^{\rm r}.$ Is. N. Comentitious. 224 there= fore Wee must Necessaryly abstract all these Ideas of magnitude, by laying aside every p^rjudiced opinion of it, and particularly what proceeds from ye gage of our owne per= son's & capacitys, and thinck all magni= tudes alike, the difference lying onely In proportion or comparison, or ye Mind will be very Infirme & lame, as to phi= losofick ways of thincking.

And to Render these regulated Notions of Quantity more plausible: I would have it

All p^rjudicate Ideas of Magni= tude must be layd aside.

¹⁶⁶r

 $^{^{224}}$ 'Sr Is. N.', i.e., Sir Isaac Newton; this gives a date after which this part of the text must have been written, Newton was knighted by Queen Anne in April 1705.

166v

No absolute Mag= nitude, but all under y^e Same mode, or figure are alike, for all y^t can be affirm^d of one Globe is affirmable of all.

The Sofismes of y^e academicians about Magnitude dissolved.

Hypotheses.

76.

it considered, that in truth there is No ab= solute Magnitude, but the very being of it consist's In comparison, and take away from comparison and Every Magnitude (other Modes conforming as figure &c) are alike. as a Sphear of Globe, is the same thing whither that terraqueous, or a mustard seeed, If comparison be Removed. ffor, that a par apart, Nothing can be affirmed of the one, but is true of $y^{\rm e}$ other. and If all $\frac{\ensuremath{\mathsf{that}}}{\ensuremath{\mathsf{that}}}$ can be so affirmed equally of one and other I thinck they are alike In all things so affirmable. as filling place, devision as Infinitum & whence follows y^e same number and proportion of parts: Then what Remaines but Comparison or proportion of one body $\operatorname{ag^t}$ another to distinguish them, and what hath No distinction is ye same. This Resolves the academick scruple concerning the true magnitude of thing's, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ they say is Not any way to be perceived or found out by us. very true, becaus comparison Removed there is No true magnitude. but Supposing but one body In $y^{\rm e}$ World, whither great or small, In our fancy, In truth it is all one In nature. for It can onely be affirmed as a thing Extended, and If it hath any Extension it is devisible In Infinitum so

Hypothese.

so, as before, y^e consequence is the Same Greatness & litleness hath No Existence in one single thing. This I know sounds odly, for they will say a body is Ete ex= tended is a thing determined whither comparison be made or Not; as If y^e Mag= nitude be stated by Comparison of another and that is annihilated, y^e former remains In y^e Magnitude, as it had; true, but y^e Com= parison In o^r mind, is Not annihilated for that Remaines, and gives a Compara= tive Idea of y^e other. But then they will say, It fills so Much Space. that is still y^e same thing, vis^t comparison, with some Imaginary Measure, called space, w^{ch} occa= sions me to speak of that.

. 2. It is a very nice distinction between space and that w^{ch} it Containes, that is between something & Nothing, truely; ffor that is it. those who say space is Empty, say it is No= thing. and yet the same people apply the distinction of absolute & Relative to Nothing, yes. but wee account Space according to the limits w^{ch} are something, that is Extended, and ac= cording to y^e Imaginary lines from one point of y^e Imaginary limits to another, designes the Extension of y^e Empty space. but where are the Imaginary limitts? The author says a watch

Comparison by memory, or In our minds hath y^e Same consequence as to magnitudes, as reall comparison.

of the Nature of Space, & how dis= tinguishable from body. No absolute Space can be supposed as a thing fixt & Immovable to w^{ch} any thing Can be Referred.

All place is Re= lative, either to y° limits of y° world (If any) or to thing's prcon= ceived In it. but place absolute in vacuum or space Infinite is Nonsens.

Hypothes.

78.

a watch upon a table in a ship, hath a place relative to y^e Caban, fixt, but Re= ferring to ye Ground, Movable & Inconstant.225 But there is Space absolute, to w^{ch} all things may be Referred; and that is eternally fixt, & Imovable. Now if $y^{\rm e}$ world be $\frac{fixt\ and\ Im}{}$ Exquisitely filled, there is Nothing /in it\ fixt or without chang, and then, I am sure, Empty place, or space absolute is a [Non-exs?], to $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ it is Insanity to Referr any thing. but say's $y^{\rm e}$ author, the world is a great va= cuum, and place in it, is as true, as if it were full of things, all resting, or unchan= geable; so here & there, May be as well Re[=?] ferred to absolute space, that is /to\ some de= termined part of this Nothingness, they Call & Imagine to be Empty Space. Now Either the world is limitd or Extended in Infinitum If it be limited, then place, is Referred to those limits, & it becomes Relative againe If it be Infinite, then I desire to know where is place absolute in vacuo Infinito. It was reasonably maintained by ye Impugners of $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ atomicall phlosofy, that Motion In vacuo Infinito, was, rest, or what you plea[se?] ffor there is neither here, nor there in Infinity. As If you abstract so violently as

²²⁵ This is, of course, Newton's own powerful imagery, employed in the 'Scholium on time, Place, Space and Motion' at the beginning of the *Principia*. The terminology here, as in other parts of RN's MSS where time, place, space and motion are discussed, is dominated not only by the image of the ship moving in the moving environment of the sea. As we know from his Notes of Me, (*Notes of Me: The Autobiography of Roger North*, ed. Millard, P. T., University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 2000) RN was an enthusiastic sailor and something of a self-styled expert on boats and sailing. That may seem an irrelevant point, but it enables him often to charge the imagery with his own memory and experience.

79.

as to Imagine but one onely body In the world, & that to be otherwise vacuum to Infinite, Nothing can be affirmed of this body as to here or there; but Every thing y^t can be affirmed in any place, is equally affirmable of it, In Every place. It is More so Senceless /is it\ to say In vacuo Infinito there is place absolute. And /ffor $\$ at the same time as any one disputes against me, they by their owne way of thinking Contradict themselves. ffor pronouncing \boldsymbol{y}^{e} word place or space, they have their owne body's or some other In their Minds $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ they Conceiv, tanquam absoluta, 226 and by that they rule their opinion's. and the reason folks cannot purge themselves of this Idea of absolute place or space, is there is No Moment of their lives In w^{ch} they are free from Such Imagination, as of their owne homes, the Church; and philosofers goe so farr for it as to $y^{\rm e}$ sun, & fixt starrs. according to $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ place, may be accounted absolute, $\underline{\mbox{In}}$ /and/ all y^e tossings of y^e Sea, be Referred to that. Now If even ye Sphera fixa= ${\tt rum^{227}}$ Changes place, then wee are lanch't a= gaine, and so Continually, that without ffixing limits $\ensuremath{ \mbox{In}}$ /of\ ye World, there can be No such thing as absolute space or place.

But It is very considerable to Remarq that

The reason why wee cannot purg o^r minds of abso= lute place; vist^t Re= ference to o^r owne person's p^rsumed as such.

¹⁶⁸r

²²⁶ i.e., 'as (being) absolute'.

 $^{^{\}rm 227}$ i.e., 'the sphere of the fixed stars'.

168v

When any bo= dy is conceived as In vacuo In= finito, there is a determina= tion of its aspects Every /way\ to Infinite reall & true, y^e is to be <u>Remeb^d</u>.

Any Extension Given, there is a Measure of all distance, by ap= plication of that.

80.

Hypotheses

that as soon as any Existant thing is Given In vacuo Infinito, at \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same moment there is a determination of all space round it, [to?] Infinite, with relation to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} center of that. And one side not being y^e Same as y^e other, you have as it were an universall Com= pass, whose Ray's Might denominate the univers, as that of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mariner's doth the plan of ye horizon. here I suppose No Motion Reserving it to proper place. And so soon as any Extension is Given wee have distance also given, ffor by ye measure of that distan= ces are taken. but what If 2 or More I= Maginary point's, or Center's are given w/th\ou[t?] any Extension. It will be Sayd there is a certein space betwixt them; but at the Same time the pronouncer, hath an Idea In his Mind by w^{ch} he Makes Such an account, bu[t?] abstracting that, I know Not how to Re= concile the Notion's of. 2. Nothing's, In Nothing, with Nothing between, to have Something certein & determinate Inter= posing betwixt them. therefore, that wee May Not allwais talk in circle & come round to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same discour's againe; that is when Nothing, yt is vacuity, is Called Some thing, that is space, to answer still that Nothing

Nothing is Nothing, wee must ffix in this opinion, that space & thing's conteined are one & ye Same, and there is NosSuch thing as place absolute, or taken abstra= tedly from y^e thing's In y^e World. And to Con= clude let me subjoyne this Remark. If place be absolute, then Every place is severall, and one place Cannot be where another place is, and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} place of a place may be Senceless tautology, and Endless also. Now All we vee /surely know of body is, $y^{\rm t}$ It keeps its place, & will lett No other Into it. and two body's cannot be in one place; What then is the difference between body and y^e place it hath. for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} place, If it be any thing besides y^{e} body, as these gentlemen say, y^{t} is what would hold another body if that were out, It holding out also, all other places; so as a Coincidence of places, is as Impossible, as pe= netration of body's. I Referre it to Candor If Cartesius, was such an unthinking Sott as y^e accademicks make's him, for saying place, & body were one Notion yt is Extension, and the fancy of Extension penetrable, is litle less then a Contradiction. I beleev ye vote of ye Greatest part of Mankind Will as they would have it be against him, But

Argument from absolute space, y^t space & body is y^e same thing, becaus one space cannot be where another is 81

82.

But as Men can force themselves to thinck clear of p^r judices, and distinguish between Imagination & things really Existent without us as he did, and have No politiq to Corrupt their Sincerity, as some Hierarchys have, so Will they begin to $\frac{1}{1000}$ owne that he had more ground for such tenent's then they were aware off. What My aime Is I have declared, and as free as y^e air I hope to persue it.

3. The Next head is that of Motion, with its alternate, Rest. w^{ch} y^e Same author say's admitts y^e Same distinction of Rel= tive and true, or verus. ffor say's he the Rest of the watch in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Caban, is Relativus & Not verus; so a ship at anchor In a tides way, hath $y^{\rm e}$ Same appearance with $y^{\rm e}$ water, as one that sailes thro it. but It is only Motus Relativus & Not verus, for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} place with ye Earth is allwais ye same, but then, there is motus Relativus of $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ Earth it self Referring to y^e fixt starrs. but It is hard as he ownes to distinguish between motus verus & motus Relativus. And yet doth Not despair, for he proposeth Expe= riment's of turning, by w^{ch} he would prove that ye Recess from ye center Consequent of

of Motion and y^e distinction of Rela= tive, and true, w^{ch} is of like sort. for ab= solute space, & true motion are alike No= thing.

Experiments of tur= ning to prove the verity of motion to be considered afterwards

turning is Motus verus, & Not Relativus. I Shall not here Examine those Experiments becaus they Require aid out of y^e theory of Simple & Complex motion's, to dissolve the Enigmatismes of them, but I Shall apply onely to y^e Reasonable part, of y^t opinion, & as to that much of y^e Work is already past, ffor If there be Not place absolute, there cannot be Motion such as he Calls true and Not Relative. ffor Motion is but changing place, and therefore is Referred to and knowne by y^e places concerned in that chang. And If those places exist In Relation onely to things that fill places, then motion Must be Onely relative, as y^e places changed are.

But All these discourses are Idle, Untill the Idea of motion be clearly Explained. ffor When one say's, there is a seeming Motion w^{ch} is Not Motion, and there is a true Motion w^{ch} seem's or may seem Rest /& y^e like\ one would thinck it the language of a person Either Imposter or distracted. And therefore untill I have dispatch't the theory of Motion I cannot p^rtend fully to dissolve this Captious distinction; And therefore y^e full discussion of it Must be had from thence. And here I shall onely give a Short Sumary of what is Intended to be at larg Explained & y^t is

The solution of this distinction de= pends on y^e theory of motion;

a sumary of that theory for that por= pose here Given.

170r

83

Motion is No other but Chang of dis= tance, & position between given bo= dys. so is all Rela= tive, & hath No Es= sence apart from body.

the word Motion is an abstract w^{ch} is taken ffor a Noun Substantive & y^t Ca/u\seth Error.

distance & position are allwais true, & hold by certein measures, & aspects so Whither chang or Not chang in y^t Respect is true & not Relative. & y^e Relation Comes in when one or other on= ely is regarded.

Hypotheses

84.

And that is that there is Nothing In Motion but what concernes more then one body Becaus the Existence of it is onely chang of distance and positure of body's, In pleno; When Such Continues ye Same it is Called Rest when changing Motion. And Motion is the abstract Word, W^{ch} language hath from a vulgar Idea (derived from our feeling sence) taken into Comon use, and $\ensuremath{\operatorname{In}}$ other cases Would Not be Endured. as to Say the falling= ness of a thing that falls, or risingness of that w^{ch} riseth. or Indeed, y^e Movingness of a thing $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ Moves, and In truth $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ word Motion is No other. And It will appear /Sound\ very Strang when It Shall appear that Motion is In it Self Nothing, or (In other words) to have No Essence at all apart from body, Nor that a body hath any thing more or less in it Moving then Resting to hear it Say'd, that there is a true No= thing (or Motion w^{ch} of it Self Is No better,) & a Relative Nothing. What May be affirmed of body's, vist. that they May /either $\$ chang, or Con= tinue alike, as to distance & position, Must be allwais true, and Cannot be Relative as ye Case is. So In that Sence Motion is all= way's true, and Never Relative, but When the chang is as to Some, & Not to others, then the fancy of Relation comes in, to No other porpose, but /when\ In $y^{\rm e}$ application of $y^{\rm e}$ Idea you Regard

Reason of such forc't distinction is to thwart Cartesius who defined Motion by y^e vicinia,²²⁸ y^t is by Relation.

Time is but a Col= lation of moved spaces, aided by certein periods or coincidences, w^{ch} shew that things are successive, and no co-Instant. with Nonsensicall Equivocall propertys /If Not Contradictory\ Such as to be true & fals together. I have often Re= flected on y^e reason such an opinion Should come in y^e front of a mathematicall book and Can find None, but to thwart Cartes, who defined Motion by Relation, but of this More afterwards, where the Notion will be Made appear to Include a very Contradiction. It is a plausible style to distinguish formally, & aptly to Serve turnes, as motus verus and motus Relativus; but when Motus is Rightly considered, It may be as well distinguish't as M^r Hobbs Ralli/y\es, Into Titericè & hipatu= lice.²²⁹

Regard divers things, & then Charg Motion

4. our Next article is that of time, w^{ch} wee have agreed to be Coincident with motion, as the termes are, mensura Motus; under wee know well Enough what our meaning is tho y^e Expression is Not Exact. ffor it is rather collatio Motuum. ffor by comparing Motions whereof one is stated, & hath y^e place of a comunis mensura,²³⁰ wee make our acc^o of time. And because of y^e perpetuall Retur= ning wee take the circular rather then y^e Rectilinear, w^{ch} is Not so accomodated with Returnes to serve for periods, as the circular is. & of these the annuall orb of

 228 i.e., 'neighbourhood', in the sense 'relation to neighbouring things'.

 230 i.e., 'measure of movement', 'comparison of movement', and 'common (i.e., agreed) measure'.

²²⁹ RN quotes (inaccurately/freely) from Thomas Hobbes (1588-1679) writing towards the end of *The Questions Concerning Liberty, Necessity and Chance*, 1656, (see, *The English Works of Thomas Hobbes of Malmesbury, Now First Collected and Edited by Sir William Molesworth, Bart*, Vol. IV, London, 1840, p. 277) "I know there be some that say, it may be necessarily true that one of the two shall come to pass, but not, singly that it shall rain, or that it shall not rain, which is as much to say, one of them is *necessary*, yet neither of them is *necessary*; and therefore to seem to avoid that absurdity, they make a distinction, that neither of them is true *determinate*, but *indeterminate*; which distinction either signifies no more but this, one of them is true, but we know not which, and so the necessity remains, though we know it not; or if the meaning of the distinction be not that, it hath no meaning, and they might as well have said, one of them is true *Titirice*, but neither of them, *Tu patulice*."

86.

make out an \texttt{acc}° of years, day's hours & minutes, the numbring of w^{ch} is our account of time. and comparing \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Movements, as if one index makes 12. Returne's when ano ther make's but one, it swifter as. 12. to 1 and so works, Races, delay's, and all affairs that depend on such comparison, $y^{\ensuremath{\mathsf{t}}}$ is time, are adjusted. but here all exactness is $\ensuremath{p^{r}}\xspace{sumptive}$ and Not reall. ffor It cannot be found ye year day's, & hours, are Exactly Equall with them selves or Commensurate, or aliquot²³¹ parts of each other, as the propostition Requires. but whither more or less, like all practick mensuration's, It answer's the end of huma[n?] affaires as well as If it were so, w^{ch} makes the[m?] Not very sollicitous about it. but artist's have bin curious In contriving automata upon a pendulous principle, w^{ch} Measures time with very litle Error, and Whereby have bin discovered diver's Inequalitys of ye planetary courses, Not otherwise to be accounted for.

Hypothesis

of y^e Sun, and under y^t y^e diurnall cours of y^e Earth, w^{ch} with automata Contrived With coincident periods & subdevision's, wee

But wee are told, that however the account of time with us is unequall, be= caus it is Relative to actuall Movem^{ts} v^t

Exactness In y^e acc^o of time not ma= teriall, but artists have strove to gaine it, and are come very near by pendulous Mo= vem^{ts}.

The former dis= tinction Returned of tempus verum & tempus Relativa ffor absolute or true time

 $^{^{\}rm 231}$ i.e., 'some such' or 'such like'.

87

true time doth Not depend on body or motion, to be Incer= teinly acco^d ag^t that is but Equably, & is Not time but Duratio.

Time equable, to what? hard to $\mathtt{ans}^{\mathrm{r}}$

The opinion Makes time coordinate with y^e deity.

that are In themselves not rightly adjusted, But true time that is /tempus absolutum [vel?] \ duratio, is allways Equable, and flows accordingly, and doth Not Relate and depend upon body and its motion's at all, but would be $y^{\rm e}$ Same If $y^{\rm e}$ whole world were annihilated. And wee are Chidd for Erring, & taking the measure for the thing; as when wee Say time is the Com= parison of movements, wee measure that /mistake for that is but our Cobled Measur of time. but time It self as it flow's Equably, is, as was sayd Independant on Motion. thus stands ye Case with us, and wee Must see how wee can get off. And whereas It is sayd that time flows Equably, I demand to what? I am sure take away body, and ${\tt Edipus^{232}}$ Cannot answer y^e Question. This opinion Seem's to Establish time as well as space, In absolute Essence, Independent Not onely of body, but of ye Great Creator himself, and so Make dietys of them. wee may as Easily Imagine No time or duration, as No space or Extension. And this terme absolute Imply's a Necessity of them according to their Nature, as Necessa= ry, whatever becomes of the created, or If you pleas Existent being's In ye World; but In Short I Must Say Now as before, this Notion of tempus absolutum, is a rank and a perverse prjudice of life. ffor it hath No other

172r

 $^{^{\}rm 232}$ i.e., Oedipus, who answered the sphynx's riddle, although not to any lasting benefit of his own.

88.

no other root, then our being tyed to time & Extension, without y^e least Notice by Sence of a possibility, there Should be a privation of Either, and so y^e opinion is Concluded, & Not from /out of\ any necessity at all from y^e Nature of the thing, our sence, abstracted, but to be better understood, I shall Give in My senti= ment of y^e whole matter.

Time is but a Consequence of Motion, that Is what In our conception is time, In nature and without us, is the same thing as Motion, So as Motion gives $y^{\rm e}$ Consequent Idea's of light & sound, so Motion, that of time. And If all perception or Imagination were taken out of y^e world, Motion would Remaine with al[1?] the property's & modes of it, but the Idea Wee have of time, as a being Independant on body is gone. And If Either body it self, or all muta= tion's of it, \boldsymbol{y}^{t} is motion Were taken away, and all thing's Rested, time it self must vanish & be No More. I am so farr from allowing such an Essence at tempus absolutum, that I thinc[k?] it is Not onely Related /too\, but all one with Ex[=?] tension. , Those of another opinion are Wholly $% \left({{{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}}} \right)$ at a loss for any manner of description or account of what they Mean by y^e word, when you take from them the Relation to body; ffor upon \boldsymbol{y}^e Question they give you an

The Non-abstrac= tion of time, is from vulgar p^rjudice of life. for wee all= way's see chang & thinck y^e Same must allwais be so.

Abstract sence & time & motion In Nature are all /the\ one and y^e Same thing

With universall Rest time ceaseth.

an answer In synonima's, as duration, Con= tinuance, & I know Not what; and being prest, they say they Cannot Imagine but that ffore & after must necessarily allwais be. but what is our Imagination, to y^e truth of thing's In Nature?

 $S^{\rm r}.$ Is. N. Reprehends $y^{\rm e}$ notion of Cartesius, for making body & extension ye Same, and Say's that y^e mistake of taking the Measure (that²³³ is Extension, for the thing that is body, leads to Many Errors. And this Conceipt he translates to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} buissness of time, Supposing our account of it, as being the comparison of Motions, is the mistake of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Measure for the thing; and so philosofy & Geometry are Confounded; What= ever may be $\ensuremath{p^r}\xspace$ to Quantity of substance that y^e measure is one thing and y^e Matter another (w^{ch} is a dispute of another place,) he cannot apply that objection to our Notion of time; ffor he cannot prtend any thing is subsisting under our Idea of time, but $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ very Notion of it is a Notion of meer Measures & Nothing Els, that is of extension's compa= red. And here ly's a fallacy Couched In $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Word}$ measure, as Relating to practise; ffor In truth it is ye very Idea wee have of thing's wch are perceived under extension, w^{ch} is a measure. therefore

wee are charged as taking mea= sures of things for thing's. but time is meer measure of spaces moved, or what is it?

Measure is a word Not proper In phi= losofy, but practise of arts & trades. & so carry's a p^rjudice as when y^e cloth and y^e yard are made one thing 89

90.

therefore chang $y^{\rm e}$ Synonima, and take $y^{\rm e}$ word Extension for Measure; becaus \boldsymbol{y}^{e} latter ffrom y^e use of tradesmen & calculator's hath obteined somewhat of a more Restrained Sence and then y^e Mistake /Error\ lyes in Mistaking (as he Say's) Extension for body; And that is $y^{\rm e}$ assertion In debate; that one side affirmes & $y^{\rm e}$ other deny's, they are $y^{\rm e}$ Same, is Granted but farther then a denyall, is Not Granted, & the putting \boldsymbol{y}^e word measure In \boldsymbol{y}^e place of Extension, sounds a litle different, but is In truth ye same, and Carry's No further argu= ment at all; Much less as to time, w^{ch} is very Measure /itself $\ensuremath{\mathsf{y}^{\mathsf{t}}}$ is the coincidences & Non Coinci= dences of termes, or periods of corporeall Mo[=?] tion observed. and Whither body be meer Extension or ought Els, It is all one as to time, w^{ch} is onely y^e Motion's of that thing filling y^{e} Imagination, from whence y^{e} Idea of time Results.

The Essence of Extension Consisting In More & less, is understood, such Notion being onely to be had there. ffor If wee take Comparison Not In Measure but In Number (w^{ch} In Comon acceptation is y^e Same thing, that is Number of Measures) and let y^e Number be of things Not Extended as spirits or angels w^{ch} have No Extension or place. yet when

Take y^e word Ex= tension for Meas= sure, & then say body & extension are all one. y^e o= ther say's y^e Error is In making the extension y^e thing w^{ch} is y^e point In de= bate & Not cleared at all by changing y^e Word; but this is more apparent sofisme as to time.

Wee cannot thinck even of angells or spirits, as un= Extended things but under an Imagined Idea of Extension; so to us it is y^e Same as If they were extended.

91.

wee Say, 100, or. 1000. Spirits or angells, wee must feigne In our Imagination places In Extension for them. therefore In our Imagi= nation, or rather Capacity of thincking Even Non-Extended things are Extended & prferred to places; Els wee Could Not thinck of them at= all, for wee doe Not thinck /but\ of place and Ex= tension, from whence, that is from our sences, all our formed thoughts come. Here is y^e folly of Such as conclude against all Non-corporeall things, Made apparent. ffor they say None Such can be conceived, or all our sentiment's are of body, true; but how comes $y^{\rm e}\ Nature$ of things to be Restrained to our Sentiments? It is No better to argue that since wee can have No Idea of Non-time, therefore there is allwais time, and it is an Essence distinct from or In= dependent on body, $y^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm t}$ is tempus absolutum. I must with others owne it a very difficult abs= traction, such as common Men cannot, and philosofers rarely If at all Maintaine In themselves. And when Ever I Remitt My thought[s,?] or Take off ye Constraint My reason holds over them In this & the like Speculations, Immedi= ately the fancy of Equable time Emergeth. and it is very difficult to keep it downe, and Nothing will doe it less then then an absolute

Such as argue y^e Ex= istence or Non ex= istance of things from our manner of thinking, doe In= jury to truth.

Abstraction of time a very hard thought and ffew able to hold it.

174v	92.	Hypotheses.
The best mean's is to goe from thin= king to thing's.	one way or ot y ^e possibilit thing's, and goee out of M as I can; And of time but w its changes,	o this truth, that My thoughts her are No laws to Nature, & y of Existence, & Non Existence of that for the truth of them I must y self and search for it as Well by that Method I find Nothing that is Coincident with body & and consequently Coevall With it. Meminish sacred Authority In
sacred authority shew's time is to have an End.	but If any th veled & decla Sl self had a	these secular & frail Essay's, ing of Nature be Expresly Re= red there, it is that time it beginning, & Shall have an it is worded, time Shall be No
Time In our sence is so Incertein as to be without all Measure, as if it might as well be No thing as any thing	Reflection's firming this It seem's y ^e I Measures of t then time wou Should keep y of clocks, or thing is more of time; ffor wee account i M ^r Farfaix ²³⁴	this subject without a ffew More w ^{ch} occurr to My thoughts, ffor Con= Judgment I have made of time. Mind is Not Engaged Strictly to y ^e hings, or account's of Motion; for 1d be to us as Motion is, and wee ^e account of it without y ^e help thorologues. but on y ^e Contrary, No= Incertein to us then y ^e account as wee are pleased, or offended t short or long; and that gave an odd tho No unlucky Conceipt In perfect happyness was Not subject

93

The scoolmens subject to time, but all thing's past & to Come Nunc stans. were a perpetuall $\ensuremath{p^r}\xspace$ second the Scoolmen had Such a conceipt; they were No poor thin= ker's, Nor allwais unhappy In Expression. they sayd time /that \land to y^e diety, and perhaps to all being's that thinck free from matteriall Impres= sions, time is a perpetuall Nunc stans.²³⁵ Now If time were a thing Self existent or Indepen= dant on body, $y^{\mbox{\tiny t}}$ is absolute, how comes it to be with us sometimes as Nothing & sometime[s?] Attention or Non= very tedious. In our Method I can Resolve attention gives it; ffor In pleasure ye Mind is Not attentive more or less of time to ye Marks or periods of moving thing's, or to Many Numerous Sensation's, as might give for us. Notice of time passing. but In paine, those numerously obtrude, and what with direct sencation, and passion not without hopes at successive periods of Releif, y^e Mind is attent to all circumstances, $w^{\rm ch}$ creates an opinion If No Corporeall of length. What is time then, when No Marks marks or fluxions or periods of Motion Could be observed, $w^{\rm ch}$ wee or periods, No time. $p^{\mathrm{r}} \text{sume}$ is y^{e} Case of discorporeall beings? Surely there is to them No time at all. I thinck truely, that this Consideration of ye Inequality Inequality of of time, as it is stated to us In our Imagination time demonstrates Rightly considered, amount's to litle less then a State of y^e Mind demonstration, that our Minds are being's dis= Separate from y^e tinct from however, in some sence annexed to body. our

175r

 $^{^{\}rm 235}$ i.e., 'the eternal now'.

94

to our body's. ffor If it were Not So, time Must be Equable as they say that it is, at least y^e Same step by step as y^e Motions are w^{ch} gives us the Idea of it. And No such deceipt would happen as wee apparently ly under by taking time Short for long, & long for Short as Every one know[s?] and of this Notion use May be made in another place.

Hypotheses & Experim^{ts}. 1.

It is certein that truth is not without an hypothesis for y^e Cours of Nature is uniforme. And there is analogy of causes, so that one may see Many thing's depend on ffew principles; This being so manifest, It hath bin y^e practis of all naturalist's to Invent princi= ples, and by conclusions, or rather arbitrary appli= cations of them, prtend to Resolve all Naturall doubdts And If the machine be so dextrously framed, that the operation's of it Cannot be proved Impossible, \boldsymbol{y}^{t} is Implying contradiction's, or Notorious Inconsistencys The Engineer triumph's, as having Gained his point. This they call an hypothesis, And If every any one was Inconfutable, it was that of Aristotle, who had words to answer Every demand. And the late one of mutuall attraction is somewhat like it. but Cartesius, ownes that /Re-\solving Question's, is No ar= gumt of truth, and an hypothesis is Not to be Re= ceived becaus it is apt, but becaus it is true. there= fore, the search of an hypothesis is Not by adapting Invented principles to Resolve ye Effect's of Nature, but to Search among Effects to find what is reall & permanent In Nature, and being sure of that, use it as principles, or hypothesis so farr as It will goe.

And In this Method I propose first to be sure what is, then Inquire what consequences Necessarily ffollow from it; and look not ffor any principle from ap= tness, w^{ch} is No proof, but from Necessity. and so farr as this

176r

Hypotheses and Experiments.

as this path will lead us wee may Safely goe, and No farther. And In this track wee find the Necessary Existence of Body, then that It moves, and Moving Must produce changes. ${\bf \Theta} {\bf f}$ for one thing Cannot goe Into or thro another. And If by help of these, wee Shew Either Effects are necessary wrought, or by necessary working, may possibly produce \boldsymbol{y}^{e} effect, wee have all that can be prtended too In Naturalll philosofy. Now Some will ans^r, that this Hypothesis is Not Sufficient to Resol= ve /all\ the phenomena of nature. $w^{\rm ch}$ is $y^{\rm e}$ sume of $w^{\rm t}$ $D^{\rm r}$ Barrow objects to the Cartesian philosofy, and is ra= ther opposed to the p^r sumption of y^t author, then to y^e truth of his principles; whome to say truth, he allow's prference to all philosofers yt Ever were in ye World, So Just are $y^{\rm e}$ way's of good men, suum cuiq tribuere^{236} prais where it is due, and blame where demerit is. but the mode of writers, lecturers, & disputers, is other= wise, If ought hold Not up Equally, but proves obnoxious to objection, the whole fabrick, & not those Excrescences onely are attaq^t. Wee Allow this Corpuscular hypothesis Not sufficient, according to our narrow Information of thing's, to satisfy us with demonstration In every Instance, but then wee know it to be true so farr, as May be demonstrated, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is to the most Comon & generall Effect's, as may In due place be Shewed. And as to Which It falls short in, that is In ye province of particulars \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} are to us darkened by unsearchable Minuteness, wee Must be content, & Expect farher Information. ffor it

²³⁶ i.e., 'to each his own'.

Hypotheses & Experiments.

3

for it is Much better, Either to be suspended In o= pinion, or Els contented thus farr, as /that\ If the neces= sary Consequences of matter and Motion May pro= duce certein Effect's, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ for reason's hinted Cannot be trac't to their principles, wee Incline to thinck the causes of them are from thence, untill Some cogent confutation comes; And this rather then Make Ig= norance a ground of Invention of somewhat out of Nothing, $w^{\rm ch}$ perhaps as wee may fondly or Mista= kenly thinck fitts ye Case better. As for Instance, Wee know body's drive one and other by Imediate Contact, but wee know Nothing of attraction, whereby a body at distance Shall make it /another\ Move towards it, Especi= ally, If a vacuum Interpose, as some dream; Shall wee Not therefore choos the opinion, that all body's are driven, & not /so\ attracted; rather then that they are attracted, wee know Not how, & Not driven as wee see things done, & onely so, Every day? Sure there is No Comparison. but this Gaining Ground by Stepps, some adding to $y^{\rm e}$ Invention's & discovery of others, and Content what can be reasonably gai= advanced, or els what is already gained, doth Not aggre with y^{e} appetites of Some, w^{ch} is to pull downe or set up all at once, and must Not hear of any failing or defeat In any thing they deal in. but This is for Glory, More then truth. And wee are content with an hy-pothesis out of certein principles, or None <flourish underline>

177r

4. Hypotheses & Experimts.

All our mean's of Naturall knowledg, Is from Experiment ffor what doe we know but thro or senses, and what is Experimt but the application of our senses to things, & attending to them. But The Experiment is one thing and the Judgm't Grounded upon it, and there May be Experiment;'s Enow, and yet people have litle know= ledg from them, w^{ch} is y^e Case of y^e vulgar, who live Move and have a being, and yet understand litle truth of what they dayly Convers with. Therefore It is Not So EMuch Experiment is wanted, as a right way of consideration and thincking upon them. ffor the Com= mon occurrences of thing's, In buissness, Manufacturys and Recreations afford Experiments to give us as Much light into ye Nature & truth of things as wee are Capa= ble off; $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ sagacious Cartesius hath shewne, he ha= ving, In that great Structure of his hypothesis, used ffew, or None but vulgar occurrences, as Every one knows ffor Experiment's. Therefore I cannot agree With ye lord Bacon, who is for Suspending all hypotheses, and hunting for one, In ye way of experiments; And upon that modell is our Royall society ffounded, who propose to themselves, that out of such a Collection of Experiments as they In time may make, a perfect Hypothesis of nature may be Extracted, videlicet, ad Grecas calendas.²³⁷ ffor If that had bin a rule universall wee had lost ye Endeavours of almost all the Modern vertuosi. Therefore I am for proceeding upon \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Stock wee have as farr as wee may; and Rest in suspence as

²³⁷ i.e., 'namely, until the Greek Calends', meaning: 'which is to say, we will wait forever'.

Hypotheses & Experiments.

as to farther advances, till time produceth some Great Geniuses, of a Boylean Spirit, who may by formenting nature squeeze out somewhat farther. and discove= ry's, may be rare, but Never desperate, ffor what vast Information's have bin gained, not of flys & Insect's but Concerning y^e /whole terraqueous Globe of Earth, by one accidentall proof, and Not Much vexed Experiment of Torri= cellius, Now vulgarly used for progostick of y^e Weather.²³⁸ That one accidentall I am bold to Say there is more true knowledg /of naturs [....?]\ from that one discovery, then from all \boldsymbol{y}^{e} discovery's that have bin made in Experimentall philosofy, Since y^e Restauration of learning; And Setting aside the Copernican Systeme & discovery of the Satellites, w^{ch} were brought forth about that time, I scarce know any thing considerable; The Systema Saturni is very Ingenious, but Exceeds not y^e outwards forme of Saturne, so the Rest of the telescopian world is more for curiosity & pleasure then knowledg. And the whole microscopian trade comes to very litle Els. The circulation of ye blood, hath altered very Inconsi= derably y^e practis of phisick, more then removing y^e fancy for topicall bleeding. The transfusion, Nothing. The texture of some part's In animalls, a litle more disclosed, and new series of vessells layd open, but serving cheifly to Shew, there is More then as Much more beyond. Infinite animalls discovered, More then former ages dreamt of, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ with me open's a New prospect of diseases, as plagues & ye like proceeding at time

5

²³⁸ i.e., the barometer; RN's review of recent advances in knowledge is remarkably phlegmatic, and something reminiscent of Gulliver's response to the achievements of the Academy of Laputa. RN is, of course, thinking through the science of the seventeenth century in terms of what he considers a contribution to natural philosophy, as against what has contributed to natural *history*.

Hypotheses & Experiments

at times, from Swarmes of animalculi; wee find wormes In y^e body, as stomack & bowells. why not in y^e blood and y^e juices, & why Not more malignant when season's breed them then at other times? $^{\rm 239}$ And how far anumalculi are concerned In ordinary Corruption's I may Not Say, becaus I know Not, but beleev it Not a litle. ffor It is Comon to see ye ordure of a Cow macerted to powder & spread abroad, like the Con= sequences of corruption; And Stirr $y^{\rm e}$ mass, & It is ffull of a peculiar fly lodged there, w^{ch} wrought that alte= ration. Great things, may give light to Smaller. I have made a short Enumeration to shew how litle the principles of philosofy are advanced by the the Experiments of most $\texttt{Eclate.}^{\texttt{240}}$ And on $y^{\texttt{e}}$ other side to doe right to both, I can shew how from a Shep= heards sling, ye Recess from ye axis of turning Move= ment's is discovered, on $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ such vast Consequences In Nature depend. Then $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ game's of billiards, Tennis shuffle-board, & bowles, have administred proof of almost the whole theory of Motion. And Whereas that as yet hath bin Cultivated onely in Regular Cases, & most If not wholy upon Globous formes, & litle of Nothing touch't of Irregular's, I have Endea= voured to add a theory /of them &\ of Irregular Motions & Impulses, by w^{ch}, More then y^e other, y^e ordinary Miste= ry's of Mechanisme, as ye Movemt of obliques, as /exemplifyed in\ Wind= mill's, and shipps sayling, /And those $\$ So puzled in M^r Pardies are lay'd forth to open view. And I use No Expermt for

²⁴⁰ 'Eclate' is one of RN's many neologisms, usually lightly anglicised loan words. He had an impressive knowledge of foreign languages. The French word '*éclater*' means to burst out, something brilliant, something that attracts attention, something that is celebrated or notorious - i.e., the savants responsible for the discoveries listed above, or perhaps the discoveries themselves. He then contrasts this (flashy) brilliance with his own non-experimental skill in drawing philosophical principles from observing the 'Comon Justling of thing's' (in this, again, he is explicitely Cartesian, who also taught from the rationalising review of everyday examples).

²³⁹ RN is ahead of general science here. Girolamo Francastoro (1476-1553), a physician and polymath teaching at Padua, a scholar especially preoccupied with the notion of contagion, and the man who gave 'syphilis' its name (in an epic poem of 1530 called *Syphilis sive morbus gallicus*, 'Syphilis or the French Disease') had suggested that microscopic entities, or spores, caused and spread disease. The idea was not a new one, it has various forms in undemonstrated assertions found in various ancient medicinal texts. Francastoro was conceivably adopting the notion in the same framework in which he adopted the atomistic ideas of Epicurius. Note that there is a strong Epicurean strain to the Cartesian theory of matter (tiny and tinier things; the ether) which carries through into RN's own notions of the infinitely divisible 'exility' of things. It was not until the nineteenth century and the work of Louis Pasteur (1822-95) and Robert Koch (1843-1910) that 'correct' scientific understanding (proofs and applications) of microorganisms, first guessed at and identified in RN's lifetime, were realised.

Hypotheses and Experiments.

for Example, but that litle Engin of boy's-play they Call the Catt. What plowman or porter is without Experimentall skill In y^e lever, w^{ch} they Call prising, and a seaman is a master of mechanicks, and hath an Expression for his powers, that is, purchas, for w^{ch} signification No other language hath an appropriate word; And he Shall to a nicety declare what purchas any Contrivance you shall propose hath. Thus the Comon Justling of thing's, to a due ob= servator, shews /light\ Enough ffor serch search of the comon principles of Naturall thing's; and $y^{\rm e}$ more Refined, however ffeeding y^e curiosity, and pleasure of wonder, gaine but Small knowledg In that process. I Should have Mentioned ye Invention of Gunpowder, w^{ch} is very considerable becaus brought into y^e Com= mon practis of warr, $w^{\rm ch}$ hath carryed the knowledg of the most signall Explosion farther, & More diffusedly then Ever had bin without it. There were Examples of Explosion before. as aurum fulminan's,241 water in Melted Mettalls, & particularly Glass potts in a fur= nace, w^{ch} bin In working heat, with y^e Quantity of a drop or two, Shall send ye Glass about ye hous, & dispatch y^{e} Roof of y^{e} furnace, & It may be, of y^{e} hous it self, as If a mine were Sprang. this I say hath Compleated y^e Experiment of Explosion's, w^{ch} in My conceipt, will be of very great use, In ye Interpreta= tion of a Multitude, of almost Miraculous effects In Nature, as perhaps may be ffully declared here after But

7

 $^{^{\}rm 241}$ i.e., 'exploding gold', see note at f. 123v in BL Add MS 32546.

8. Hypotheses & Expermiments.

But I did touch before, & Must here Repeat, that for dissolving vaine prtended principles, and Subtily Inven= ted hypotheses, without bottom, w^{ch} rest wholly on the Confident assertion's of such as pleas to patro= nize them, I thick /Refined \ Experiments are of Infinite use, and hath actually bin very serviceable to know= ledg In that way. for Mr Boyle hath demonstrated that all principles & hypotheses as have bin set up Except the Corpuscular, have no foundation in Na= ture, but are affected & fals. This No argument Could have Ever brought about, So long as men attach't to them, with their witt could find Evasion's, or arbitrary assertion's to oppose comon reason & sence, and Such are Never wanting, when Men are pervica= cious and willfull. Therefore as I delight In Nothing More Then the accounts given of all sort's of Experiments, so I am a gratefull well willer to all the Ingenious promoters of them, and heartily wish such May Never be wanting, petulant empty prtender's May Never hindring /but\ the worthy progress of Experimentall philoso= fy may ever proceed.

I must Not pass by one Experiment of viewing y^e blood scirculating In y^e pellucid finn of a fishes Tayl,²⁴² In Shewing w^{ch}, the space of a pinn's head is magnified to above an Inch. And such hudle of lumps /appear\ swimming in liquer [marg]²⁴³ In vessels of different sizes, & with different Speed Some one way & some another, that one Might fancy it a view of all the london Kennells, magnifyed from vast distance, with after y^e breaking /of\ a Mighty frost, when all y^e Watter & Ice, with dirt, /is flowing in them\ & tumbling Every way <u>downe.</u>

²⁴³ marg [in tiny letters]: 'Add exp of motion.'. This is an abrupt ending. The last paragraph appears to be crowded in, and a note is left suggesting the addition of a further example of an experiment 'of motion'. Whether there was ever any continuation is not clear from what survives here.

²⁴² The demonstration of the circulation of the blood by means of viewing the tail-fin of a fish through a microscope (against a bright light) is famously associated with Anthony van Leeuwenhoek (1632-1723).

Experiments,²⁴⁴ 1.

I doe Not here Intend to give a muster of Experim^{ts} but a Judg'^t onely, how usefull they are, and also plea= sant to one that is addicted to Naturall knowledg. And Whoever it is that doth Make Collect & Comunicate, Experim^{ts}, is /surely\ y^e Greatest benefactor such Can have, as those that work towards advancing Naturall history their best Help, and of any, Most wanted; and /I Intend ab also to speak\ also of the methods of making, & using them.

Sr. Is. N. In his opticks among y^e Quere's²⁴⁵ say's, that the method of Naturall philosofy Should be as In Mathema= ticks rather analitick, then Synthetick. that is to begin with the phaenomena, and so goe backward accounting for all y^e vires²⁴⁶ & property's, till you Come to y^e principles and so to the first caus of all things. and Not to begin With principles, & from them proceed to vires & property's, ffor Experiment may confute, what is Argued from prin= ciples. This specious as it is, Shews how \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Geometer May Eat up the filosofer. I allow him, If ye Cases were alike to be in the right. In geometry however complex \boldsymbol{y}^{e} case is, it is possible, by humane sagacity, to work it clear, by tossing /&\ tumbling In y^e analitick way. but It is Not so In philosofy. ffor If a Complex case happen as most generall phenomena are, It is Impossible for ye witt, or Is it indeed is it, for want of faculty's, In the capacity of man, to work them clear by degrees, in Such method till the case Is Reduced to Simple Instances that

²⁴⁴ This is another essay on a similar theme to the previous essay.

 246 i.e., 'forces' or 'powers', RN's use of the word echoes Newton's terminology in the $\mathit{Opticks.}$

²⁴⁵ The 'Queries' conclude the third book of the *Opticks: Or*, A *Treatise of the Reflections*, *Refractions*, *Inflexions and Colours of Light*. In the first edition there were 16 queries. They appear in Newton's text almost as a rhetorical device, they seem thrown in casually, they turn the reader's attention from a series of observations on everyday optical phenomena, and hand the initiative to find out more back to the reader, 'in order to a further search to be made by others'. In the first edition the Queries did not offer any general appraisal of method. In the first Latin edition of 1706, however, this section was much developed, becoming a general essay on method. The methodological observations were carried over and further developed in the second English edition of 1718. RN is here engaging with the 1706 edition, pp. 347ff.. Through succeessive editions the queries increased in number: from sixteen in the first English edition to twenty-three in the first Latin edition, and as many as thirty-one in the second English edition, concluding with the celebrated '31st Query'.

180v

2

Experiments.

That is Expe principles. yes, says he, by experiments, I ans^r, when? ffor If there be No hope by experim'ts to discerne Into y^e texture of Compound body's /on w^{ch} most of those phenons depend\ where is the analitick? The Instance his followers give, is his discovers /about\ ${\scriptsize \texttt{of}}$ light and Colours, $w^{ch},$ say they, prove that light consists of Ray's of all Colours, w^{ch} are white, and Each colour is Qualifyed to be Refrangi= ble to a different angle, and therefore by Every Re= fraction separates them into [Rows?], as appears by ye prismall views /Images\ of all externall luminated objects. And this colorifick property of y^e Ray's are [heteroqucall?] to Each other, and are each subsisting on his on bottom are derived from $y^{\rm e}$ luminary, & separated by Refraction and So discerned. However ingenious this is, and an odd phenomena, yet I do Not see that the Event of a Refraction, demonstrates the /nature of the light as it was\ light before it. So the Exam= ple doth Not vouch the prtension. And after ye Same Man= ner If folk Should bend their braines for many Succeeding ages, It would be found Impossible to penetrate Minute= ness, so as to discover y^{e} Mechanick Efficient's of Com= plex phenomena, so as to /and\ Enable a clear demonstration of them, such as cannot be obteined but by Reducing them to Simplicitys. Therefore, With Reverence & Respect to what may be discovered, I must Now affirme, that possibility, & probability, is the farthest of our walk Into Immensity, and My Minuteness, and who Is Not content to take $y^{\rm e}$ air so, may pleas to Stay In his Noscience at all at home.

Experiments.

з.

I doe Not detract from the hon^r of discovery's made by dioptrick Glasses, w^{ch} are without very Great & ever to be celebrated with honr to ye Inventors, & Improvers, but yet I must Say that all those discovery's are so farr from giving us hopes of atterning attaining a greater Naturall knowledg In the way Intimated, that is of Im= mensity, and minuteness, that they give us great Caus of despair. the best of them is the Confuting fals Hypo= theses; as they have done the ptolomaick of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world by Effectuall demonstration. But yet the good Coper= nicus,²⁴⁷ without such helps by y^e Strength of his Reason, Nay Contrary to y^e Evidence of his Eyes, ffound out the the planetary systeme, w^{ch} his telescopian Succ^{rs} did but prove. But what is the shewing us, the satellites Jovis Ansa^e Saturni,²⁴⁸ &c^a. but as a prospective Shew's a lands= cape more distinct and discovers towers & trees (as ye other moon's,) w^{ch} were not discerned by y^e bare eye. But what is this to Apenines, Cacasus, or \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mountaines In Ethiopia? our prospectives are Small helps In finding them out. so what know wee of the, Regio fixarum 249 , from tellescopes, but that It is as worthy of our Speculation, but /a knowledg\ never to be compast. so the Microscopes that discover ye Animalculi, Shew that process also is (pardon ye Word) Infinitely defective. And what object is in a Micros= cope as to the texture more Explicite or Intelligible. wee distinguish coloured sands, as by plaine vision wee doe Stones. And Mixtures of coloured Granules or Mettalls that are Indistinct to y^e Eye, are plainely shewed there but

²⁴⁷ See note on f. 94v.

 249 i.e., the region of the 'fixed stars', the 'World' beyond the solar system.

²⁴⁸ i.e., the moons of Jupiter and the Rings of Saturn discovered by Galileo Galilei (1564-1642) in 1610, although the rings were only properly described by Christiaan Huyghens in 1655. Once again RN esteems the reason and judgment of a natural philosopher (i.e., of Copernicus, thinking against the evidence of his eyes) over the observation and discoveries made by Galileo and others. Heroic though it was, RN thinks of the telescopists as having merely added to natural history, and merely added definition to an already discovered landscape. There is an almost Foucauldian distinction being made here between those who inaugurate discourse, and those who rehearse knowledge within its limit(ation)s.

4. Experiments.

but No More of ye texture of any body, then ye bare Eye Saw, nor will any more of Smoak, fire, clouds, or any thing In= deed as to any then porpose of more knowledg more then is had without them, be found out by them. But they are Indeed, Great helps towards Naturall history, and w^{ch} is the cheif use of them, a Most pleasant Enterteinment, as May be Ingaged In ye Works, of Borellus, Hook, & Malpighius.²⁵⁰ They pretend most In anatomy, and divers vessells are seen by them other wise Indiscernable, and that view of the hudle of the blood and liquor In ye veines of a transparent fishes tayle, shewed by the makers if Dioptrick Glasses. is pleasant beyond any thing of ye kind I Ever saw. But It would be much More to their praise, If any one cure In phisick or Surgery were found out by their help, of W^{ch} I know Not any one; but Conceiv most probability of Good from them In the way of Surgery, where wounds, w^{ch} they say are often, If Not allwais Infested with animal= culous vermine, as also the [....?] & purulency's that come from them, may be actually Inspected, and y^e power & operation of Medicaments upon them.

This may seem as If I did Not Exalt experiment's and optick Glasses Enough, to w^{ch} I say None more loves & admires them then I doe, Nor is there any secular thing I would Choos and with more delight read then of them. But my designe is to Shew, that the apply= cation of our minds to Naturall knowledg ought Not to be

²⁵⁰ Thus RN dismisses significant contemporaries (Giovanni Alfonso Borelli (1608-79), Robert Hooke (1635-1703) and Marcello Malpighi (1628-94)) as compilers of experiments in natural history, providing 'pleasant enterteinment', and adding to knowledge, rather than as natural philosophers delivering understanding in the largest sense of the term. Robert Hooke, for example, was largely responsible for developing the notion of gravitational attraction. Hooke's prestige had declined before he died, long before this passage was written. Furthermore, Hooke was not a gentleman, and only a technician.

Experiments.

to be abated, or suspended, and all our labours & time that way be diverted Wholly upon Experiments, without a previous model, or If you pleas, some Hypothesis to direct and Enterteine them; w^{ch} is that w^{ch} the analitick vertuoso, much labour for. And I could better Excuse them in that, If they did Not precipitate Into Hypo= theses, & notoriously fals ones, as that of attraction is, and at y^e Same time declaime against them.

5

1. It is to be observed that Great discovery's have bin made by ye strength of reason, assisted onely by the ob= vious experiments of life. I before touched that of Co= pernicus who following his reason against ye Evidence of his sight, and so found out y^{e} Earth's motion, &c. ffor $y^{\rm e}$ planet's at Such unequall distances as they Must have at oppositions & Conjunctions sometimes, ought to appear with unequall light & magnitude accor= dingly, but for all that /to $y^e\ \mbox{Eye}\ \mbox{they seem allwais of the Same}$ magnitude; yet he Concluded there must be Some other caus for that, tho he knew it Not, and would Not Quitt his reason So violent & In so vast [Consequene?], ffor a a scruple of sight. Nor did he Ever live to see his thought confirmed by Glasses, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ taking off $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ watery Re= fraction's of ye Eye, shewed ye planet's light /& Magnitude In pro= portion to distance; This Invention was but Confirmed by Glasses, w^{ch} might If sooner found out, sooner have made \boldsymbol{y}^{e} discovery. and who can tell but this wonderfull discovery of Copernicus, stirred up a Spirit in Succeeding time, such as moved Gallileo & others to

182v

6. Experiments

to rack their Invention's ffor means' to demonstrate what they thought true, but Could Not Evince to others ag^t the power of their p^rjudice, and thereupon fall Into y^e Invention and use of Glasses? whereby the disco= very was y^e Caus of the Experiment that followed af= ter to prove it.

It is observed of Cartesius, whose caracter I will Not give being a supposed partizan, but Referr for that to the wonderfull good & Great Dr Barrow, In a speech printed in his [worthks?]. 4. vol.251 that he In the Shew= ing his method, principles, & whole hypothesis, useth no Experiment, but what is Obvious and Every one knows, such as Recess from the Center of turning body's, & ye like. But yet it appear's he wanted some Experiments and trusted too Much to his reason without them, and that is In y^{e} Rules of motion, ffor altho he by his reason from comon observation, he found motion was Regulated so that when one body Struck another, ye Event of y^{e} Movemt after it, was certein; yet he missed of his Guess in the proportion's, w^{ch} an attendance upon some Experiments, might have bin regulated. or It May be, If he had bent himself more to Reflect, he Might have come neerer the truth, by force of his reason. But Even this Instance shew's, that reason ledd to that Im= portant truth, and then Experiment Refined and approved it.

These thing's I alledg agt those, who are hunters onely of phenomena, and decline hypotheses, suspending

²⁵¹ Isaac Barrow's *Lectiones opticae et geometricae*, were first published in London in 1669. Presumably RN is referring here to the same discussion as that he mentions at 159r, above. RN therefore seeks a 'caracter' of Descartes from one of his opponents, which is a clever manipulation of witnesses by a skilled advocate.

Experiments.

7

Suspending all application to Efficient Causes, Expec= ting also out of a mature collection at length, to Receiv y^e opime fruits, in an adult body of truth all at once. \mathtt{W}^{ch} If Copernicus & Cartesius had done, perhaps nothing by them discovered & advanced had bin yet or Ever knowne. and Such proceeding Quenches Curiosity, and Suppresseth Invention; ffor that Grows accidentally as Mens reason, & Genius, meets with conjunctures to Give a start or hint to thincking. And one Man's Ex= travagant flight, or Guess, may be to another an hint of solid truth, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ had never come in his Mind without such advantage or occasion. therefore he that proposeth to Collect all ye vires attractiones, he men= tion's, and allso supposeth, w^{ch} are Not a few, and then begin to thinck of the efficient caus of attraction, Never mean's to begin. And I would willingly have Some Instrument made, whereby one might weigh attraction's, and know $w^{\rm ch}$ are by pulsion, & $w^{\rm ch}$ by $y^{\rm e}$ vis Insita;²⁵² for without some extraordinary Scales I doubdt it will Never be revealed. And In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Meantime as to his process, wee thanck him for Nothing, ffor who will not say thing's attract one and other, it is ye Com= mon language of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} vulgar, who \boldsymbol{w} use words when they want things, to fill up their frothy discourses as they allwais are in matters phisicall. ffor those Require an habit of considering abstractedly, and of Expressing cautiously what they so thinck, or els Even they themselves would Scarce understand one & other.

²⁵² i.e., 'inertia'.

8 Experiments.

To Conclude this matter, Experiment is as much the application of y^e observer, as the materiall set at work; ffor accidents shall happen In view, w^{ch} a Curioso will Note, and apply philosofically, with great use In his Cours of Science. As to Instance, I onely touch those of ye waves on ye surface of water, The high rope of the builders Engin, the cart rope on ye Ground, & some others Mentioned in these papers, $w^{\rm ch}$ Introduce thoughts \boldsymbol{y}^{t} lead to a clear hypothesis of fluids, and that won= derfull one of y^e air, with its Gravity and spring.²⁵³ I am certein the Most Elaborate Instituted Experiments, that have Ever bin knowne, cannot let in more science then those, and withall how Comon and litle observed are they? whereby one would thinck, the observation makes y^e Experiment, and not this, that. It is possible that when a pointe is Experimented, that is from ob= servation of Event's thought of, It is a good Guide ffor other's to Institute Experiments by, ffor prooving ye truth, and more especially ye gage or Measure of it. there being a vast difference between ye discovery or Notion, and the Mechanicall knowledg of it the former is content with ye Gross, but the Mechanick Must be Sure of y^e weight's and Measure's of it; and therefore men of mechanick Genius are allwais making proofs, and With Good Reason Reason; ffor our thoughts are In= certein, full of oversight's, and tryall's Regulate Even Them, and $\frac{1}{2}y$ /thro\ failing's /appearing\ readyly supplyed, obtein the ends they aim at.

²⁵³ All these examples, examples drawn from from RN's *experience* (a cognate word to experiment, of course), which could be *anyone's* experience, appear and reappear in RN's MSS. He draws attention to how Descartes drew from everyday experience, and how that was commended by Isaac Barrow. The most famous arguments from quotidian experience come from folk lore, wisdom literature and most famously the parables of Christ. RN is making a plea for a kind of plain style in thinking and argument, as well as criticising the overdetermination of results that comes from poor experimental method, and the shallowness of inductive, badly reasoned hypotheses.

Experiments. 9.

I would Gladly suggest some hints towards abridging this Infinite process of Experiments; and ffirst I propose to direct them, to the proving or disproving, opinions that have bin already vented, whither disputed or Not; As If it might be, to shew If any Space Can be perfec= tly Empty, or If all Spaces are allwais ffull. And so for The New (or Revived) principle of attraction, whither it be a Quality Inherent that bring's things together, or some comon caus that direct's /divers\ body's towards y^e Same place. And as to that I Conceipt there May be an Expe= riment, to Confute y^e fancy of universall attraction In body, w^{ch} makes it approach, Every part of y^e other, ac= cording to It's density, y^t is Substance.

The author of y^e principia, aware, that it might be as= ked Why stones, and wood ordinarily did Not attract each other, and so cohere upon y^e Earth's surface, of w^{ch} there is No signe; alledgeth that y^e attraction of y^e body of y^e Earth that is Gravity, is so Much stronger, that those litle attrac= tion's are overcome, & therefore appear Not. Now it seems possible to take off y^e force of Gravity, as body's swimming upon water are poysed as to Gravity, and it hath No Effect upon them; why Should Not therefore Shipps & boats, Come= together, & stick close? It will be answered that y^e body of water is all round, & draws as well y^e Shipps and that hath So litel force among y^e Rest, that It Suffiseth Not to Conquer the Impediment of the Medium. then it is to be Considered If that Can be Removed.

let

10 Experiments.

lett body's be prpared of vast Magnitude & weight, wch to us, is an Indication of compact Substance; ffor It is proved y^t the Resistance or Impediment to motion of y^e Medium is less to greater then to smaller body's In vast disproportion. So that take y^{e} air for y^{e} medium, and such Great body's in it, there is litle or No account to be made of ye Impedim't. And then for taking off y^e effect of Gravity, let them body's be Suspended by cords of a vast length, as to $y^{\rm e}$ summitt of a tower on y^{e} Inside, so y^{t} y^{e} tension of y^{e} Cord May be Strong, & take ye Resistance of ye air from that, and ye bodys Swing with an Intire radius. Here an arch of, 1^{0} . or 1^{1} . and perhaps. 1¹¹. may on such radius be discernable; and how ever a swing In a small arch raiseth ye body In ye perpen= dicular very litle, & here, May be Next to Nothing. So that Gravity hindring No Motion but In $y^{\rm e}$ perpendicular, Is E=nervated. Then it may be seen, If these pendulous body's Will Cohere; ffor Nothing hinders, and they may be made in What proportion wee will.

This Manner of Experiment will also prove the rules of motion. ffor whereas Even Cartesius, & Newton, as well as pardies, not to be named with them, hold a great difference of Motion, In vacuo, & In medio Resistente.²⁵⁴ all w^{ch} I thinck a meer concept, & without Ground, & here it may be proved. as for Instance, Newton say's, body's y^t meet in vacuo will not part; I deny it; he Say's, it is springyness In body's y^t makes 'em separate, I deny that too: let this experim^t be y^e probation, In w^{ch} Gravity, and y^e Resistance of y^e Medium are litle or Nothing, and they may use lead with flatt sides y^e hath No spring.

²⁵⁴ i.e., 'in a vacuum, and in a resistant medium'.

185r

Continuity 1.

Cartesius Suffers for his Manner of Expressing his Thought's In this Question of Continuity. and the Matter it self, hath also suffered, ffor $Mons^r$ le Clerk Say's it is Indeterminable, and $y^{\rm e}$ Question ever Re= turns. ffor he with the Rest deride what Cartesius say's, that body's are united by Rest, as with Glew, w^{ch} is by, /-? meer Nothing. and the Hamists,²⁵⁵ who say things by their asperity's & Hooking clasp together, are answered by \boldsymbol{y}^e Question, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} Ever Returnes, what Holds the prominent part and Hamous part's of together. As to perfect Rest, I cannot Imagin what Cartesius meant, ffor he is dead & cannot ansr, and I beleev he had somewhat in his mind More reasonable If he had hHappened to Express it, unless it be this. that If two body's touch & Rest together, a moment, there is no difference but those are as much one, as the part's of /any\ one and the same /single or unporous body\ are. so he made it a prin= ciple of continuity, that parts once Resting Contiguous became one. And it is necessary to suppose some prin= ciple or other to Reconcile this difficulty. ffor that there is somewhat w^{ch} makes a continuity or Cohe= Sion In of ye Component parts of Comon obdurate body's is certein; ffor otherwise, It were Necessary yt all y^e world were as powder Impalpable, or fluid, w^{ch} wee know full well is Not so, but how, or In What manner This componency is wrought, Mons^r le clerk, dispaired of Ever knowing, and therefore took an easy cours of throwing away ye Question as unSolvable and $laught^{256}$ at those who went about it.

 $^{^{255}}$ i.e., those who believe that matter is held together by hooks (Latin: <code>'hamus'='hook')</code>.

 $^{^{256}}$ I read this as 'laught', since that accords with RN's comment below (f.189v) that we risk Le Clerc's 'derision' if we inquire into continuity. (For Jean le Clerc, see note on f. 6r above.)

2. Continuity

As to this matter I have to propose, first that Com= pound body's, are made up of others subcompound ad Infinitum; And herein I make use of the suppo= sition of actuall Infinity, as well as before, In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Case of motion, for plenitude.²⁵⁷ but to y^e Matter, there is Nothing great wee handle, but contused, breaks in other like In lesser formes, and where is the stop? A larg stone is Compound of pebbles, & Gravell, petri[=?] fyed together, those pebbles sometimes appear Com[=?] pound of others in like manner, and Microscopes perhaps will Shew you a degree or two farther bu[t?] No End. And In many thing's the hamousness of ye parts, so farr as they are visible is apparent Enough Then as to y^e ans^r, demanding farther what holds those hook's together, It may be Returned the ham[o=?] sity of smaller parts, and So subhamosity's hold Ea[ch?] other together In Infinitum, the Sume or agregate of all $w^{ch},\ \mbox{may appear}$ /are to our sence/ Indistinguishable, as In a lump wee hande. This cannot be Confuted, ffor Whe[re?] is the Escape? There are hamous parts smaller the[n?] any others assignable, w^{ch} is No More an evasion he[re?] then the like /discours\ used by mathematitions. All $w^{\rm ch}$ may be sufficient to Refill y^{e} Caption of y^{e} Question's Returne[d?] But, Is Confes't to want a positive argument And as to that.

Since it is, as I hinted, Necessary to use a principle mine is this, and I thinck No new one, [ess^t.?] that the matter of y^e world, is taken in the primary parts, or Devision's of it, is not onely Extended but adamantin[e?] or, In

 $^{^{\}rm 257}$ Here evidence of an intended arrangement – the essay on Continuity followed the essay on Motion.

Continuity

In y^e phrase of D^r. More,²⁵⁸ Indescerpable, or Not by any practick force alterable. And that all the /seeming\ frac= tures and Contusion's of matter wee know, amount but to separation of part's, and Not alteration of their Quantity's or figure. As to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Magnitude of these primary part's, as well as their formes, & shapes, wee can determine Nothing positive, but Confor= mably to the sensible world, may conclude them to be various and Multifarious, ffor there is litle If any geometrick regularity In Nature. As to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Magnitude, It may be the greatest may possibly be less then any thing wee Can discerne, as 1/8000. or other Inconceivable proportion. If any be larger then other, they are towards ye Regions of the fixed Starr's and Not neer the centers of any Etheriall Movement.²⁵⁹ Now If this hypothesis be admitted it is Not hard to Imagine, Especially aided with those Impediments of movem't, touched In the chapter of plenitude, how these difforme, unequall, Irregular part's of matter huddled together, May Conglomerate Into lumps, such as wee handle & see, whereof $y^{\rm e}$ manner must be left to Imagination.

3

Then for argument, touching y^e probability of this Hypothesis, I say that Impenetrability, & Exquisite hardness are almost one & y^e Same Notion. our Idea of fluids, waxe, & other yeilding thing's, cannot be transferred from our braines to the primary part's of matter. ffor those are all Compounds, and have notorious

²⁵⁸ Henry More (1614-87), philosopher, leader of the Cambridge Platonists and, in the middle of the century, the principle defender of Cartesian ideas in England. RN refers to More's use of the word to describe the indivisibility (of the 'material') of the soul in *The immortality of the soul, so farre forth as it is demonstrable from the knowledge of nature and the light of reason*, London, 1659.

²⁵⁹ i.e., the movement of the ether, the driving force of RN's and Descartes' vortexlike planetary systems. RN believed that the smallest and lightest elements moved to the middle of the vortexes, and that the largest and heaviest were spun (by centrifugal force) to the perimeter.

4 Continuity.

notorious distinction of parts, w^{ch} yeild one before another but Where No such Separation is, where Shall it begin[??] That y^e Mass is hard, or Impenetrable, every one admitts; for It will Not be Compressed, then why Broken? If it be say'd a Sufficient force will break any thing, I ans^r, what bounds that force. or why is there degrees In hardness, when all are Equally Im= penetrable? If one say's any force, I may as well say Every force, and then there Can be No continuum. But If wee admitt primary part's without pore, or seam, It Seem's Impossible to devide it. the Idea wee have of Contusion is this. 3. Globules in triangle A.B. <diagram> &. C. If Either be driven towards y^e other, that putts them away, w^{ch} is y^e Case of ordinary Contu= sion; when one thing is driven away by another. but <diagram> suppose y^e triangle A.B.C. of a matter Infinitely Resisting penetration; And to have No part or seam in one place more then another, it is most reasonable to say No force at A. can drive asunder $y^{\rm e}$ parts B. &. C. this Nor that part Can= not give way, for it is Equall in all Respects, w^{ch}, and determined to None; If it must Crush It must be into parts of No magnitude for Nothing is /there\ to de= termine of what magnitude any part's shall be, after such Crushing, Carry? This subtilety /of discours\ is onely to shew the more probable opinion, & that I Stick to that matter, or primary Corpuscles, are Not alterable by any practick force, but Remaine Ex= tended, figurative & Indiscerpable for Ever.

Continuity. 5.

Now p^rsuming y^t body is adamantine & unalterable and of multifarious magnitudes, & figures /and of such y^e world Compleatly full\ It may be Considered how many accidents will Concurr, to Make frequent cohesions of matter such as we perceiv In y^e forme of Continued body's. W^{ch} May Ranged In five classes. 1. figure, 2. Rest. 3. want of Gravity. 4. plain touch. and. 4. plenitude of y^e world.²⁶⁰

1 ffigure. ffor when divers Magnitudes of divers formes Some long, Some broad, some Ragged, other's squar, ovall Angular Round &c. come together, tho there may Not be direct hooks upon them yet, they may cluster together, and y^e prominences Interfering & Hollow's Receiving, It may be Sufficient to hinder y^e minute agitation of them, and Consequently the separation.

2. Rest. or plain touch, as the figures may be. ffor If Cubes are compact together, touching by their sides, It is Not Easy to part them; ffor wee Seldome can ap= ply an Instrument, unless it be fire, or Menstruums, W^{ch} Insinuate among y^e parts, Subtle enough to digg any out, and what Signifyes y^e brushing a few off at y^e Corners? I doe Not Insist on cohesion by touch, tho I cannot, as I sayd, distinguish between, a body apart and Joyned with another by a flatt touch, w^{ch} is perfect by closing, without pore or Intermission. If that Should unite body's, there would grow Such union's often, & might tend to aggrandise matter; But Whither so or Not, wee must Consider it seldome happens, but comonly.

 $^{^{260}}$ RN states there are 5 classes, but lists (and describes, as we read below) only 4.

6. Continuity

comonly Matter falling together and apt to close, as the shooting of salts, have some smaller Stuff be= tween, y^t makes a bed, or some Granules, y^t are Suf= ficient to p^rvent a perfect touch, so that such are held together purely by the masonry of their figure, As bricks heaped In y^t manner, are Not Easily by one Gross protrusion separated.

3. Want of Gravity. that $w^{\rm ch}$ makes Great things fall In peices, is their weight, for many lumps of wall & Rubbish would cleav together, If there were Not, a weight w^{ch} make's them strike & be Resisted, & so broken. And If one asks why, a body held up, y^e parts y^t compose it, being closed onely by their forme, as I Instanced In cubes, I answer becaus, Small body's are disabled of all ye power & effect of Gravity. This is proved by drops of water, $w^{\rm ch}$ Small suspend In clouds, but running together fall downe, In Rain. And \boldsymbol{y}^{e} demonstration is that as Quantity deminisheth, so superficies In pro= portion Increaseth. as. a Dye. is. Cube. 1. and Super= ficies. 6. the Next Cube is. Dyce. 8. and those have su= perfeces. 24. vist. 6 x 4. = 24. less superficies to y^e Sub= stance by half, then $y^{\rm e}$ 1. Dye Had. And Resistance of falling is by y^e Superficies, & y^e force by y^e Substance, so ye air, being a stated Resistance, deminution bring's body's to be wholy Impeded from faling, & consequently, [thes?] all would fall together, yet one part would Not fall from another.

4. And lastly plenitude, conduceth much to the holding things

Continuity.

7

things together; ffor altho It be Not an hindrance of motion, it is an Incumbrance, or Impediment to some as was touched before; ffor If body's do Either Not readily part, or cannot be parted att all but In a certein manner, w^{ch} is the Case of flatt thing's, No wonder that /an\ obstruction /of y^e parts\ to y^e yeilding to Every force, hinder's y^e Effect, of many forces, from Sepa= rating them; Especially when wrapt up together In clusters.

All these things Considered, and also that, there is weight that presseth together the part's of y^e Earth and things doe not Readily move but In channells & Interstices, that Since few forces, except fire, & menstruums (w^{ch} have Effect Enough to macerate Continued bodys) are proper to decide them, but Instead of separating y^e parts, drive all away to= gether In \boldsymbol{y}^e Same heaps it finds them. wee May well allow the Clustring of matter is knotts about Surfaces, & within $y^{\rm e}$ compass of $y^{\rm e}$ planetts; And it is also to be considered, that ConGlomerate bodys May have divers Graduation's, It is hard to Say any thing less then Infinite, before they are Sensible to us, as some may be formed, Into springy, flexible, obdu= rate, & ye like parts, wch may yet be component of others, and If an analisis Could be made wee Should find them as Cartesius hath attempted, Most Ingeniously of water, & thereby Resolving Rarefaction, & Con= densation; but If Such parts are they are Conglo merate, of

8. continuity

of others, thro Many degrees, as other's, more visible., are Among us:

The Case of 2. flatt marbles polish't, w^{ch} are very dif[=?] ficult to separate, doth Not wholly depend on this deffect, that is y^e passage of y^e Interstitiall supply from y^e Sides to y^e midle, but In [some?] measure it doth. ffor tho A Matter may come thro y^e pores, yet many Small flatts as small as y^e pores, may cohere for like reason as hath bin Expressed. But the Gross caus, is y^e presure of the Air for that Cannot goe the pores; but must Enter by y^e Sides, so that If a parting be paralell, there must certeinly be a Torricellian vacuity,²⁶¹ w^{ch} loads y^e Mar= bles, & holds them together, So y^t If not very /larg &\ heavy, y^e one will suspend y^e other.

S^r. Is. N. would screw this circumstance, to prove attrac[=?] tion of body, but and alledgeth, that Marbles will co= here In y^e Exhausted Receiver, (for y^e pump will Not Neer Exhaust all.)²⁶² however it may be for the reason befor[e?] given. And doth In No Sort argue attraction. ffor If It did dbody's did, as he contends, attract Each other, It would be seen by Contact upon points, as If a marble Instead of polishin were creased, as a milstone, they would Cohere, W^{ch} they Will Not In y^e least degree.

Wee disagree here with Cartesius, who supposeth the subtile matter worne downe by motion Into Globule's ffor that is alteration of primary parts Not allowed by us. Nor doe wee /care to\ conclude /ought\ from any circumstance of y^e Insensible subtile matter, nicely & particularly, supposed; becaus it may as easily be dissupposed by who please whom it pleaseth so to doe.

²⁶¹ RN refers to 'Torricellian vacuum/vacuity' when discussing actual vacuum, since he accepts the possibility of a manufactured vacuum, and the conditions in nature where something similar might momentarily occur, as in an explosion, but he does not accept the existence of vacuum in nature, following the traditional dogma that 'nature abhors a vacuum'.

²⁶² The 'Exhausted Receiver' (referred to several times in the MSS) is that part of the apparatus of an air pump which has been drained of air, i.e., the bottle 'containing' the artificial vacuum.

Continuity²⁶³

1

Considering what a thinker cartesius was, I have often wondered what was In his mind when he sayd that bodys perft perfectly at rest together, cohered as with the Strongest Glew. He is dead and Cannot answer for him= self, tho there is need enough, ffor there is Nothing In his works for w^{ch} he is more Slighted then that. And I have beleeved there was some what more in his mind then that but perhaps it was not digested to his Content, & $\ensuremath{\mathtt{h}}\xspace{-4pt}{\mathtt{He}}\xspace$ did Not thinck ffitt to say more, and In truth he might as well have sayd Nothing, or /Els\ he did Not understand the Cohesion of bodys; But it is possible he might Consider body or matter to Consist of simple or unporous parts, w^{ch} were all /of $\$ one Even substance, having Nothing to devide or distinguish any marks of Subdevision In them. Now If it Should ffall out that two such part's should touch each other by Superficies Exquisitely flatt, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} witt of man Could Not distinguish ye unity of those 2. parts, ffrom \boldsymbol{y}^{e} unity of the part's of any one of them. and whatsoever it was that held a single part together, and wee could know nothing there to doe it besides Rest; why then Should Not rest hold together, that is make one, two part's that accidentally touch? And so farr is Sure, that two body's So Resting, are $y^{\rm e}$ Same as any one as to unity.

But this is Not Satisfactory, ffor it is Not made out that rest is any means to hold thing's together, nor is any other mean's Shewed; that of Hamosity's will not

 $^{^{263}}$ The following section (up to f. 196r) is paper that has been subsequently marked, both by the white chalky efflorescence noted elsewhere (see f. 12v, etc., a section which essay was certainly composed during the same period as this one), and by darkening owing to dust (notably ff. 193-4).

2. Continuity

not serve the turne, for y^e Question Ever Returnes, what holds together those Hames? for this Reason le Clerck defy's y^e subject, and say's it is a matter irresolvable, & it is a weakness to undertake it. This is an Expedite method to get ridd of a Question. But Considering wee have the subject continually under our feeling, and doe discerne all of y^e degrees of contenacity, from y^e diamant to meer water or air, It is Not In our power Not to be Inqui= sitive after the Nature of this so ordinary conglomera= tion's of Matter. Therefore with Mon^s le clerck's leav, and suspension of his derision,²⁶⁴ wee will turne y^e Subject a litle, and See If wee can find any dawning's of reason ffor this so Comon a pha^enomenon.

1. And for this Reason I will first propose, that to say Hamosity's, protruberences, Cavity's, or Inequality's may be a mean's to hold things together, is Not so redicolous opinion, as the Dutch phisicus make it.²⁶⁵ ffor Having ta= ken y^e freedome to setle matter In actuall Infinity of Smallness; let the Question Returne, the ans^r is at hand, as what holds the Greater clasps on? I ans^r lesser, and sic ad Infinitum. as y^e Mathematitian's argue all the Infinity's they deal with. and this subhamosity to In= finite, may arise in y^e aggregate to sensible lumps, as A progression of Infinite lines, makes a formed Superficies. This cannot be confuted, No more then other cases of geometrick Infinity, for I say, as they In like occasions, Hamosity's, less then any hamosity assignable. But I doe

²⁶⁴ See above, f. 185r.

²⁶⁵ I have not yet identified this reference.

Continuity.

I doe Not Rely on this, becaus it is a reason Not very Explicite, or plausible, and looks like an Evasion rather then argument, therefore I look out for better.

3

And In doing that I must of Necessity state some clear Notion by $w^{\rm ch}$ wee comprehend the thing wee call Matter or body. And as to that, It is already Supposed Impenetra= trable, and wee know No other universall Quality It hath. Then, that it is devided Into parts; And In generall wee must take them Either as simple without pore, or Els /as many\ Con= Glomerate, or Compound /together\ of many. the latter of these onely ffall in our Notice, ffor the simple part's are so farr from being knowne, that wee cannot demonstrate there are any such; ffor it may as well be affirmed, they are Compound ad Infinitum; onely by our observation of things wee find much Inequality and Irregularity, and from thence, I thinck, there is reason to conclude $y^{\ensuremath{\text{e}}}$ compounds In some measure Shew the nature of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Components; and whenever wee make a Judgmt of things Insensible, wee must make our aime according to What is sensible. And thence I gather and Conclude that body Consists of devided parts, of all Magnitudes (under a certein demension, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is yet less then wee have hopes to discerne, tho y^e greatest of them,) And of shapes altogether Irregular, like other things in y^e world, In w^{ch} cast scarce any Geometrick Exactness is ffound, but ffall more or less ever as wee Say accidentally. So that I cannot assigne the Globular cupa cubick, pira= midall fforme to originall matter, but all fformes; but vet

4. Continuity

But yet it is reason to thinck that as Graines of Sand or stones on a beach they are distinguishable Into classes As some may be Inclining to y^e Globular, other's to the Cubick, or paralellipipedous, others to other denominate formes, and so also mixt of divers, tho Exactly of None.

Here I leav Cartesius In two thing's. 1. that he Supposeth the Etheriall world composed of Globules, and as he des= cribes them neer Equall. ffor what familiar had he to Make him a confident of the state of that Small Ma= teriall? Then. 2. In Supposing the Globules Might possi= bly by action have bin worne into yt forme, by action wch hath rubbed off their corner's, and those corners made use of to fill y^e Interstices. ffor besides the secrecy of y^e buissness, w^{ch} checks any ones $p^{\mathrm{r}} \text{sumption}$ to Guess concerning it, I must be of opinion that the simple part's of Matter are ada= mantine, that is Exquisitely hard, and Capable of No fracture, Flexure, or Impression. My reason is. 1. that Im= penetrability and Such hardness seem one & ye Same thing. besides what fforce is adequate? If any force Shall break or bruis a part, then Nothing Could be hard; If Every force may Not, but Some force may, what hath determined that [??] It is easyer to say No force can doe it, then to Imagine a frangibility to Some degree, but wee know Not what. So [.?] that untill wee find a principle /to\ fix a frangibility between the Extreams, of being subject to all or None, and Since \boldsymbol{y}^{e} former Cannot be, wee may reasonably Conclude \boldsymbol{y}^{e} latter

Continuity

latter; The rather becaus the Experience of things leans that way, and altho Compound body's are so often discer= pable, there seem's somewhat hard, beyond Separation of parts, that may not be crusht. but This case will not fall under Experience, becaus wee have Not faculty's to penetrate the Recesses of smallness, to know Certeinly any thing of it. but of this I will be Confident, that the sup= posed adamantine Nature of body, is In no Sort opposite to any phaenomenon of Nature. And as for those who will take all the liberty to Say, matter is ab capable of all degrees of strength, and crushable some with more & other with less force, & others, perhaps, Indiscerpable by any; I cannot Confute them, but choos to accept the State of Matter ye other way, and for ye Reason's Given. To w^{ch} I may to Conclude one, w^{ch} is that It is Indetermined where a fracture shall begin, & then there can be None, ffor If a cube be forc't by one side and stopt by another, w^{ch} of y^{e} other 4. sides shall Give way first? Nay Supposing No pores, what direction is there to thro any side outwards, So It Seems $y^{\rm e}$ force must crush it Into Nothing, or Not at all. But waiving this dispute, It will be Conceded that wee know No force can break a part unporous; ffor what Engin shall hold, and how Shall \boldsymbol{y}^e force be applyed? And all sensible fractures are but dislocation's and no one Can affirme any one of them wee know doe violence to any primary part of matter. Therefore waiving all farther dispute /whither adamantine or Not\ wee Rely on this, y^{t} matter hath an hardness, w^{ch} No knowne force can violate. This is that Dr. More Calls Indiscerpability And

5

6 continuity

And from hence it follows that Elater or Springyness is no property of body, being a phenomenon of Com= pounds in a certein manner, as may be shewed afterwards and Not of Simple parts. ffor If such a part yeilds to a stroke so as y^e forme is altered, and from a circle it becomes an ovall, I desire to Know what power should Reduce that back contrary to ye force yt beat it? they will say, an Internall principle. Gratis dictum. But consider In this chang of the figure, the position of Infinite parts, that is of ye whole mass is changed, that is devided one part from another, what should Joyne them together againe? If all ye body is not changed so, but where the force falls, there it sinks in a litle, & Results, that is a plain penetration of substance, whereby \boldsymbol{y}^{e} matter is forc't Into less Space then it filled before. And so for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Result, I suppose they say it fly's out as Spring's allwais doe, then ye body Is Greater, filling a greater figure then before. Those who will maintaine such thing's may as well maintaine, that If a body /be\ forc't one way, it Shall come back of it self, or ought Els, they shall pleas to dogmatize, where Expe= riment & demonstration will not reach to Confute them. But there is a sort of Cander, and moreover it is a tacite $\mathtt{agreem}^{\mathtt{t}}$ that takes place among vertuosi of honner Not to Chiccane & wrangle, but leav that to ye Scepticks But to allow, or at least Not contradict, what is reason= ably supposed of things abstruce, where No clear/er\ Evidence can be given, not better expedients for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} porpose be Suggested.

Continuity.

Now taking originall Matter in y^e State & condition here Rep^rsented, let us see If wee may discover any Conjunctures /such\ as from accidentall concours of things may happen to occa= sion Matter to Conglomerate together as see see it doth.

7

In y^e first place It is to be observed that, the lumps of Con= Glomerate matter, are Resident neer the centers of Row= ling movements and are such as they call heavy, w^{ch} croud close together & press Each other. Wee know not any such lumps at any distance from y^e planet's, except comets w^{ch} are a peculiar sort, & litle understood: hence the crouding thing's together by gravity conduceth somewhat to continuity, and that is by obstructing movements, where= by other mean's of cohesion may take place.

That w^{ch}, In our Imagination makes cohesion of parts seem difficult, is the state of Gross body's, $w^{\rm ch}$ being loos will not setle together, but If Joyned Even by cement will on ye least stirring often part, so wee argue from thence the like loosness of small things; but there is Not $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ Same reason. and much less cohesive caus, shall hold small thing's, for y^{e} proportion, together then great. for of y^{e} latter every price hath a distinct Gravity and distract's it, but of the other the Gravity hath Effect upon y^e aggregate and Not upon single part's; as larg drops shall fall in Rain and smaller be suspended in clouds; y^e reason whereof is demostrated Elswhere, and is In Short this, that force is according to substance, and Impediment according to superfices, and Great body's have vastly more force that is Quantity, for y^{e} proportion of $\text{Impedim}^{\text{t}}$ y^t is

continuity

that is superficies then Small ones. therefore you May possibly take up a lump, and the part's have No princi= ple of actuall cohesion, (besides such as I may touch upon) and the Small parts Shall not fall from one and other by y^e force of Gravity, as If you took up a brick hous, by y^e turret, one thing Should dropp away from a= nother, till it ffell all to peices.

So likewise friction. w^{ch} is an Impediment of motion, hath more power of small things, then of great; for the reason Shewed. and thing's so small as the primary part's of Mat[=?] ter, are wholly subject to that Impedim'^t, so that the per= severence of their fforce, is nothing ag^t it, so small is y^e ration on y^e Side of Quantity[,?] to that of y^e Superficies. so /W\hereby Comon Shaking or tossing will not make thing's that touch slip by one & other so as to make a generall parting, and It Must be a great Concusion that makes any of them give way, as when a thing is throwne from a loft ag^t y^e Ground, y^e Sudden Stop make's it Scatter in Great measure. and this reason may be alledged, for some degrees of continuity, that is when lumps are easily broken.

Another Consideration is, that y^e outward part's defend y^e Inner from violence, and If wee should admitt that there were no cohesion at all, and any force would brush off from y^e outsides matter Continually, and so more, as by y^e Removing of some, other's are Exposed, and at in time wear y^e whole substance away[,?] but wee having but Gross member's cannot come at any Interior parts, Nor y^e Ex= terior by /but\ by broad spaces, & not by point's, to Insinuate under

192v

8

continuity

under any of ye small component parts; And No wonder, that when wee croud Such lumps afore us and they fall not apeices. whereas If some materiall wherewith, as small thing's can Insinuate among small tho Great cannot among small things, The part's may be heaved up one by one, the most seeming hard body is Instantly reduced to powder, as wee by ye Effect of Aqua fortis upon Iron, whose force Exceeds that of a [-bout-hammer?] as they call it, becaus it operates Mi= nutatim,²⁶⁶ & not Grossly. let us borrow of y^e Imagina= tion a litle help in this matter. as for Example, let a pile of squared brick, as Bigg as y^e Mountaine Snowden, or If need be. 1000, times as Great; ffor as to Number of part's a cubick Inch, maybe prsumed & reasonably to exceed even $/y^{\rm e}$ bricke in\ that. And let these bricks be supposed to be void of all (Effectuall) Gravity; that is to weigh in $y^{\rm e}$ lump, but $y^{\rm e}$ Minute parts not of them= selves dropp off, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is y^e case of thing's very Minute. and a Giant of Competent Magnitude, took up this lump of brick in his hand, and pressed it with his Gross fingers, and perhaps drove away many 1000^{ds} towards corner's & edges, & It may be some damage to ye Sides also with that Rough handling. would Not this Mighty man have an Idea of continuity of body's as w from the observation of that, as wee have of others, w^{ch} considering with allowance of proportion, are In the very same Circumstances? It seem's he would, therefore ordinary Continuity is Not such a Miracle, as Some Make it.

9

10. Continuity

And ye fault is, In Not Collating measures of thing's, w^{ch} in such vast disproportion, between our mems members, or any Instrument's wee can use, Except fire & Menstruums, and ye component part's of body's not agitated With In= testine motion, May well produce, that seeming un= accountable phenomena of Continuity.

Then it must be observed that the figures of minute parts May be Such as conduce to things cohering; and here wee may suppose great Irregularity, If Not some sort of ha= mosity's; ffor granting ye part's of matter without pore to be Adamantine, there needs no caus to be asigned why ye part's even of them cohere, becaus they are one In their Nature. Then as parts may fall together Some larg, others Smaller, some long other's short, crooked, excavated, &Gibbous, & what not? as ye variety of things Invite us to Imagine; It is Not Strang if they Conglomerate In lumps & are Not seperated, without minute applica= tions w^{ch} are a match in litleness for them; as aquafor= tis & fire. And it seem's by how much the mixture of things is more perfect, ye Continuity is Stronger; as stones sand & mettalls, from /divers\ $_{\rm one},$ run Into $_{\rm divers}$ one Mass; & that by Shaking them together by fire, w^{ch} Removed they set= tle together, as one may almost discerne In ye Cooling of Mettalls, by ye Naked Eye; And all this while it Must be Remembered that ye minute part's have No Effectuall or motive Gravity, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ occasions us, $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize t}}$ observe onely Great things In $w^{\rm ch}$ It is effectuall, to Conceiv so Much difficulty In the Subject of Continuity.

Continuity. 11.

But then It is to be Conceived, that as a winower of Corn Sifting the Graine, shakes $y^{\rm e}$ Chaff one way and $y^{\rm e}$ corne another, so In y^e hudle of minute thing's agitated with Motion, & perhaps fire, doe gather Into $\mathtt{sort}\mathtt{m}^{\mathtt{ts}}$ of magnitude & figure, that hath Some mean's of hol= ding to Each other; As those towards \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Globular figure doe Not clott together so much as part's of other figures that more obstruct Each other's ${\tt Movem^{ts}}.$ and things Square and cubick, or as paralellipidones, must be apt to fall close together, becaus ye angles ffitt ye Spaces, and the like. of this wee have many Instances That colour the Conceipt. as the shooting of salts, w^{ch} allwais takes an angular forme as compound of re= gular sided parts falling close together; and the regularity of the composition, is seen in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} aggregate. This is most Notorious In the case of some hard frosts, when snow comes downe in y^e Shape of Spurs-rowells, with Six points, thus <diagram>. w^{ch} happen's from the sceme of 6. /equall\ circles, Inclosing, a 7th. thus <diagram>. w^{ch} is the ground of $y^{\rm e}$ forme. and then $y^{\rm e}$ turning being on $y^{\rm e}$ center, & Not flatt-wise, becaus y^e Edg passeth Easyer then y^e Side. all y^e Gathering is by /round half frose\ dropps setling in $y^{\rm e}$ angles, & so propa= gates ye figure. I shew this onely here as an Instance, that the shape of Component parts, will be propagated thro all ye Composition, & be Seen in ye aggregate. And so also, that however Irregular and unequall prime parts of matter May be, promiscuous agitation, May bring

12 Continuity.

bring together parts, that have formes, as I may say conforming, and so Constitute specifick matter, such as wee know onely In y^e aggregate, & give Names too as wood water mettall stone, & y^e like, with Many subdevisions; and where is an End of any thing?

But ye main cause of pleni continuity on wch I most Re= ly, proceeds from the plenitude of $y^{\rm e}$ world. ffor If I can Shew that, however body's are not held together direc= tly by it, yet If there be a difficulty of parting, and In Some Cases, it may be a mean's to bring about those continuity's of conglomerate bodys, as we comonly ob= serve, ffor It is Not all ye Earth is so Nor any very Great part, for Much \boldsymbol{y}^{e} greater being fluid, or sea, and air. Therefore In order to Inspect \boldsymbol{y}^{e} operation of this caus and apply it. wee must Regard some consequen= ces between single body's, or parts, and first, It is con= Siderable whither 2. body's touch by points, or a point to a superficies, w^{ch} is y^e Same thing; for In that Case there is no difficulty of separtation, becaus the matter lys round ready to succeed In place as it is Made. And it is a certein rule, that If matter cannot Succeed In ye Instant Space is made those body's cannot part at all. ffor that is a Ne= cessary consequence of plenitude. Then it follows that If 2 body's touch by a superficies, as 2. flatt Marbles, No force in ye world could, If they had No pore, draw them asunder in paralell position, for altho Matter might succeed

195r

Continuity

Succeed at y^e sides, It Cannot Succeed in y^e Midle, at y^e same Instant of time. Therefore wee have in that case a plaine Mechanicall caus of Continuity; Now let this be collated with /transferred to the\ Example stated of a mountaine of bricks, Into y^{e} body of w^{ch} it is Impossible Matter should Succed, for they must be drawne asunder paralell-wise, becaus they defend one and other from sliding or any angular opening; and for this reason, of necessity, that lump must Conglomerate; why is Not the Case \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same In Minute cases? It follows not here, that, these conglo= merates must be indiscerpable, for, as hinted, sliding, or angular opening consists with plenitude, and onely parralel parting, or towards it, is obstructed. And those mean's of parting as may be, cannot be applyed, but at y^e circumferences of body's, and Not at the supe In= ternall parts, becaus as I sayd the part's defend one &other. I now leav to Candor to say If here be not, (ple= nitude admitted) a demonstration of continuity of bodys as are formed & meet apt for it? and how Much more satisfactory is it, to have such an \texttt{acc}° Conformable to our Experience, or ordinary knowledge of things, rather to Invent New unconceivable principles, such as attac= tion, to w^{ch} y^{e} author of y^{e} optica ascripes ascrip ascribes Continuity /w^{ch} hath its absurdity also\, ffor If such generall rule were admitted /of all bodys attracting Each other\ why doth not all ye matter In ye World cohere, & why is there any motion or fluidity, If they say some is more, others less, & some Not attractive at all; I anser some, is Tityrice and Some tu-patulice,²⁶⁷ as Hobbs, on other occasion wittily sayd.

 $\frac{13}{13}$

14. Continuity.

But this is a sea of speculation, and it is hard to find a signe of shoar, to give hope ye voyage may End. ffor I consider, that small body's such as Escape our sight by many parasangs, tho aided by $y^{\rm e}$ most $\frac{d\text{emi}}{d\text{emi}}$ Magni= fying Glasses; I am loath to propose to /others\ Imagination how deminisht they are In mine, but lett what is sayd suf= fise. I say, these minute parts, are really Conglomerates of others, and perhaps those of other's, where shall I End? and being so, then have all the property's of the higher formes, up to such as wee are acquainted with; as flexure springyness, & $y^{\rm e}$ like. wee are acquainted with Thornes wool, hair, and such thing's as by vegetation are Spun Into such formes, having such property's as those have. wee are not to conceiv, that these are put together with parts of Matter originall & unporous; but of parts /such as are\ con= glomerate y^t had property's conducing to y^e same Ends before they came there, and by $y^{\rm e}$ action of vegetation separated from others, & put together. as to Instance farther Even water it self, may have its fluidity, Not Imediately from y^e constitution of primary parts, but may be constituted, of conglomerate lumps, of Such sort as may ans^r the pha^enomena of water, and En= Couraged Cartesius, to propose them /oblong\ with flexure, be= caus that, as he thought, did with much appositeness Resolve ye cases yt belonged to water. And altho wee doe Not affirme it as he did, wee may Say, None can say or prove it is Not so, as May /be\ more considered when I come to speak of water.

Continuity.

I shall conclude this discours, with $y^{\rm e}$ Case of two flat marbles put together, w^{ch} are observed to part not W^{th} out great difficulty. It is admitted on all hands, that ye Effect of the airs spring or $\stackrel{}{\text{aires}}$ Gravity holds them from being parted paralell-wise, with ye whole force of it. But some= what farther is suspected, $\boldsymbol{w}^{\text{ch}}$ is that In the Exhausted Receiver, they Cohere In some measure; I might ans^r \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air cannot be wholly Exhausted, so some Spring being Included may have effect, but I admitt Even without any Spring of ye air at all, they will Not so Readily part as one would expect. The reason of \boldsymbol{y}^e whole matter, is this <diagram> If the marbles are to be so separated, being as they are Impervious to air, there must be an Exhaus= ted vacuity at. A. for there they are open, at y^e Same Instant as at B. &. C. but the matter entring, must be at B and C. before it Can be at A. therefore ye Spring of y^{e} air hinders y^{e} opening so flatt wise. but Not at all <diagram> sliding by each other, or opening angularly thus .. <diagram>

15.

But abstracting all weight of y^e air, wee doe not suppose marble Impervious to that matter w^{ch} is Interstitiall even with y^e air, but that penetrates thro y^e pores of y^e Marble and, for y^e More part supply's y^e Space. but yet the [leviga=?] ting operation, may have procured some flatts, y^t doe Not Intromitt such matter, and then those flatts meeting, doe Not so readily part, becaus of y^e plenitude of y^e world. this ans^{rs} that difficulty, I thinck, better then y^e Sorry Subter= fuge attraction.

16. Continuity.

It is proper here to take a generall Notice of fluidity leaving the particular phaenomena to proper place. it is a condition of matter opposed to Continuity, and Con[=?] sist's, in a perpetuall agitation of $y^{\rm e}$ part's, without any Rest; W^{ch} agitation must be Imputed to y^e force of Interstitiall Matter. And It is probable, that \boldsymbol{y}^{e} parts or lumps of w^{ch} it is compound are Inclining Inclining to $y^{\rm e}$ smooth & round /and touch but by points or lines\ w^{\rm ch} Makes them less apt /Either to cohere or $\$ to Impede Each others agitation. But when y^e agitation ceaseth w^{ch} happen's upon cooling or $\frac{freezing}{freezing}$ freezing, then ffor reason's before touch't the body's become Continued, as wee see In Ice, Glass, & Mettalls, w^{ch} as y^e poet say's are the Ice of fire.²⁶⁸ There needs no other Experiments that fluids are In perpetuall Intestine agitation, then the dispersing foulnesses, and odors; tho y^e boyling Alabaster powder $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ while $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ heat keeps the litle lumps in Motion, as it Will, \boldsymbol{y}^e whole putts on \boldsymbol{y}^e forme of a fluid.

I have bin thist long, and sollicitous In this matter, ho= ping to make some way into it, rather then with M^r le clerk. succumb In Ignorance, or with S^r Is. N. fly to Attraction. The Importance of y^e Subject, the whole frame of our Inferior world depending, on Some reasonable Notion of it. and without Such foundation, all Natu= rall philosofy, seem's but a Castle <u>in y^e air.</u> <flourish underline>

²⁶⁸ Samuel Butler (1613-80) wrote: 'Melts in the furnace of desire, Like glass, that's but the ice of fire', *Hudibras*, Canto I, III, 657-8. This is one of the rare examples of RN quoting contemporary poetry.

<diagram> A demonstration that the Notion of Motu vers, [distinguisheth?] a motu Relativo, is fals, & May apply /Imply\ a Contradiction let A.C.B.E. be the circle of a movement. B. the body Moved about \boldsymbol{y}^e center by \boldsymbol{y}^e line B.D. the tangent. The movem^t of a body In Circle, is In Every point of $y^{\rm e}$ circle or Moment of time considered as Rectilinear. becaus a Circle is a poligon of Infinite Strait sides. therefore IS = B. the Momentary state /of B\ is a recti= linear motion upon B.D /the tangent\ for In $y^e\ \text{point}$ of Contact B: the tangent /the tangent \ is coincident with the circle. This is motus verus, from B. to. /towards\ D. Then /let C.E. and D F. be paralell &\ let another force Im= press a Motus verus upon \boldsymbol{y}^e center H. or let \boldsymbol{y}^e whole body be In Motu vero (marking by $y^{\rm e}$ path of $y^{\rm e}$ Center H.) from C. to E. /with velocity Equall with that of B. In y^e tangent B.D\ Then during this Movement. the Body B. /supposed being at E\ shall pass from E. to B: Then /observe then that\ at the same moment of time the body B /In $y^{\rm t}$ part\ shall have a true Motion (with y^e whole) fr from B. to F. /vist from H. to E.\ and also a true Motion from B. to D. /upon ye tangent\. Wch is Impossible. for If you Respect an Absolute Space or Rest, a body cannot (truly) move /with equall speed\ both backwards, and forewards, at ye Same Instant of time. This shews the Confusion of this distinction, for Relative

This shews the Confusion of this distinction, for Relative motion ans^{rs}. all Regards, and may be backwards & forewards Innumerberable way's, but motus verus can scarce Subsist without Incurring auch contradiction's as these.

197v

<page blank>

198r²⁶⁹

Hypotheses

continuity.

Hence it may appear How the Geometers may be Mistaken, who build upon Events of complex cases, as If they were distinct principles, such as are usually found a= mong their definitions. &c. ffor If they find a constancy In Effect's, they take the Caus by y^e Name of vis; and so vary from the Na= turalist's, (who say causa Efficien's);270 saying vis efficiens; and that vis, must be an Essence In their work, with all ye certitudes belon= ging to Such process. W^{ch} with y^e Naturalists is an aggregate of Infinite Inconstant & Incertein causes blended together, the Effect Whereof must be No less Incertein, & Incon= stant, tho ye difference may Not appear nor whence it proceeds to our Gross senses. As here that body's cohere, say ye (Moderne) Geometers, It is vis attractiva; then say ye naturalists but Some harder then others, then Say $y^{\rm e}$ others, it is ad modum densitatis, $^{\rm 271}$ and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Softer are but more rare, or less dens.

But ffor demonstration that attraction, after a magnetick way, cannot be any caus of Continuity, this one circumstance proves. Body's the most tenacious of their union, have no disposition, being once broken

²⁶⁹ A wide LH margin is used from f. 198r-200v.

²⁷⁰ i.e., 'efficient, or moving cause', one of Aristotle's four causes; note that RN has the 'Geometers' (i.e., the Newtonians) merely changing the term 'casua' for 'vis' (i.e., from 'cause' to 'force', or 'power'), thus retaining the Aristotelian structure, or logic. Note also the reference to 'vis attractiva', below.

²⁷¹ i.e., 'according to density'.

198v

121. Hypotheses

Continuity.

broken, have no, Not ye least tendency, to unite againe, and Can by No Means Even be brought to it. As to Instance Diamonds pulverized. If they had a specifick attractive vertue to make the part's consist harder or closer then other body's, they must run together againe. but there is No Example of the like, of that or any other body what= ever. And If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} powder of diamonds were fluxt by fire, as perhaps is possible, It would cold not have such hardness as before; but this Referr's to experiment. No body broken with a cleft as Exact as any talley, put together again, will hold with any force, and accor= ding to y^e law of attraction they must stick to= gether as fast as before.

This Serves to Introduce an ans^r to y^e p^rtence of the force of attraction from y^e Cohesion of 2. polish't marbles. It is a knowne Ex= periment, and y^e Case is onely that they doe not without Great force separate In a pos= ture paralell, but If heaved on one side or Slidd one upon another, they part with= out any Impediment; the nature of w^{ch} experiment is Shewed as In y^e case of parts Imperceptible In fol. 118.²⁷² among w^{ch} it

 $^{^{272}}$ A rare (unique so far) example of RN making a specific page reference to his own work (although do note on the next page the reliability of his page numbering). Since this section begins at f. 120 we cannot actually reference f. 118.

199r

Hypotheses.

Continuity

It is supposed frequently to happen, when fflatt particles fall upon one & other In strata, so as the comon [serling?] of things is about y^e Surface of y^e Earth; y^e Caus of w^{ch} was Referred to plenitude.

Therefore to dissolve the $p^{\rm r} tension$ for at= traction from this Experiment of two Marbles, I am to give warning here, that, ffirst the greatest If Not all ye Caus of the Cohesion In such grossness, is the weight of the Atmos= phear, of w^{ch} account will be given In fit place. and whereas it is $\ensuremath{p^{r}}\xspace$ tended, $\ensuremath{y^{e}}\xspace$ Same Happen's In y^e Exhausted Receiver; I deny that Can be Exhaust of Air Enough to argue that Consequence. But ffor clear= ness of ansr, I will admitt there may be such cohesion of flatt surfaces In the torricel= lian void. altho wee may suppose that the Ether, or matter Supplying the depri= ved air, passeth freely thro \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Marbles, And yet Say, It is an Experiment that Grosly proves plenitude, but In No sort attraction. ffor altho the Marbles are mostly pervious, or po= rous to let thro y^{e} matter, yet some part's, y^{t} are small, may be levigated by $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ polish so as

199v

122. Hypothesis

Continuity

as to touch each other, flatt to flatt; W^{ch} If It were Considered In y^e Whole plan of y^e Stones to be so, I might affirme all y^e force In y^e World Could Not part them parallel wise. but the touches being upon just Not points or very litle peices heere & there of flat ag^t flatt, there is some Impediment to y^e par= ting, but such as litle accidents, as shaking laterally, or y^e like, soon loosens. And that litle difficulty, If any be, all Externall air or atmosphear abstracted, can be ascribed onely to the plenitude of the world. 200r

Hypotheses.

Continuity.

There is No speculation belongs to the Study of Nature more difficult, abstruse, & I had almost sayd desperate then this. & yet Nothing so Necessary to be understood In order to reconcile a world of doubdts, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ hang upon it. No Experiment can Sink so deep in minuteness, as to Shew us how Compounds are originally Engaged In such union, as wee dayly In Gross see & handle. Therefore No means is left us tp penetrate this abiss, but reason and ar= gument; & that I know is despised by ye rigid Experimentators. are wee to stand still and medle not till Experiment light us, that is, I fear, for ever. and I am Sure no good to knowledg Ever came by rest; It is a mistress, like fortune, y^t Must be Courted with daring, and then somewhat may ffall worth clasping. there is onely this difference between, these beautys, knowledg, & fortune. that In Courting ye latter, many are destroyed and lost, and Instead of favours, are payd with frownes, but y^e other destroy's none, and rarely sends

200v

84 Hypotheses

Continuity.

sends any away, that adress as they ought, without good store of her favours. ffor this reason I am an adventurer, In search of this secret au fonds,²⁷³ and If I come short, I shall have No losses to bewail.

I shall begin with Cartesius, who onely hath taken the matter fairly Into Consi= deration, and made a bold proffer, at Re= Solving how matter cleaved together. he saw It was kept devided by motion, and so Concluded y^e contrary Rest must hold it together. ffor, say's he, the strongest²⁷⁴ Glew Cannot hold body's faster together, then perfect rest will doe. This thought of his, so expres't, is so farr from Sinking Into other mens²⁷⁵ mind, that it is look't upon as a meer fantastick nothing. Rest holds things together, but How can that be? the doubdt is Just y^e Same, as If he had sayd Nothing.

I have often Considered what should be the Caus that a thinker so strong as he was should laps /as he hath done.\ So Egregiously In this matter. He is dead & cannot ans^r anyones doubts, therefore

²⁷³ i.e., 'fundamental, or hidden, secret'.

 $^{^{\}rm 274}$ A word, or words, have been scraped back here and overwritten.

 $^{^{275}}$ Again, a word or words scraped back and overwritten. This 'tidiness' makes the text appear to be an attempt at a fair copy for others to read.

Fluidity.

Having Spoken of Continuity, and being Now dealing about principles, It occurrs to take notice of fluidity so farr, as may be propper here, to the end, It may not be taken as a distinct Nature, but As it is, onely a Mode of divers, /&\ multifarious body's. when the Shapes are Such, that they touch but in points, and Not by Superficies, they and doe not Interfere from any asperous or Indented Shapes, but heap together are of curve surface, as wee may Imagine Globules or ovalls, or towards them; It is Impossible they Should cleav together, and be Continued solids, but Remain loos, and obnoxious to all force y^t happens to fall and to dislocate them, according to their smallness with Eas. And for that reason it is found that the agitation of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Interstitiall Matter, keeps the part's In perpetuall Motion; w^{ch} is perceived plaine Enough In air & water, by ye dispersing of Smoak in y^{e} one, and powder's In y^{e} other. There is Nothing more this In ye Notion of fluidity. As for the property's of it, With Reference to Experiment, I Shall discours expresly hereafter. In y^e Mean time, It is to be observed, that Much \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Greatest part of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world is fluid; that is all \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Ether, or Mundane Spaces. and Even of our Globe here, wee Cannot say how much is fluid. so that the Continueds to ye fluids are for Magnitude Not Comparable.

1.

201v

<page blank>

Complexitys. 1.

In this head wee are at the base, or plan, upon w^{ch} all Naturall phenomena are to be lay'd and viewed, If wee cannot bring them to this testing table, wee Must be suspended In opinion, till Some discovery's or Expe= riment's will Reduce them. And In such cases, w^{ch} are /Indeed\ most particulars eases of Complext effects, If wee Can Say they may possibly /be\ after this or that knowne E= vents of our principles, it is our Non plus; that is a meer posse, for the esse, ly's so deep In minuteness wee may Not Comand it. Some few will fall In o^r Cognizance but Not many, and as In worldly affaires, wee must doe o^r best, audendum tamen; and If wee have Not o^r Wills, patienza. but to goe on.²⁷⁶

1. Multiples, or body's, may be Either Conglomerate a-Sticking together, or however Contiguous, yet be loos & flux, without any Mutuall Cohesion at all. The former are accounted Ever as one & y^e Same Individuall, but y^e others demand more Reflection.

1. If Many body's touch, and one is propelled that Shall
move all the rest or so many as /are\ toucht /in a direction\ from an hemis=
phere of force. I shall Instance in Globules, but y^e Case
is alike in all. as here, the plan of y^e force is. aa &c.
<diagram> bb. &c. and y^e hemisphers are Ima=
gined. If A. Be propelled all y^e
Rest Move, Except. B. becaus
the contact is Not directed from y^e
hemisphere, but In y^e plan e.a. it
self, so y^e Rest move & that stands.

202r

²⁷⁶ This is quite a macaronic episode: '*non plus*', 'furthest or most extreme point'; '*posse*' and '*esse*', 'potential' and 'being'; '*audendum tamen*', 'yet dare, be bold'; '*patienza*', 'be patient'.

2. Complexitys.

This is No More then was Noted of 2. body's, No More, is it to Say that Every one of these body's take a di= rection of their Motion, by y^e Contact & center. And the describing those direction's will $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Rep}}^r \ensuremath{\mathsf{sent}}$ to y^e Eye how $y^{\rm e}$ force is Inclined this way and that, as $y^{\rm e}$ Shapes & positure of \boldsymbol{y}^e bodys are. And consequently as to \boldsymbol{y}^e measure of ye force of each, all the Effect's of obliquity take place; And this In a few & Regular figures, is com[=?] prehensible, but the same cours Extends itself ad In= finitum, and with ye very Same Solitary Rule, thro all $y^{\rm e}$ Immensity of $y^{\rm e}$ World. but In Such Multiplicity, and also Irregularity of matter, as wee must suppose p^{r} = vailes In y^e world, wee must ow all y^e Idea of it, to a Mentall Iteration of Such Explicit Examples as these; Into all distance, never forgetting $y^{\rm e}$ originall Rules, $w^{\rm ch}$ I Con= veiv never ffaile.

3. If one Great Body toucheth Many, The Complexity is Greater, but y^e cours y^e Same & Regulated accordingly <diagram> A. drives a multitude a small parts, the force is dispersed Quaquaversum,²⁷⁷ and Wee can but Imagine Confusedly y^e Manner of it, but yet /must\ still Retein In Mind, the rule, w^{ch}, tho unseen, Governes all. And What[=?] tever greatnesses May be or Inequality's In things, none are enfranchised from the Comon laws of Motion, but In Every Instance of Impulses Great Small many or ffew, It is y^e Same, as /it is in measures of force & direction\ If any two y^t touching were y^e onely 2. In y^e whole world.

 $^{^{\}rm 277}$ i.e., 'in all directions'.

Complexitys. 3.

It May Indeed Happen, that Corpuscles, as well as greater thing's may make a resistance upon acc^o of their Shapes as If they are Cubick or sort's of parallipipedons, or pyra= midall, w^{ch} May Not make them scatter afore a force so as the Globular & ovall, as well as more Irregular things doe. but that is ral in the Accidentall concourses of body's is rare, tho sometimes Such may happen, as wee may beleev upon the shooting of Salt's Into Regular lumps, The Combined figure Evidencing that of the Components. yet very rarely, as I sayd; and Wee find litle Evidence in things to /Incline us\ suspect otherwise. at p^rsent I Shall Suppose it ge= nerally so, Referring the more Nice scrutiny to the discours of Continuity.

Supposing this Multiplifarious Irregularity, the result of y^e whole tendency of a force is Not onely foreward & laterall but also directly avers[, into?] y^e part's from whence y^e force comes. as A. Moving ag^t. c. d. e. f. drives B. in the direction <diagram> of A. Inverted. Therefore If Impediments Confine the Materiall y^t it pass not free, there is No place void that the directions of y^e force doth Not drive the Matter Into tho Directly backwards, as the Actions Called Compressure Never fail to demon= Strate.

Those Congeries of Matter that have their part's thus loos and flux, capable to be driven, by body's falling in a= mongst them with a force dispers't Quaquaversum, wee mean by fluids, & those Conglomerate, /by\ continued bodys of w^{ch} more Expressly In their place.

203r

4. Commixtures/Complexitys

The manner of a body Moving In fluido, hath bin a Subject of Much altercation among philosofers, & how It hinders, wherein Cartesius is obscure, & I thinck both errs & trifles. W^{ch} Matter to Explaine, I must conceive there are fluids of divers degrees of Rigidity, Some Mor[e?] loos & yeilding then others, & some Springy & others Not w^{ch} Make very different modes of body's passing thro Them.

ffirst that they May pass, & Not loos force so as to be knockt downe as some fancy; I Shew that Every solid passing a fluid Takes y^e forme of double cone, primid or other forme of ye Nature pointed before & behind, So that It both open's ye body and letts it close In form of a double wedg, with the botomes together, & edges $% \left({{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{c}}}} \right)}} \right.}$ avers. And this happen's of what forme soever \boldsymbol{y}^{e} body is. And the more Rigid $y^{\rm e}$ fluid is, or $y^{\rm e}$ More velocity $y^{\rm e}$ body hath, the more acute are $y^{\rm e}$ points, and by $y^{\rm e}$ Contrary, more obtuse, And somtimes very litle of it but Allwais /it\ Must be somewhat. If $y^e \mbox{ body it self is Not}$ of this forme it takes it by Addition from the fluid it self. as A B. moves towards. c. In a fluid, I say a <diagram> piramid or Cone of y^e fluid. e. is Carryed before, and another. d, at ye Closing behind, wch as ye force is violent sharpen. that this Must of Necessity be, If ye ends of ye body are flat, this proves. the part [..?] in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Midst, must have sometime to pass, If it were never so In clined to g. or. f. so a part afore that, &c. and besides there is Nothing to Incline it to f or to. g, therefore it is as Covered

Complexitys. 5.

as Covered by y^e face of y^e body Carryed along, & so ano= ther order, & then another, Still shorter & shorter, as y^e parts fall off at y^e ends, & at length Coming to a point. And If y^e body be slow, the part's Shift away faster, w^{ch} If Swift will be driven along, for want of time Required for passing on one Side. the like If y^e fluid be Rigid or Stiff. Who Will may observe this In Currents, and It'is y^e Reason why In y^e face, & Rere of Every flatt obstacle; as pillars of bridges & y^e like there is allyais a triangular calme or Stagnations, Where straw's & light thing's lodg, & for Moving ag^t y^e stream, ag^t y^e Water, & stemming a stream, y^e Case /as to this Matter\ is alike.

When ye body urges forewards, there is no space of time between, the protrusion before and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Vacancy behind. And there is No progressive movement given ye fluid, so as it Should Either Much Resist or disable y^e body In its Motion but Gradually onely. ffor it is but a laterall opening and closing, for ye body hath's it space Every where. As the body <diagram> A. passing towards B. bears open some parts tow= ards. B. and at y^e Same moment some parts fall in at a. and by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Irregularity or texture of y^e parts, y^e Same force as open's towards b. by dispersion Every way as was Noted works at ye closing just as at y^e opening. So that y^e Matter doth Not Move allong with A. bating ye. 2. Cones onely, nor hath any great Quantity removed /or\ with any Great Swiftness. but a litle dis= order it Makes, rather Intestine Motion of the parts the alltogether, but yet such force is Required as is y^e Caus

204r

6. Complexitys.

caus of force wasting in fluids as it doth; here is No Im= possibility Incongruity, or Inconsistency in this Solution, with any Naturall rule or reason of Motion; And it May Well be observed by any thing broad & light with an [handee?] with w^{ch} you may feel the air load, as you bear it Swifter. And one would thinck \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air run about from before to the parts behind & make a Current at ye Edges, but is is onely the Motion of $y^{\rm e}$ materiall In $y^{\rm e}$ hand, the air doth but open [.?]or chang in a laterall way. But $y^{\rm e}$ strength of that is Such, that If a body hath not solidity considerable or have a Strong force applyed, It Shall Stopp strait, and It must be a hard hand to bear it thro. ffor this Reason papers, leaf Gold, feather's /are at ye mercy of ye air & Even Birds so accoutred bear themselves upon it. The Best view wee have of any movement thro a fluid, is of a boat, or Ship sailing hard. ffor after it is past by It leaves ye water in No Current, but onely with some Intestine purles or roun= dings one way & other, $w^{\rm ch}$ make's a view distinguisht from y^e other water, & /is\ called y^e wake or walk, y^t is path of $y^{\rm e}$ ship. but at $y^{\rm e}$ prow, $y^{\rm e}$ Water seem's lay'd aside one way, & other In folding's, becaus of the Con= tinuall force of y^{e} wind urging, w^{ch} gives No time ffor ye water to Shift by particulation, as it will, when Gently towed by an hauser & capsterne thro Calme water. Therefore ye More Swift ye passages, the more Impedimt is ye fluid, ffor then the displacing's for Want of time, Extend laterally farther off, and so disturb More of y^e fluid, then passing lesurely, as ye More or less Rigidity of ye fluid demands.

Complexitys.

7.

Hence apears, the vanity of putting Cases of Motions In vacuo, as If there were any other rule In supposed vacuo, then in pleno fluido.278 M. pardies, makes a Strong use of it. ffor he says, In vacuo, the least body will move y^{e} Greatest, in vacuo, with all y^{e} celerity of y^{e} access. and the reason given is, that body's are Indifferent to Move or Rest, and any force will determine that Indifference. Then $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ a Shallower proposition Could Not be put forth. Wee allow Indifference Enough, by saying that Motion is Nothing In $y^{\rm e}$ Body Moved, and consists onely In Relation to other body's, or spaces, w^{ch} are circumscribed by body. but as to Motion or Chang, when 2. body's clash, I would ask him whether one Shall have all $y^{\rm e}$ chang & $y^{\rm e}$ other None? or whither y^{e} chang (as some Must be) $w^{\text{t}}\text{Ever}$ it is Must not be shared according to ye Quantity on Either side? I grant that any body with any Swiftnes, will make any other move, but Not to any Swiftness, and to Confute that it is but turning ye tables, and speaking first of \boldsymbol{y}^e other side. Cartesius hath \boldsymbol{y}^e like failing. and as to him, I must needs say, $y^{\rm e}$ Rules, $w^{\rm ch}$ he & Sr Is. N. after him Call law's of motion, are y^e Most crude part of his work. It was a new Notion he light upon, and had Not proved & Refined it; but as it were hinted & Guest, leaving y^e Refinem^t to others; & treat him kindly for it, turing y^e defect's to his Reproach, & arrogating the Invention to themselves.

But to Returne as to plenum & motion in it; the Im= pedimt of ye Medium, is equi/va\lent to a certein Quan= tity of Substance added to the body Moved. and is

205r

²⁷⁸ i.e., 'in a full fluid' (as opposed to a vacuum).

8. Complexitys

/is to be added as so Much of obstacle In ye body Struck, as the Impe= diment amounts to. And the obstacle is more or less according to proportion, of w^{ch} more anon. ffor /in/ a broad thin body y^{e} obstacle is more then y^{e} force, but in an heavy Solid, the ab as a canon bulet, y^e obstacle is less then y^e force however wasts it Continually. And If the Stroke be with Great force, y^e obstacle is more then When with less, all $w^{\rm ch}$ was Explained before. but I affirme, at the Instant of the stroke, there is a cer= tein addition of some Impediment, w^{ch} is Not otherwise Influencing at that moment, then as If in vacuo the body had a stroke with so much more of Quan= tity added to it. It is true In ye Supposed vacuo, It moves with $y^{\rm e}$ Same Speed allwais, but In pleno with perpetuall abatem't. but what follow's is Not In the account of the Effect upon any Stroke that is taken & Estimated In one moment, vist, that of y^e contact; the Rest is as Nothing in that account.

It is well worth the Reflecting upon the Manner and Consequence of this Impediment; ffor much of Conse= quence to y^e solution of divers phainomena depends upon it. Every fluid hath a stated Rigidy Rigidity and is accordingly more or less Impediment to Move= ments in them. Water & air are those wee know best and Especially air, becaus wee live & move in it our selves, as well as continually observe other things pas[=?] Sing thro it therefore wee pitch on that, for observa= tion's, & Examples. Then wee consider that Body's have so much force to move, or as they say, to persevere in their

206r

Complexitys. 9.

their Courses, as they have Substance. And the oppo-Sition that obstruct's is applyed onely to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Superficies. $w^{\rm ch}$ Spread abroad In a fluid, meets opposition of $y^{\rm e}$ fluid accordingly, ffor a Surface /of. 1. foot square $\$ urging y^e fluid Must be opposed by more of it, then If it urged but by 6. In square; altho perhaps the Interior Substance /all ye While be the /very Same. Same. Hence Results this Hypothesis, that body's per= severe In Motion according to their substance, and are Impeded, or retarded, or stopt according to their Super= ficies. And accordingly with Respect to all Movemts in fluido, wee have to consider the proportion that $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ superficies bears to y^e Substance, and as y^e Ration is Greater on y^{e} part of substance, so is y^{e} force of perse= verence, & as it is Greater on y^{e} part of y^{e} Superficies it is less. And this ration is varied. 2. ways. 1. by figure 2. magnitude. 1. It is notorious that a granule of Gold of Gold, may be beaten Into a leaf, & so become the ludibrium ventorum. $^{\rm 279}$ The mathematician's $y^{\rm t}$ deal In Isoperimetry, demonstrate that a sphear is a figure of Greatest Content, and then wee Conclude, that So much as a figure is drawne out from y^e Sphericall, the superficies with Respect to the Substance is augmented. 2. Of Magnitudes, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} figure being \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same, the greatest hath allways less superficies with Regard to ye Substances the lesser have. And to bate further Nicely, I demon= strate it by Comon Dice. take one, & that is a cube whose Substance is one. 1. and superfices. (the 6 sides) 6. take the Next cube. w^{ch} is 8. Dyce. and then the

 $^{^{\}rm 279}$ i.e., 'a plaything of the winds'.

206v

10. Complexitys.

the Substance. is 8. and the Superficies, (each /of y^e 8 sides having 4 sides of a Dye) is 24. and the ration of 8. to 24. is but as 1. to. 3. So that by doubling the root, the substance hath Gained upon the superficies one half.

Here wee see that the power of body's to Move and persevere In fluido, as in ye Air, is variable, but the rigidity of y^e air is allwais y^e Same. Whence proceeds Some Effect's as appear almost riddles, so hard to Resolve as for Instance, A body of water, as comon dropps of Rain Move thro \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air with Great swiftness, but a small drop, such as clouds & mists consist of have So Much Superficies & so litle Substance, yt ye Rigidity of ye air hath y^e better of them, & they will Not Move at all, against but wholly Comply with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Movemt of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air.; this is also y^e acc^o, why leaf Gold & feathers /fly about $\lambda \$ as also Com= mon smoak, Enter's Into y^e substance & becomes part of it, and so doth Even Iron, when by Corrosives it is Reduced to Impalpable dust; as In Medicall de= coction's of steel, Every part holds its place In the water, tho None Sinks; and the lump of Iron Subsists In all its Quantity /in/, & may be taken back from $y^{\rm e}$ water tho No symptome of it is Either In view or at ye bottom. Where If ye weight prvailed it Should Setle. And this Con= Sideration Shew's ye vanity of Some that have thought it possible for great body's to Move In y^e air /& be Supported\ as birds; for they doe Not consider, how Much substance there is to provide superficies for In wing's or Sailes, to Make an Equality with that of Birds. and Even Such If larg as Bustartds turky's, Swans, &c. fly with Great difficulty, so flying is Not for y^{e} Grand, but onely for y^{e} petit Mond. 280

²⁸⁰ Note RN's flip from the physics of flight to the politics of status.

Complexitys

11.

The Rigidity of fluids depends much on ye more or less action of the parts. ffor If wee take a parcell of body's disjoyned, but contiguous, However one much greater then the Rest, by sufficient force, might be dri= ven thro, supporting their positions such y^{t} /they\ are not bound up in squares, as by masonry; and also the Gravity makes the Idea of this action Suggest More difficulty, becaus the body's are bound In some Mea= Sure upon another with their weight, But wee account Not this a fluid; becaus the body's are Not In conti= nuall movem't one by another, Striking & being Struck, And perhaps Not a litle conserved So, by y^e action of a finer matter In ye Interstitiall Spaces; Now If such bo= dys could be put into an Intestine agitation, they would become a fluid; as wee see by small alabaster stones, they call powder, set in a pan over the [fier?] Shall be put in Motion, and become a fluid, as May Evidently be seen; but It will Not Continue, becaus by some mean's or other they come to clasp & clott to= gether, and some will puff away in dust, & other's re= maine fixt behind. but It shew's us Somewhat of the nature of a fluid. And /that\ our Comon Water an air hath Such Intestine agitation, is demonstrated, by the dispersing of mudd & smoak Respectively in them. And what difference there is of waters, as to the Mag= bitude and action of y^e part's is Shewed by corroding waters, Where of some will Insinuate between $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ component parts of Mettalls, & so dissolve them.

207r

12. Complexitys.

Now lett us Suppose, an Equall force to fall upon Every Individuall part of the surface of a fluid, /all\ with one paralell direction. The consequence will be the same as wee see from water with its weight allwais setling to lower places, and allwais, when confined, of level surface. for Gravity (how It happen's In due place) is accounted as a motion Imprest on Every part, with Equall force celerity & direction. Now according to the Rule. If by any movement these part's may make any way for ye force to pass, they shall so Move, wch is y^e Caus y^e water run's downewards upon Every declension (that is obliquity to y^e direction of y^e force) and so Continues till somewhat, as pools, vessells, or y^e sea Conteines it and then it takes a level surface. so as No point is More Exposed to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force then other, but all Equally ballanced agt it. Whereof it may be ffitt to Shew ye Reason, becaus It may be objected, If a party lys higher then another, It Receivs the Same Impression from the force of Gravity as If it lay lower, and If it sinks, it must put up or rais other water, & why Should that be? as If A. sinks It must Rais B. <diagram> In ans^r to this. I say in a word y^e power of A. to sink, hath a purchas, or mecha= nicall advantage to Rais ye water at B. &. C. ffor. d. sinks to g. by y^e Space. d.g. and In ye Same time ye Surface riseth. but e.h. and. f.k. w^{ch} is so much less in y^e Same time, as y^e other, Shall gaine with great speed upon it, and so being come to the level. C.B. with a vis $\rm Impressa^{281}$ sink

208r

Complexitys. 13.

Sink below it, and Instead of an hill make a vally raising the levell a litle, and then that with full force Setles Into that levell & Raith raiseth it in like manner above, and so it alternally Riseth & falls, In y^e nature of pendulum motion, and $\frac{1}{100}$ upon liquids is Called waves, from what Caus so Ever, they first are Raised

It my Not be amiss here to Shew the reason of Rotundity of all liquids, If brought to dropps in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air, or semi drops on a buble. let ye drop be oblong as. a.d. Suppose ye <diagram> content of aye /[....?]\ drop In ye Sphear e.b. concentrick at. e. If the water Comes from. a. to. k. It moves ye Space. a.k. and that thrusting out ye Water from h. to. e. It moves but y^{e} Space h.e. w^{ch} is less. then A.k. therefore by y^e Mechanisme, /If a fore at a & at $k \mbox{ oppose} \ the/at \$ motion of a to k. shall $\ensuremath{p^r}\xspace$ value, and that at h. be thrust out till it take ye forme e.k.b. Spherically. or In Sum And It is knowne, that In our air, there is a comon pressure in Every thing, w^{ch} is called y^e Spring or /rather\ y^e weight of y^e air, of w^{ch}, In its time; and then the part a. must be driven in, and. k. out. So upon a <diagram> table a drop e.b. is disposed to be round. but there being No pressure at. e. becaus \boldsymbol{y}^{e} table is a protection; then y^e pressure at. a. take place and flatten's the drop. so there is y^e force of the weight also concurring, w^{ch} is Not In a free drop, for all part's yeilding, \boldsymbol{y}^e weight is lost. but here it urgeth at a. ag^t e. & b. & hath y^e Effect aforesaid. and y^e More fluid and tenatio /tenacious\ y^e part's are, the more will ye Rotundity prvail, as wee See by small drops of Quicksilver

14. Complexitys

The whole art of Hydrostaticks hath demonstration from the like reasoning; as /for Instance\ the grand Rule de Insi= dentibus Humido;²⁸² or /of\ body's swimming or Sinking. $\mathtt{vis}\mathtt{t}.$ that when a body hath Gained so Much place that ye water Required to fill it, is Equall In weight to the whole pressure, or the weight of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole body pressing, there Exactly It shall stand & sink no More. so If y^{e} body have less weight then water, y^{t} is So Much as Would fill \boldsymbol{y}^e place it takes in water, then it Shall sink, if contrarily, then swim, till it ballance ye inequality, by some part sitting above $y^{\rm e}$ water. This being a very pleasant Speculation I shall extend what I would say, perhaps a litle beyond what my designe Requires. the peice. A. sinking in water, acts <diagram> with y^e weight of y^e whole continually. but the water Resisteth onely by so much as is put out of place. for If y^e water In y^e Space d.b.c. be put out It must rais \boldsymbol{y}^e surface d.c. so much as it is (and more or less /In height\ as y^e Surface is broad, $\frac{x}{x} y^{t} / w^{ch}$ \ matters Not, ffor whither $y^{\rm e}$ water riseth to $y^{\rm e}$ wood or y^e wood /sinks\ to y^e water, y^e Case is y^e Same, w^{ch} difference proceeds, from broadness or Narrowness of ye vessel) and that Raised presseth downe and Meet's with y^e wood w^{ch} being of equall weight is ballanc't. The case of a wave is Exact Equality, ffew thing's are so Just, but will Either rise or sink.

And thus it is a boat, tho Made of lead Shall Swim, ffor y^e hollow of it, tho Empty must put solid wa= ter out of place, that is Rais it. So that y^e acc^o of sinking

²⁸² 'De insidentibus humido' i.e., 'On floating bodies', the title of a tract attributed to Archimedes (the 'grand Rule' of which is that a floating body displaces its own weight in fluid).

209r

Complexitys 15

Sinking or Swimming, is Not from ye Materiall as from y^{e} forme of y^{e} body, sitting on y^{e} water; The reason of w^{ch} gave occasion to an experiment of a Nature so Amasing as to be made a country shew, as a sort of Magick. It is an Image hollowed with vent under= neath, & poysed /so\ as $y^{\rm e}$ vent Shall be allwais downewards. and also so as It shall equipoise its place in the Wa= ter and then /being but in a deep Glas vessell, with a leather cover closed\ It doth Just Not sink. Then Secretly with ye hand press in ye Cover of leather, & contract ye air that presseth y^e water Into y^e Cavoty underneath; where= by the solid place of y^e figure, (for y^e Cavity is less) is Water is Not so Much, as to hinder y^e discent, & then downe it goes, Releiv $y^{\rm e}$ pressure aloft, & it Riseth, so the Rising and falling being In y^e power of y^e hand, & not /readily/ perceived, The most of y^e spectator's are wrapt in admi= ration. Here If y^{e} leather be comprest from a. to b. It hath <diagram> this effect, of w^{ch} here is a description.

The Comon plumers have an opinion, that If a Reservoir of water be Made tunnel-fashion, the force of y^e weight contracting as It Narrows will make y^e water Rise above y^e levell, at y^e vent As the Surface being A. & y^e Narrow at B. the water Shall run out at C. above y^e vent. If so No need of farther Experiment of a perpetuall Motion. ffor the water at. C. hath y^e same force to Come back, as a Wave on the surface to Sink; y^e Case being y^e very same. and as to pressure

16. Complexitys.

pressure, is measured by what can pass as was Noted But If an accessionall pressure be applyed to y^e Water In y^e tunnell, or y^e tunnell riseth with a force ag^t y^e water in it, then the wallt water at y^e vent will pass above y^e levell. This latter of Moving the tunnell <diagram> not ill shewed by a Comon hunting Horn. If the larg End be thrust Into water In a posture per= pendicular, from A. below. B. the water at y^e litle end shall spout up In y^e face of y^e doer very surprisingly. for a Great body is Received at C.D. & being urged with y^e force, will pass up y^e tube, as being Easyer then to Croud y^e out water before it; and when y^e Content of y^e larger part Comes into y^e lesser, It shoots out In swiftness according to y^e proportion, as was shewed.

I had Not made a declaration of such ordinary passa= ges as these, but cheifly to Shew wee need Not torment body's, to Extort Nicety's In y^e way off experiment, but Wee stumble on Effects, & Events, in y^e Comon transation of time, sufficient to shew the Enough to prove y^e principles wee goe upon.

210r²⁸³

plenitude.

I find y^e vertiosi of this age strangly addicted to the opinion of vacuity, and to oppose that of plenitude In y^e world; There may be much of Earnest, and Not a litle accident contributing to it; ffor with due Res= pect to philosofy, I must needs say philosofer's are very apt to walk, as harmeless sheep, one after another in a track. And the proceeding of Cartesius hath Not y^{e} Suffrage of any gowne, but a Confirmed opposition from all; $w^{\rm ch}$ hath bredd a kind of mode to slight & Resist almost all his tenents; and Some have direc= ted their whole study, to demolish them, & deminish him; as Is most apparent In ye 2. late peices of ye prin= cipia & ye optica. And I see ye Spirit In Nothing more then this /opinion $\$ of vacuity, w^{ch} is so much harder to uphold thro \boldsymbol{y}^{e} necessary consequences then plenitude is, that I cannot Imagine, /yt\ upon ye Square, any one Should Chose ye former. That sort of logomachy raised about Carte= Sius manner of Expressing his reason's, I have touch't, & In that ye Main Question, discoursing of principles. and litle remaines to be added, and even that, In a subject so remote from sence & Experience, and Indeed Metaphi= call, besides hackneyed by all writers Into Dullness, might also be Spared. yet I cannot make so slight of $y^{\rm e}$ Ques= tion, thincking it of ye last Import to truth In philosofy If it Could be certeinly decided, to pass it by without [a?] affording

1

 $^{^{283}}$ The following pages (up to 213v) appear moderately damp-affected and in places suffer from the chalky-white marking described above (f. 12v).

2 plenitude

affording it y^e Countenance at least of those Reasons, w^{ch} to /[with?]\ [me?] seem to carry y^e Scales on y^e side of plenitude, and answer y^e discourses one with discourses y^e other, as I thinck they merit.

1. for plenitude wee say In generall, that it is Neces= sary, as a vehicle to transmitt such Influences as wee know pass /to &\ from divers part's of $y^{\rm e}$ world, and very Remote from Each other. and of that sort principally is light, $w^{\rm ch}$ comes from $y^{\rm e}$ remotest visible stars, of distance from us Inconceivable to our Eye, and Must have a Medium for y^e Conveyance. ffor the Saying that light is a Reall Emanation from y^e luminary, is a monster of opinion, like that of the lucretian Species, 284 and how Reasonable people can Imagine such a thing, I cannot Conceiv, but I will debate this No farther here, but leav it to ye chapter of light where it is Canvas't. but take this dilemma, If light be not Corporeal, It Cannot touch our Sence, for our organ's are body, and Nothing Naturall but body will move them; If light be body then it fill's the whole space round about it with it self. If they say It is body, true! but then very thin, and light. I ans ${\rm If}$ it be any thing it hath all. 3. demension's, and that's as much as Gold or Quicksilver hath; and If it be wire drawne Into thin thredds, here or there on, as they May Call ye Rays, then here & there wee may see no light at all. ffor If they are but moderately thick sett at this distance (and that

²⁸⁴ RN refers here to the notion, attributed to Lucretius, that vision was the result of the continuous reception of 'species', or abstracted forms, of the things seen, passing through intervening space and entering the eye. This was an early intromission theory of sight with a following in the middle ages, for example Roger Bacon promoted the idea. It must be clear by now that RN does not believe that light is any kind of 'substance' (corpuscular or otherwise), but rather a vibration transferred through a medium from an originating force. RN's questioning of light's substantiality, and the possibility of 'rays' (which of course, as he argues, suggests that there may be a darkness *between* the rays) is a charming piece of argument.

plenitude.

that they are, for wee cannot find a point In w^{ch} y^e least visible starr is Not perceived) they Must be Crowded Into a body of Materiall, neerer the center of y^e light. In short There are Such heaps of Inconsistences, In this fancy of vacuity In y^e Great Interplanetary spaces, that it is a paine to thinck of Removing them.

3.

I know well that the Said Author among his Query's after \boldsymbol{y}^{e} optica, treats this mundane matter In a style of ridicule & Contempt, calling it Comentitious, & I know Not what; It may be litle Considering with what Justice the likma like may be Retorted, as to his vacuum. and If any thing be Comentitious It is that. ffor wee Shew a Necessary use of plenitude, and thinck thro vacuum No light Can be discerned; therefore the Matter of y^e World is not a thing Invented as a chimera ffor nothing, and tho wee cannot flye thro it, wee may have reason to beleev Somewhat must connect us $\ensuremath{\&}$ ye luminosity's, of a sort that can Make us Sensible of them. But vacuity, is Not onely Nothing, but Contra= dict's the process of thing's In y^e World, as wee are able to Judg of them. I doe Not stay to shew farther Excellent uses of the Ether of $y^{\rm e}$ World, but leav it to Indifferent Judgm't, upon what is Shewed, whither plenitude or va= cuity with most right Challengeth ye title of Comentitious.

It may be proper to take Notice here of a Midle sort of opinion touching vacuity, and it is that they call Intespers't, y^e same as In lucretius. - mixtum rebus.

4. plenitude.

rebus Inane.²⁸⁵ This is thought of to Reconcile the difficul= ty's of motion in, y^e world, w^{ch} they say Cannot be without a vacuity. And the cases that happen creating this diffi= culty are 2. the 1. is Angular space, the. 2. is paralell spaces. these must, In y^e promiscuous turning of body's, as y^e corpuscular philosofy supposeth, almost continually happen, and It is Imppossible, say y^e vacuists, that matter should fall in, to Supply them, and therefore body's once closed, can never separate. Now to this I have. 3 Answers.

1. Interspers't vacuity is no Releif att all, ffor y^e Matter of y^e whole world moving round [Crouds?] from y^e Center, & then there is y^e force of y^e whole, ag^t y^e movement of Small part's; becaus If by the motion body's circumjacent must be Removed Into Empty Spaces, they must be Re= moved Into y^e very center of y^e Sun, where y^e vacuity If any must be, the rest is crowded as close as possible. This ag^t the Intersperst vacuity.

2. As to the Body's. In case of plenitude, necessary to Sup= ply all occasion's of motion, y^e smallest as well as y^e Greatest, I ans^r that It is Not Necessary that body's touching should at Every Instant of time part from Every body y^t touches them, but they may cohere for some time, & then part, and So continually some cohering & some parting, maintaines the action of fluidity Sufficiently. And It must be Remem= bred that In y^e chapter of Continuance, plenitude is made no slight means of body's cohering in lumps, as are [our?] ordinary

²⁸⁵ 'mixtum rebus rebus Inane', i.e., 'things mixed in emptiness'; RN is alluding here (none too precisely) to Lucretius, *De Rerum Natura* I, 656, etc..

plenitude

ordinary Compounds, so that if bodys cohere for want of liberty to Move, It is as usefull In Naturall philosofy as any other Naturall consequence what ever; but That this difficulty may Not be a totall obstruction of Motion In fluido, I must Referr to y^e chapter, of [----?] Infinity, where it is Made probable that matter is Not onely capable mentally to be devided in Infinitum, but that there is actually part's in all limitts of Space some that is small, beyond any assignable smallness. where= by the occasions of motion If not Intirely, yet are great= ly supplyed, Especially y^e angular spaces y^t open gradu= ally.

5

As to flatt superficies touching, I doe verily beleev with Cartesius that they doe Cohere, but Not for his reason y^e Rest is like Glew, for w^{ch} expression he stands Corrected, but ffor y^e reason touched before, that is plenitude. for y^t Granted It is Impossible, they Should open otherwise then laterally. for be matter as was sayd, actually Small ad Infinitum, It cannot be at y^e Edg, & y^e Midle of a slitt In y^e Same Instant. And hence may also pro= ceed farther, In Not y^e cheif Caus of Continuity; w^{ch} I doe Not agitate here, but, with y^e Case of 2. flatt Marbles, Referr to that Chapter.²⁸⁶ And Considering it is a Case that Seldome happens, and among Some Speciall sorts of matter onely, the difficulty is No argument at all for vacuity. And so farr onely is it ma It is discoursed Here.

212r

6 plenitude.

2. Another Argument wee use ffor plenitude against vacuity, is from conformity of nature, w^{ch} is so ffar ob= served to take place, that when any Effect's & their causes cannot be nicely Inspected & Collated, yet If they have analogy with other's, that are perfectly understood, there is reason to argue y^e former to be as the latter are, untill some specifick discovery makes y^e Case plainer. And accordingly In this Case of plenitude wee prove it by our senses in all possible tryalls; and no Empty space, was Ever yet found out, great or Small. Then what reason is there to beleev there is any, Since no Inconsistency, or Contradiction can be Chargd on plenitude? This is an argument from sensation w^{ch} is a proof of the thing so farr as it goes, and a p^rsumption as to all y^e Rest.

But on y^e other side, the argument's are all from Ima= gination, without any step of proof from sence. ffor If wee Reflect how our notion of vacuity Comes, there will ap= pear small reason to deferr much to it. And If the Notion were never so /legitimate &\ clear, It lying onely In Imagi= nation, [.... is?] and that doth Not prove /a\ reall Existens. The Idea of vacuum, is but the feigned Image of an Exquisite transparency, or Space full (as May for all that be,) of Invisible materiall, or such as No sence wee have will discover. Now lett us Imagine that In truth all places are ffull, and wee had faculty's to know perfectly that fullness, by some discernement of the body

plenitude. 7 [7?]

body wherever it was. and No Such Image, as wee have from (Seeming) Empty vessells, had ever bin Impres't, I appeal to thinker's, if In that Case a notion of vacu= um, would Not have bin pronounced comentitious & a chimera.

I Illustrate this by our Notion of time, or duration. w^{ch} is such, that wee can by No mean's Imagin any privation of it, or that it can ceas. Nay wee Cannot In any Sort conceiv time had beginning or can have Ending. and one that talk's of a vacation of time, will be derided. the reason of this is, that wee are allwais sensible of $y^{\rm e}$ transit of things, $w^{\rm ch}$ to us is time. and ei= ther in person, or by Relation, & the survey of succee= ding thing's , this sence of time is ffilled up, tho wee Sleep. And there is No object that can put in our minds, (as $w^{\rm ch}$ the (seeming /Empty\ vessell doth a to vacuum) an Idea of cessation or negation of time. So that If the Image as to Matter, as it is for time, allwais full, and Never Representing y^e Negative Idea, of y^e one More then it doth of y^e other, wee should as litle Imagine vacuum as wee doe a [..vacation?] or blank in time. So dangerous Is it when wee argue to the reallity of things from our Imperfect Images, or as wee better Express it, Imagination.

There are some other colour's made use of, & par= ticularly by y^e author of y^e principia, for vacuum. as that body's could Not move twice their diameter thro

8 plenitude.

thro a fluid, and most fluid would be ye Same Impe= diment to motion. ffor If a body be opposed by Equall Quantity (as it must If all places are full) ye Motion is [....?] [....?] away. ffor ans ${\ensuremath{^{r}}}$ to this, I must Referr to y^e discours of fluids, where it is Shewed that as to the motion of the part's, there is an Exact Indifference or ballance. and body's that move thro them, doe Not Move the Quantity of their substance, as to take a progression, but by deter= mination onely; whereby the activity of y^{e} part's /make these\ take the way to accomodate ye Motion, rather then any other. And this is Resembled by a ballance, with 100. $/ \underline{+} L^{287} \ w^{ch}$ In Each scale equilibrated. one . 11. put in Either moves the 200, by determining $y^{\rm e}$ ballance, & it Cannot be Sayd that, that pound gave \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Motion to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole Mass, but determined a movement \boldsymbol{y}^{t} Gravity Inspired; therefore this argument is a fucus.

 $^{^{287}}$ RN uses the form of crossed 'ls', a sign for 'libra' (pound), and equivalent to our own abbreviations 'f' or 'lb'.

214r

plenitude. 1

I find y^e vertuosi of this age strangely Inclined to up hold vacuity and to oppose $y^{\rm e}$ plenitude of $y^{\rm e}$ world, $w^{\rm ch}$ I Im= pute as Much to \underline{y}^{e} spirit \underline{y}^{e} activates them ags^t /a generall mode of opposition to Cartesius as to ye Reason of the thing; ffor with due Respect to phi= losofy, I must say the philosofer's, run too much /in heards\ \underline{Into} party's, and it is to me /it is \ seen In Nothing More then In this particular. As to the wording part of the Question about /Question. so much as concernes the logomachy occasioned\ w^{eh} much advantage us taken /by\ ag^{t} Cartesius, I have /manner\ past it over, /of Expressing himself, I have touched In\ discoursing of principles; And the /[...?] Some that Reasons one way, and other /with answers\ I Intend to debate here. 1. The cheif argument /wee say In generall\ for plenitudes, is /that it is\ the transit or /recess\ Conveyance /vehicle [.....?]\ of Influences thro y^e whole /y^t pass about y^e \ visible world, as that /& [particularly?] of light & heat /w^{ch} could not otherwise pass come to us /as they doe\ from y^e Remote luminary\ w^{eh} are Such as affect our sences, and those take nothing but from body, and therefore the medium of /for Nothing can Convey nothing, and If y^e light be [anie?] it is something light and heat must be corporeall. /& corporeall too, Els it Could not affect our Sences, w^{ch} manifestly /nothing but body will touch.\ Sr. Is. Newtons Interplanetary vacuity; ffor there is this dilemma, If light be Corporeall as he himself Insinuates, then the spaces must be filled with it /body\, for No place is free from light, where no obstacle Covers. or If it be Not Cor= poreall, then it Cannot move body, & so /cannot $affect o^r Senses.$ But it is senceless to make light a progressive Emanation from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} luminary's to the Eye, and It must be Conveyed by an Intermediate action, as will be shewed under y^{ϵ} title of light & Colours. and that Supposed, It Will be allowed, that the great mudane Spaces cannot be as he

2 plenitude.

as he holds Empty. And I cannot but Wonder at his fancy, to Make a jest of plenitude, and Calls y^e Supposed fluid of y^e World, a chimera, & dream; when much more of that If it be justly considered, is due to vacuity; ffor wee have work for a solid medium to Imploy it, that is Boy= ing y^e planets, & Conveying light. So it is Not a dream for y^e Sake of nothing. But I wonder what is y^e use of vacuity, unless it may fill /but to Supplant\ y^e Irish man's caracter of whipt cream, - an huge great Nothing.

2. The next Argument for vacuity, is The Experience wee have of plenitude /in \ of all places, and None of vacuity In any. Therefore without some Inconsistence or Contra= diction Implyed, y^e scales lean to vacplenitude against va= cuity. If empty space be Not, on y^e other side, $[\hdots ?]$ Contradiction as y^e Cartesian's Contend it is, yet it is a Sola e scisme, ffor why is such an Empty article in Nature? Space for No reason & to No porpose, $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{t}}$ wee know. The Neerest that wee know of vacuity is y^e derelickt Sp derelict Space In y^e barometer, and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} exhaused Receiver. but Wee find those both pass light without difficulty, and sound with some deminuition. And ye Creeping of Insects upon Glass shews it a very porous body, however polish't & Glistning, altho it impervious to air. Therefore what Should Exclude ye Interstitiall matter of ye air to Enter /from Entering thro pores of Glass\ Into them? those spaces in Great Measure Eva= cuated of air?

215r²⁸⁸

before I move further it is Requisite to answer Some objections, as first that fire and y^e cordiall heat of Animalls are very different, to w^{ch} I ans^r that fire is a genus of w^{ch} there are divers species, but In gene= rall of y^e same Nature, that is to kindle burn & /If not nourisht\ wast, as Ignis Lambens Ignis Ardens, & Ignis vivificans,²⁸⁹ w^{ch} two latter agree in all Respects but onely in degree, the animall heat will Not continue without proper fewell, but without tearing all in peices continues its degree. and Comunicates motion and warmth to y^e whole mass. And for such porposes the animall is vascular, Repleat with liquids of various sorts and Consigned to different channells for y^e use of life and all these dependant on y^e center y^e heart, and caus severall operations, as y^e utensills of y^e Athanors tower.

Now to ans^r what is cheifly demande touching their muscular force, I must Remember what was said of y^e force of fire Especially In Explosions, that it was Not by y^e direct power of y^e fewell, that made y^e bastion rise, but /by\ the forc of the subtile matter circumambient that drove y^e kindled fewell into that violence.

²⁸⁸ This page is a fragment on the subject of fire and explosions. It is in a very cramped hand, perhaps indicating the RN was using a different pen. It is very likely a late piece of writing (see, for comparison and correspondences, the essay on Fire in BL Add MS 32546, f. 112r ff).

²⁸⁹ ie., 'kindling fire, burning fire and giving-life-to fire' the latin forms of RN's previously stated (and rather Aristotelian) classification of the forms of fire. This is further evidence of the continuing employment of scholastic notions such as the four elements within the 'new philosofy'. The Athanor's Tower referred to below is a kind of furnace used by alchemists; they would normally have more than one oven.

215v

<page blank>

Of plenitude

This is opposed to vacuity, /to\ w^{ch} I find this /y^e p^rsent\ age /more\ Incli= ned to hold, for most have /generally it is \ determined that way, but /on y^e other hand\ Cartesius builds much upon /Rely's on\ y^e plenitude of y^e world, for $w^{\text{ch}}\ y^{\text{e}}\ \text{more modernes}$ /rest\ bear hard upon him. The /greatest\ advan= tage they take is from his manner of Expressing him= Self, wherein I owne he /hath\ Relyed too Much upon words. ffor say's he, Extension is ye Essence of body, therefore body and space are all one, and vacuity a contra= diction. the others say Space may be Extended without body, and it is not necessary that space extended, and body Extended should be $y^{\rm e}$ same thing. So they differ, cartesius had better /have\ argued upon y^e probable, that is since wee find Extension to be \boldsymbol{y}^e onely property of body (for No other can /any way\ be proved permanent /in it\) what reason is there to thinck Every Extension should Not be alike; It Might be added, that all knowne Experiments /argue towards\ prove fullness, and None /towards\ vacuity; The derelicted Space In the barometer hath most of Emptyness wee can procure /know\, and that Convey's light, w^{ch} Could Not be, If Nothing were in it. And as for ascribing to body Essences, of w^{ch} there is no glimps of proof, as they doe, who make it other then Extension; They May with Such /like liberty make any thing, out of any thing. It is but saying, there are such an Such essentiall property's in body. so there will /be\ Neither certeinty nor Conclusion of any thing, w^{ch} is Not a philosofi= call way of proceeding.

1.

2. plenitude

The others argue onely from their owne Imagination that is, say they, wee have a clear Idea of vacui= ty apart from all body, and therefore it may be; and If it may be, they leap to, it is. this way of /is arguing arguing is not philosoficall, a posse ad esse, Non /[Quod?]\ valet argumentum, 290 Nor Indeed doth any Necesity or reason of Existence follow from our Imagination /to any thing\ one way or other. besides our Idea of vacuum is but \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Image of an Exquisite transparency, or space full of Invisible materiall, or such as no sence wee have will discover. Now If it had so happened that all body whatever were visible, or wee had some other Intuition to know body, whereever it lay, and had Never found or knowne any Space Such as, (seeming) Empty vessells, without a Repletion of body, the Same argument would have held ye contrary way; and wee had sayd, wee had No Idea of vacuity, therefore there is none. This is demon= strated by our Notion of time; wee hold that If all body were annihilated and Consequently Motion, $w^{\rm ch}$ to us is $y^{\rm e}$ Measure of time, yet there must be a duration of things, and afore & after would Re= maine. ffor Say wee, wee have No Idea of a possi= bility that time Should Ceas. and with good reason /for\ no moment of our lives $\frac{1}{2}$ /is as wee thinck\, out of times way as wee

 $^{^{290}}$ i.e., 'arguing that what is possible must therefore be is is not valid'.

217r

plenitude

3.

as wee thinck, tho wee Sleep, for /an If wee are asleep, wee suppose \ others are awake to keep y^e acc^o: so wee conclude time hath No vacuum, lacune, or determination; but If by an almighty power, wee had a tast given us of a void of time, or Some Idea of it, (as y^e Story is of y^e 7. sleepers, & all ye world had slept for company), & this vacancy /were\ made sensible to us; then wee had argued for ces= sation of time, as now /wee do $from \ like \ Idea \backslash$ for y^e Contrary, and /from like Idea \backslash for vacu= ity of Space. Therefore it seem's to Me that Cartesius hath the best of the caus, for he lay's his foundation on Ex= tension, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ wee cannot prove In any Case pene= trable, & so he takes it for body, the other's work upon Imagination, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is chimericall, & knowne to be so in a world of Instances, w^{eh} concludes Nothing /of they make concludes Nothing ١. Cartesius hath another passage /of\ w^{ch} they /make\ turne into Redicule. that is, /that\ y^e sides of a vessell Empty, or y^e words Nothing between, for Space, say they is between; he ans^{rs}, that space being (admitted) /empty that is\ No= thing, his consequence is true, & so ye [Saw?] is drawne, It is /and\ all upon /about for\ a chimera; for If an almighty power Should say, let all body In that vessell be nothing, whither /it doth not $\$ /follow/ the sides should /must shift place &/ move neerer or not, is another matter and Requires an Express will too caus it /there must be another fiat, for meer emptyness, as wee suppose\, so that y^t same act yt creates a vacuum (for who can /deny that a / /a limit /includes body-less space._

4 plenitude

an almighty power doth not bring ye sides together that of /so that on\ Cartesius /side it\ was rather a peice of witt then of reason, and hath neither /not\ deserved so Much notice. as other's $\ensuremath{\&}$ were have taken of it. ffor It is certain that vacuum is no contradiction, without admitting this proposition, that all Extension is body, W^{ch} None Doth and his argum^t for it, as was toucht, amounts to No More then a probability, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ who will may Contravert or deny. The sole argum y^e for vacuity of any force, is the difficulty, some say Impossibility of motion, If $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ World be perfectly filled, and No Empty spaces left. ffor Say ye vacuists, in the various turnes of contiguous body's, there must /frequently happen /and t certein some spaces [comencing?] /interstices must be \ Either paralell Angular or paralell, w^{eh} must be /and these so\ small beyond any /ad Infinitum\ possible smallness of /And so as\ matter /cannot be supplyed\ to fill them; so that / whereby\ It being /becomes\ Impossible /that\ such spaces can be exactly ffilled there being no /without Some \ Expedient by vacuity's allowed In lieu of body In those spaces /that any motion should be\, as let 2 body's open /angularly\ as at <diagram> B. the space. B. must comence /at B y^e Opening\ in Minute= ness ad Infinitum, much more /then what is it at ye Same Instance\ at ye Angle A? so that unless ye Space B.D be void at the Inception of the movem^t the Body's Cannot part at all. So /Neither can\ the flat contact A.B. $\frac{1}{2}$ part by <diagram> a paralell /space\ without vacuity, for /y^e same reason & also for this farther reason $\mathtt{vis^t} \backslash$ at the Same time B.A. opens. D.C. opens. and ye Matter must have some Space to pass from A. & B to D.or C. for No Motion from one point to another is In an Instant. therefore without a vacuity at D & C. the body's Cannot $\operatorname{part}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}}$ att all In that posture; and so upon the whole without Interspers't vacuitys there can be No /such\ Motion's, and other motions /as Meer\ centrall doe Not ans^r the

plenitude²⁹¹

are Corporeal, and that turnes to body & body to light alternately. but light must act corporeally or how could it create heat, and vision, y^t is move the organicall Conducts to sence? then these vacuum's are ffull of light, w^{ch} is something, & that must be Corporeall, but If it fills a vacuum It is, In his Sence, Nothing.

So that upon y^e Whole, there is such a necessity of plenitude for Conveying y^e Motive Influences, wee know are Conveyed about y^e World, that It seem's to me perfectly an humour to maintain vacuity, Especially In that Extent, as this author takes it. And It is My opinion that Had Not Cartesius built so Much upon plenitude, and a spirit hath risen amongst the acca= demick vertuosi to contradict, and, as they would fain, /In Every thing\ Confute him, this chimera of vacuity had Never bin carryed so farr. ffor whence a when once a faction declares a thing, w^{ch} is Not critically demonstrable but problematiq as this Question is, there is No beating them out of their fastness, nor satisfie, y^e sectators of the disingenuity of such confederate proceedings.

So Much for the Question of plenitude and vacuity.

 $^{^{291}}$ The following two folios (up to f. 219v) have been numbered and bound the wrong way round. To follow the rest of this version of the chapter on Plenitude, go to f. 219v and read back to this page.

7. plenitude

all y^e Mundane matter to Move about y^e Sun. and then If there be any vacuity it must be in y^e Suns center, or In these planetary Sub-vortexes as /Saturne\ Jupiter & y^e Earth, y^e Matter Rolls about with y^e Earth In a diurnall motion, but Retarding from y^e Surface as y^e Sphere Inlargeth. so If any vacuity be It must be at y^e Earth surface, or Center, ffor all matter Rolling about an axis, or center, tends In tangents to Remove from the center. Wee Must Mak[e?] a Crowding outwards. And then I appeall to Judgmn[^t,?] whither It is likely the turning Motion's y^t Minute part's may Impress upon one & other, be of force to Resist this comon pressure outwards, or Whither this be not an obstacle to motion neer, as violent as plenitude itself, according to y^e objection?

But as to then to y^e other fancy, of almost universall vacuity, I should have somewhat more adoe to ans^r It if y^e author did Not furnish such copious suply of arguments. ffor doth Not he suppose body's, and most Eminently y^e planet's to attract one and oth[er?] how can this be thro Nothing; Surely If one body draws another It must be by Somewhat; And then the space of this conveyance is Not Empty. what is In it? attraction: what is attraction? If they Say a vertue or a power, but Not body, I Reply /with a Question\ Whither is this or plenitude, most a chimera? but he hath yet Grosser Measures. light wee know passeth thro these vacuums, and he says light or Rays are

5.6. plenitude

true, that flatt Superficies will not open In that po= ture, for the reason given, but a litle Inclined or Sliding one over y^e other they part without y^e Impediment here shewed. Therefore where $^{\rm 292}$ flatt superficies are Compact together, so as neither to Incline nor slide off, body's must of Necessity Co= here, Such as are the casting of salines boyled Into Regular shapes, comonly observed. But this argues onely some Impediment to motion, but Not an obstruction. ffor It may be there are [vel?] very seldome plan superficies meet; parts of matter are So Irregular or Curve that they generally touch but by points and then all this Argument failes, And Admitting such planes touching as In y^e ob= [jection?] the difficulty of parting them, that is they May part some way's but Not others, there is but a Ground for Continuity or Cohesion of Matter w^{ch} is Most of all needed, In $\underline{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ naturall Science. So Much for plenitude and vacuity.

But there is more yet against vacuity. Some hold It but Interspers't as necessary to aAccomodate loco= motion. others as S^r. I. N. not onely that, but also y^t the whole sphear of y^e Sun's Regiment, except a litle where y^e planet's are, is all vacuum. and the /generall\ plenitude /matter or fluid of y^e univers\ w^{ch} Most express by y^e word Ether, he accounts a Chimera; as an Invention of y^e brain and of No use. as to y^e first addmitting what is supposed possible, motion would Not be more acco= modated, then if there were None; ffor wee allow all

²⁹² There is a small hole burnt through the paper at this point.

219v

plenitude.

5.

The manifest appearances In Nature.

I Should have had occasion to discours of these Cases If the Objection had Not ledd to it, because the/y\ $\underline{\mathsf{yare}}$ /are\ Not a litle conducing to explaine, the reason of Continuity, as may appear under that head. In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} mean time, as to y^e p^rsent porpose; ffor accomodating the angular spaces, there is generally, If Not universally matter actuall small, as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Spaces are, to Infinite. so that whereever are Spaces are assigned to Require a smaller Matter, I $\mbox{ans}^{\rm r}$ there are at hand Matter yet smaller to fill them, & so as often as y^e Question Returnes, as ye Mathematitian's deal with cases of Infinity. they will Reply, that this is Gratis dictum, & I cannot prove it. true, but they cannot deny $y^{\rm e}$ possibility of such, w^{ch} were to assert a minimum, that none $p^{\rm r} tends$ to doe. then if it be possible, & Nature (as supposing plenitude it doth) Require it; I desire a reason why it should not be, as whither It Imply any Contradiction or Inconsistency /to or\ with any other truth. This is Enough to $\operatorname{ans}^r y^e$ objection. but admitt it is not so exquisitely ready, between 2. parts assigned to Move, and they for some time cohere, soe wee Not see, much coherence of body's? But If they are so constituted and a force bear's hard to part them that force drives ye Smaller matter, apt to accomodate \boldsymbol{y}^{e} devision; so this very Case gives us some light In the explanation of Continuity

And as to y^e other, of paralell space; It is Most true

Absolutes & Relatives. 1

I doe not know any subject matter Relating to a true Judgment of Naturall things /more obnoxious to most pertinacious p^r judice\ then this of absolutes & relatives; therefore I Intend to bend all my artile= ry against them. It is a Notion of $y^{\rm e}$ vulgar, that place is a most stated sure thing, as Is their church Steeple, and If all \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world sunk into Nothing, yet here and there would be the same. And the like of Motion, ffor altho In different Respects or Relatively, things may be convertibly said to Move or Rest, as the chaires and Stools of a Cabin of a Ship under Sail are Sayd to be a Rest, and ye Men walking there to Move, yet there is absolute Motion without Rela= tion to any /stated\ thing or place. And No Wonder, If there be absolute Motion place; there must be absolute Motion, that is, from one abSolute place to another. And the like of time, for all hang upon one String, they Say there is a duration absolute, \mathbf{w}^{ch} would Remaine If all y^e substance of body In y^e world, by y^e Movemn^{ts} of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ onely, we perceiv time, were annihilated yet And that 'fore and after continues of Necessity, and all Engaged with body. These I say are the opinion's of the vulgar, but however Great philo= sofers, and cheifly Sr. Is. N. thinck fitt to Maintain them, I conceiv it is from $y^{\rm e}$ Same force of $p^{\rm r} judice$ In them , as In the others, /all\ but it is so deep Rooted In humane nature, that philosofy is too weak, and If tainted with popularity Not Willing to Remove it.

Absolutes & Relatives.

That w^{ch} I hold is, that If we abstract all body, and our owne for Company, so as It may might truly b[e?] said all body were annihilated, there would Remain[e?] Neither place, space, time, magnitude or any other $[marg]^{293}$ thing whereof wee have any Idea thro y^e Means' of Sence. first In generall I ask, What is $\frac{1}{2}$ /to be alledged to the Contrary of this? They answer, that No Mortall C[an?] Imagin, but there must be space, tho Empty; No Ma[n?] Can Imagin but there must be time, & so forth. I ap= peal first to the alledger, If he know any reason to say so, but onely that he Cannot Imagin otherwi[se?] And Next, If he thinck /it \ that a cogent argument, that becaus he Cannot Imagin otherwise the thing must be so? or that the Nature of thing's, Must wai[t?] upon our Imagination. It is Most certain the trut[h?] of ye Matter, hath Nothing to doe with our fancy, & let that run any way, ye truth is unmoved. but W[e?] have that Invincible $p^{\rm r} judice \mbox{ as holds to an opinion}$ what wee thinck Impossible & ye Contrary is so. Wee doe Not Consider wee have No opinion borne with[in?] It is onely a collection wee make by y^e Experimen[t?] of things naturally occurring to our sences, ${\tt \&}$ there making Impressions. And If and an Idea be propos[ed?] Contrary to one the sences have possest us with, wee Immediately Reject it, becaus wee Cannot Imagine such a thing possible. as wee live In a[n?] open world, and have a view of y^e univers, and loo[k?] upon the spaces abroad as Never failing Essences, and never had ye least Notion, of all Space being anni

220v

2.

Absolutes & Relatives. 3.

Annihilated, and If wee force our thoughts, as a sort of closing our Eys, to abstract space, It Instantly Returnes as an opening of our Imagination, to conceiv the Extension of Space, & cannot Shake it. What is it wee have this Idea from? It must be answered, body. how so? becaus it is Extended. What is Space? ye distance of body's one from another; how doe you Measure it? by body, $w^{\rm ch}$ is, or may be in it. Thus In short all our Notion of space is but a Notion of body, & ye Extension of it. And I defye any one to say he ever had a notion, of space, but by $y^{\rm e}$ Mean's, or Measure of body; Nay, Not of any measure whatever, but that of Extension. doe Not all our Ideas come into us by longu, latum & profundum? Wherefore then Should wee Conclude that, space, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ In our Intellect, is $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ same as body, whatever wee pleas abstractedly to affirme to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Contrary, Must subsist as of Necessity, tho all body In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} World were away.

But then let there be Space; that must be Infinite, I deny there is any here or there in it, but onely Relatively, that is Respecting somewhat or other, man, or o^r owne person's w^{ch} wee take as a Mark to declare from, or Relate with. ffor suppose but one body In space infinite, it is all one where it is, Nothing can be affirmed of it differently from scituation, but still it is In vacuo Infinito, and that's all. S^r. Is. N. will affirme otherwise, that there is absolute Space, and so will y^e Rabble, but neither give a reason, but that None Can Imagin &c.

absolutes & Relatives.

so for Motion, If a body be Solitary In vacuo Infinito (I comply with y^e notion) can any one Say, that Such body moves, or Rests. I know set a mark, as orSelves for Instance, taking an Imaginary Station. then wee say it moves, that is Relatively to us. for wee Can affirme /that Either $\$ it touches us or Not; y^t it is distant so Many of its extents /That\, Now one side, then another is to us. but Abstract all Marks, then Nothing /of all this\ remaines, & None of these affirmation's, are true; but It is all one, /whither you\ fancy motion, or Not Motion; there Cannot be an affirmation of any thing /of chang\, ffor that $w^{\rm ch}$ Never alters is allwais y^e Same. I know Now y^e words are ready that this is but a Cavil, or a Caption; as a sceptick might argue agt his owne Essence. but I hold mySelf to /this\ that where is No chang, is no Motion, And folks Imagination Shall not with me Create Essences, that /wch If you remove ye Imagination, are to all Intents, Nothing. Therefore Cartesius carefully put into his definition of motion, tanquam Quiescentia, however the latter Caretesio-mastix Quarrells it.294

But now I have to doe with him, who is No slight adversary. ffor In his principia, he affirmes with a positive assurance, that there is motus verus, different from moto Relativo; and that It would be so In vacuo Infinite /Immenso\, and have active force, with out any Relation; and that this motus verus, & motus Rela= tivus (for such must be admitted) are Something solitary & somtimes, & for y^e Most part mixt

²⁹⁴ i.e, 'as, or when resting' (Principia Philosophiae, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1644, II, 25, p. 53); 'mastix' means 'scourge', so 'Cartesio-mastix' means 'scourge of Descartes'.

Absolutes & Relatives. 5

And it is hard to discover $w^{\rm ch}$ is one, & $w^{\rm ch}$ $y^{\rm e}$ other (so alike are they) but however doth not despair and profers an Experiment of bulletts In a string tyed to a stick, and turned about that as an axis, untill ye bullett's Receding from ye Center draw out the strings to a strait tension. so that one side of y^e bullett struck shall increas the tension, and the opposide opposite side /struck\ (that is stopt) shall slake y^{e} tension, w^{ch} would be y^{e} same In vacuo Imenso If no other body were In rerum Natura, 295 to Relate too. And he hath another Experiment of a pail of water suspended, and turned till the string is hard twisted. then ye water being perfectly stagnant in the pail, It is let goe with a force \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other way added, & then violently turning downe \boldsymbol{y}^e water at first Will be flatt, till $y^{\rm e}$ pail hath drawne $y^{\rm e}$ whole body, as it doth by degrees Into \boldsymbol{y}^e Same gyration with it self then It begin's to rise at the sides & dish in $y^{\text{e}}\xspace$ Midle $w^{\rm ch}$ Continues, and will be more or less as $y^{\rm e}$ turning is Swift, altho all Relative motion between the pail and water is gone. so, says he, turning y^e pail, or If y^e univers turned about y^e water, w^{ch} is a Rela= tive motion of \boldsymbol{y}^e water. yet It shall not Excite a Recess from the Center, w^{ch} is Motus verus, without active force Imprest on ye water; And so Concludes Cartesius, tanquam Quiescentia, and error, ffor it must be vera Quiescentia, \mathbf{w}^{ch} define motion. And he say's, this Recess from y^{e} center of y^{e} Movem' is allwais

²⁹⁵ i.e., 'existed'.

6. absolutes & Relatives.

Allwais reall motion and Not Relative. W^{ch} Notion ffor y^e better knowledged, must be Referred to y^e au= thors words, w^{ch} are exquisite & nothing Els will Ex= press his thought with advantage.

Now that all this is error, In so Great a Geometer who should well to his principles, I must Not say, but If I can, shew.

1. It is a Riddle that there should be In Motion both something and Nothing, and yet as like one and other as two peas ffor a buttett driven by a wonderfull Explosion, Issues at the mouth of a Canon and Rends ye air with an Incredible fragor & force. Then turne y^{e} tables, and let y^{e} air come against y^{e} bullett truely resting, as If it Were let downe from $y^{\rm e}$ Sky's Into $y^e\ \text{Earth's}$ and airs diurnall $\underline{\text{motti}}$ Motion as swift as \boldsymbol{y}^e other. Then is \boldsymbol{y}^e air Rent with \boldsymbol{y}^e Same frager & force as before. And yet these two cases are Not y^e same; the bullet hath verus Motus In y^e first and Nothing but Relativus in ye other. while all the symptomes, & effect's are Exactly $y^{\rm e}$ Same. And those supposed. /And\ That it is scarce possible to discerne the real from y^e Relative motion, as he say's, that It is as I sayd a ridle to Make a distinction between them. but this is Not argument, the Experiments must be ans^d and those I beleev he accounts, and really holds for demonstration.

2. As to the turning pail, & y^e other also of y^e Globules as also all turning motions whatever, I must $[\frac{avewe?}{2}]^{296}$

 $^{^{\}rm 296}$ The paper is very darkened on the RHS of the sheet, and especially at the bottom of the page.

Absolutes & Relatives. 7

from Whence onely he hath a colour, (ffor the Rect-= linear movem'ts are by No mean's to be used to his porpose, but most manifestly & In all Respects Relations $\ensuremath{\mathtt{I}}$ am to observe, a difference between motion and the direction of motion. That the motion or Not Mo= tion depends wholly on Relation, and may be Either motion or Rest. but the direction's of Motion's that is the path's or lines upon $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ they Come neerer or depart asunder are as reall & true as Extension it Self. As let but 2. body's be in y^e world, & these ap= proach, whither one Θr /or both shall be sayd to $\$ other moves ^297 is In the arbi= trament of such spectator's as Shall be Introduced to behold them. for If one hold distance with them y^{e} other, y^{t} Changes moves, If y^{e} other holds y^{e} former move's, and If both chang with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} spectator's both Move, This is the Relation. but all \boldsymbol{y}^e while upon \boldsymbol{y}^e line or path of y^e access, there are really all degrees of exte= sion, mensurable by y^{e} Quantity of y^{e} body's, as 3. 4. or. 5. diameters distant, and the like. and this is /fixt &\ true & cannot be altered, disquised, Nor Confounded as the other's are; but will be & is y^e same, Whither Spectator's or None are $p^{\mathrm{r}} \texttt{sent}$. Therefore I demand as a maxime that whatever as to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Reall or Relative is determined of Motion, the direction of it, is fixt & certein, and is not at all perplext or devided, as he would have Motion to be, with any Relation. 3. Then.

 $^{^{\}rm 297}$ The 's' appears to have been washed out.

8. Absolutes & Relatives.

23. I deny that there is any tendency from y^e center of a turning motion, upon any other principle, then such as governes In all cases of collision of body's; and I also deny that body held in compass Motion & let goe must Necessarily goe off in a tangent, but by accident such as direct's y^e Movements on all Impulses, but that it happen's so most frequently, I Grant. but The Recess from y^e Center proceeds from a direction In a strait line, w^{ch} Every Impuls, Such as y^e Cases on a discharg, or freedom from a Compass motion are; for Ev= ry Rectilinear direction, Must at length depart from any point assigned. for these matters I Referr to the places where they are proposed.

4. Now there is this Important difference between a Rectilinear & a Compass movement. ffor In ye former all the parts have the same direction and Swiftness, but In a compass, they have Neither. ffor lett loos, Every part would move in a Severall direction, and No two parts (unless In ye Same circle) with Equal swiftness. Therefore the Relation of /the parts In\ a Rectilinear movemt, Is /all alike\ with ye Circumjacent body's, but of a compass, with each other, and all with ye center of ye movement. In a Rectilinear, If It could be Con= trived, let ye parts be Inspired with a direction as from a center, & lett loos, they would move accordingly and also draw that way. The same thing is Inclu= ded In the very movement In Compass, that the parts

Absolutes & Relatives. 9.

parts have various directions, and swiftness; then what Els can happen, but that when free, the Same Should take place and the Movem^t Consequent proov accordingly.

5. Now the Reason Why, If $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ whole world moved round ye body of ye water, (for ye pail that Conteines it turning is the same thing) It would Not Excite a Recess from y^{e} Center of y^{e} water; and yet one y^{t} Swam about /with\ the Rest, would Swear y^e water, & Not y^e world moved; as In ye first sollar of a win'mill, turned about, all that know y^e Contrary true, would almost swear y^e post & not y^e mill turned. Here it is to be Noted that onely the surface, or rather totum of y^e water is con= sidered, and truely. And Such Relation Shall never excite a Recess from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} center, becaus that moves from another Relation, Namely between the parts one with another, and of all to \boldsymbol{y}^e center of \boldsymbol{y}^e Motion upon account of their /motive direction. If y^e water were a Solid that is one Coherent body, I say still $y^{\text{e}}\xspace$ Motion is Relative wholly to y^e Circumjacent body's, and arbitrary to be charged here, or there, as you are pleased to Referr, and Esteem ye Rest to Reside. But so soon as you devide that one and make many of it, w^{ch} is done by letting them loos, then a New Relation spring's, w^{ch} will alter the scene. So you Judg of a Ship steming \boldsymbol{y}^e tide, Referr to \boldsymbol{y}^e water, & it moves, to $y^{\rm e}$ Ground (perhaps,) & it Stands still

10. Absolutes & Relatives.

Still, and to ye Starr's, it moves againe. and you May as well argue the Shipp to Stand and Move both, as argue a force more actuall In a turning or /then in\ other Motions; or Say that a Relation to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} center and of the part's one to another, did Not produce a fresh account of y^{e} Movem't, as Referring y^{e} Ship to y^{e} Ground. Therefore Sr. Is. N. was In ye Wrong to bring upon ye Stage a parcell of many and loos body's, and from the relation of them, one to another, argue a verity, $w^{\rm ch}$ is Not drawn as well from other Relation's, as that. ffor y^{e} water in y^{e} pail is Not one body, but consists of as many severall, as by their distinction or Se= paration, Constitutes ye fluidity; And is a case of ye same Nature as If (gravity abstracted) a round Is= land of Stones Should be turned Swift about, the force beginning at the Center; and with Such efficacy as Should Impress motion In all the Rest; certeinly they must tend outwards, by striking one and other till those within Influenced those without, & then Every one march strait In tangents. So If the Island were a vessell of stones, and turned round In that manner, as In $y^{\rm e}$ Experiment of $y^{\rm e}$ pail, the rable of y^{e} force would by degrees pass $\text{from}\text{e}^{298}$ one Stone to another, downe to y^e center of y^e motion, and the Stones heap out-wards, and (w^{ch} shew's y^e Reason) annihilate ye fence, or bonds, and Set all free, and Every stone will have his cours apart, with Relation to Each other, and ye Comon center

 $^{^{\}rm 298}$ The 'e' appears to have been washed out.

11.

So is the Case of the water that is Not to be conside= red as one body, for then there had bin No Relation but (as I may say) one, the Exterior's, but it is an aggregate of divers, & those Not Connected but free, and all having a severall Impression & determina= tion. So there Emergeth another Relation, by w^{ch} their directions have a different Caracter. But to be More Explained in this matter.

<diagram> let y^e body's A.E.F.G. be deteined In a sphericall movement on \boldsymbol{y}^{e} center C. So have severall ve= locity's according to y^e semidi= ameters. C.D: C.E: C.F: & C.G. and let the lines, D d: E.e: F.f. & G.g. be in the same proportion as y^e Corres= pondent Semidiameters. then I say If these all let loos In y^e posture C.G. & moving in strict tan= gent's the celerity's will be In ye same proportion. So y^t when G. is at g. F. will be at f. &c. And this case is upon Every semidiameter of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole circle. Then is Not here a Comparative swiftness, as well as direction of all the parts, w^{ch} being loos, Smite one and other with force, as other occurrent body's doe, when ye Stronger prvailes? The Consequence must be thatt all with these unequall celerity's In $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ pail must have a p^rvalency accordingly, and where is any yeilding, as the force of Gravity. doth In some measure, shew it by rising about $y^{\rm e}$ Sides, & dishing In $y^{\rm e}$ Midle.

12. Absolutes & Relatives.

And so y^e Recess from y^e center be marked by d.e.f.g, & In y^t proportion. If all the body's D. E. F. G. moved with Equall Celerity upon the lines. D. d: E. e: F f: & G g: then this Relation ceast and y^e movem^t was according to other Relation's, as a single solid, of w^{ch} I defye any Invention to shew me any Reality In Motion but y^e Relation.

6. Suppose upon y^{e} center. C. y^{e} Circle truely Resting there Happened an Euripus or Whirlewind, and the bodys D E, F G. were in y^e way of it. In that truely Resting pos[=?] ture. and being carryed Round In ye Euripus, once or twice, at a Returne to the same the Euripus ceased. the body's would proceed In those very direction's and with the Same difference of celerity as before. so where an Externe force can come at the part's it is all one, Whither the body's are turned on a center, or carryed about so that In that Case it is meer Relation, as upon Com= mon Impulses. but If an Externe force doth Not cre[a=?] te this difference of direction, and swiftness among the parts, as the Case is before the motion is conveyed from y^{e} Sides of y^{e} pail to all part's to y^{e} center, the Effect of These differences Cannot appear, but onely a Relation between y^e pail and y^e Water, Considered Not as many but as one Combined substance.

I cannot but be amazed, when an author of this Exactness and distinction, Should Argue against Relation when his very Instances prove it. As here his Instance is of myriadds of body's Related In divers circumstance[s] w^{ch} have an Effect Resulting, and he states /it\ as of one body

Relatives & absolutes. 19.

body Related to another. Then his next is more No= torious, And that he supposeth will hold In vacuo Im= menso, It is of y^e Globules tyed to a stick and turned round. I ask If there be Not. 2. body's that A Globule and, the stick, and then a. 3^d. to observe them. What is this but a Relation of. 3. things one to another. but take that away, and say that $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ Spectator shall Move Round as the Globule doth, and there is onely y^e Globule & y^e Center, $w^{\rm ch}$ I Grant shall stretch y^e string by vertue of ye Recess from ye center. And that is Resol= ved by, that w^{ch} I first Noted, It is y^e Rectilinear di= rection, that is a departure farther in distance (w ch is all wais Reall) from the center that stretches the string. As A. the Globule, & $y^{\rm e}$ String. A.C. the <diagram> compass motion C.A.B. The Globule A. In y^t point is in y^e same Condition as to the direction, as If it were Struck from. E. that is /to be\ driven towards. B. $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ tends to Draw the string A.C.B. to the length C.B. And this Repeated In Every moment of $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Circle}\ {\rm of}\ y^{\rm e}$ motion. C. D: so It is Not y^e motion that is Real, but the direction, (or Increas of Extension) that is reall, Every thing Els, would be y^{e} Same according to the Relation. As If A.C. were a batoon, & Not a flexible yeelding string, and ye Spectator's moved Round with it all ye prtended Motus verus vanish't. And as to /the\ tendency /without liberty, that is Nothing. but If freedome there would be something, without it /Just\ Nothing.

14. Relatives & absolutes.

Therefore on y^e Whole , I conclude, that In Rectili= near Movement's, there is No colour of essence In Motion, but by Relation, for as I have sayd, or Must often say $y^{\rm e}$ Motion & Rest are Meer arbitrary's, and Subjected to Regards at pleasure, Either to be or Not, or being, to be any thing. And as to Compass Movement's, \bar{w}^{ch} by accident, and No Intrin/=\cisk Sick vertue, recede from $y^{\rm e}$ Center, there is Nothing More reall or true in them but direction from the center, w^{ch} preceeding open's distance, and so is apparent, and so farr as things yeild, that hath Effect, & so Shew's a tendency; and Such direction is Equally Reall between all other Rectilinear changes Wt= Ever. ffor however you dispose of the motion by Relation's, to Shew it more or less or Nothing, the degrees of access and departure of body's Is At No ones discretion, but the Same Identically & by Mea= sure, as nise & constant as Extension it self.

[marg]²⁹⁹ Now as to the Conceipt, that Mathematicks & philosofy are confounded, by this Indistiction of thing's from their measures: first as to motion it self, w^{ch} I make to Con= sist in Relation, is Nothing really, and it /is\ Extension onely that makes the account. for hath Not More Quantity more force, is not more & less onely Com= parison /y^t is Relation of divers things\ and when wee assigne any magnitude it is but Respective to somewhat Els /that is under some measure\ then Is Not Swiftness meer Extension, that is comparison of Spaces

one

²⁹⁹ marg: (in tiny script) '[motion?] no Subject of Math. but direct.' (i.e., 'direction').

15

one with another? how then is there any thing to be knowne Concerning motion but Quantity, and the direction In y^{e} Changes y^{t} happen to it. but that w^{ch} Confounds both mathematicks & philosofy, is the assuming fals principles, as Motus verus, distinct from the Relative. And when the Essence is Extension or Quantity, that is measure, to say ye Measure is one thing, & y^e Essence another and Not say what. As in the practise of Arithmetick. Numbers are y^e Measures of the things, shall it be sayd, that there is In Number [marg]³⁰⁰ an Essence, w^{ch} ought not to be Confounded with y^e measure; So Geometry is y^e Science of Quantity, and accounts by More & less In certein proportions as things are Rationed one to another. Shall they Say Quantity is an Essence, and y^e Extension onely a measure? then say what that essence is.³⁰¹

As when the distance between A. &. B. is y^e Subject, is it reasonable to say If wee doe Not sever the thing from y^e measure of the thing wee Confound science? Therefore wee thinck it is the buissness of the philosofer to Reduce thing's home to their true Essences, and pare of the Error's & p^rjudices y^t vulgarly Sitt about them, And then deliver them over to y^e Mathematician to Calculate as they pleas. But If y^e Mathematitian's will p^rsume principles, w^{ch} are y^e creatures of y^e Ima= gination, and Not drawne as pure from Nature as Is possible, and goe to work with them as Reality's, build no better then those who Renounce phisicall principles and yet, with Great assurance, make phisicall conclusions.

³⁰⁰ marg: 'Dele' (i.e., 'delete').

³⁰¹ Shaded area crossed out with diagonal lines.

Absolutes & Relatives

Now In a word, concluding this tract of true & Relative motion, to doe right to Cartesius fan definition, against This Invention of true rest, & true motion, I onely Repeat that turning motion is objected, and urged to prove $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{t}}$ In turning the Recess from $y^{\rm e}$ center is a true Motion, & Not Excited by any Relation. therefore Relating to the vicinia tanquam Quiescentia, 302 is Not a defini= tion of motion, but it must be really & truly Resting y^{t} is absolute place, w^{ch} must define motion. to W^{ch} I ans[r?] that In the turning motion's, the center or axis, is the tanquam Quiescent; ffor all the tendency's are Calcu[=?] lated by distances from that, as all Relative Movemts are calculated by the Comon distances. So Relation takes place In turning as well, as in progression, where it is as Good as admitted, Nothing being offered of ex= periment but what Relates to turning.

Before I leav this head, I must take time in hand, $w^{\rm ch}$ is held also in a sence absolute, and Independent on body, so as Ever to flow equally; whereas all $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Measure}$ of time as days. &c. vulgarly taken for the thing, are unequall, & must be Reduced to equation, before any account will fall right. This is the New $p^{\rm r} vailing \; acc^{\rm o} \; of$ Time.

I see not how ye Notion of time Can be Received, but Either as it is a consequence of extension, varyed In= finite way's, by ye Multifarious & continuing changes of distance & position between bodys. As when 2. body[s?] pari approach a 3^d. and when one touches, y^e other is Τn

16.

³⁰² i.e., 'in a place of rest'.

Absolutes & Relatives. 17.

In y^e medium point, It is true to say that y^e one passeth In half y^e time y^e other takes to pass. and So time and velocity are all one, and Comparison of times, are but the comparison of velocity's, observing coincidences (& cheifly In circulars w^{ch} Returne) as marks or pe= riods. And according to this Notion, time is Referred to Quantity, or Extension measured by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same. And their is neither long Nor short absolutely, but onely longer or Shorter, comparatively., As for Instance If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} diurnall circle moved round In half its time, and ye Annuall also In proportion, wee should know No difference but day's would be day's and years years. And farther it follow's from this way of accounting time, that If body were annihilated, time would be No more; and Even duration it Self would Ceas. As all Motion ceases with body, And, In my concept, all space place & distance also, but I know what a gigantick $p^{\rm r} judice\ Stands\ up$ against all this abstract thinking. ffor Say's one wee cannot Imagine, but time must be tho wee are gone, yes with those that stay, but whoso leav's body, & hath no sentiments thro it, Nor (perhaps) knowledg of such a thing as longum latum & profundum, hath taken leav of all time, and duration, so that all 'fore & after as to him are lost, and all things pervceived/able are p^rsent. I may be as positive In this as I pleas, for Sacred authority is with me. but I proceed In argum^t. I must Never yeild, In this No More then in other abstact matter's, that our facility or Impossibility of Imagination ia any

18. Absolutes & Relatives.

is any argument for or against $y^{\rm e}$ Essence of things all w^{ch} Stand on their owne bottom, without leaning on our fancy, w^{ch} wee know to vary In Numerous Respects from thing's, and is a very Idol³⁰³ to cheat $y^{\rm e}$ vulgar.

But, say Some, wee mistake \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Measure for the thing. I would be glad to know what thing is, for w^{ch} wee, by mistake, take the measure. It is duration? What is that? Wee know /say they, $\$ it is, tho wee Cannot say what; to that I ans^r In kind, Nothing. And I challeng any one to give me his Idea of time, apart from his Idea of comparative swiftness of body's in Motion. And therefore some thing's, of w^{ch} time is one, depending on Quantity, and Imagination or Extension, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ have their Essence /or at least all we can know, of it\ In Measure, when some philosofy hath Reduced it to that, must be consigned to $y^{\rm e}$ Mathematitians, $y^{\rm e}$ others having done their part's, in cleaning \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Materiall for them to work upon. This method, I thinck is Not Confounding thing's, & their measures, or abusing philosfy & mathematiqs.

The other Notion of time is from our sence or Idea of it's succession and Continuance, and that is caused by various object's of sence succeeding one and other w^{ch} as all variety's distinguish one & /Each\ other, are observed and give us y^e Idea of time; w^{ch} also, tho Consisting is devided Instances, yet, as pulses, (In themselves devided,) make a continued sound, doe In out Ima= gination so swiftly succeed that they create a sence of fluxion or continuation of time. And this After the Idea of body's Moving uninterruptedly.

A11

Absolutes & Relatives 19.

All w^{ch} I have more diffusedly noted, discoursing of Human Capacity.³⁰⁴ Now According to this Notion of time w^{ch} is y^{e} truth, and what is philosoficall to Con= Sider of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Subject, Nothing is more Manifest, then y^{e} Mortality of time. ffor first It is no Stated thing but sometimes longer, & at other times More breif, according as the attraction is fast or loos. Wee free frequently thinck some hours longer then day's, & that happen's upon acute paines, or other tediums of life, when wee desire and End, it is Slow to Come, and on $y^{\rm e}$ Contrary when pleased, & wee fear Nothing more then a Conclusion, It comes, as wee thinck, sud= denly upon us. This made a fantastick philosofer M^r. Fairfaix, conceipt that perfect happyness, as In y^e Diety, devoured time, & Reduced it to the scoolmens nunc stans.³⁰⁵ Then next It is frequently, as to say Nothing, ffor In Sleep, trances, and strong amusem'ts, it is all one, as If time ceas't and began againe. ffor If wee Had Not Information from others, the speculation of ye heaven's, or view of the automata of time, and /had\ slept from sun rise to sun set, as beasts may be Supposed, wee should thinck ye Sun had Not Moved but skipped from East to West. So that time in out Imagination is Nothing but passing our attention from one thing to another, & that is faster or slower, with more or less content, time is Shorter or longer; and Supposing us freed from all know= ledg of body, there is like Reason to Conclude it Nothing.

²²⁹r

³⁰⁴ See BL Add MS 32526, ff. 34v-47r.

³⁰⁵ See note on f. 12v.

229v

<page blank>³⁰⁶

 $^{^{\}rm 306}$ Although unnumbered, this is clearly p.20 of the Essay on Absolutes and Relatives.

Absolutes, & Relatives.

There Remaines one thing more, $w^{\rm ch}$ hath its Essence In Relation, or rather Comparison, w^{ch} is Magnitude. It affords as much of admiration to y^e vulgar, as of puzle to y^{e} vertuosi, ffor Extream's are a subject of wonder, to $y^{\rm e}$ one, and $y^{\rm e}$ truth a subject of dispute and cavil to $y^{\rm e}$ other. ffor I have heard it most des= perately disputed, how wee Could come to know ye just and true magnitude of any thing, ffor as $ob/p\tick$ Glasses deminish, so our Eye alter \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Image. And wee doe Not know so Much as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} true magnitude of our hands, that handle things. And as ffor $y^{\rm e}$ other sort, tell them of devisibilty In Infinitum, or of an hair upon ye Nose of a Might Mite, or 10000, animalls In a drop of pepper water, they will not beleev it. so of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Extent of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world, that a canon bullett with its Ex= tream vigor as from y^e peices mouth, would Not arrive at Sirius, or y^e Great dog starr, (as Mons^r Hugens Inge= niously argues,) In 25,000. years, of $w^{\rm ch}\ y^e$ age of $y^e\ World$ In our acco, hath Not spent. 4000. They admire and Cannot beleev such Immensity on $y^{\rm e}$ one Side Nor devi= Sibility & exility on y^e other.³⁰⁷

To shew how this p^rjudice ariseth from the knowledg of our /owne\ powers, and substance, with Reference by compa= rison to y^e ordinary thing's about us, of w^{ch} some wee can, others wee cannot move; some are too litle for any dealing of ours, & other's too bigg to be Comprehen= and thereby wee make our Superlative opinion's, is to Re= peat what was S^d of p^rjudice, So I waive that & proceed.

 $^{\rm 307}$ These are all RN's familiar tropes of immensity and 'exility', and will by now be familiar through repetition.

Absolutes, & Relatives.

And that is to affirme a Strang proposition, but what after ultimate thinking seem's to me to be ye Naked Truth, that Magnitude No More then Space, Motion or time, hath No Essence but Comparatively. ffor barr Comparison, Every Magnitude is y^{e} Same; And all y^{e} distinction is from Collating one thing with another, My reason is that I doe Not know any one thing Can be affirmed of any one body, that is Not true of Every other, wherein I suppose y^{e} figure y^{e} Same, And /even\ that is also but Relative /onely ye Relation is made Intrinsically\ to ye part's of ye body, as y^e Whole of that Relates to some others, extrinsically. ffor If it be a Globe, pyramid, cilinder, prisme, or any like figure Say all y^t can be sayd of all, or any other of like figure. As Including certein Mathematicall property's, devisi= ble Into as many parts, that is Infinite, capable to act & be acted upon by equall's, lesser, or Greater body's in certein proportions. and proportion allowed, to have all the Caracter's of Greatness; or what Els can be Imagined of body; And animalls, must thinck of Greatness, by ye Mea= sure of their owne Greatness, and Every so seeming is to to them really so; and according to this the seeming, is allwais \boldsymbol{y}^e true magnitude of thing's. wee are Sensible of this, ffor houses, Room's, & ye like were greater to us when litle children, then when men, wee thinck them, and after such Intervall of time, wee Cannot but ad= mire the alteration. Nay Even time, hath a Share of this Influence, ffor It really seem's Longer to chi= dren then to men, as may well be since, the com= mon measures of time, are to them Greater, then to Men.

230v 22. Hence wee may Infer it to be a vain dispute, as ye Said fantastick made of \boldsymbol{y}^e bulk and selvedg of \boldsymbol{y}^e world, that is of Magnitude, and Infinity. ffor If wee may be So free with our Imagination an limitt $y^{\rm e}$ world, as $y^{\rm e}$ Illiads, In a Nutshell, 308 all thing's Shrinking in proportion, the Case is accurately y^e Same to all sensitive being's In it. As it is were /if all\ ye knowe & unknowne Quantitys of ye World, as the Mathematitian's Say, Multiplyed so as to be Equall with any Quantity assignable; ffor all thing's would appear the same. Therefore I can have No other Idea of magnitude, but as a Comparable thing, and bating Extension, w^{ch} Every body hath, be it Never so Small, denominated, I may say made, y Compa= rison, and that a part; All body's are but Extended and Extension is $y^{\rm e}$ Same in all things, & consequent= ly all thing's Extended are alike. And who ever falls on me with the words more & less, lett them say really or what they will, so long as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} language is Nothing but Comparison, w^{ch} Supposeth Somewhat Els Collated It hath No effect on My reason, to Chang my opinion.

I Could (to Conclude this discours of Relatives)³⁰⁹ wish my self an orator, to Rep^rsent with some dignity, the Super= lative of the wisdome of the worlds creation, in this one single Institution of Extension. This meer longum latum and profundum, W^{ch} produceth to us, and all sensative /beings\/those\ Images wee have of light, w^{ch} gives us y^e Extent of y^e world, sound, w^{ch} shews us harmony & discord; And powers, to shew us y^e Efficans and property's of /and\ wonder= ful Excellence's Gathered out of it. W^{ch} be they ascribed to thing's, are admirable, if to our sences, No less

³⁰⁸ This seems to be a reference to the Tabulae Iliacae, miniature carved marble tablets produced in Rome in the early Imperial period which related, in brief, Homer's epic narrative, combining both illustration and text in relief. The 'bulk and selvedge' reference is to Nathaniel Fairfax's book, mentioned on 229r, above.

 $^{^{\}rm 309}$ This last paragraph (extending over the page) appears to have been added as an afterthought, and is crowded into the space available.

231v

24 Absolutes & Relatives

So that turne us \boldsymbol{y}^{e} way wee will Wee are surrounded with wonder,

<red BM stamp>

232r³¹⁰

Relatives 1

This is a strang & new title in Naturall philosofy. but upon weing weighing some passages lately In print³¹¹ I thought it not Improper, being to lead a discours of matter's w^{ch} have no essence but by mean's of Re= lation to others; and this not in trivialls but the very substance of this knowledg. ffor it Comprehends the true notion's of Magnitude, space or place, motion, and time; about w^{ch} it will be necessary to argue a litle Me= taphically, becaus of the great abstraction must be had of all manner of ordinary thought and opinion.

1. As to magnitude, it is /a thing\ Setled, that all body, or (If you pleas) Space circumscribed, is a thing certein and of it self existent, without dependance, or Relation to any thing Els. but adding /to that\ great, small, more, less, & y^{e} like, all w^{ch} are comprehended under y^{e} abstract word magnitude, nothing is understood, but comparison, & that is nothing at all, without somewhat with $w^{\rm ch}$ The comparison may be made; And for that Reason, without more, there is No absolute magnitude; w^{ch} pro= position hath bin maintained In disputes, with more reason, then comonly is attributed to it. And particularly this, that /(comparison apart)\ there is nothing true of any one body (com= parison apart,) but ye Same is true of all ye /other\ body's In ye world of ye Same figure. that is /vist.\ devisibility In Infini= tum, and all /y^e ordinary Geometrick propertyes of ffigure /y^e, & all o= thers property's if any be. Any state what magnitude

you

 $^{^{310}}$ The whole of this essay, which runs to f. 239v, suffers from the same chalky-white marking described above (f. 12v). The paper is also badly marked by dust.

2 Relatives

pleas with its Caracter of Small, great, or even Immens it is all destroyed by the Caracter of what may happen to be Collated with it, If it Inclines more foreward In ye Same progression. \mathtt{W}^{ch} consideration Makes me Reflect on y° vanity of those who Conceiv an Idea of /of admiration from the\ Immensity In y^e World, w^{ch} Idea proceeds /meerly\ from y^e Exility of us Reptiles. as a comon tree is a small thing In our view; to Some Insect's (If wee saw with their eyes, & measured with their steppes, wee would pronounce) it is an Imens region. And as as larg as ye World seem's to us, (giving way to those who thinck it /ye world is limited /as larg as it seems to us), If It were contracted Into the Com= pass of an Eggshell, everything deminishing In proportion the case would be $y^{\rm e}$ very same as Now it is, and /wee but supposing\ the Egg= Shell were magnifyed /so as\ to become its limitts. But the prjudice, w^{ch} obstruct's this way of thincking, and sti sitts very fast in many men's minds, however capable /they are\ and stri= ving ag^t it, /And\ hath /violent\ Influence on their opinion's, and makes them partiall. as ffew there are but fancy /is a certein conceipt they have of \ somewhat more lofty and peculiar /belonging $\$ to y^e planetary Courses, then to small whirlepools, In a creek, or brook. and /such\ are loath to have those Great things discourst, as having analogy with Small ones; nay scarce bear it, without passion; And this is one reason why the Corpuscular hypothesis of Nature is so hard of digestion, becaus it hath but one rule or ordinance to direct both the cours of water & earth In a Kennell, and of ye planets. but /men\ choos rather /to\ Invent / $\frac{for their}{312}$ for their /sakes\ somewhat Extraordinary as If their dignity Suffered in

 $^{^{\}rm 312}$ The words 'for their' is washed out.

233v

Relatives

3

in being Ranked with common things

2. The next matter under this head to Reflect on, is Space by $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is meant that room in y^e world, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ one sort of philosofer's, say is perfectly filled with Impenetrable body's, and another sort say, there are /(its true)\ many such in it, but that is, for much \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Greater part, perfectly void of body. And these latter distinguish between space abso= lute, & Relative; the former immovable, and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other attendant upon Systemes of body yt Move, as when a book is lay'd upon a shelf In a caban of a Ship under sayle, that place changes with y^e Ship, but is y^e Same as to y^e Rest of ye Caban. This matter is layd downe, with ye Rest, at \boldsymbol{y}^{e} beginning of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} principia. It doth not appear whither y^e author admitts /holds/ y^e world as /to be as/ to space infinite. If he doth suppose it limited, then space is fixed, but still with Re= lation that is to $y^{\rm e}$ limitts, as neerer this or that Quarter. But If he allows Space Infinite, I doe Not see there is such a thing as absolute Space. /If it may be supposed that one onely.\ but If but one thing were in y^t Infinite Space, the difference of here or there is Not extant, ffor be pleased to fancy it here or there, all you can say of the one is true of $y^{\rm e}$ other. Wheres then $y^{\rm e}$ difference? And Nothing can fix a position, so as a body may be sayd here or there, but by /the\ prsenting some other body, and then Emer= geth a Respect of distance and position between these two. but taking those two, or any Number more together In systeme, setting aside those Reciprocall Respects; but com= =pre

4 Relatives

=prehending all In one thought, that compound totum hath No determined place but all arround is Equally Infinite, whereever prjudice suggest's it to be. And things that are all one, I thinck are not divers; & so is place w^{ch}ev[er?] Relation, In vacuo Infinito. But one may say, be two body['s?] onely in y^e World, and Mark their places. let one come neerer ye other by half ye distance; & then let that other be annihilated; will that moved be in $y^{\rm e}\ \textsc{Same}\ \textsc{place}$ It was in before? I Answer that /by force of Imagination\ here the memory passeth for thing's In ye Imagination, as If they Existed; ffor ye first place of that w^{ch} moved, is Remembred as /it was conceived before, $\$ full. and the length moved is Remembred, and all 3. Subsist in our minds & make an idea as of a Systeme continuing. Therefore unless the Remembrance be Quash't, as well as y^{e} body annihilated the case is not stated; & then it is y^e Same as before. But In this Reflection Concerning Space or place, Wee find one thing very certein, and that is The Sphear /of space Infinitely\ round any body /that wee\ can be conceived, and If it be /Such body\ Reduced as small as to be next to an Imaginary point, It is the

center of such Sphear /extended Every way\ ad Infinitum. So that If Nothing Els were in y^e world, the Exterior part's of y^e body have all their regions of direct aspect; and /to Instance\ the aspect if that side y^t is to y^e East, is Not y^e Same, as of that to y^e west, for Instance. And however the place of that body may be counted Relative or absolute, the Sphear of

its

Relation.

its aspect's is Ever certein, and depend onely on that body, ffor iti is considered with Relation to the center, from $w^{\rm ch}$ lines are Imaginable to issue Every way ad Infinitum, & Each line is different from $y^{\rm e}$ other.

5

3. The Next Case is, Motion $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ that author holds May be Either true, or Relative; the latter is decyphered by a ship sailing in a Current, & a man walking on $y^{\rm e}$ deck with /a\ watch at work in his pocket, & all $y^{\rm e}$ while ye Earth moving diurnally & anually; whereby some body's may be sayd to Move, or Rest, according to ye Rela= tion, as it is had to y^e Ship, Earth, fixt Starrs, &c. but true motion is Referred to absolute space, & depends on that. And this distinction of Motus verus & Relativus, w^{ch} is owned very hard to Experiment, yet is Endeavoured to be proved by an Experim^t of a pail with /of\ water, turning. w^{ch} before y^{e} water is in motion gives No signes of y^{e} Waters Receding from y^{e} Center, and yet y^{e} Motion between y^{e} pail and water Relatively is perfect. but When ye Water hath contracted $y^{\rm e}$ turning also, then $y^{\rm e}$ Recess beginns & y^e water shall dish up about y^e Sides of y^e pail. And so also Bullets made fast to string's & annext to a staff or axis to be turned shall stretch y^e strings, the /and this even $\$ In va= couo cuo; and there /where\, supposing no other mean's to know /that\ ye body moved, it might be discovered by stopping ye bullets & then the string flagged, or striking to In= creas their Swiftness, & then the string stretch't. this is the

234r

234v

6. Relations

the sume of the distinction, & experiments.

But yet I cannot be perswaded but motion consist's in meer Relation, and the distinction of true and Rela= tive is no where, but In the fancy. ffor If wee consider fairely, wee Shall find that There is nothing in motion but what concernes more then one body, ffor it Consists in chang of distance and /aspects or $\$ posture between divers body's, and In Nothing Els. ffor when such distance & posture continues $y^{\rm e}$ Same, it is called Rest, and when there is any chang It is called Motion. $^{\rm 313}$ wherefore In vacuo Infinito one single body can Neither move Nor Rest, ffor /under y^t supposition\ the distinction is not under that Supposition Extant. The difficulty of coming at the Justice of thin= king in these matter's, is wee cannot abstract our owne person's, so as to conceiv a solitary body, or pure Infinite vacuity; becaus frame our Idea as wee pleas of any thing, still that of our owne selves, will obtrude and make one, from whence wee fix a Relation. But things do Not depend on our way of fancy or thincking, and wee must wholly discharg Nature of that Incumbrance, If wee will know any thing /Justly\ of it.

Then It is to be considered, when once wee have an Idea of divers body's, of whom our owne Comonly makes one; there is that I call a systeme. and Not onely y^e body's but y^e Space Intermediate & Circum= jacent is taken into y^e systeme, and by the chang

or

³¹³ RN writes the word 'Motion' in a larger script, giving it emphasis.

235r

Relatives

or Continuance of that Systeme, wee pronounce of Motion or Rest. But wee being, In our Natures limited /with-\in Nar= row bounds, and not /capable of \ Conceiving Much together, but /& cannot \ Ex= tend our Imagination of Greatness, & Immensity /otherwise then $\$ by Re= peated /petition of ye Same \ Ideas (for an Idea will Contein No More then wee can sensibly conceiv at one time) It happens, that /In our Imagination of motion $\$ pass In our Imagination of Motion from one Systeme to another, and Cannot Comprehend at once, the Systeme of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} univers. and for that reason, after wee have by one systeme of body's Conceived a motion, If ye fancy pass to another systeme, that /w^ch was motion is none\ \underline{is} No Motion but Rest. As a Ship under sail with a full Gale, agt a current, w^{ch} is as stiff as y^{e} Gale. If you Respect the strife between y^{e} ship, and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} water, with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wind that drives it; there is motion; but If you Respect the ground, it is Rest; & Respect y^e diurnall motion of y^e Earth, there is one Motion and $y^{\rm e}$ annuall another, And If you Could have an Idea of all thing's /In ye world\ together, w^{ch} onely ye Almighty can, I $p^r sume$ not, to say what caracter motion would have. but ffollow= ing our nature, as to the possibility of Conceiving, It is so, that as wee extend our Regards, motion is something, or nothing, this, or that, or any thing, just as our Regards supply measure, or standard, whereby wee are pleased to Judg; of w^{ch} the Example of y^e Ship is Sufficient.

7.

Cartesius thought thus when he framed his definition of motion $^{\rm 314}$ to be a translation of body's from neerness of

³¹⁴ See René Descartes, Principia philosophiae, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1644, II, 23-35 (see http://la.wikisource.org/wiki/Principia philosophiae). For an English transcription of the text see http://www.earlymoderntexts.com/pdfs/descartes1644.pdf

235v

8 Relatives.

of some to neerness of other's, considered as Resting. The late author's Quarrell to this distinct definition is, that ye translation ought to have bin Referred Not to the, tan= quam, but verè Quièscentià.315 And at same time, he Say's there is scarce a body in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world truely at rest, but Means, as I suppose /that space\ must rest, tho it were /however\ Impossible /it is\ to find it. And therefore /that Supposed Resting space is, $\$ unfitt for a definition, for by all ye rules yt I Ever read a definition ought to Contein some knowne pro= perty, or that, with dilligence, may be knowne, and is truley Incident to or goes along with the thing. then I wonder how any one should know motion by a defini= tion that Referrs it to Nothing, as /an\ Imovable peice of Empty space, at to all /ffor that as to\ our Cognizance, is so. but I am /from\ by not a ffew passages In ye author, /I am\ Inclined to thinck that If that definition of Cartesius, $/w^{ch}$ is\ one of the /his\ best thought's, were not of necessity $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ to be oppugned, this distinction had Not bin held forth.

It Remaines to ans^r the Experiments, In doing w^{ch}, I must anticipate a litle /of\ what will follow, from the becaus the cases of them are complex, and the rules of simple motions should first be understood, before wee Come at these. But Even that is an answer in some measure, that here is a systeme of body's proposed, In Each experiment. In y^e first y^e pail, y^e water, & y^e room. In the Next, the staff, and y^e bullett's, and the string's; and a bystander to strike or stop them. All these Make y^e case Not so abstract aas it Should be. but lett all that pass.

His

³¹⁵ i.e., not to 'rest' but to 'true rest'. The reference here is to Newton's discussion of Descartes 25th paragraph (*Principia philosophiae*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1644, II, 25; see http://la.wikisource.org/wiki/Principia_philosophiae) in the Gereal Scholium.

236r

Relatives.

/His\ Assertion is that Recess from y^e center, is a true Motion and is neither made nor Qualified Not taken away by any relation. [but?] is more or less, according as the turning is more or less swift; The fact is Granted. and I Reply with a distinction between $y^{\rm e}\ \text{Case}$ of one Intire body turning, and a systeme of body's turning. If it be the case of one body onely, I say Relation hath place as Intirely, as in the case of progression. ffor it is all one in Every Respect between the Earth (considered as one thing) & ye Sphera fixarum; /ffor\ Whither that or this turnes /all consequences are ye Same\. But If a Systeme of body's be in a turning motion, It is to be considered that Each body hath a Rectilenear direction, and will Not hold a direction by a curve, without being deteined. And that Recti= linear direction is Not Inspired by any Relation, but what concernes each part separately taken. And this Rectilinear direction is Not /with\ Equall swiftness in Each part but the more remote from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} center, are so Much More swift. ffor this reason when this loos systeme is put Into a turning Motion, the component part's proceed In directum, and that produceth y^e Recess from y^e Center. But the turning of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world about a vessell, doth Not make y^e part's proceed directly, becaus y^e Relation is to the whole, but Not to the part's severally. ffor ye better Explanation of w^{ch}, I propose y^e following sceme. And In ye Mean time, let it Not be sayd that ye parts of one body have a tendency In directum. ffor tendency yt is Not motion, is Nothing, as hereafter, may appear let

9

236v

```
Relatives
```

<diagram> let. D. &. E. be a Systeme of body's moved In y^e pail A.B. round y^e Center C. and In the posture C.D. be at li= berty. I say D. shall Move towards F. and. E. towards. G. And If. C.D. to. C.E. :: D.F: E.G. when D. is at F.: E will be at G. And this is No More verus Motus then If In the points D. &. E. those body's had /by any other Means $\$ that swiftness /& direction\ Given them. As let D. & E. be free body's Resting In the /open\ Medium /(as wee now conceiv it) A.B. in w^{ch} also\. A.B. In are 2. Equall bodys /K & B.\ Carryed about with ye Medium by. H. & A. and strike D. & E. the case is ye Same, as to ye motion of D. &. E. Receding from ye center, as if they moved round, & were freed In those places. Now here is the same Relation that is /w^{ch} is to be noted\ In all cases of Motion whatever. ffor first the severall bodys have a Rectilinear direction, $w^{\rm ch}$ put's them In a posture & cours as to distance Respecting Each other, and all the like Respecting the center. And the Recess from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Center is consequentiall, and In an /the\ aggregate of a Multitude of such systematized body's, $w^{\rm ch}$ is $y^{\rm e}$ Case of $y^{\rm e}$ pail of Water, (for Every part of $y^{\rm e}$ water, being loos & free, is such) there is a Complex Effect of dishing about $y^{\rm e}$ sides. $W^{\rm ch}$ It Could not have /[till?]\ the parts had Such rectilinear direction given them; w^{ch} None could say could be inspired by y^e Rimm of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} pail, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} affected them not but Gradually, first those

Relatives. 11

Those Contiguous, & then by y^e Mediation of them others, all /untill\ at length having y^e-Same /all come to pertake y^e same motion &\ direction.

The like ans $\pm \Theta$ /is given to y^e Case of\ a bullet, about a Staff, w^{ch} is /partly free & \ loos, and moves in a right line untill curbed by y^e Cord, and then $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ /in\

consequence y^e Cord is stretched. Not from any more true Mo= tion then in other Cases, but /as I sayd\ In Consequence of a Rectiline= ar direction. But there is also a continuall Relation to y^e center & the circumambient Sphear of space, w^{ch} Relates to that center, as Spaces In all other cases /of direct motion\ are Mar= kable by Imaginary /paralell\ lines, and then /having\ Relating/on\ to those Marks those Spaces are so farr /made\ certein; and therefore a Compass movement Relates /is Relative\ to y^e center /of it\, and y^e Region from w^{eh} /about it.\ And y^e chang is from one side of it, to another. So I deny The Recess from y^e center to be verus Motus, or less Relativo then any of other; but this may have farther Explanation.

But this /Here\ seems as /express\ demonstration /that\ Motus Verus cannot be antrorsum & retrorsum³¹⁶ upon y^e Same strait line of direction, and at y^e same time. for (as they say) it Referrs to Imovable space from w^{ch} it gees /Either moves\ or doth Not gee /move,\ that is Rests. Then the body D. hath motus verus towards F. w^{ch} is the genesis of its Recess from y^e center, all w^{ch} Recess /(they say)\ is motus verus. Then I demand that y^e center. C. and the Whole Systeme A.D.B.H. be moved vero Motu. from B, towards. A. upon y^e line B.A: It follows that y^e body. D. In y^t point. D. hath a double trew Motion, one /forewards\ with y^e Whole towards. M. and y^e other /backwards Receding\ from y^e Center towards. F. . . . QEff.³¹⁷ That is verus motus and vera Quies³¹⁸ at y^e Same time

 $^{^{\}rm 316}$ i.e., 'forwards' and 'backwards'.

³¹⁷ i.e., 'QEF', or 'Quod Erat Faciendum', 'that which was to be done', the phrase is one he would have known since boyhood from Euclid.

³¹⁸ i.e., 'true motion' and 'true rest'.

12. Relatives.

But ye Author hath a farther discours on this subject to be answered, ffor when he compiles Enigma's they are Not Easily throwne downe. And it is a censure of a Miscar= riage In philosofy, When the measure of thing's are taken for the thing's themselves; w^{ch} he say's Confounds philoso= fy, & Geometry. I Grant a man is much In ye wrong that Goes Into a mercer's Shop, and asks for 10. yards, and Says not /of\ what. but If he say's velvett, & agrees $y^e\ price\ the$ practick Geometrick yard Goes to work; Becaus /there\ the vari= Ety's /of things as well as ye Measures\ are knowne; But If In a Measure makers shop a man should ask for a velvet Measure, they would laugh at him, or any thing but ye Measure it self by name he wants; that is a 2. foot Rule or a yard. $\mathtt{W}^{\mathtt{th}=}$ out Regard to y^e Materiall. ffor there /In that circumstance\ y^e Measure /onely\ is the Thing; & If men should talk of measures, and one ask if this be /a\ true /measure &, another say's tell me what y^e materiall is and I'll tell you /wee should Smile.\; Thus it is In philosofy. Wee have No Notice of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} World, or of any thing in it, but under certein Measures; and other account of ye Substance wee Cannot give. ffor mutable property's are Not ascribed to body In Generall, but y^e Imutable onely, w^{ch} /are\ Extension, and Im= penetrability. Then how can any one dicours of body but according to its Quantum, with y^e /modes &\ property's /that is Extension\ of Quantity /or Measure If the author knows more of the thing it self, then so; let hi[m?] Aid ye philosofick world, & comunicate /it/; those Men are apt to catch at discoverys. But Whilst the Measure is the thing, at least as to us, that know no other, how doe we con=

237v

Relatives.

confound any thing by treating body by its measures onely? But /And to say truth In these Instances I here Give, wch I call Re= latives, there is Nothing Extant but Measure; ffor Mag= nitude is Measure; and I pray what is Empty space, but room for so much; that I thinck is Measure; and So Motion, w^{ch} is Nothing but chang of aspect & distance. ffor aspect it self is but More or less distance; and pos= ture, I hope, is but a Relative also; And time \mathtt{W}^{ch} I Speak of Next, as a Relative also Is Nothing but Comparison of measure. And whereas ye author Say's, there is du= ration or tempus absolutum, all body abstracted; $w^{\rm ch}$ is Not /as our time \ measured accidentally and unequally, by years months, &c but w^{ch} flows allway's Equably; that is, I thinck, Equally; I demand, Equall to what? I scarce Thinck it possible, (abstracting body) to ans^r . that Question. But to conclude it is manyfest these Relatives, have po= Sitive Existences /thus\ given them, Either from /vulgar\ $p^{\tt r}judice,\;w^{\tt ch}$ Makes us apprehend, Gold, diamonds, & pebble stones, to be /all made ofa different materiall, and so attribute, as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} use is, our Imaginations, & fantasmes to things, or Els Somewhat Must be sayd In opposition to Cartesius, who opened the way, to this sort of Thincking; and of ye two, I Incline to y^e latter.

13.

4. As to time, I take it to be a consequence of motion; that is, In our conceipt, it is time, but In nature the same thing as motion. so take away all al animalls that perceive

238r

14 Relatives.

perceiv onely thro ye Mediation of body, and Nothing is left of time but motion. and take away all body, or y^e changes of it. $w^{\rm ch}$ is all one, and /then\ all time, and sence of it is gone. so farr doe am I from admitting tempus abso= lutum, that I thinck the Idea of time is onely in our Imagination, and the thing, such as it is Is onely in Motion, And those of /who owne\ ye Contrary opinion, Say /for it\ they know Not What, ffor ask them what it is /this equable time\ and they give you /for ans^r\ another Word: as duration; what is duration? Continuance, and So Wee may goe over all $y^{\rm e}$ abstract words In $y^{\rm e}$ dictionary and Have no other thought then is usually had upon pro= nouncing ye word time. and It were more Ingenuous to say wee doe Not Imagine it possible that time Should ceas, but whatever becomes of all thing's Els, It seems to us time must Remain. That this Conception is So, I rea= dyly Grant, but the capacity in us of conceiving or Not conceiving, is nothing to the truth of things. And It is No wonder that our Imagination is so Engaged to a Notion of time, becaus all wee know, or can possibly forme any distinct Idea from, is Motion; and therefore wee are to consider ye truth of that, and thence Extract the Manner how it makes us sensible of that wee Call time.

It is /In\ y^e essence of Extension to contein more, & less; and that notion of more & less, is hardly had beside extension, ffor take the comparison in number, and let y^e subject be angells or spirits that have No Extension; yet when wee say 2.3. or more Angells, wee feigne places In Extension for them

238v

239r

Relatives 15.

for them, and so it is, that our very thought of Number is y^e same as that of Extension, & y^e Imaginary devisions of it. And the same thought produceth Coincidences and sepa= rations; ffor some body's may come to a period or touch, and other's be at great, or less distance. and /allthese things be all /subsist inin a /continued succession or\ Cours of changing; and /then\ wee /being\ capable of marking ye postures, from ye Extension of body, & space, wee have a No= tion of $/y^e \backslash$ duration of time. ffor the Marks of body's and their positions and places, falling one after another /that is Not all coincident together\ the Mind takes the marks in series, being no other, then Notes of various Extension's compared, and out of them, frames an Idea In astracto as of an Essence distinct from body Cal= led, time. And /I doe Not wonder that to Comon people It is Impossible, and to Philosofer's very difficult to consider the Identity In nature of time and motion, I doe Not wonder, be= caus $\mathtt{y}^{\mathtt{t}}$ /In My owne Case that strove In it, $\$ when Ever I Remitt my thought, or take of Уe constraint my reason holds of it, but this vulgar thought of a reall essence of time, distinct from body, Emergeth; and it is Not a litle labour, to keep it downe. but being fully surrendered to this Maxime, that My thought's one way, or other, are No authors to nature for the reall Ex= istence or Non Existence of things, I goe out from my Self, and search as well as I can abroad, where I can find Nothing of time, but Motion it self, and that coincident and Coevall with the Extension of /Body &\, that. And If I would deminish Sacred Authority, by subjoyning that to these profane things, No point of philosofy being so Revealed as this

16. Relatives

as this; as /vist\ the Non-existence of time, as to all Meer Spirituall (that is, In this discours,) Non-corporeally-thincking-beings, I might boast of a stronger demonstration then any ana= litick affords.

I shall onely add In confirmation of this, a litle con= cerning our Judgmt of time; Wherein I thinck appears the freedome y^e mind, in some measure hath, from being tyed strictly to the account's of motion. ffor Nothing is more Notorious, then that In our sence, without some In= dustrious /& artificiall\ application to marks of certein movem^{ts}, time hath No certein measure: but sometimes by ye Marks, (that is ye Sun, or clocks;) wee have lost a great deal, and thinck time very short, and at other times contrarily very long, and this ordinarily as wee are pleased or displeased. $w^{\rm ch}$ gave occasion to $M^{\rm r}$ farfax to fancy, and Not un= happyly, that as more felicity made time short to us, In perfect happyness, It must ne Nothing: and ye scool= men's Expression ffor ye NonExistence of time with meer Spirituall being's is Nunc Stans.³¹⁹ And those men were no shallow thinker's, nor allwais wanting In Expression tho they gave occasion to great abuses in both. Now If time were a thing, /that\ had any reall Existence, distinct from Motion, how come it, that it is so very uncertein, as it is In our account, /so\ as sometimes to be as nothing, and at other times, to be thought tedious? I can ansr, that when $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Mind}$ is at Eas it passeth by all mark's of time without observance, and when pained observes to many

 319 see notes on f. 12v and 175r.

239v

240r³²⁰

Vol 7321

of objects y^t have their forme In y^e Imagination as light [heat?] sound. &c. w^{ch} are Inconstant, & Not y^e same at all times nor to di= vers persons.

2. Inquirys. i what is reall in Nature. 2. how that occasions Such Images of phantasmes.

±.

The designe of this head is to Comprehend a Resolution of object's, as have their forme, Not from Nature, but from Imagination, /Such\ as light Sound, heat, Cold, & $y^{\rm e}$ like, $w^{\rm ch}$ are names rather of passion in us, then of essences In Nature. ffor there is Nothing Mechanick in them, whatever ye Caus bears; being Not ye Same to ye Same person's at all times, and it is doubdtfull If any two persons In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world have Exactly \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same Image. wee agree in Names, as Red, blew, Green &c. but No one know's that the red of one, is exact= ly y^e same-like Image as y^e other Calls Red. Some will say it is cold, and other's, very Warm. And that w^{ch} is Melody to some, is but or= dinary Nois to other's; and how ffew, even of thos bredd to y^e tuning of Musicall In= struments, ever distinguish consonancy's? This is a sort of philosofy, very different from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other, and depending so Much on humane Imagination, to distinguish it from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mechanick, (I Give it the title of fantasme. In w^{ch} wee have two Inquirys, what nature hath In reality, from Whence The ffancy Receives such Images, and next how It is possible that such Images Should

 $^{^{\}rm 320}$ From this page to the end of the present volume (i.e., to f. 346v) RN uses a wide LHS margin.

 $^{^{321}}$ 'Vol 7' in pencil. On following rectos (beginning on f. 242) a pencilled numbering from the lost 'Vol 7' entity, which numbering has been crossed out along with the original page numbering employed by RN.

Fantasmes

2.

Should flow from such Means. And for this Reason, wee must Resume our Mechan= ick or reall philosofy. to search In and about $y^{\rm e}$ World ffor such action's and Con= sequences, as may probably answer our Inquiry's. ffor wee that allow of No Meer nominall philosofy, such as vertues, Qua[=?] litys, forces, powers, Not deduced Necessa= rily from Indubitable principles, $\underline{w^{eh}\ will}$ /but/. as salves at hand, /to\ serve for Every sore, must be a litle Nicer then ordinary, to produ procure our Materialls, and Collate them with the sensitive or digestive faculty of ye Mind. I p^rsume there are None left, since y^e That Images come from y^e ob= ars Cogitandi $^{\rm 322}$ hath bin published In Most ject to y^e organ languages, who beleev that $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ Images Exploded. of sight, sounds, or odor's, are formed In and translated from $y^{\rm e}$ object to $y^{\rm e}$ organ of sence, as the old doctrine was, Even of $y^{\rm e}$ atomist's, whose process had Most of $y^{\rm e}$ Mechanick of any other sect; ffor they most Nonsensically fancyed that cor= poreall Images, but wondrous thin came The atomists Incessantly from y^e object to y^e Eye /& caused sight\.^{323} of wch nonsensicall o=

so

240v

pinion of sight

³²² La Logique, ou l'art de penser, anon. (Antoine Arnaud and Pierre Nicole), Paris, 1662.

³²³ That is, the notion of 'species', see note on f. 210v, above.

Fantasmes, 3.

Exposed demon strably.

Some assigne y^e Caus of Images In y^e Sence to cer= tein peculiar essences, flowing from y^e object W^{ch} is as bad; & Such are Ray's of light. So many Confutation's Croud for utterance I know not to w^{ch} to Spreferr. but let it Suffise that If that doctrine stands, body must Not be Impenetrable, for light comes from Every luminary to Every point, and so Every place, is full of Images from Every one of them. And then ye Sciences of perSpec= tive destroy's $y^{\rm e}$ Conceipt. ffor how Comes the Species to Shrink, just as distance Grows? the Image once Quitted from ye body is ye Same sure at all distances; and how Can these Currents or stream's of Images Cross one and other Infinite way's, being Corporeall without Confusion? but time is lost on Such foolery's. Nor are those much better, that Make light a distict Essence that flows from a luminous body, tho they dare Not say it is Corporeall, yet they affirme, and truely, that all the consequences of it are after ye Rules of bodily Movement, but of this more when I come home to the Subject of light & colours, And for other like sensation, w^{ch} fill us with Images, to Assigne an Essence to them Inherent In y^e object, is like setting up the species of divers sorts of pain, to Reside actually In y^{e} various Instruments of torture. In W^{ch} nothing

Fantasmes

4.

Nothing reall is [found?], but dimension If meer Impuls & Impuls. The rest is In Imagination. & touch will Not Therefore I must take it for Granted, that Resolve all our If wee cannot connect those, that is Im= Images of sence puls and Imagination, so as the one, May actum est de caus the other, without blending or Confoun= ding their essences, wee have done with /are at a stand as philosofia324 to\ this branch, & $y^{\rm e}$ Most Important of all philosofy. All this discours Here wee Resume that discours I offered will depend on before, when I devided object's distinct Indistinction or from Indistinct; ffor Now wee have wholly Confusion of objects to doe with the latter, that is Impression's w^{ch} very Confusion made upon our organ's of sence, from hath its Image. complex Motions, of w^{ch} wee are Not Ca= pable to Make any distinction. but Re= ceiving that Impression Confused, The Ima= gination frames a Representation of that very Confusion, and when y^e like againe $\ensuremath{p^r\text{sents}}\xspace$, the like Image is Conceived. all w^{ch} /sort of Images, $\$ vanisheth, where the conception is distinct. /And others in distinguishable simplicity appear, most unlike\. So It is with sounds, w^{ch} Come Nee= rest distinction, of any Confused object, & therefore from \boldsymbol{y}^e Grossness of \boldsymbol{y}^e action is best Examinable by us; ffor while the pulses /of a tone\ are distinguishable, wee have one sort of Idea

²⁴¹v

³²⁴ i.e., 'are actions [perceptible by] philosophy'.

Fantasmes 35

W^{ch} wee call, strokes; but when accelera= ted, or Iterated so fast wee Cannot distin= guish them, then begin's the Idea of Nois. /or\ sound, however Qualifyed, of w^{ch} wee Shall have Enough to say In fitt place. I Con= ceiv that touch, when wee say soft, is no other then a Complex of divers slight touches Confused; And Even light it self is but reiterated pulses on y^e organ of sence, ffor w^{ch} y^e Eye is Miracolously adapted, hath an Image unlike any other; and if that also, I have Much to suggest, when I come to it.

It is Not amiss to Examine au fonds³²⁵ the root of this capacity of ours, w^{ch} will Not lett us into y^e knowledg of objects, with out Such Gross Confusion; Whence moves all our Sence of admiration, & Curiosity. ffor If wee Reflect on our Idea of allmighty know= ledg, where all thing's are Noted as they are in Nature, (w^{ch} know's No Confusion,) y^t is Every thing distinct and apart by it self, but Ideas of sound, light, &c, y^e Creatures of defect, do Not Exist, but In y^e almigh= ty will, y^t it should be so In us. ffor Harmony of 3^{ds}. and fifts In musick, vanish when y^e pulses

Nature hath No confusion, Nor omniscience, & our Ideas from confusion are onely In y^e Will of y^e creator It Should be so, for they are created by defect in us.

Such is Harmony w^{ch} is most apt for $y^e \ p^r$ sent state

 $^{^{\}rm 325}$ i.e., 'to the bottom', 'fundamentally'.

242v

becaus exami=
nable divers
way's, w^{ch} light
is Not.

Wee can come at No distinct Idea of thing's y^t since Confounds but gather it by reason & experi= ment.

Fantasmes

6.

the pulses are knowne, that is distinguish[=?] ed; for then the order becomes uniformity and Not harmony. and If wee had So Much more of perfection, as to perceiv all \boldsymbol{y}^{e} pulses of Musicall tones distinctly, wee had Never any Notion of harmony, as one blind can never have any of Colours. And It is Not Strang to say that our Images or Ideas are Not In y^e Almighty, becaus they Re= Sult from defect; however It may be his will wee have them, as were Wee are Im= perfect in knowledg, and so fall within his omniscience. And this Instance of Sound is Notorious. and /so\ proper for demonstration of what wee mean; but All object's of sen[ce?] WhatEver have the same Conditions, tha[t?] is to be perceived under an Idea of Some confusion. It's true, wee have capacity to Extract some particular's distinctly, such as an hors, a tree, the sound of a bell, [or?] of 2. bells, & $y^{\rm e}$ like, and this leads us In to our philosofy of Simple motion. but yet this is but as an Eminence selecte[d?] or part of a confusion distinguished from [ye?] Rest, ffor light it self, & sound are con= fused Ideas; /what\ then /is\ a thing seen, or heard is but a member of that confusion? and

And when wee say two lights, two /sounds of\ bells or y^e like, wee mean, two confusions, there being Nothing truely simple that can fall under actuall sensation; but as Ma= thematitian's postulate lines, & figures with exquisite Exactness, becaus nature admitts them; so wee demand object's as knowne In their simplicity, not that wee have any truely simple Idea, but becaus Such may be In nature, as wee Suppose, and our sence Subdeviding y^e Confusion seems to Rep^rsent to us.

One May ask how it Comes to pass, that Wee Cannot Comprehend any thing distinguish= able, but within such narrow limits? as /for\ the Numbers 100. 1000, & $y^{\rm e}$ like /are beyond our $\operatorname{comprehension}\$ who ever had an Idea of a poligon of 100, sides? & yet such are deealt withall by the Ma= thematitian's. why doe two Colours In powe= der Mixed produce a third; why doe things passing Swift, seem continued? to begin with this last, and give a fundamentall Reason for all y^e rest, & other like Instances of Indistinction; It is to be observed, that wee have No perception of things but by mediation of sence, that is of our body's And those are made of a Such Magnitude as

The Extent of capacity In dis= tinguishing Re= ferred to the Com= parative velo= city of ordinary Motion In our members.

Fantasmes

8.

The power of swiftness in Mo= ving our Mem= ber's, depends on magnitude and is Regula= ted much upon y^e Reason of pen= dulums.

as like other Magnitudes, is determined by y^e proportion it bears to Such body's as it is or may be Collated with. And Consequently the Motions of it, and the Member's, are not Susciptible of such swift movements, as lesser body's are; ffor whatever is the pri[n=?] ciple of motion in us, It hath certein Mag[=?] nitudes to work upon, and Cannot actuate them to greater then some certein velo= city. as a man Cannot Swing his arm so swift, as a fly moves his wing. and admitt any degree of swiftness, let him hold a weight In his hand, and that shall slacken the Motion; and generally speaking, the action of the arm, is as of a pen du lum In a certein measure, as crotchet time In Musick, but ye finger will take a swifter Motion, as a Quaver, or less, and ye action of Swift performance In Musick is partly acquired by labour & practise, & at last, done with, not y^e whole, but a small joynt of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} arme, and that of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wrist. And So $y^{\rm e}$ head, $y^{\rm e}$ tongue, and other part's have a certein capacity In Swiftness. the actions of a child are Swifter then the like done by men; and of the lesser & lighter swifter then of y^{e} bulkye & Gross. but y^{e} Quickest, of all or part's is that of the Eye, whose agility Ι

Fantasmes 59

When wee Seek to distinguish any thing it is done, by some Cor= poreall Movem^t of our parts, ei= ther actually or mentally.

The Eye y^e Swif= test of o^r Members, w^{ch} makes specks vinite In breadth

[wⁿ?] things are past this transient distinction, then confusion begins. I Shall have occasion Enough to Note. Now When wee would distinguish one thing from another, It is done Either In action /or the\ Ima= gination of action. 1. action, when sheep that are to be told, pass by; If they come so fast that neither the finger, head nor tongue can Iterate so fast, It is Impossible to Count the Number. So when wee view any thing, wee thinck wee have at once a fair landscape, and accordingly wee Make pictures; but In truth the peices of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ that is Composed are small, and most thinck, y^t \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Eye takes distinctly onely a speck, and the rest is Gathered by a swift transition of $y^{\rm e}$ Eye to & fro. and $y^{\rm e}$ picture being accor= ding to our Imagination, tho Much Excee= ding a distinct view at any one time It is well Enough. And this swiftness of ye Eye, is what wee cannot take an account of by any other mean's, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ makes the cours of it here & there unite In our Imagina= tion as a Continued thing; for No part of us will move so sudden as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Eye. Hence wee have a competent apprehension of a few things at one time, as 2. 3. 4. 5. & scarce ffarther; when wee Come to 10; 100 & ye Idea is [meer?] Confusion; & turnes to meer hypothesis

Fha Fantasmes.

10.

or Nominall knowledg; as when under a name, the thing is supposed, but Not by any Image of y^e mind Conceived. and as wee use Names In such cases, so shapes also. as at games with cards; If the 10^s. 8^s, or 9^s were not pipped in a Constant Regular manner, but Incertein & various, a games[=?] ter would loos his attention, from y^e Game to telling his pippes. but y^e passing to & fro upon y^e Card, takes y^e Comon figure, & then all In one Instant concludes y^e Number.

Action bounds y^e Capacity of y^e Imagination, w^{ch}. is but Memory of practis 2. The Imagination will Not Exceed action as to any Capacity; ffor it is but ye Memo[=?] ry of action, and accordingly applying it when $y^{\rm e}$ Sheep pass by, a man, without help of any actuall movement, may Count /[as?] such [....?]\ but he hath in his mind a movement $\ensuremath{ \mbox{such}}$ as he hath made /[....?]\ & may againe use If he Will So Musitian's who measure their time by y^e pendulous swings of their arm, w^{ch} are those they Call Crotchetts, but having long notes to deal with, as they call, larg, lon[g?] Breif. &c. they have a mentall subdevi[=?] sion Into Crotchetts, by mean's of Imagi= nation, and so determine of larger mea= sures according to tale. And In short, the Imagination is limited as it is taken from practis, and is but the Remembrance of it. therefore

Things are Com= pared by Such transition In Me= mory from one to y^e other, When one is attended too, y^e other is In y^e Memory, or both.

Attention Can be but to one thing at once. therefore it is No wonder, wee have not a capacity of larger Comprehension, and so ffew Individualls can be taken Into our minds at one observation. ffor wee have our knowledg from $y^{\rm e}$ Conveyance of so much body, as will Not actuate it self In compyance with ye litle Itemes that compose those $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ strike our sences. And this I Shall conclude with a word Concer= ning attentive Comparison of thing's, w^{ch} is done by alternate transitions from one to another, Either by actuall sensation or Memory of it. as when we Examine, whither Magnitudes, Colours, tasts, &c are alike or Not; In doing $w^{\rm ch}\text{,}$ the things Come together alwais by help of memory of one at least, & Not otherwise. It seem's the at= tention will Not be devided, ffor \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mind is but one; but when one object is prsent In sence, y^{e} other In memory; /and\ being y^{e} last thing attended too, is therefore strong, and so by sufficient Number of such transitions wee Make a Judgm't. And When Many thing's are thus proposed to Judgm't, the Me= mory of one is confounded, by that of others, and No Comparison is well made but In the simplicity of two thing's onely. And If this ac= tion of y^e Mind be, (as may be,) done onely in y^e Memory, It is all alike, for reason's $G\underline{ive}n.^{326}$

 $^{^{326}}$ As the underlining of the final word suggests, RN is moving to a conclusion here, he crowds this last line into the bottom of the page.

12. Fantasmes.

The Idea of Con= tinuance, Is in truth made up of divers sensation's or Items, Indistinguishable for tho Continu= ance is In Nature it is Not in Sence.

swift pulses Seem continued, becaus the memory of y^e one overrreaches y^e other, & so uni= tes, or mixeth them. As to the Continuance of Motion, & time the Consequence, wee must allow \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Nature of it to be without any Interruption. But If wee Reflect on our Idea's occasioned by from it, wee shall find reason to thinck there is No such thing as Continuance In our Minds; but our whole sensation is Compound of distinct Item's or pulses. And against this It is No argument to say, wee perceiv \boldsymbol{y}^{t} time is an uninterrupted Cours, ffor our perception's are Not of thing's as they are, but occasioned by them, and are rooted In fancy or Imagination, & there wee must look ffor the plastick seat of them; as Most plainely is demonstrated by Musicall tones & harmony, w^{ch} to our perception are/is\ Conti= nued, tho knowne to be distinguished Into pulses. And here another reason offers itSelf, why frequent pulses give an Idea of Con= tinuance. very soon after one, ye Memory is strong, almost Equall to y^e force of y^e Next puls. and after a puls, memory is some Con= tinuance, tho \boldsymbol{y}^{e} thing is Instantaneous. so reiterated pulses, not letting ye Memory wast at all, blend together & Seem Conti= nued. Now Judging that ye mind as being one Cannot attend to divers things at once, and our apprehension of variety, that is of motion, is but attention to ye changes it makes

Fantasmes 7 13.

makes, our attention leaps, and hath periods dwelling here and there to observe, tho the Motion moves Equably, & wthout Interruption. ffor w^{ch} reason, I am of opinion, that all continuing sensation's, are but frequent pulses that is distinct attention's to various objects In periods, & Not continually flowing. the Geometers may suppose fluxion's In Motion becaus the Nature of Motion is so; but there Is No fluxion In Humane Sence, but stopp of attention, and time it self composed of distinct Item's of thought, or, w^{ch} is y^e Same thing, pulses.

If an almight power, should Interpose any Intervalls, between one sensation and another, that intervall is Not perceived and, as to time, lost, as Of None were, and the time renew's at the next attention; w^{ch} suc= cession of attention's is, to our sence, time, And without Miracle, but ordinarily, there are More or ffewer degrees of true time pass between one attention and another; as If y^e oscillation's of pendulum's were to speak, they would tell us time flow's Equably, but Say wee, It is Impossible, ffor your hour Cannot be half an hour, so soon is it Gone. that is when ye attention passeth Swift from one Item to another, that Swiftness is

Intervalls be= tween one puls of sence, or between one attention & another are lost in acc° of time as sleep, &c

	14.	Fantasmes
The reason of the Inequability of time, from y ^e swift or slow transition of y ^e attention.	tention ffinds It moves slow, And whatever I attention, & a time. and this thinck time un movement's is wee attend, or Sleep & dream' I Referr to ye and Conclude, Smell, feel pl In attention b	ss of time. ffor when the at= no aggreableness in chang, & that is y ^e dullness of time. ntervalls are between one nother, as to us they are lost is y ^e true reason why wee equall, w ^{ch} by Regular accounted to pass, whither Not, equably. How ffar our s are Concerned In this Notion Essay of them, ³²⁷ and forbear it her[e?] that all y ^e while wee see, hea[r?] easure, or paine, wee are held y Indistinguishable changes, o[r?] ct's upon y ^e Sence.
The understan= ding depends on distinction of things, and so is Not at all Re= quisite to render sensation's agrea= ble or Not; ffor mixtures may pleas or displeas & we know Not why; nay when there is distinction Enough, wee doe not see y ^e Caus.	wonderfull, is all Required to th of these objectionately Mixe Irregularity's are wholly Ign when Many pers position, /suc is beauty-full say, why? Moti no dependance	Considerable and Indeed that knowledg /of y ^e Ingredients\ is Not at e gratefull or Ingratefull Effect ts of sence. ffor Sounds propor= d pleas, and oppositions and are strangely offensive, tho wee orant of y ^e Ingredients. So it is ons shall agree that a dis= h\ as may be accurately distinguish't, or aggreable, and No one Can ons that pleas, or offend, hav[e?] on humane science, but this e nicely traced In y ^e dicours paine. ³²⁸

 327 See, for example, 'Some farther deliberation's Concerning sence & attention, In order to Investigate ye Nature of Sleep & dreams', BL Add MS 32526, f. 12v ff..

Fantasmes. 8 15.

wee know No= thing of caus, so as to Resolve y^e Effect's of Ima= gination; but can discover many things without us w^{ch} are y^e occasion of our various Imaginations. Another thing, and Most wonderfull of all is. that wee know less y^e causes of appearan= ces, w^{ch} are formed within o^r Selves. then y^e nature of thing's $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ are the moving occa= Sion of them. or rather wee know Nothing at all within us, and all wee can gather is the Nature of Externall thing's, wherein wee are ledd by the diversity of Images within us. as, to hold $o^{\rm r}$ Instance, wee have discovered that the sound of a fifth, w^{ch} rings so Well In our Ears, is /In Nature but\ pulses in y^e proportion 3/2. But wee Cannot know any caus why that proportion gives that species of harmony. And We may p^rtend to know that light is the effect of pulses upon \boldsymbol{y}^e tender humid Eye, in some certein manner, and as that is diversifyed, wee distinguish Red, azure &c. but What is ye Reason that Such pul= ses y^e exhibite Red, should Not exhibite azure, or any other colour, wee can Never from ye prsent state of Naturall discovery find out. D. Cartes, Refferrs it to $y^{\rm e}$ Will of Heaven, and rightly; as it is also, that a a bowl should run, & every Naturall Con= sequence happen; but yet wee are allowed to observe how principles have effect, and It were as resaonable for us, If wee could to know how it happen's, if wee Should from

Fantasmes

16

from certein touches, conceiv Such pecu= liar and distinguishing Ideas, as sound light colours. &c. w^{ch} of y^e latter sort are so surprizing & wonderfull, and so In great measure, tho Not So Eminently, In sounds.

To walk as farr as wee Can, in this dark laberinth: let us Consider, that one thing may be satisfactory, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is, that the composition of the sensible machine, is of parts Innumerable, If Not Infinite, and the Com= bination's of chang, as may happen amongst them sufficiently so, whereby ye variety's of life from y^{e} Infancy of y^{e} longest lived animall, are so few, they bear No propor[=?] tion to what changes Nature admitts, In Such Numerous Ingredients. And over all these, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} mind is sur-Intendant; and In generall, hath No object, but the chang of position, or place, among the part's of the Infinitely complex systeme, to w^{ch} it is annexed. If it were possible, that the whol[e?] Mass, were but one Sort of substance, wth= out organ's, or disposition of sence In one part more then another. then there Would be No other perception but, such as wee call touch; And Even that Must admitt of variety, ffor the Matter having difference

A sensitive crea= ture is composed of Infinite parts & members, all w^{ch} are capable of variety.

The variety not under one sort as might be, that is called touch. yet even that may be Infinitely varyed.

Fantasmes 9 17.

difference, and chang of position, is Not $\boldsymbol{y}^{e}\mbox{-} \boldsymbol{Same}$ when it happen's from various matter here or there placed In the sys= teme is also various; even /comon\ touch hath In= finite variety, w^{ch} serves aided by atten= tion & tryall, serves to distinguish on what part the touch falls. as the touch on ye foot, is Not ye Same Chang, as when on \boldsymbol{y}^{e} head; so Experience first, & then Instinct (not here to be Explained) In= structs animalls, to know their parts, when affected by touch, & to distinguish one from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other, and at length use Gaines greater Nicety, as wee know by ye use of our ordinary Manuall feeling, by $w^{\rm ch}\text{,}$ as Some say, blind Men have dis= tinguished colours.

That sensations become grossly different, and distinguisht In= to organick per= ception. But If it happen's that any part of this lump should be sore, that takes a New capacity, and is like an organ of Sensa= tion, ffor Nothing can touch there, but with an Impression ffarr different from all the rest, and Gives us an Idea wee Call soreness. And If Instead of that, there were a bruis, another Idea attended iyt, w^{ch} wee call Aking. and so upon other

affections

Fanstasmes

18.

variety In thin= cking follows y^e modes & varietys of body. and per= ception by y^e Means of body, admitted, the changes follow. Affection's of ye parts, Extraordinary or accidentall, the Idea's correspond In newness or variety. Now it is No More rea[=?] sonable to ask, why a bruis makes aking and the skin-off, soreness. then why a triandle triangle, or a Quadrangle is Such. It is a variety of Mode, w^{ch} the essence ad= mitt's. and when wee Grant \boldsymbol{y}^e one \boldsymbol{y}^e other ffollows; therefore as chang is In $y^{\rm e}\ Essence$ of body, so variety is In ye Essence of thi= cking or perceiving. And when wee admitt perception occasioned by body, wee must admitt, that different modes create diffe[=?] rent Ideas, and that they are different is all wee can affirme of them. as wee can= not affirme of body any thing of difference but in its modes, or variety's In y^e Manner of its position or Extension. but Wee May Give names to any thing, and so help ye Memory to know when ye Same or like Re[=?] turnes; as wee have ye Names of triangle & Quadrilater, so the sensation of them May be termed triangular or Quadrilaterall. And thus wee acquire a knowledg of Species In sence. ffor having downe In our Memory the Impression's certein object's Make, wee catalogue & know them againe.

Fantasmes

Ideas are various from various con= stitution's In y^e object or occasi= on of them. tho wee cannot come to know the con= dition of such con= stitutions simply taken.

As y° capacity changeth y° object w^{ch} is allwais y° same seems to chang. as when from confused to\less confused, or distinct.

It is Not Materiall to perception, that ye object's are distinguish't, ffor one may be composed of triangular Ideas, and y^e other of Quadrangularity's, and wee Not know it for reason' Given, and yet the Idea that comes from an object of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} one sort Indis= tinguishable, differs from one of the other. And So May be alternately knowne, by y^e Idea, as often as Either Returnes. And for want of distinguishing wee Can onely Mark or Name them; but it is a vaine thing to Inquire, why the one hath such an Idea, that is, why blew, is blew; Harmony of 8^{ths} fifths, or thirds, is such. and why other Impressions have also their peculiar Ide= as. It is Enough that confusion In ye object hath an Idea $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ wee cannot anatomise by Meer sence, onely know that, $\frac{it \ is}{it}$ (like body's in systeme,) It is as it is, and Not as other confused objects striking ye Sence; So that all is Resolved Into difference be= yond which our ${\tt knowledg}\ / {\tt perception}\ {\tt in\ confused\ Cases}$ will Not Extend. And If $y^{\rm e}$ faculty's were nicer, and distinguished the order of y^e object, It took another forme, that is dis= tinct: and the Idea of ye Confusion vanisheth such vertue hath want of Capacity In humane

10 19.

20. Fantasmes

perception wthout
any confusion
would deprive
y^e world of all
its beauty's.

These varietys organized Into Gross distinguish= ments, have an admirable use.

Hearing Informes by mean's of the spring of y^e air not otherwise to be perceived. humane perception, w^{ch} Made More per= fect, would deprives of the wonderfull I= mage wee have of the world and Its a= mazing object's of various kinds, Redu= cing them to y^e Simplicity of Matter and Its modes; w^{ch} is void of such Elegancy's as Confusion affords In our Imaginative capacity.

This vertue is Sublimed in us, by the Miraculous disposition of organ's of sence. ffor setting aside Comon touch, and one branch of it a litle more Eminent, Called tast. there is In ye head place'd a Membrane called $y^{\rm e}$ timpanum of $y^{\rm e}$ Ear. $w^{\rm ch}$ hath a Spring, and Receiv's the tremolous Imprest movements that fall from the Spring of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air; and by that mean's creates an Idea so Much nicer, then any other Exterior part of y^e body, (for No part but this Membrane, feels the tremula's of y^e air) that It is dignifyed by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} title of a distinct sence Called hearing; and well deserves it, becaus It Informes \boldsymbol{y}^{e} animall of every thing that moves the air In that Manner, and In due measure. and with /by means of \ Subdevided va= riety's, helps to distinguish one thing from another, as is well Enough knowne to us Ιn

Fantasmes. 11 21.

Sight Informes by mean's of a subtiler Mat= ter then air w^{ch} permeates y^e membranes & humours of y^e Eye. and In y^e touch made upon y^e bottom keep's the order of part's /or places\ In Won= derfull manner.

In like manner, but by a much more wonderfull organ, wee have Impressions by touch, from a matter that penetrates where air will Not come, that is y^e Eye, thro $w^{\rm ch}$ and all $y^{\rm e}$ liquor's of it, this matter convey's force to the Retina at the bottom of ye Eye; and that by Institution (for It is Not chance) is of tenderness to be so Impres't and is Conserved in it. by the liquor all= wais upon it. And the formes of this organ I mean the parts, and disposition of them, are so accomodated, as artificiall Glases, to deposite upon \boldsymbol{y}^e Retina, Each point that sends a touching Influence, In due place & proportion, that \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Influence is not onely felt, but with great distinction and order of the objects /(Grosly) whereby\, their very shapes is are also felt. W^{ch} is so Consummate Evidence of designe, y^t none without Stupidity will doubdt it. thus Much I have sayd In generall of the diffe= rence between objects of the sence, and the pffantasmes of them In our Imagination, under w^{ch} they are perceived; As a p^rpara= tion, to an undertaking, & No slight one, of shewing y^e Mechanisme of light & Colours. And becaus the conveyance of Sounds &light are thro \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same medium, I must

coincidently

22. of Fantasmes.

coincidently discours of them also.

The late philo= sofy of light, & particularly [...?] of S^r Is. N.

That it is an E= manation really flowing from y^e object.

Quasi corporeal.

Ray's of appro= priate Colours, appearing when sorted forth Each apart, as is done by different Re= fraction, or the Refrangibility of them to diffe= rent Angles, Wee have touched already, that the Caus of seeing, cannot be corporeall species flowing from y^e object; and somewhat touchi[ng?] M^r Newton's Notion's,³²⁹ but of them a litle Mo[re?] particularly. He supposeth that light is Somewhat /called Rays\ that flow's by $\underline{\nu}^e$ strait lines from the luminous, or luminated body, with In= credible swiftness, and Notes ye time It is pas[=?] sing, from $y^{\rm e}$ satellit's of Jupiter, to us, $w^{\rm ch}$ is ffarr Enough to Come, as they account, In of time. he doth almost, or tantum non³³⁰ affirme Ray's to be corporeal; becaus It is Manifest, all \boldsymbol{y}^e Rules of the passage & Reflection of light, are the same as are found to Governe the Impulsive Motion; that Regarding partly Impulses of simple body's, and partly the complex motion of fluids, you have the Indication of all ye Steps light can or dooth doth make. then these Ray's, or parcells of them w^{ch} the opticitian[s?] call pensills, are composed of Individualls that have a distinct nature, as to Causing In us the Idea's of diver's Colours. So that as wee perceiv Colour's, it is but Ray's y^t caus that colour, $w^{\rm ch}$ wee perceiv; and If other Ray's are substituted of another Sort other colours, appear. That these Ray's of all Sorts blended

250v

 $^{^{329}}$ Newton received his knighthood in 1705 - which may not have been in time for the main body of the text, but was evidently in time for this marginalium.

of all sorts, that is all Colours blended to= gether gives us the Idea of generall light or white; That each colour hath a proper= ty to Refract thro angular mediums /(as for Instance prismes) \ to a certein angle, therefore In such cases \boldsymbol{y}^{e} collours yt can pose ye light, are devided by Refraction, & layd In rows, as Comon obser= vation, Especially of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} prisme shews. but who would have an Exact account of this Systeme, must goe to $y^{\rm e}$ author ffor it, becaus these here are but touches, to Make what I have to say be understood.

First to say that light act's after $y^{\rm e}\ Rules$ of body, amount's to an affirmation that it is body. ffor there is No Medium between penetrable & Impenetrable. then to Say as he doth that, body will turne to light, and light to body againe, Is to $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Same}$ Effect or Nothing. ffor If body Reteins Its Impenetrability, as I thinck will Not be denyed It is all one what it is called, ffor the thing is still ye Same; and for a being to chang from penetrable to Impenetrable, and E conversò³³¹ againe; doth rais rather our wonder at the discours, then any Image of an Essence possible to Consist with ye knowne Nature of body. there And If one would prove that light act's meerly by corporeall mean's, wee need say No

more

The action of light affecting us, as body, Must mean y^t it is done by body.

³³¹ i.e., 'and convert'.

of Fantasmes

24

Any Corporeall obtrusion Grossly on y^e Eye gives us a sence of light, If some all, & none other.

note,

The light made by gross touch, is like that of finer, Inclined to Colours, therefore the No= tion of Ray's, &c a figment.

more then, that wee perceiv it; for our organ is body, and the moving that Makes us perceiv; and body (ordinarily) is Moved onely by Contact of body. And there is all $y^{\rm e}$ signes $y^{\rm t}$ can be of bodyly force upon $y^{\rm e}$ Eye by light. ffor If made disproportionately strong It shall dislocate ye part's & destroy the organ it self; as some by great lights have bin blinded. therefore wee Shall Make No bones to Conclude that ye sence of light is caused, as other sensation's are, by bodi= ly Impression upon y^e organ; that is by meer touch; and the Image of light caused by it, is the consequence of a peculiar tenderness of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} organ, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} No other touch will, In that manner, create, but such as luminous body's caus; how this touch is diversifyed so as to Represent shapes and colours, is a profound mistery, of w^{ch} more In other place; and her /I $\$ conclude with one /a $\$ comon observation /or two w^{ch} prove touch to caus /in us\ the Image of light. And that is when clownes rudely with fists strike one & other's Eyes, they tell that fire flew out of them, so that an Externall Stroke with such violence, as shall Com= press the Retina, gives sence ye Image of light; and a touch of y^{e} finger at y^{e} Corner of y^e Eye, neer y^e place of y^e Retina makes also an Image manifestly Inlightened. Then

Fantasmes

Light passing is a demonstr= tion y^t the World is ffull,ag^t S^r Is. N. 1 Op. y^t y^e Inter= planetary spaces are void.

Vacuity Exqui= Sitely opac. Then taking it for Granted, that bodyly movement derived from $y^{\rm e}$ luminary to $y^{\rm e}$ organ is y^e Caus of light; wee conclude first, that there cannot be In light any thing Inconsistent with $y^{\rm e}$ Nature, & essence of body In the world; one consequence of this, and, admitting $y^e p^r$ misses, demonstra= tive, is that the world is full. and No vacuity in it, at least Not as $S^{\rm r}.$ Is. N. sup= poseth, all ye vast planetary spaces to be void of body. ffor /ye Influence must be Either by means' sof continuall Emanation, or perpetuall contiguity. If the former, light with its Emanations must fill all places, ffor No point is free from Its Influence at ordinary distance, or where light is. If the other, then light finds y^e space full, & /so\ useth it. and Either way, there is No va= cuum; and surely Nothing can be so Exquisitely opac as meer vacuity. there is No Evasion of this but saying light is another essence that penetrates bo= dy, and it self also, to w^{ch} one that Can assent, may have solution's allwais ready to serve any turne. And there is demonstra= tion agt that also; ffor If light penetrates bodv

13 25.

26. Fantasmes

If light pene= trates body, all body's must be pellucid.

That light turned Into body & E $Cont^r$ a Strang sentence.

Light can be No real emanation of any thing from y^e luminary & passing thro y^e medium to y^e Eye body, then all body's Must be pellucid, and No opacity In the world. If It be Not so, but light creeps thro the Interstices of some compounds, & Not of others; It is be= caus light cannot penetrate body, and then, it is body it Self. As for the won= derfull saying, that light turnes Into bod[y?] and, body Into light. becaus some Matte[r?] by being Combustible, may become lumi= nous, is the same as to say, a body May turne Into Sound, becaus it causeth in us the Image, or sensation, wee call sound. Geometry hath small share In such rea= Sonings.

That light is No Emanation, is to be so demonstrated, as I thinck without Re= nouncing all /reasonable\ principles, wee cannot owne it. ffor how can a Single luminous point fill an whole sphear with light, and this In an Instant, and Not onely filled, but gone, and filled againe, as one y^t stands by shall pleas to Interpose, or remove a Screen? /and y^e is yet More strang, maintain a Continuall Current?\ they Say Indeed, light passeth with Incredible swift[=?] ness, w^{ch} is so farr true, that /both the measure &\ y^e passing of it in that manner, is Incredible. and not onely one point, such as a candle is to y^e Extent of its light, but many nay

Innumerable

Fantasmes.

14 27

Innumerable such act y^e same, as In a theater, /where\ with all y^e Reflections, lights con= tinually, tho Quietly & safly crossing Each others (supposed) Emanation's. Most Grant the Medium of Comon air to be neer full If not altogether full of body, and It seems neither that to light, Nor light to itself is any disturbance, or caus of disorder, tho Each Cross \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other Miriads of ways. one Might alsl ask also, when this world is Once full of light, what becomes of it, at mig midnight? they say it is a Stream or Current from y^e luminary Called Ray's; w^{ch} Intercep= ted, It doth Not strike our Eye. but then it is allway's powring in still, and tho stag= nating, is light; perhaps It goes Into other luminary's, to supply $y^{\rm e}$ Stock, & be Shott out againe; or It coagulates Into, or Setles upon combustible matter, and as they say turnes Into body, and light againe alternately. I cannot allow the Epicurean Continuall current of Species, to be more Extravagant then this Inundation of ray's, a Stream of light, actually flowing from y^e luminary. And at the same time carry all ye Symptomes of body, there being No circumstance of complex motion, w^{ch} is Not found to have place In y^e action of light, as Even they admitt. now

That light is [i?]s a current of ray's more strang to hold then y^e Epicurean Species

28. Fantasmes.

Light Must have a solution Me= chanically or Not at all.

light act's by protrusion of the medium; this foun= ded on y^e notion y^t all motion or Im= puls, hath Influence ad Infinitum Now having so much allowed me, that light In Every thing acts In y^e Modes, & hath the Consequences of body, that is touching Re= fracting, & Reflecting; I must Conclude that whatever y^e principle is the action is Con= veyed by y^e mean's or medium of body, & hath Mechanicall solution, as other Com= plex motion's have, or, If rightly understood May have.

It will follow then that light cannot pass in a trajectory way, that is by Cor= poreall Emanation's really Issuing from y^e luminary, and flowing all space, even to Infinite (as, may be sayd) round about. All w^{ch} is Inconsistent with y^e clearest of truths the Impenetrability of body, & perseverance In place. But It Must act some other way, and, If by ye Mean's of body, (& what way els?) by protrusion of the medium; $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ being stated In perpetuall contiguity, a consequence of plenitude in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world, whatever Motion is Excited In it hath Influence ad Infinitum. And It being ye Nature of fire, as I have to Shew, to drive from it the adjacent Me= dium; that force passeth according to ye laws of Motion to $y^{\rm e}$ Eye, & there is (artfully) conveyed in likeness or proportion to ye bottom of y^{e} Eye, & is y^{e} touch wee Call light. so

Fantasmes 15 29

The same de= monstrated by Induction.

The opinion Not so Monstros but may be made easy by familiar Examples of [Million?] notorious. so y^e Induction falls thus. light act's as body, $w^{\rm ch}$ is $y^{\rm e}$ Same as to affirme, as wee doe, that it is the Consequence or Effect of body. And that Can be but two ways, 1. by trajection, 2. by protrusion. the first Is Impossible, there= fore it Must be \boldsymbol{y}^{e} latter. Now Some May affirme ye like, of the latter; or that it is an opinion so Monstrous & Improbable, that deserves to be put in y^{e} Same Class with y^{e} Impossibles. Now it is Incumbent to Shew the contrary of these. And first that the Modes of body agitated, Exactly conforme with y^e Modes or Nature of light. And since things of this nature, Cannot be discours't, (however thought) but under the forme of sensible Images, I must be Content to Refferr to ordinary occurrances of motions simple And complex; ffor Rep^rsenting my thoughts And thereby, when it is Impossible to demonstrate what is, demonstrate What may be, w^{ch} In science, hath y^e Next place to it.

254r

Fantasmes

30.

as Colours. &. Somewhat may be Sayd /also\332 tho that is y^e harder chapter of y^e two.

1. As to the cross process of light; Wee Con= Sider ye Capacity of body Simply; and Re= member that a body was capable of Infinite various directions, at the same time, And Each one without concerne with or disturbance of the other. As a boul running on a plane; is Not disturbed, by y^e diurnall Motion of y^e Earth, and the like if [...?] ye Motion were Infi= nitely Complicated If a Body were Made to Move from A. to. C. and an animall sat at D. then a stroke fall's on B. the ani= mall is sensible of it as If No Motion were from. A. So If y^e animall were at A. and the Stroke opposite to y^e Cours at. C. the ani= mall would perceiv it. as also any stroke or number of strokes (admitting Capacity of sence) to Infinite as may fall on this bo= dy Moving or Resting. and the Influence of one stroke, doth Not destroy, or disturb the sence of $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ other. Then to enter Complexity, let y^e Space A. be an agregate of body's continguous, In y^e Nature of a fluid Me= dium. let a Stroke on the Surface at B. dispers an Influence In the angle H.B.G. and at C. In y^e Angle F.C.D. and at E. In $y^{\rm e}$ ang. B.E.D. These severall Influences cross

All manner of protrusions of y^e medium every way consistant with each other.

<diagram>

<diagram>

254v

³³² This page offers a good example of the problem of reading for a chronology of writing. The main text (with its wide margin) is written in a pale/faded ink, the marginal comments and diagrams (plus this single correction) are in a darker ink; the marginalium is in a different ink from the diagrams. The darker ink has been added later (as seems to be the case throughout this essay). But the main text bows around the lower diagram, having been written around an earlier pencil version (still visible under the ink).

Fantasmes. 16 31.

cross Each other In y^e Space A where an a= nimall of Nice sence Residing should per= ceive all these Influences distinctly. All w^{ch} is No More then, and Reducible to the case of a single body, w^{ch} shall carry y^e Influence of Infinite strokes, that is have Infinite Motive directions at the Same time, without any confusion att all. ffor In truth there is Nothing reall In Motion, but Respective chang of dis= tance & position of Matter, that hath No limitt.

This is Rep^rsented to the thought, but wee must ayde ye thought, by some Imagination. that is Exposing to it some sensible actions of body, as May Explaine our Notion; $w^{\rm ch}\ {\rm May}$ be done, tho the things are Not Exactly, $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ same. And for this take ye undulating u= pon the Surface of water; ffor If you rais them In 40. places of the same pool, they Shall spread, crossing Each other, and Every one Reteining its circling distinct, and one may see them, after passing, open againe, & proceed distinct. and as 40, so any Number of Circles without stint; and more then so Each shall make a Reflection at the Sides and Returne In a cours accordingly. In= creasing \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Crossings. And all this while a spectator Shall discerne at first the distin= =ction

This Rep^rsented to Sence by y^e un= dulations on y^e Surface of wa<u>ter.</u>

255v

The Ripple of water tho see= ming confused is Regular.	=ction, but when it Comes to be Much Redou[=?] bled, with various courses and Reflection's Intersecting Each other, he Shall be lost as to farther knowledg of these Movem ^{ts} and below the whole surface to be actua[=?] ted confusedly, /as\ In an accidentall Rippling ffor what he doth Not distinguish he Calls
	confused, whereas y ^e Confusion is Not In thing's but In his Consideration of them. ffor No part of that Ripple, but is part of a cours or of a Reflection, originally de= rived from one of those strokes, and conserved as all y ^e Rest are to Infinite deminishing.
Supposition y ^t by y ^e undulation's an animall May perceive y ^e bea= ring of its center or point of be= ginning.	Now I will Suppose, w ^{ch} is Not Naturally Im[=?] possible, that an animall is Capable [to?] note the place or dBearing of y ^e Center /of each undulation \ from it/self by No other mean's then the manner of this circling from it. as If the Center be to y ^e North, when y ^e circle comes to the a= nimall, It shall point, & say It began the[re?] And so for the Reflection's. wee at the Sam[e?] time Suppose an animall, capable to dis= tinguish More then one, or divers /yet\ more as y ^e Capacity may be, and Say, now I perceiv 2. 3. or More centers, at or Near the Same time, or so many as May Not be distinguishable. ffor If y ^e movemts proceed distinctly

Fantasmes

32.

Fantasmes 17.83.

This Instance Not y^e Same as light but rather as Sound, but compe= tent to demonstrate to Sence, y^e decus= sation's of Motive Influences wthout confusion.

That light is consistent with Sound tho Passing by y^e Same Medium.

distinctly, the sense of them may be dis= tinct, as an animall is armed with facul= tys for it. I doe Not say that this Resem= bles the Case of light ffor It is plaine, It doth Not, becaus y^e action of light (seems) to be In an Instant, and this motion is Suc= cessive. And therefore apperteins more to Sound, then to ought Els, as may be Shewed In fitt place. But it is sufficient ffor occu= lar demonstration, In a way Experimentall, that Motion's of dBody admitts Infinite de= cussation's, Each prserving the Cours and Ef= fect, without being disturbed by the other. And for this reason it is that, the shining of a light from y^e South, is No hindrance of our Seing one from $y^{\rm e}$ East, or West, tho Each of these, and of Every other discerna= ble at the same time, fill, to our thincking y^e Whole Sphear. W^{ch}, I am bold to say, Is Impossible, by any other mean's then I have Shewed.

But since this Image of a watery surface Is Not Exactly Quadrate with the action of light; And also considering that light and Sound pass by y^e Same medium, as wee Con= ceiv, It will be necessary both to Shew how this Instantaneous action of light May be, and also how Consistent with sound,

wch

Fantasmes

34.

 $y^{\rm e}$ latter Shall but touch, being Reserved to a proper discours of sounds.

I propose one Image to the fancy, capable by Mentall augmentation to give an Insi= nuation of an Intire Idea of light & sound And that is a larg Cisterne of Water of a Cubick Content, ffrom $w^{\rm ch}$ conceipt wee need Not abstract Gravity, but take it as it tCom=monly is seen. This so, one Comes & with a ferula strikes the Surface of the water. here are two Consequences, the first is an undu= latory process of y^e surface, w^{ch} Rep^rsents Sound; and the other is a force Imprest on all $y^{\rm e}$ sides of $y^{\rm e}$ cisterne $w^{\rm ch}$ the water touch= Eth, and Reprsents \boldsymbol{y}^{e} action that Causeth light. the former is Graduall, and how it is acted In $y^{\rm e}$ air, accordingly, is deferred. but $y^{\rm e}$ other, by Mean's of the perp/et/uall Contiguity of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} water, is Instantaneous, and spreads Every way In an hemisphear, without In= creas, deminution, or trajection of Matter, from w^{ch}, (being Supposed,) Springs all the def= ficultys & Mistery In ye Caus of light. and those Removed, I may con licentia³³³ of the [Jingle?], say, the light will clear up /it Self.

That there is such a sudden & generall force disperst thro y^e fluid In this manner May be Experimented, If wee will borrow some

A conceipt of a body of Water Impelled, Shewing y^e action both of Sound & of light from y^e Same force. and carryed on In a Continuall paralell of light & sound.

These consequen= ces of force In fluids, made good by Expm^t.

³³³ i.e., 'with permission'.

<diagram>

note. It is possible fishes may feel strokes on water, & so have a sence, y^t is Neither Sight Nor sound.

The Influence of strokes passeth strait & Not Curb from y^e uniformity of y^e fluid.

Some aid of Extreames. A Slet let a small capillary foramen be towards the bottom of the vessel, thro w^{ch} the water shall Issue in a trajectory or parabolick line, as at A.B. & Mark $y^{\rm e}$ point. B. then strike the water at c. and y^e forme of y^e parabola [will?] alter to A.E. and so at every stroke the Influence may be observed. This I Instance in a Moderate ves= sell, but it is y^e same in pond, lake, sea, and truely Speaking, ye Influence of an Im= puls, In fluido Never ceaseth /is not terminated\; altho our Capacity of perceiving it is soon at an End. Now I will suppose Insect's Creeping on ye Sides of the Cisterne, under water, or fish Swiming In it. I thinck None will deny but at ye Instant (or Imperceptibly /if \ otherwise,) these animalls May perceiv the Stroke, as If layd on /upon\ their backs. If The water be struck in divers places, each gives a distinct Influence, altho Each cross \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other In all the medium over, without disorder or Confusion, as by divers such capillary vent's May be tryed, when at Every stroke In Every place, st the stream shall start out, and so much y^e More at y^e Same Instant, If all y^e strkes are together.

That the Influence of these strokes pas= seth In strait & Not In Curb-lines, will Not be denyed, ffor the whole fluid being sensible of

F Fantasmes,

of the stroke at once, It is to that porpose as a solid, w^{ch} I may Remember was ob= served to Receiv y^e Influence of y^e Impuls by strait lines, and Instantaneously. this Radiated Influence thro all a fluid from y^e place of a Stroke, May If one pleaseth be called Ray's, ffor when I use y^e word Ray I shall Mean Nothing Els; and surely Not any reall thing Emitted from y^e Stroke, besides the medium, and the Effect of Impuls, In y^e ordinary way upon it.

If the sides of this vessel, any animall or other thing Natant In it, be Capable to yeild to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force of such strokes, they appear In an actuall giving way, as, at the capillary vent, I Noted. but If all be solid, and there be no yeilding at all, then this Motion turnes to a tendency, that is Would Move If there were way, as If the strokes are Re= peated divers times, y^e vent being stopt, there is No Motion but as medium, vessell, & the fulciment also Move. but there is a tendency of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} medium to Move In such way as would take place, if It Might be, and would appear, If ye vent were freed. so that as y^{e} Motion is, so is y^{e} tendency In all modes whatever; If ye Motion would be In strait lines, $y^{\rm e}$ tendency, $w^{\rm ch}$ is but a Rea= dyness to move so, will be In the same Hence

It agrees with y^e notion of Rays.

If no vent or yeil= ding, and strokes are Continued they become what is called tendency, or continuall Caus If the subject were p^rpared.

Fantasmes

what would be true of Motion is so of tendency ffor y^t is proved by and is in truth Nothing till it is Motion.

Influences must
Reflect from ob=
stacles, by y^e [....?]
of Impulses. vis^t
Ang. Incidence =
ang. Reflection.

Hence wee Shall readily affirme of meer ten= dency, the same as would be true of motion, and No Mistake ffollow, becaus it is declared as before, to be no motion, but supposition of motion just as it will, when it may be. And so wee Mean Not that tendency hath any Effect, as when such strokes upon y^e watry surface, hath no effect upon ye Sides of ye vessell, but put in an animall with organ's adapted, to Receiv Impres= Sion, that is to be moved by ye Consequence of Such strokes, then it is Motion, and that onely wee mean by tendency. And so long as such Strokes Continue, wee say, there are Ray's or Influency, that tend as often from y^e place stricken, every way as $y^{\rm e}\ Medium$ will lead them In strait lines. And as the opticitians fancy, Ray's of light as capillary Emanations moving strait from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} luminary. so wee will here be so free, to say that upon Every stroke There are ray's of force from ye place, directed to Every part of y^e Medium strait, Impelling it. and thus by $y^{\rm e}$ Conduct if this Image, I hope to make the one sort Explaine \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other.

Now If wee may Suppose, a point of y^e vessell on w^{ch} a Raye falls, to have the nature of a Spring, that is to yeild somewhat, and then Repercuss y^e force. the direction of Such Reper= =cussion

19 37

Fantasmes.

38.

=cussion must be according to ye laws of Re= flection, that is, y^e ang. Incidence, Equall to ye Ang. of Reflection. And the Same thing will happen If the spring be Infinitely strong, $w^{\rm ch}$ is Coincident without Hypothesis, according to $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}\xspace$, Impenetrability In $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ Greater body, is a caus of Reflection, No less then If it were a Spring. And it is demonstrated In a Case of simple Motion. As If the body D. Rested agt an Infinite Resistance at A. And were struck with a larger body at. B. upon this stroke D. shall move as It would, If united to B. It had Struck at. A. that is as to ye following direction, as for velocity's they have other Consideration's. And In that case D. shall move after y^e Rule of Reflection towards C. Nay If the Stroke were direct as at D. In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} perpendicular. D. would Not rest after \boldsymbol{y}^{e} stroke, but Reflect towards ye force. all this is Consequent of what hath bin before shewed, and particularly the rule, that e= =very percussion makes a Repercussion.

These Reflections are to and fro as light.

From hence it ffollows, that In y^e vessell of water, the Rays of force doe Not dye at y^e sides, but Reflect according to Rule, and so too and againe Every /way\ ad Infinitum (ffor force Never dys.) as y^e forme of y^e vessell and the

<diagram>

Fantasmes.

<diagram>

the position of y^e Minute parts, on w^{ch} the Extremity of y^e Ray's fall. this May be Ex= plained, as let. A.B. be y^e vessell, y^e Stroke at A. the Rays going by right lines, can Ne= ver affect an animall at. a. becaus there is a solid. $y^{\ensuremath{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{t}}}$ Interposeth. but a direct Ray Re= flected from B. to. C. & so to A. Shall affect it. And If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} solid. a. were Excavate, with a foranimule Into it, the Strem would tho so covered, start upon ye Strokes on ye Exterior surface. And the Influence passing, as it doth by strait lines Cannot Come there but by Such Reflections. Now If \boldsymbol{y}^e Sides of \boldsymbol{y}^e vessel are levigated or [plaine?], all paralell ray's, will Reflect paralell, as light upon a looking glass, but Rays from a point, will diverg, and If the forme be oval, arcuate, or otherwise, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Rays of force, as those of light, will have a cours accordingly. But If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} sides are Composed of parts lying confusedly, and of accidentall formes, then y^e Ray's are throwne here & there so as No place is free from them; as ordinary coloured objects seen by Refection, are to be discerned Indifferently from Every part. And if an animall perceives by a Reflected Ray, he must take ye force to Come from ye Reflecting point, and Not \boldsymbol{y}^e point of \boldsymbol{y}^e force, as objects in

20 39

259v

<diagram>

Fantasmes

40

As In a Glass, are Not seen /as\ from y^e true place but by y^e Cast Raye, as If they were within or behind y^e Glass. And all that I have sayd here of this Influence of strokes upon y^e Surface of water, supposing Some yeilding so as y^e force Makes actuall Impression, I Shall p^rSume to Say of tendency, for Reasons already Given.

This tendency of the force of strokes thro a fluid Medium, hath other property's of locall Motion. ffor If a Current from A. passeth Neer a fixt solid. C. It shall Not touch it, but break off before, and bending about the poin[t?] fall Into Cours againe. W^{ch} depends on what I observed of body's moving against a fluid or a fluid agt them. the front is Screened by some some of y^{e} fluid y^{t} is lodged against it, and so the fluid break's aside. And accordingly the Ray's of force, passing Strait neer an obstacle, shall Not touch but Curb round $y^{\rm e}$ Exterior part, and so be put In disorder, but fall in againe; ffor as actuall movement would be so is all Influence of motion, and tendency. this consequence with divers others are observed to attend y^e passage of light, as hath bin observed /as by Sr. Is. N. & others, wh[ere?] It may be mett with. so it is If a current [thus?] passeth

passage of fluids as In currents ag^t obstacles, sheweth y^e Manner of the Influences of strokes or of light passing by or thro Solids, parted asunder

Fantasmes.

If thro angular foramina the Influence spreads Into cilindricall forme, as light plainely shews.

Such Influence of strokes, will be obnoxious to all rules of y^e perspective art, as light is Passeth thro a Quadrant or triangular fo= rmen, It will soon becom cilinsricall. In w^{ch} figure y^e parts conforme with y^e Medium and themselves most, and so by $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Many}$ agitations of them, the angles Grow blunt, \boldsymbol{k} at length are lost. In like manner this Influence passing thro such foramen by Strait lines, Shall be disturbed by $y^{\rm e}$ Solid. & take such cilindricall forme, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ I cannot well prove nor should have thought of, but ffor y^{e} Manifestation of it In y^{e} passage of light. when Glass in church windoes is broke observe ye formes projected from ye Sun on \boldsymbol{y}^{e} opposite wall, and they shall Ever be found to be round, & Never angular, as the foramina are.

I may with Confidence also affirme, that all the consequences, w^{ch} y^e art of perspec= tive deals in, are applicable to the Influ= ence of such strokes, or y^e ray's of force from them; becaus those consist In Magni= tudes, and strait lines /& Geometrick consequences of them\ as may be Explained If I undertake y^e Subject of arts; And Re= garding onely y^e passage of light, there is Not one mode or Circumstance of it but is applicable, to this Influence of strokes on y^e Surface of water. I have shewed

21 41.

42. Fantasmes.

Shewed it In Most I can thinck of and par= ticularly Reflection; It Remaines to consider The case of Re= the Case of Refraction, w^{ch} is the Most conSide= rable phenomenon of light. And ffor Explai= traction adum= brated, by water ning this, I must Inlarg my Supposition and Repleat with Spung. ffill my vessell with some loos stuff. I thinck spung will be most aggreable. therefore let the cisterne or pool, be full of spung swelled to $y^{\rm e}$ utmost & filled with water. I say that the Influence of strokes /layd\ upon the Surface of this watery body, notwithstan=/ding\ the Substance of the Spung, will have a Rectilinear Influence on /direction or cours to\ y^e Sides. ffor y^e Spung The Influence of being an uniforme body, as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} water it self such stroke's will is an uniforme mixture, what one thing be rectilinear putt's aside, another sets right, so In y^e Who[le?] y^e Spung, being as the Result is Equivalent to Rectilinear. y^e water, uniforme ffor an uniforme mixture of Irregularity's, amounts to Regularity. To come neeerer to the Sensible, let us Imagin, A current of water thro spung that a Current of some liquid past thro tho by anfractuous a spung, as If the bottom of a cisterne of passages, yet water were of that Nature: where ye weight hath a Rectinline= of ye Superior water would drive thro the ar Cours Rest, with no litle Expedition; that current

thro y^e body of y^e Spung, however anfractu[=?] ous the passages were, would be directed In

22. 43. Fantasmes,

The Spung Re= present's y^e Medium of light passing and If a difforme body of Spung were plas't ag^t y^e other, y^e Influ= ence of y^e strokes might divert at $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ Entrance of that. for as y^e uniformity of y^e Medium makes y^e Ray's strait, so a difformity di= verts or Refracts them.

a perpendicular, that is a strait Cours, but as to the perpendicular, it is to be accounted as other plumbs, Converging towards y^e center of $y^{\rm e}$ Earth, & Not In paralellisme as as to our contractedness seems. but that is the direction $w^{\text{ch}}\ y^{\text{e}}$ Strokes, Causing gravity, produceth; And so when a stroke is layd on the The surface of water, the direction of the Ray's, as I $p^{\rm r} \text{sume}$ to call them is diverging from the place, as from a center. And the straitness /rectitude\ of the Cours of them is Imputed onely to y^e uniformity of mixture In y^e Medium. but If there were two Mediums of different Mixture as Oyle upon water, I cannot Say there the process would be strait, but be diverted, by oc= curs with somewhat, \mathbf{w}^{ch} creates a new and different manner of passage. so the former cours is disturbed, till the uniformity of composition In $y^{\rm e}$ second creates a strait Cours againe, but that Cannot be continued of the former strait, Growing out of the dis= turbance; as the other terminated In it. And so is Refraction /of light\ w^{ch} shews as an angu= lar fracture, but is Not so, as late vertuosi observe, but rather Compassing; that is there is a confusion & disorder at \boldsymbol{y}^{e} passage between one Method & y^e other, but for y^e Same reason y^{e} Cours is strait, In one, It soon becomes so in y^{e} other medium.

Water moving by Gravity Not a fit Instance, becaus y^t is a continuall direction way, but some other Current free to take any direction. wee cannot take a parralell for Refraction from Gravity, becaus that is an action Ever Renewing, and Not flowing from some one force, as wee suppose our strokes upon y^{e} Wa= ter to be; and as light is an action propa= gated from a force In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} luminary. therefore abstracting Gravity, and supposing a Current of water thro a body of spung all of a peice and In Every Respect alike; and there is another peice of Spung annext or Joyned to it, of another sort, but Either finer or courser grained, but In it self all alike. there is No doubdt but the water meeting this difforme Spung, must be disordered In the Current of it. And Such Cours as the Wa= ter happen's, from y^e manner of this new su= perficies, to take, It will proceed strait In that If the superficies be square to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Current, there will be onely a disorder, and then a continuation of the same direction, for No= thing can generally Incline it one way More then another. but If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Superficies lye oblique, then It is so farr from strang that the strait Current is diverted, that It is [-?]consequence must happen; as when a single body moves, and strikes obliq upon another, It must be diverted from its Cours.

Here I must Recurr to What was sayd before of Refraction, when y^e discours was of Simple /easy body's Impelling /simple motion. that \ there is No reall diffe= rence between Refraction & Reflection; the former hath more force to proceed, & is less diverted. And what was y^e Rule of simple Im= puls, will governe all complex action's, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ are composed of simples, and Confused onely to us, that have not faculty's to distinguish. Therefore let us take an Instance of that sort. there is a surface, D.B. permeable by a Moving $\underline{\mathsf{sort}}\/\mathsf{aggregate}\$ of body's. A. these may either Reflect or Refract /but comonly both\ ffor If the body's fall on the exterior part's of the Superficies; then they Reflect to it. iff they pass touching a side at the En= trance, they are diverted to. E. and And it is ob= served In the Case of light, there Never is Refraction without Reflection. ffor Some ray's will fall on the solids directly, & those ne= ver pass but Reflect. And In this diagram It is obvious to see, that If the obliquity be very Great No ray's can pass to Refract but all Reflect. as also that In a Cours perpen= dicular to the Surface, there can be No Refrac= tion, tho there May be Reflection, ffor the body's Must pass clear or Not at all. But

Refraction consi= dered In the sim= plicity vide334 of ye part's as may be Concerned In it. vist. a Speeces of Reflection.

<diagram>

In great obliquity there is No entrance of Ray's to Refract but all Reflect.

³³⁴ The word 'vide' struck out here is in the same paler ink as the text, and was evidently written before the marginalium which has been written around it.

46. Fantasmes

Refraction from	But there is a different Manner of Refrac[=?]
rare to dens is	tion, as sometimes towards the perpendicu=
to &, & Econtra	lar, w^{ch} is the Case of a body more dense, as
from y ^e perpend:	out of air Into water, and the contrary out
	of water Into air. so that density Refracts /towards\ &
	more rarity, from the perpendicular, as
<diagram>³³⁵</diagram>	let h.g. be a Superficies of a more dens body
	towards. f. as water for Instance. and E.f. the
	perpendicular. If the motion be from a $/y^e$ dens to rare
	the
	Refraction will be /from y ^e perpendicular\ towards. d. &
	Not towards [c?].
	but If the movement be from d.b. or [c?]. from
	rare to dens, It is otherwise as, If it be from
	d, the Refraction Shall be to. a. It is obser=
	vable that this is the same Cours, whither y ^e
	movement be from one or other, as Ifrom If
	from a. It is to d, & If from d. it is to a. one
	y ^e Revers of the other. But the caus of this de=
	pends so on y ^e forme & texture of these surfaces
	and how they close, that one Cannot adven=
	ture to say how this comes to pass. let us take
The reason is	to our Imagination of Spunges. The Current of
the dens obstructs	water passing from the thinner into that
& hinders more	more dens finds obstruction and Crowds it
y ^e passage strait on	self more, but In the other Case, it passeth
y ^e Rare opens y ^e	with less difficulty, and would carry more
passages & Makes	If it were there, this is all the reall difference
'em more Easy.	I can find; and I beleev the consequence
	,

is

 $^{^{\}rm 335}$ This diagram is still in pencil.

is from thence, but how, I cannot say. So here is a Non plus ultra³³⁶ in philosofy, w^{ch} concludes not onely knowledg, but conjecture, or thought of probability; If it Appears that such event may be by Mechanisme, without Necessity of Inventing (pardon y^e Expression) unknowne powers, to stop y^e Gap, it is y^e utmost of our aim, In this particular.

What will happen to Currents, will be alike to all tendency's, w^{ch} are as was sayd y^e Same thing. All that here is say'd of actuall Currents, is applicable to those starts, $\boldsymbol{w}^{\text{ch}}$ are Caused by strokes on a fluid body, and have bin so much Explained. ffor $y^{\rm e}$ laws of Motion are $y^{\rm e}$ Same one way, and other; whither a currrent move slow, or swift, ffor the difference is No otherwise. The Influence of a stroke is but as the beginning of a Movement, and when it hath Effect, as upon any yeilding thing, whereby also animalls perceiv such actions It is but just Motion. ffor If a fluid were free, as dry sand is, and had No principle of cohesion or union, a stroke would dissipate all manner of way's, but being as I Suppose Inclosed, It cannot dissipate by such strokes but If Nothing yeild to them, then it is but tendency, and when there is yeilding It is Mo= vement; but the tendency being a $p^{\rm r} paration$ or readyness to a certein Movement, wee take

 $^{^{\}rm 336}$ i.e., '(there is) nothing further, or beyond'.

48. Fantasmes,

wee take a freedome to say, the body tends or hath a tendency In such and Such Manner, and without offence, being so p^{r} = viously Explained. And thus I thinck the puzle Made by Cartesius with his conatus ad Motum³³⁷ is dissolved. ffor If It be Not understood of Reall Motion, when there is any Effect of it, It is Nonsence. but being understood, as I venture to Repeat, that It is a Constant preparation or readiness to Caus an effect, when obstacles are Re= moved w^{ch} hinder it.

Now to apply all this discours, to the case of light, I hold, that there are Innumerable strokes made by the matter of Every lumi[=?] nary, upon y^e our ambient medium; w^{ch} have an Instantaneous Effect (or very Near[=?] ly so) to Infinite Every way perpetually deminishing, In progression of sphears, an[d?] when animalls with organ's, capable of be[=?] ing Impres't by it, to a degree, as, accor[=?] ding to y^e Constitution of the Creature, is pe[r?] ceived, and as wee feel in our Eyes, It is Called light. How this force Happens, or may possibly be, remaines to be shewed, and being no slight paradox, will need Much care & distinction.

Note Tendency In y^e simplicity, is When a force falls on a a body, and that is Impeded by one much greater; and then that motion y^e less would have If Not Impeded is y^e tendency of it when it is Impe= ded.

Light is y^e Effect of Innumerable strokes by y^e Minute parts of y^e luminary on y^e Minute parts of y^e Medium, & so propagated by Con= tinuall contigui ty of matter thro all y^e Rest.

³³⁷ i.e., 'the tendency to movement'. Descartes had rejected the scholastic notion of '*conatus*' as an 'inclining to movement' (as if were an an act of will by objects). He developed a materialist theory of motion (which was 'materialistic' except that it required God to set all in motion - thereafter the rules worked). Descartes explained his laws of nature (which include the 'puzle' to which RN here refers) in the *Principia philosophiae*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1644, II, 36-9 (see http:// la.wikisource.org/wiki/Principia_philosophiae). For an English transcription of the text *see* http://www.earlymoderntexts.com/pdfs/descartes1644.pdf.

The caus of Such Strokes, is the Same as of fire, or rather the con= Sequence of fire.	We shall for this, lean much on the Con= stitution of fire, for I thinck Every origi= nall luminary, is fire; and Even corus= cation's, as rotten wood, & fish in y ^e Night are such, in a low degree; but wee medle Not with those yet, having More Regard to y ^e Grand luminary y ^e Sun, and If wee Can Reconcile that to our porpose y ^e Rest will be Easy; But yet wee must consider all to= gether.
The body of y ^e sun is fire.	The body of y ^e sun is concluded by all astro= nomicall Naturalists to be a Globe all, or much y ^e Greater part fire; as for y ^e Macula ^e ³³⁸ whither they be fewell or cinder's, Matters not, they Come & Goe, and fill a very small share of y ^e Disk. and It is Not unlikely, but If wee were In a distinguishing distance the Sun would Not appear to be so pure a fire as /seems\ to our Sight, appears. but disturbed & tumultuous, full of Eruption' Explosion's Grosser & ffiner ffiry matter Rolling about. of w ^{ch} wee Shall In larg, coming to consider
Where fire is there is a Strife of pres= sure between y ^e . Medium & y ^e fire,	y ^e Nature of fire w ^{ch} is Not of this place. it is Enough to say here in generall, that wherever fire is, there is a pressure of y ^e /ambient\ Medium upon it, and alternately, a
Els y ^e fire dissipates.	y' /ambient (Medium upon it, and alternatery, a strife

Fantasmes. 25 49.

$^{\rm 338}$ i.e., Sunspots; much of RN's life was passed during the Maunder Minimum (1645-1715) when sunspot activity was at a low.

Fantasmes.

50.

strife on y^e part of the fire against it, tending to dilate and dispers; As to ye Sun, this is derived from the Recess of the aeriall matter, /of\ $w^{ch}\ y^t$ /is y^e grosser or\ most Inept to swift motion, / works\ towards the Exterior part's of the solar sphear, and consequently the smaller and apter to y^e swift agitation of fire, works towards ye Sun, and So is $\underline{\textit{Quasi}}$ a perpetuall pabulum to ye fire. In like manner a Candle, is prest upon by y^e air, with y^e whole force of y^e air-Sphear, (ffor I take flame to be a Torricellian vacuity) And all fire is a Rarefaction that tends to Ex= pansion, & would Expand, If Not kept toge= ther by some such pressure as I have described. A burning Coal, perpetually breath's out a Rarefyed matter from y^e Interstices of y^e Wood $w^{\rm ch}$ is as flame, a vacuity, and Spends as flame In smoak, and So mixes with the air. This pressure & Repressure is the Caus of light ffor y^e Repuls of fire upon y^e Medium ambient about it, makes a tremolous Motion (but Incredibly swift Every way about, wea= kening by distance, but Never /wholly\ Evanescent So that If I can Shew that ye matter of the world is so struck upon, by ye surface of the sun, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Motion must Come to us, and wee having, organ's adapted proportionately for $y^{\rm e}$ porpose, wee perceiv & call it light.

This action and Reaction about fire made by small sttrokes, is In Sume a tremolous action In y^e medium w^{ch} spreads & demi= nisheth but is Ne= ver Evanescent The conatus ad motum of Car= tesius, as to y^e caus of light Rejected.

The caus of light is a tremolus motion w^{ch} a conatus cannot be.

This caus of light is wonderfull, & difficult to con= ceiv. Here I Reject the sentiment of Cartesius, who would needs have the Recess from y^e Center of the Globolous Ether, to be y^e Caus of light; ffor It is In no Sort adequate, and I shall give but one Reason, w^{ch} is light must be a tremolous force, Els y^e object Could Not have a Continu= ing Image In y^e Same Matter Manner; If the sence of the light was from crouding onely If y^e organ once yeilded there was an End, and the sence might perceive somewhat of a Stroke but Not a Continuing Image, as light is.

But to proceed with our owne designe, and Remove the herculean difficulty's that at= tend it. It May be asked whither the action of the luminary against \boldsymbol{y}^{e} ambient fluid, be not In Effect such a Crowding, as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Conatus of Cartesius, & lyable to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same objection? I answer No. the Rarefaction or Expansion of y^e fiery matter, Is from y^e Motion of y^e Minute parts, and those striking upon the fluid, $\frac{1}{100}$ so Many Impulses, where of $y^{\rm e}$ Influence Rea= cheth to us. So wee have this paradox to maintaine. light is the Sume of force, flowing from y^e Impuls of the Minute firy parts on the ambient fluid Surface, & so propagated Every way. one may ask, doth the force, of Every Inconceivable part of matter, on so vast a body

Fantasmes

52.

Strokes may not be perceived If Separate, but u= nited become a Gross object of Sence and Such are the consequence of fire. body as ly's between us & ye Sun, Make a sensible Impression upon our organ? I ans^r, If separately taken, Not, but with united fforce, they doe make such Impression, and With a violence to y^e organ, /as\ None is unacquainted with. The stroke of such a particle on such a body, is /yet\ somewhat, and /as I sayd of all Impulses\ Extends an Influence ad Infinitum, but is collated with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Capacity of our opticks, (however Nature hath No limits,) /it\ is once Inconsiderable, and May Justly be Re= puted as Nothing. How then these Insensibles multiplyed become Sensible? I ansr, Most Ne= cessarily; ffor whatever y^e Strength is, a combination of such, makes a strength Much greater then /any of\ $y^{\rm e}$ Individualls make, As one candle may be seen a furlong, 2. farther, and More, shall be seen divers leagues where /at w^{ch} distance\ one, candle was not /at all\ discernable; & yet all this was but Candlelight. So at the sun, the stroke of one particle is Nothing to us; /of 2. /comes neerer /the being perceived, but yet farr Enough from it. /then of \ 3. 4. &c. to such Numberless miriads of miriads as are made by ye Suns surface, that is by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Severall particles of it Cooperating to ye Same effect. So Many as according to y^e Comparison ${\color{red}{$t$-/w$^th}}\$ Candles, may be allowed to make a strong Impression on our organ, and answer ye proportion.

Fantasmes

17 53.

This motion Excited by the agitated Surface of fire, cannot be other then tremoulous, becaus the strokes are not all at once, and so This motion Must ceas, & againe. but Continually applyed, & with frequency, Inexpressible. and the process of the movement, must follow \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Nature of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} caus, that is, be Composed of pulses, as the Caus had. Whither wee Can distinguish such pulses, or Not; /that\ alters Not their nature; and the Consequence is but this; that wee have an Idea of Continuity, tho \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Subject is Composed of distinct, (tho Not by us distinguishable) Items. That this solution of light naturally agrees with most If Not all the /other\ circumstances of light /body by movements\ the particulars will demonstrate/. as 1. It comes, & act's by strait lines, $w^{\rm ch}$ shews us the places and Shapes of Every thing. ffor the figure of all visibles is described by a section of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} visuall Cone, as the masters of puls hath its Ray perspective say. and Such I may account /to be at y^e $In/Ex\terior part /[or Section?] \ of the Eye, where the$ extremi= ty of y^e Ray's, /[as?]\ terminated, deline /[all?]\ y^e Shapes of objects /as they are\ obverted to ye Eye; but that is Not Enough, they enter $y^{\rm e}$ Eye, and by $y^{\rm e}$ Rules of Refraction are contracted, & by wonderfull artifice, layd upon ye Retina, & there they are really terminatd, A sensible Impression being

be tremolous, and seem continued tho composed of pulses; And the concordance with light proved by Instances.

The action dis= pers't by strait lines, and each

54. Fantasmes

being Made by them there, by touches as to place In very proportion, as $y^{\rm e}$ object sends $y^{\rm e}$ Ray's w^{ch} gives us the Image of proportion an see= ming magnitude of things. as for Colours I The Influence Must take More time to Consider them. 2. crosseth Infinite light ffrom all part's, pass to all parts, and way's, as light not onely so, but by reflection's, crossing, & without confusion. Recrossing with Infinite variety, and yet neither y^{e} Medium y^{e} least disturbed, Nor y^{e} a strong argument of truth. lights at all confused. It is bold to say this cannot be otherwise, then according to our hypothesis, therefore I forbear, & desire who will may thinck of it, and Say If any Ema= nation or actuall flowing of light, Into and thro y^e Medium, hath Not Irreconcileable dif= ficultys, and If any /such difficultys $\$ are In this way of solving 3. All the modes the crossing of light. 3. The Reflection of of this action of light is Exactly conforme to ye laws of Mo= light, as Reflecting tive Impuls. And If light were an Emana= &c, after y^e Rules tion and Not body, why Should ye Rules of Body belong to it? If it be body, It cannot of corporeall Impuls. be distinct from $y^{\rm e}$ Medium. 4. light scatters Light Imitates a In y^e ambient medium, as a fluid current current by scat= thro another; and Imitates a Current, tho tering at the It is None. however shaped ye foramen at wch limits, & beco= it passeth is, It shall become roundish, and ming round It shall bend round Solids, and Not break sharp upon them. 5. light is a consequence of

266v

Light attends fire constantly, & that is y° most vi= olent of ferments, or motion's secun= dum partes.³³⁹

Light is Instan= taneous, as such Influence is. and that small diffe= rence, observed In y^e coming of light from y^e Jovian sa= tellitts, is consis= tent of fire, W^{ch} is y^e Most violent of Motion knowne to us, becaus it discerps hard body's, w^{ch} no other mean's wee have, Could doe So effectually, and soon; So that motion is the consequence of /motion and not\ Emanation: unless it be the feces or rather the Spume of fire, smoak, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is farr Enough from causing the Idea of light. And the vivid Image $y^{\rm t}$ light, doth In it self Resemble a sparkling motion, as when E= ery part of ye fiery surface, (and perhaps En= forced from y^e body also,) act's upon y^e Medium And producing Strength from y^e Combination of all. The genius of this action, doth wonder= fully conforme to our sence of light. 5. light is Instantaneous, as the Influence of strokes is. Wee can make no Experiment $y^{\rm t}$ proves ought otherwise. but \boldsymbol{y}^{e} late astronomers observe \boldsymbol{y}^e light of \boldsymbol{y}^e Satellit's of Jupiter, doth not appear till,³⁴⁰ after their Emersion from an Eclips, as they calculate the same. And they affirme much Constancy In y^e observation and argue from thence, that light is A process or successive Emanation from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} luminary. And there wee differ. Doubdtless thre is some failing In y^e calculates, for what astrono= micall prdiction's ever proved Exact? and those, whatever they are, goe to the $\operatorname{acc}^{\operatorname{o}}$ of time. but be it as they say, It follows not

³³⁹ i.e., 'according to motion', this is a direct reference to the latin text of Descartes *Principia Philosophiae*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, II, 22, p. 53, (see http://la.wikisource.org/wiki/Principia_philosophiae). For an English transcription see note on f. 235.

³⁴⁰ RN leaves a space here, to be filled in later.

Fantasmes.

56.

In practis there is some Rupture, of Instantes, y^e Rigor of w^{ch} is as other exactnes= ses, In hypothesi. but such happens from accidents of shapes, & positions w^{ch} tho there be a perpetuall Conti= guity, may caus a cession or yeil= ding In some degree, percepti= ble at such vast distance, but Justly accounted as No= thing Compared with it.

Then warmth at= tends light, w^{ch} argues a motion or action of y^e Me= dium.

not, but our solution may stand. ffor when wee goe from speculation to practis there will be allwais a wide difference. there are actu= ally No such shapes as Mathematitians deal in, points, lines, squares cubes, Sphear[s?] &c. they are but Naturall possibilitys, and therefore may be p^rsumed; and so time hath its Instants, or Imaginary points, such as come between 'fore and after. and lines $w^{\rm ch}$ are y^e duration of it. but a Real Instant is Not in y^e World, unless it be among thing's meerly originall Such as wee take ye prince= ples of compound body to be, Intire and In= discerpable. the clashing & Separating of such, Must be Instantaneous. But I cannot Say it is so In any Case of Compound body clashing, becaus all compounds will yeild somewhat, and act as Spring's rather then by Meer force of hardness, w^{ch} gives time to the Separation. ffor whenever a thing goes and Returnes, there is an Interstitium of tim[e?] between ye one & ye other. But this is so In= considerable No sence of ours Catches it. Thus when one end of a staff is put fore= ward, wee Say y^e other End moves In the Sam[e?] Instant, w^{ch} is sensibly but Not Mathemati[=?] cally true; ffor $y^{\rm e}\ {\tt Matter}\ yeilds$ somewhat and

[marg.]³⁴¹

And so the motion at y^e farther end, is not Exquisitely syncronous with y^e touch. And If y^e Staff were a Mile or 10 Mile long, & It were practicable, I beleeve, there might be a time Intervening sensibly. But what, If it reached from Edenburg to London, or what is more to ye porpose from y^{e} Earth to y^{e} Satellit's. According to this, the action of light May protrude $y^{\rm e}$ Whole Me= dium, as wee Comonly say, at once, but In truth, the accidents of shapes, motions, and position's of things of w^{ch} it is made, may break that Instant, by Some yeilding, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ In So vast a distance as to a satellite. And yet this May be justly accounted as Nothing ffor what is a. 2^d. of time, to that distance? our Stroke upon a vessell of water, makes the liquor at \boldsymbol{y}^{e} vent Start; wee Say, as it Seem's in y^e Same Instant; but there is reason of parts, however Inconsiderable, yet Enough to Infring y^e Nicety of a pure Instant. And Such allowance made for distance between us. & \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Celestiall luminarys, May well produce a few. 2^{ds}. of time, w^{ch} compared $\pm \Theta/w^{th}$ that /distance are /as\ Next to Nothing. And Notwithstanding that wee may well account ye action of light Instantaneous.

 $^{\rm 341}$ This marginalium, set sideways down the page:

^{&#}x27;6. Whereever light is there is warmth, and that is agreed to be but Mo= tion. This action, when it moves so strong as from y^e Sun, is sensible by y^e heat it Gives, and that is multiplyed by y^e Reflections about y^e Sun face of y^e Earth. but y^e warmth of Reflected light's Is Inconsiderable of themselves as that of y^e Moon, tho Experiments might be made of it by concaves And lesser lighs doe not affect us, as candles &c, without such helps.'

Fantasmes.

58.

The objection of Improbability ans^d.

The Medium of fire /light\ is the Inter= stitiall matter of the world.

There is an enimy still behind & that is, /Im=\pro= bability. ffor, In y^e objectors language wee Ma[y?] Say, how is it to be Imagined that the whole body of the medium between us & $y^{\rm e}$ Sun, or \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Much more distant starrs, should be put in to and kept in a tremolous motion, by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Stroke of Minute part's of matter? In ans $^{\rm r}$ to this I must propose farther to Consideration the proper medium of light, $w^{\rm ch}$ is differen[t?] from that of sound, ffor sound Comes not at ye Eye, nor doth penetrate solids, but will Incircle them; and that light will Not doe, passing allwais strait. Then fire, whence light proceeds, is of a subtile matter as all combustibles are. while Conglomerate, and Not agitated, the minuteness of y^e parts is Not to be discovered, but the being obnoxiou[s?] to swift Motion, peculiar to small things, it is conjectured. such matter, by y^e action of Gravity, as most yeilding, is driven downe to y^e Sun, and Such is y^e Matter about y^e Sur= face of it. And there it is the most, but far= ther from $y^{\rm e}$ Sun it is more broken & Inter= spers't, Residing In ye Interstices of Grosser matter. and that is $y^{\rm e}$ Case of our air, $w^{\rm ch}$ is like feathers or wool to this finer Mat[=?] ter that is amongst it; And by this finer matter

Fantasmes. 30 59.

matter by w^{ch} most liquors, & all pellucid

Exility ans^d. by Number, and then by niceness of Sence ffor If y^e action be any thing however slight y^e Sence may be yet as Nice as that is slight who Confines Either? body's are with litle disturbance permeable, the action of light is Conveyed. And however the Grosser is also affected, but not so as to affect our organ of sight, w^{ch} It doth Not penetrate, unless It affect us by heat ex= ternally, as ye consequence of light. but this Comes Not to $y^{\rm e}$ point. how such small strokes can Move Immens matter, so as Wee Shall perceiv it. ffirst as to $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ exility of $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ movent body, I ans $^{\rm r}$ It by Number, as before. but as to y^e vastness of y^e body Moved; I ans^r, If it be moved In any degree, I ask No More. and That hath bin all along Insisted on, & I thinck is Not to be denyed, that all Motion Influences all space, (admitting plenitude) and there are no limits of Motive Energy but whatever is sayd for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Continuance of it a yard, hold for 2. 3, &c. and So to Grea= ter then any space assignable assignable. then this action of fiery matter on y^e ambient Me= dium, being Somewhat In all distance /tho continually decreasing\; be ye place or distance where you will choos, I say y^e organ is Nice, as y^e Influence is Weak. and as Nothing limitts the process of ye Influences

of light, so Nothing Confines the power of seeing

269v

Seing; So this wonderment vanisheth, as all other admration that belong's to Magnitude /Immensity\ or Exility, /ffor such\ are but Ideas of defect, growing out of Comparison, with orSelves. ffor as all Magni= tude is y^{e} -Same /Indifferent\, and proportion onely fixeth it. So all force is $\underline{y^{e}}\mbox{-Same}$ /alike\, when Capacity bears proportion, according to Measure of it. And the most Inconsiderable Impuls is Great upon a body much less then it self, and a minute The eye In Every action, is Gross, If a capacity comes with a Respect disposed Nicety as to be so Much more subject to it. ffor Niceness of And what can be Imagined more Nice of touch touch, and to Ga= then \boldsymbol{y}^e Eye; Neer \boldsymbol{y}^e seat of sence, The very ther force from y^e strings of communication terminated, & layd action of $y^{\rm e}\ {\tt Medium}$. bare in the Retina; Humors ever there In plenty to conserve the tenderness of them and lastly, the artifice of ye Eye is such, as Con= tract's the force into a less compass, p^rserving the distinction of y^e object, whereby it is Im= prest with more Efficacy. All wch taken to= gether Shew a provision made to Receiv a The wonder of light very slender Impression, as that of light is is not y^e mode of If wee Examine it by any other mean's of such action as is perception than ye Eye; ffor otherwise It is $y^{\rm e}$ Caus of it, & $y^{\rm e}$ wholly unperceivable; I Grant there is won= seeming slightness der enough In it, but It is Not In the Movemt of it, but the Effect and its exility, but In the organ of sence & the

Fantasmes.

60

It hath on y^e Imagination

Fantasmes. 31 61.

the capacity of animalls, together with y^e sublime Image it gives us of y^e world, and all by mean's of most admirable artifice, and disposition of y^e organ. And this I have thought as to y^e Mechanicall Caus of light In ge= nerall.

Colours are but various modes of this action, w^{ch} are Not percep= tible, in such Inconceivable exility.

The like diffi= culty had bin, as to sound, If wee had Not acciden= tally found Mean's to anatomise har= mony.

But The Grand difficulty ly's be-hind $w^{\rm ch}$ is to give an acc° of the modification's of light such as wee Call Colours. I Should have Made No Scruple to assert the variety of Colours to be Nothing Els, but Ideas caused by ye various manners of the action of the light, that is Modes of it. And Why this is red, that blew, &c. to be wholly unaccountable, be= caus it Impossibe to anatomise \boldsymbol{y}^{e} pulsatory action $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ gives us those Ideas. But It is Easy to Imagin variety Enough, as the texture and disposition of compound body's and their parts may be, as also the Super= ficies of them, whither pellucid or Not, to. answer all those variety's. As to Instance In sound If wee knew onely that sound consists of swift pulses upon ye drum of ye Ear, without any Musicall Experience or anatomicall Resolution of harmony as wee gaine by undoubdted proofs, Shewing ye

62.

the proportion's of the (Indistinguishable pulses In y^e measure or time of them; that answere all knowne harmony. yet Wee Might have Reasonably Concluded; that the variety's Wee perceived In sounds, as nois, tone, harmony, discord, & $y^{\rm e}$ severall Specie's of each, proceeded, from $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ various modes of those pulses; And that as many way's, as the pulses are diversifyable so many severall & different sounds Might be perceived; altho wee did Not know w^{ch} belon[=?] ged to w^{ch}, as In many sort's of Sounds wee doe, Especially Harmony. Wee are under this Sort of Ignorance, & Invincible, I fear, In the Matter of light & Colours. wee can I= magin diversifications enough In y^e action, but cannot collate, those diversity's with our Idea's occasioned by them. And whither wee May hope such fruit of our faculty[s?] as by any future helps of discovery's wee have any reason to hope for it, or Not, I will Not say, ffor it is In vain to des= pair, and More vain to Expect a happy= ness In philosofy, so Remote. So that being at y^e End of our Cours, that is from things cer[=?] tain, to probable, & $y^{\rm e}$ degrees of it, & at length to meerly possible, and In that /both\ clear & dubious

Whither is is rea= sonable to Expect such an anatomy of light & colours is doubdted.

270v

Fantasmes 32 63.

dubious, wee must Rest, and Suppose wee are at a Non plus ultra.

S^r. Is. N^s. disco= very's about light & colours; whence he argues colours to be specifick & heterogene ray's, & light to Consist of all together, and Refraction to dis= play, by severing them In different Angles.

A hint there of light & harmony derived from one comon principle but Groundless. But wee are alarmed here by some ob= servation's and Experiments about light & Colours, published by $S^{\rm r}.$ Is. Newton. $w^{\rm ch}$ un= der y^e flattery of Shewing New Eclarisement In that subject, hath Introduced More Ig= norance & Mistery then wee were aware off. And under y^e disguise of declining all hypotheses, & professing onely Naturall history /yet he\ Sets up an hipothesis upon prin= ciples, of w^{ch} Nothing is seen, felt, or under= stood. The Invention is, that when light is Refracted, by passing obliq thro a Me= dium of a different Consistence, Divers Colours are projected in an order as layd with a pencill, and knowne to us by the disposi= tion of ye Rain-bow; therefore needs No far= ther description; And this order is Constant & universall, And by diver's Experiments hath proved Not onely $y^{\rm e}$ order, but the spa= ces proportionably, as they ffill, w^{ch} distin= guishing by the more Notorious periods of ye Colours, he hath found that they are to Each other, as ye Spaces of ye Monochord de= vided so as to produce $y^e\ \text{diatonick}\ \text{scale}\ /\text{in}\ \text{musick} \label{eq:scale}$

64.

or, to give a more familiar description then he uses, as \boldsymbol{y}^e Spaces between \boldsymbol{y}^e fretts, on $y^{\rm e}$ finger-board of a base viol. by $w^{\rm ch}$ he Would Insinuate Some tremolous Measures In light conforme to Musick, as If one prin[=?] ciple In Nature goverened both. but this I lay aside as a fancy, without any foundation, ffor what hath the spaces of Refracted Colour, to doe, with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} monochord, or aliquot parts. And If accident makes ye picture of ye Colours somewhat like the fretts of a musicall Instru[=?] ment, w^{ch} by y^e way doe In No sort Resemble $y^{\rm e}$ Motion of $y^{\rm e}$ air, Even In harmony, what can be argued from thence. He observes also that thin diafanous body's, have rows of these Colours, as so many rainbows; with many other finesses, best taken from the Author himself.

Altho he Re= nounceth all hy= potheses, yet here he fall's Grosly Into one. & Argues it as consequentiall from some expe= riments. Upon the whole, he raiseth an Hypothesis In opposition to the Mechanick solution of light, and Referring colours to different Mod[es?] of it. ffor, Says he, It is plain, the severall Co= lours are allwais Refracted In y^e Same angle, some On Greater other's In less, orderly as they are depictd. And If any one of those Colours be again Refracted, or oftener, ther[e?] are No New Colours produced, but that same continues

271v

Fantasmes. 33 65.

continues without chang of hew thro all

White a comon mixture of all co= lours, and Ray's are originally and pro= perly coloured.

Rays are Refran= gible to different Angles, allwais & unalterably so.

Refraction's. from thence he Inferrs, that light is Compound of ray's flowing from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} luminary, with Incredible Celerity. And that light being white, very Eminently so, when Strong, as snow linnen &c, and Grey or dusky when weak, or Inclining to dark, y^{e} - Compound /is \setminus of ray's the Ray's y^{t} [Guiv?] Give us that Idea of white, are a Mix= ture or ple blending of divers /or all\ sorts Confused= ly together. ffor Ray's are Qualifyed speci= fically and originally, to give the Idea of Colours, as sugar to create a sweet taste & Colloquintida bitter. And If these Colorify ing ray's, are separated, then wee see the Colours, but If blended together they are white, or whitish. Then another Quality of of Ray's is, that they are Refrangible, to a certein angle, some more some less, so that what In light is white, If Refracted is Co= loured, becaus the Refraction separates & Says apart ye coloured ray's, by their diffe= rent Refrangibility, w^{ch} In comon light were all blended together. Now to Shew that here are abundance of Revelation's, w^{ch} make science more obscure then clear, ye Item's will shew.

65. Fantasmes.

The failings of this hypothesis. 1. No Emanations

2. No senc of y^e
word Ray, but is
taken from simi=
litude to a Circle
& its Radii of
w^{ch} y^e luminary
is y^e Center.

lect optic^{ae},³⁴²

D^r. B/ar\row's
conceipt
of Ray's, as para=
lellipidenons; w^{ch}
was to aid demon=
stration, & Not as
a phisicall truth.

1. It appear's not that there can be any flowing of Rays from y^e luminary, but rather that such process is Impossible. 2. There is No account what is meant by Ray's. ffor \boldsymbol{y}^{e} word is but allusive to the radii of a circle and of it self, to \boldsymbol{y}^e porpose of light, wholly Insignificantive, unless that /it be becaus \ light is Inter= cepted by Any opac body opposing in the strait, between y^e luminary, & y^e luminated and so the luminary is like a Center of a Sphear & y^e light like y^e Ray's from it to y^e Circumference. but I desire to know, after all this, what Image or Idea have wee, that ans^{rs} y^e word Ray? No other then a clowne hath that talks of y^e Sun-beams, and as much is understood by y^e one as by y^e other. $D^{\rm r}$ Barrow, Ingeniously enough, Supposed $y^{\rm e}$ Ray's of light to be as paralepipedons, [solid?] and Shews the directions Of such body's would answer light well Enough. but he was farr from Imposing of that otherwise then as a paralell, to make \boldsymbol{y}^{e} action of light seem a litle familiar or probable to us, but Not as a phisicall truth. tho so farr he was right, that It being apparent light acted after ye laws of body; So No Instance Explicite could be proposed to Explaine light but What was corporeall. Some have fancyed Ravs of

³⁴² This marginalium (in the same ink as the main text) refers to Isaac Barrow's *Optical Lectures* (see note on f. 182v, above).

34 66.³⁴³

some allude to
painting & call
parcell's of light
pencills.

4. how can proper= ty's be assigned to Ray's, when there is No Notion of Rays what they are?

 Refrangibility to different angles may be Referred to y^e body Refracting as well as to y^e Rays Rays of light to be capillary, and Call any determinate Quantity, a pencill, alluding to such as painters use. These are pretty allu= sions, but of No Instruction att all. Much less when ray's are talked of, without any account, or definition at all of them. 4. It is Much More Strang when there is No account of Ray's In generall, that Quality's should be assigned them. As that some Should have a Quality to Make us see blew, and others Redd, &c & a Mescolanza³⁴⁴ of them all, white. that light it Self May be Coloured variously, is Not Strang, becaus It may be conveyed thro various me= dium's; but that any of it brings from ye lu= minary originally, its proper hew, and as wood is distinguish't from Iron, maintiane its property, hath litle ground to lean upon. Then the other Quality of Refrangibility, in various angles, whereby couler'd ray's are sorted to view, is altogether p^r carious. as If the refrangibility were In the Ray, and were not Referred to $y^{\rm e}$ difforme superficies, & $y^{\rm e}$ obliquity of Entering Into it. Is is true ye Co= lours are Caused by most Refraction's, And that they ly constantly In y^e Same order, &, /[neer?] Spaces. but how follow's it that this must pro= ceed from Refrangibility In y^e Ray's, when not Non Constat³⁴⁵ of y^e Ray's themselves?

 $^{^{\}rm 343}$ This is the first of three pages that RN has numbered '66'.

³⁴⁴ i.e., 'mixture' (from the Italian).

 $^{^{345}}$ i.e., 'not certain', that is 'we are not certain (of the existence, etc.)'.

66. Fantasmes. no proof to say wee know not how And It is dark proof to Say, wee know it Can be otherwise not how it Can be otherwise, therefore it for that is No More must be so. When it is shorter at once, to then, a know Not say the thing is Not understood, then to propose half a dozen precariety's, and It Come to the same at last. It is sayd, that y^e Angles, of Re= a & B. &c. Refraction of the same Colour frangibility Con= will not Chang it, but what is bredd by one Refraction, will be \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same thro all. be it clude not. so, but ye consequence failes, ffor the Colours will be ye Same, or Neerly so, In the first Refraction, tho the light have No white mixt with it but is purely Redd or blew as strong & true as any /such colour made by\ Refraction, as any one may discover by a prisme In a room lighted onely thro a Ruby or Glass Coloured. but I will Not contendhere of any Experi= ment but admitt all. And Still maintaine There is No Consequence to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} porpose made. The Mechanicall And as to that, and also some defect's In $y^{\rm e}$ Hypothesis hath sure principles Mechanicall hypothesis of light, W^{ch} are this None objected as fatall, I must alledg that If a solution be conformable to Nature and on sure principles, Such as /Resolve\ the giration of the planets; however all phenomena /perhaps\ May Not be Reconciled to it, yet it is Not to be Re=

jected, and for this I alledg the authority

of the

273v

Fantasmes. 3566.

The cours of the world may be concluded upon from Naturall conformity altho our manner of sensation doe Not lucibrate In Some Items. as Copernicus [...?]

A generall May be understood tho all y^e Incidents are not discove= red. of the Never-to-be-forgotten Copernicus ffor altho \boldsymbol{y}^{e} planets ough according to their distances to have appeared Greater and less to ordinary view, as he thought, yet there was scarce any sensible difference In their appea= rant magnitudes. W^{ch} was an objection to his systeme of y^e heavens he could not ans^r, and Not onely in a negative way, as a case not resolvable, but positively thwarting and with a face of demonstration arguing agt it. yet he saw so much of Nature (I know No bet= ter Expression) in that cours of the heavenly luminary's, that still he adhered to it, belee= ving there was some caus ffor that unaccoun= table appearance, $w^{\rm ch}$ was hid from him, & might In good time be discovered, rather then Resort to that Inextricable Machina= tion of Tolomee, of Resolving \boldsymbol{y}^{e} heaven's by orbs and axes; This by Gassensus was accounted a manifestation of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Greatness of his Mind, that could discerne truth, in Gross, and slighted small thing's, as knowing that If wee were let into a most sure Intuition, of a true hypothesis, It was not Necessary to know Every circumstance of it. but some phainomena would Remaine $w^{\rm ch}$ would not be understood; ffor a case may be knowne, tho all the connexions and Consequences of it may Not be Revealed.

67

so it fell out with Copernicus, his reason carryed him ag^t y^e Evidence of his Eys, and he neither thought of a mean's by Glasses to obteine a Manifest solution of y^e difficulty Nor lived to the discovery of them. but after his death, y^e use of telescopes Came in, by w^{ch} It was found that the apparent Magnitud[e?] of y^e planets what was most accurately Con= forme to their distance. and that it was y^e humidity & humours /of\ about y^e Eye, w^{ch} made a sort of adventitious light about them /starrs\, Whe[n?] Seen with y^e bare Eye; w^{ch} telescopes pared away. & Shewed y^e terminated body of y^e plane[ts?] In true perspective.

I May Resemble o^r Case to that of the pla[=?] nets, In y^e time, and apprehension of Coperni[=?] cus; that is that Wee have a plaine and naturall solution of light by the beating of the luminary, & y^e Minute part's of it upon the medium. a force that certeinly doth Ex= ist, and y^e onely Question is, If our organ be Nice enough to take it, and It be that w^{ch} affec[ts?] our sight. No say the modernes, you It can= not be that, ffor you doe Not shew mee, Why Refraction's are so Regulatd, and how Colour[s?] are consequentiall. be it so; yet y^e reason is not good, for a defect of application to a particular

Our solution of light is founded on certein and knowne principles. What if all In= cidents are Not yet understood.

So.³⁴⁶

 $^{^{346}}$ 'So' is written in the same ink as the main text - it seems to be a false start to the page.

Defect of applic= cation to a parti= cular Incident doth Not Impeach y^e Generall.

This solution of light, is Not contra= dictory to any knowne Instance of Naturall Mov<u>em^t.</u>

conclude with a Sumary of the so= lution it Self

particular matter, doth Not Impeach the ge= nerall, becaus there may be somewhat singu= lar In certein particulars, to make a diversity not understood, but such, as future discoverys may Explain, & make a connexion of all, as happened from telescopes, in \boldsymbol{y}^e Case of \boldsymbol{y}^e planets. And this hath less objection then that; ffor the appearance contradicted the assertion; If neer, larger, If farther off, less; so all will agree, but y^e planets appeared y^e Same In all distances tho so vastly Extended asunder. but here No= thing contradict's, ffor None can say but It is possible in Nature, that a tremolous action of y^e Medium diversifyed, may create in us ye Idea of Colours; but Wee know Not how, colours are Not incompatible with Such Caus, or Inconsistent with it. And perhaps ye Man= ner how, not yet knowne May be discovered.

I shall Conclude this branch with y^e Sume of all this discours concerning /light &\ colours, that the action of y^e luminary causeth a conso= nant action of y^e subtile part of y^e medium being most obnoxious to it, And that falling with advantage on y^e Retina of y^e Eye, creates in us y^e Idea of light; and the diversitys of this action, whither originally from some= what Singular In y^e luminary, or distur= bance, In y^e Way, is discovered by our Idea of

36 68

70.

of various colours. And such Images being all of Confusion, becaus wee have No sort of dis= covery of the minutes, In y^e action of light; the[re?] is No Resemblance, between y^e Image and the thing; wherefore light & colour^s, as wee I= magine them, are not In y^e object but In the Imagination; And Nothing is to be found In y^e object but Corporeal action.

Farther Consi= deration of S^r Is N. Referred to Exp. I have farther to Consider of S^r. Is. N^s. prooffs against Colouration being a mode of light, as also, what may be farther alleged that they are such and No other; but this needs som[e?] Experiment's to be made, and due Canvasing of y^e Whole theory, as may be done by a Supple[=?] ment here; for w^{ch} y^e lak lacune is kept.

Sound.Fantasmes 37 71.

The Mechanisme of Sounds depends wholly on the Spring of y^e air.

Fishes & divers may be sensible of y^e action of sound by Comon touch.

/I proceed Now to Consider ye Mechanisme of Sounds 347 The foundation of this Essay was layd, after I had discoursed of the spring of the air. Mot. comp. $63.^{\rm 348}$ ffor there I asserted that sound was a consequence of the spring, or elasticity of $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ air, and wholly dependant upon it; so that take away y^e Gravity $/or \ Spring^{349} \backslash$ of the air, whereby its Spring is bent and hath force, and let it come to Extream Expansion; all possibility of Sound in it, or to be conveyed by it, is Gone. Just as a string stretched shall yeild a sound, but flaccid, makes No Nois. This may seem Strang to many, who will say, that fishes In water hear, and Sound is heard from ye Exhausted Receiver; &c. as to fishes, they may be sensible of the strokes of sound in y^e air falling on y^e water, as Moles per= ceiv the treading upon $y^{\text{e}}\xspace$ Ground at Great distance; but that is Not sound, but touch, as In a wind ye Strongest hous will shake but /yet so litle, as Not to be seen, but felt /the blowing up of an hous is felt at great distance\. I doe Not know, that it is prtended Divers un= der water can hear; for I p^rsume such or= dinarily Stop their Ears, yt ye pressure of deep watedr May Not, hurt the organ.

³⁴⁷ This line has been inserted later.

³⁴⁸ I am guessing that this is in 'BL Add MS 32547: Vol. XX. ON MOTION: draughts of writings on the subject, more or less imperfect and disconnected Paper; ff. 430. Early XVIIIth cent. Small Quarto.'.

³⁴⁹ 'or Spring' has been rubbed out.

Sounds /Fanstasmes\

72.

The sound In y^e Exhausted Receiver languefies as y^e Spring is laxed.

Interstitiall air w^{ch} permeates Glass may have a Spring and propagate sound by forcing y^e Grosser air, w^{ch} onely can Impress y^e organ. As to Sounds In the Exhausted Receiver, wee are Informed that as the spring of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air is debilitated, so y^e Sounds from thence langue[=?] fie; trans. N°. 297.350 It is almost Impossible to find a place wholly void of air, ffor y^e derelicted space in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} barometer, hath Some air, from Escapes, & what Issues from /among\ the body of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Quicksilver, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} may rise when y^{e} spring of [.?] y^{e} air y^{e} weight or force of the External air is abated. It is Not Impossible but the subtiler part of y^e air, that per[=?] meates Glass, &c. may as ye Grosser have Spring, and for y^e Same reason, becaus bo[th?] one & other is under a pressure by Gra[=?] vity. Therefore Sound May be propagate[d?] by that, and when Joyning \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Gross air move that, but very poorly, as all those Experiment's shew. And I rather thinck somewhat of this kind, is to be allowed, be[=?] caus of these faint sounds that come to our Ear from under water. as upon Explosion's of Gunpowder, that may hap= pen without opening ye body of ye water, and Make a very faint sound Just discernable. this may be Conveyed by the Interstitiall matter; but yet Much may be

276v

³⁵⁰ i.e, The Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society for March, 1705 (Number 297). RN is using the usual citational form used by authors in the Transactions. This refers to the submissions of Mr F. Hauksbee on the sound of bells passing through compressed air, see: http://rstl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/24/289-304.toc; also available at http://www.jstor.org/stable/102917

Fantasmes 38 73

be ascribed to the Stroke of y^e Water upon the air, ffor it is certein the Explosion lifts y^e water, tho It doth Not, as when very Great, open it. and the water lifted must Strike y^e air. so experiments of beam's, or hammer's striking under water, are Im= perfect, becaus the part without may strike the air. Much accurcy In this sort of know= ledg May be Gained by variety of Experim^{ts}.

But However sound, or the action of Sound, is or May be generated or Conveyed, I am sure it affect's Not ye Sence of hearing un= till the body of y^e Gross air is affected, by Exciting y^e Spring of it, as I shall explain, ffor the Drum is a body the air doth Not permeate, but stopps against. ffor If the drum were permeable, as a ${\tt Reticulum^{351}}$ by y^e Gross air, as wee p^rsume it is, by the Subtiler part $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ pervades Glass, wee Should Not know Sounds; or they would be so lan= guid as to be of No use to us. But as the Drum is Constituted, a Membrane extended, as ye Name Insinuates, like a drum head, and a full barr to all passage of air, and being a spring, as the timpane of a drum is, Must needs Correspond the Springy

The gross air onely affects y^e timpane of y^e Ear, & when that is Moved by More Subtile, or Inter= Stitiall Matter the Sound Coming from it is very languid.

74. Fantasmes

springy Impulses of the air, and by velli= cating y^e Nerves subserient to hearing, Impart a sensation /of a movement\, like that y^e air bring's. W^{ch} Matter belonging to anatomy, I doe Not Crittiscise upon here, but Confine my Self to y^e Movements of y^e air, as they happen to Create or Modulate Sounds.

It is reasonable to begin with observations of ffact, Relating to sound, & then discours u= pon, or apply them.

It is Not Measure but y^e Manner of force w^{ch} creates sound, but such as come up to a [certein?] degree of celerity, as May Compress y^e air 1. It is Not Measure of force, but y^e Manner of it, Impelling the air, w^{ch} produceth Sound ffor a tree or tower falling with an Immens weight, makes No bruit till it Reacheth $y^{\rm e}$ Ground. And /yet\ a small stroke upon a bell or wire strained Shall make a loud & Cont= nuing sound. And however a small string stretcht is apt to yeild sound, a Cable, tho moving with an Immens force, yeilds None. And scarce any cord made to vibrate, If it moves distinguishably, hath any sound, & vibrations Indistinguishable, allwais Sound. whereby It seem's plain, that a certein de= gree of celerity, is Required, to produce sound. Such a motion as Resembles a Stroke upon a drum, such a stroke must be made upon

277v

Fantasmes. 3975

upon y^e air, and, by mediation of that, upon the organ, or no sound is heard. I may Re= semble an Insonourous motion, to be like a pressure of a drum head, w^{ch} doth Not Make it speak, as a smart stroke doth. The Reason why such a swiftness is Required, will appear soon;

A current of air seldome creates a sound /but?\ by whis= ling in y^e Anfracts of y^e Ear.

Sound Is progres= sive, and easily discerned as to y^e time of its pas= sage.

It moves Nearly as Swift against y^e wind as With it 2. A motion of y^e whole body of y^e air, such as wind, or any current of it, doth Not af= fect y^e Ear, becaus whatever is abroad, In y^e tube of y^e Ear, there is calme, and It must be some other movement, that sends a force a= long that to y^e drum/; besides a Current is Not so Swift\

3. Nothing More Manifest then that Sound is progressive, and Not by very Swift stepps, ffor y^e Eye Shall discerne y^e action, & y^e Ear not gaine y^e Sound In a Considerable time after. and this holds proportion with distance. It is observed that If y^e lightning & thunder are Near together, y^e danger is neer, but If y^e thunder follow long after y^e lightning It is farr off; ffor y^e Sound Moves slow, but light acts In an Instant.

4. It is observed by y^e vertuosi del Cimento³⁵² that sound moves near as swift, against as with y^e Wind, Many more observables are of

³⁵² i.e., members of the Accademia del Cimenti, founded in Florence in 1657. Evidently RN had had the opportunity to study a copy of the Saggi (Saggi di naturali esperienze fatte nell'Academia del Cimento sotto la protezione del Serenissimo Principe Leopoldo di Toscan e descritte dal segretario di essa Accademia [Essays on natural experiments made at the Cimento Academy under the protection of the Serene Prince Leopold of Tuscany, and described by the secretary of that academy, {i.e., Lorenzo Magalotti}], Florence, 1666). It may have been a copy brought to England by his brother Dudley who had sojourned in Florence, and developed many contacts on Italy. The Saggi was the accepted guide to experimental/laboratory practise during the century that followed its publication, it offered a model of practice admired and followed by members of the Royal Society. No official links were ever developed between the Accademia and the Royal Society. By the 1680s the Accademia del Cimenti had ceased to function. A 1691 edition of the Saggi can be accessed at http://echo.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/ECHOdocuView? url=/permanent/einstein_exhibition/sources/5UY9ENA9/index.meta&pn=9

76.

of Sounds In generall, but I deferr them to avoid Repetition, for after I have proposed my solution, I must reiterate, to Shew y^e caus of them. therefore I proceed to Resolve y^e Caus of sound In generall.

The air hath a certein & Stated power of Spring w^{ch} is worked u= pon by body's moving swifter thro it, then y^e density of y^e air permitts to pass without Com= pressure, or then y^e air can Quietly give way.

The air hath a certein density, and also a spring of a Stated force In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} place where \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Notice of it is taken. Density, with Respect to other fluids, as water, oyle, & ye like wch are less rare, and active, and also to Ether or a purer part of air, w^{ch} wee May Sup= pose to be more active, or rare; And the Sprin[g?] with Respect to higher & lower In the air= sphear, In y^e it is more or less /powerfully\ Elastick, ac= cording to this measure however it happen's $y^{\rm e}$ air hath power to Resist Compression, & being comprest to dilate againe. A stroke cannot be made upon ye body of ye air otherwise then as bodys are made to pass thro it swifter then may be, according to y^e density & Spring without Some fracture Compression or fracture, & perhaps both. Wee May see somewhat of this In water, In w^{ch} If a body move slow, the fluid accomodates ye passage by giving way before & closing behind as hath

40 477³⁵³

hath bin shewed. but this is while the celerity of y^e movement doth Not exceed a due measure with Regard to y^e density of \boldsymbol{y}^e fluid. ffor If the motion be Rapid, it is well knowne what work it makes in $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ water. But air The same happen's In \boldsymbol{y}^e air $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is of much less density, or obstructive to motion, therefore It bears motion in it with Greater celerity; And wee know, by the passsage wee make thro y^e air, that al= tho It be Much Swifter then any ordina= ry body can well pass In water, yet it Makes no great disturbance In ye air. yet This hath its Measure, & proportion, as when it Exceeds, (observable Enough In the passage of a canon-bullet $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is swifter then the fluid according to its [Tone?] can comply with,) ffor, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Sound discover's what a Rending it makes.

Swiftness In y^e air often Not onely Compresseth but so Much as makes a Torri= cellian void Wee must then consider that air, being Compressible, as well as dilatable, If a vio= lent motion be in it, w^{ch} the tone of its composition doth Not accomodate, It runs up in heaps before, and dilates behind, And If the swiftness be very great, it shall leav a torricellian vois, becaus the air

 $^{^{353}}$ On this page and the next RN has overwritten the numbers 77 and 78 with a 4, making them 47 and 48.

478. Fantasmes,

doth Not close so fast, or Soon, as Is Re= quired. And for this reason, Not onely the den= sity of y^e air, is an Impediment to Rapid Motion's In it, but $y^{\rm e}$ weight of the air-Sphea[r?] or its Spring; ffor that bears upon all force, that tends to make a void, so that every Compressure of $y^{\rm e}$ air, is /In tanto\ opposed by $y^{\rm e}$ force of its Spring, much More when $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ Motion is so sudden to make a Rent in y^e body of y^e air, and thereby a vacuity, as it is called. And much of this violence to $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{e}}$ air depends on y^e forme of the body's Moving in it, ffor flatts Strain it, more then oblong's, being so directed, as easy Experience shews; and a Sphear tear's it enough, when It hath a perseverance by mean's of much substa[nce?] $w^{\rm ch}$ is $y^{\rm e}$ case of a Canon ball, $w^{\rm ch}$ put In Motion by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} ordinance, maintains its cours, by $y^{\rm e}$ power of its weight. If wee Ima= gin a body at rest In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air, and some power gives it a start with y^e speed of a Canon bullet, whereby a rent or void is made in y^{e} air by y^{e} Suddenness of y^{e} motion, here will be two Strokes upon the air. one of the first start of the body and another of their³⁵⁴ air closing again so

When such void is Made, there is a double Com= pressure. 1. from the strok, & 2 from y^e Closure.

 $^{^{\}rm 354}$ 'ir' washed out.

41 79.

Double strokes found on Explo= Sion's of Gunns. & y^e greatness of y^e Sound depends on y^e latter.

So that, If these strokes be y^e Caus of Sound /(of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ anon) $\$ It shall be redoubled, and that is found to be the case of a Canon or Musket discharged /ffor\ there is allwais a first, & second sound following at $y^{\rm e}$ heels of it. A phenomenon not hitherto /observed & so Not\ Resolved; Nor will Recours to Ecco doe it, ffor the strokes are neere together then any Ecco can Make And Ecco's are Not ye Same in all places, but that duplication of sound seldom or never fails. It is Most sensible, upon $y^{\rm e}$ Explosion of Gunns; ffor when y^e barrell is filled with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} accension, all air is forced away, and the Extinction of ye fire leavs the barrell /and Some Space without $\$ as a torricellian void; Into $w^{\rm ch} \; y^{\rm e}$ air Rushing, with all its force, is sud= denly Stopt, but \boldsymbol{y}^e vis of \boldsymbol{y}^e crowding in is Not so cohibited all at once, but goes on, so as to Make a great Compression of air In y^e barrell, and that starting forth causeth so Great a Sound. this aggrees with thte fact, for the first sound Made by y^e protrusion of y^e ball, is the least, and that of closing ye air In ye barrell last and Most violent. The solution of this case fell so aptly here, I Could Not decline it. but

80.

But Now I come to the buissnes of Shewing how this action of sound moves. I p^rsume A compressure on y^e air runs on such a force upon the air, as makes a Every way com= compressure, whither there be so Much of pressing & dila= force to caus a vacuity or Not. Then a com= ting In y^e air to pressure In any part of the air, hath a Infinite, as y^e un= force to dilate, w^{ch} In act /consequence\, makes a dulations of water. compre[s=?] & so enters \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Ear. sure on the next air to it, and that on the next & so Quousq.355 And It Must happen that this dilatation Shall proceed Every way [....?] In an orb, and Not as a thing projected In a strait line onely from the force; It is obvious y^t y^e air Comprest shall spring forth every way as it finds room; ffor the principle of that Mo[=?] tion is an Intrinct Intrinsick force, w^{ch} depends Not, tho consequent upon upon ye Stroke. this passage of a Compressure of the air, In orbe coming thro y^e tube of y^e Ear to y^e drum, is that force, or Stroke, \boldsymbol{y}^{t} Imprints In us the Idea of sound, whereof a Nicer disquisi= tion will be Made anon, but ffirst it is rea[=?] sonable, to Render \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Notion as Explicite as may be. Re/se\mblances to In the Comunicating thoughts of this Na= found out, Gross ture, however clear to orSelves, wee Can= not render them so to others, not beaten to y^e Sencs whereby the Image of this In a cours of such thincking without some

gross or

action may be

Impres't.

³⁵⁵ i.e. 'quo usque' (Latin), meaning 'however far'.

42 81.

Gross or Sensible Images Refferred too, w^{ch} by analogy, or manifest Resemblance may palpably desribe them. And according= ly ffor this progress of sound, I Shall produce 2. Resemblances, one of a long Cord and y^e other, more naturall & exquisite, y^e Circling of waves from a stroke upon water.

A cord Strained from y^e Earth up aloft, If struck hath a Spring y^t works as y^e Spring of y^e air, sensible to feeling. 1. The Experiment of the Cord is this.356 At ye structure of St pauls church, A stay from $y^{\rm e}$ Engin-sheers upon one of y^e west towers was made fast to a Great stone neer the Entrance Gate. the Cord was larg as a Com= mon ship Hauser, and strained Enough by its weight, w^{ch} made it Mount Courber,³⁵⁷ as ropes ordinarily hang. I stood at \boldsymbol{y}^e end of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Cord below, and Smote hard upon it with my cane. And I could perceive the flexure of ye Cord made by ye Stroke, tho Not considera= ble, apparently Mount up to y^e Sheers a= loft, & there Reflect, & Returne, and Reflec= ting at $y^{\rm e}$ bottom, mount up againe, conti= nually. Inlarging y^e arch, tho growing less perceptible, till I could See No More of it, w^{ch} might be after about 6. Returnes. then I Smote agiane, and for better oberving lay'd

 $^{^{356}}$ see also BM Add MS 32546, f. 316v.

³⁵⁷ i.e., 'curved' (from French).

82. Fantasmes.

The motion May be felt after lost to view.

could as well feel, as see, $y^{\rm e}$ wave run up and downe; but, that w^{ch} was very surpri= Sing, was I could feell the Returnes very often after I had lost all Manner of sight of them; and with great admiration of the Nice sence of touch, I Could perceiv Many More Returnes by meer feeling, then I Could see, and It was hard to Say, when all per= ception of them was quite wasted. The Cours as I Judged was, the flexure or Wave at Every Returne grew larger, or to take a Greater portion of ye Rope, till at length it became bisected, and then both devisions run into one, whereat all Influence of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Stroke /as to my Sence [w?] became lost In y^{e} Greater Sway's of y^e Cord from wind, & actiden accident's that disturbed it. Here is Manifest ye property of a spring; ffor when $y^{\rm e}$ Cord was bent with $y^{\rm e}$ stroke, the force of recovery bent the part next to it, that is made it give way. and so the next. &c. And this must run up the Rope, becaus the stone to $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ it was fastned hindered the force spending that way. but If I could have struck ye Cord In the midle the force had Spent both way's up & downe and cros't each other Reflecting Continually. Wee

/layd\ Hold upon $y^{\rm e}$ cord Neer the Stone, & then I

Fantasmes 43 83.

Example of a wire worme, of w^{ch} a Compressure carry's it self thro, as compres= sion of y^e wire Spring.

like shewed by y^{e} undulations of water.

wee May consider this action In another manner, Suppose the Cord were a wire= worme, and Gravity Extro abstracted (Men= tally) so as wee may suppose it to Run Horizontally a Great stretc length, as for Instance a Mile, & so fastned at both Ends. then lett some sudden force Compress a yard or two close home to one End, & then desist, whereby \boldsymbol{y}^{e} spring working a dilation may have Effect. It will Not be doubdted that this compressure will, as a wave, run on to y^e further End, and there the compres= Sure stop & for like reason be Reflected back and so toties quoties.³⁵⁸ for the worme can= not Spring out, without driving the next & so on. And If Instead of the End, the Com= pressure were made In y^e Midle It would o= perate in like manner both ways. this Image hath a neer Relation to the passage of a wave in y^{e} air, y^{t} causeth Sound, as wee Shall Shew Coming to Collate them.

2. The other Image, is that of water cir= cling from a stroke. This is an object so comon, as Not to be tituled an Experiment, And there needs onely to observe from it as a thing knowne. The reason of such circling is

 $^{\rm 358}$ i.e., 'as often as', or 'repeatedly', from Latin.

The force of Gra= vity Works as a Spring. vis^t. con= tinuall pressure In a certein di= rection.

so water, pendu= lum's, & springs move & Rest by analogous caus.

Fantasmes

84

is the same, [to?] this porpose, as of a Spring /bent\ ffor a spring is No other then a force that move's a body in Some certein direction, and works Continually, so that when obstacles are Removed the effect appear's, according to the strength of the spring, and $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ yeilding of obstacles. And upon water this office is done by Gravity, ffor If any water be raised above \boldsymbol{y}^{e} levell, it perpetually tends downe wards, so If a valey be made, there is alwais a like tendency to ffill it. and as the perfect free= dome of a Spring, is the terme or ceasing of its force, so is y^e levell to water, or the per= pendicular to a pendulum, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ hath the very same Consideration's. ffor there ceaseth y^e effect. therefore that w^{eh} and When any of these are put by this terms of y^e force, where[=?] by it comes to act, and then is set free, there is an Impetus acquired, w^{ch} In y^e terme of rest continues, and having No opposition, carry's y^e body from its terme or place of Rest Into an opposite force Increasing against it. and so is Reduced againe, & makes fre= quent Returnes, or Swing's, they Call oscillations or vibration's, ever deminishing and coming gradually to perfect Rest, as seems to us. I discours of this In generall termes, becaus it is Not an action peculiar to spring's or pen[=?] dulum's, but to Every thing, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is Ever

Fantasmes. 44 85

under a force directing one way to Some period; and Such is ye Surface of water. So all that is comonly observed of pendulums holds true of spring's and Watery undula= tion's, $\mbox{\ensuremath{\$}}$ particularly that of Syn/Iso\chronisme. I doe Not allow any exactly /to be\ so, ffor when y^e force bear's hard, as when a pendulum is drawne a-side to above 45. degrees, It Shall Make Quicker Returnes then when but Just Moved from the perpendicular. so perhaps water If Much disturbed shall have undulations, a litle swifter then when Near setling. The like is found by Musicall strings, when struck too hard. ffor \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Sound will sharpen, (and a true tone is y^e best proof of $\frac{1}{\mathrm{syn}}/\mathrm{Iso-\backslashchronisme}$). And as y^e Monochord made to vibrate In Many devision's, shall all become aliquot parts of ye String, and so work /sound In unison Each\ in ballance /agt y^e others $\$ and alternatively; So If ye Surface of water be Much disturbed, so as to fall Into a Con= fused Ripple, It May be observed those /Ripples\ are Isocronous, and alternately by rising & falling correspond Each other. All this I have but touched here, and Intended onely to Shew that however wee charg th reason of sound wholly upon the spring of the air wee

[s?]o watery Mo= [v?]ements Not Inept [f?]or demonstration [o?]f Sound.

	86. Fantasmes
	wee are Not out of the way, Endeavouring to demonstrate its Effect's, by y ^e Undulations of a watery surface, tho water it self hath No Spring. So wee proceed to observe.
The circulating	That when y ^e Calme Surface of water is
undulation's	touched whereby any part is raised or de=
made by Returnes	pressed, that w ^{ch} is raised, is driven from the
of water put out	touch, and In falling must caus other water
of level, & with	to rise, as also it self fall lower then the
an Imprest [form?]	levell; thus the wave or two w ^{ch} this action
passing beyond it.	necessarily makes, hath a progression in Cir=
	cle Every way Indifferently from y ^e first touch.
	And as the process continues y ^e circles Inlarg
	and Consequently the movement is less
$q^u \cdot {}^{359}$	swift, as y ^e diameters squared; that is In pro=
	portion subduplicate /or neer it\. so as, If the motion
The Extent of	Inlarg the diameter. 1. yard, In a second
these Spreading	of time, In 2. seconds, It will Inlarg /neerly\. 1 1/2
is to Infinite.	yard.
	& so on /In proportion Reverst\ to Infinity of subdevision,
	being
	Ever somewhat, however Not perceivable.
	And also the waves, as the force /by spreading\ slakens
	Shall grow broader & mount less, & this
They penetrate	process runs to Infinite also. And If there be
all channells	any anfractuous places, or channells strait
& nooks. w ^{ch}	or crooked, about y ^e sides conteining this
current's doe	water, w^{ch} a current would pass by, this
Not enter.	undulatory way wave shall Enter & search
	every

 359 ' $q^u{}^{\prime}$ here, as elewhere in this essay, is written in the same ink as the main text and does not appear to be a later addition.

every Corner, & No Crooks or Returnes Shall stop it, and by mean's of different chanells the way wave may thro severall way's arrive at one place, and seem as from 2. Causes, tho truely but from one stroke. And If there be divers of these undulations made by severall strokes on y^e Surface, they Shall all, however Numerous, pass Each o= ther, and goe on distinctly againe, and be not in y^{e} least disordered. w^{ch} phenomenon is strang enough, but Resolved by that ge= nerall observation of simple movements, that the same body, would Retein \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Influence of Infinite direction's; and the Complex, is compound of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} simples, And sheweth to us In a Complex Idea /by way of symptoms\ what cannot be clearly understood, but In a simple Instance. Then when a $[\dots?]$ wave circles thus out and meet's obstacles, or arives at y^{e} /sides as $\$ limits of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} fluid, then it Reflect's and sends back the wave againe; ffor the side or obstacle doth but alter the direction of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} process, for that w^{ch} cannot rise ag^t the obstacle tumbles back, & becomes a beginning of a Revers't Cours. And In this action, the rule of Refleq Reflection In Equality of angles is exactly observed. And so the waves made & Re-

They pass each other without confusion.

They Reflect according to y^e Rule of simple Reflection's.

88.

Ripple of water seem's confused Not as being so, but for want of our facultys to discerne y^e Se= verall Courses It is made up of.

In a tube pas=
seth with less de=
minution then
open; becaus it
cannot dilate,
by orbs.

q^u

If y^e density of y^e fluid is more, y^e Circling's are swifter as of Mer= Cury. but y^e Spissi= tude or tenacity makes them Slower as fast hony. &c.

& Reflected shall Reduce ye Surface of ye Water to a seeming confusion, or Ripple. but In truth Every Cours is conserved thro that seeming casuall dancing of y^e water, and would ap= pear so If wee had faculty's to Instruct us. as for Instance, If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} waves of one stroke were red, of another blew, & so Red, Green. &c. wee Might discerne much of this for a time; but Even that would subdevide & mix be= /-y\ond our capacity to distinguish. And this I may call a Murmur of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} water, or sound Confused, & Indistinguishable. And lastly If ye wave takes Into a tube, it passeth with less deminution of force, then open on the water, ffor there /when free\ it Inlarges by circles Every way. but In a tube It run's strait forewar[d?] & looseth litle. And so Neer a wall y^t hinder[s?] \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Spreading of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wave one way, It shall shoot forewards, More then Elswhere that /becaus/ it Can \not not $\ensuremath{\mathsf{^{360}}}$ spread, w^ch answers our Whisperin[g?] devices, as will be shewed. Then If it should So happen that ye fluid grew thinner or /less viscous\ lighter Gradually, as from Mercury /tarr Hony or thick oyle \ to Wa[ter?] the wane would shoot out that way. for where the fluid gives way easyer ye wave will accelerate that way. these are the cheif observables of watery undulatio[n?] It Remsines to apply somewhat to them.

284v

 $^{^{\}rm 360}$ The word 'not' has been inserted and then washed out.

285r Imagin wee have bin talking of sound & y^e Case is y^e Same.

The motion of air Compared with y^t of water for celerity.

caus why Sound to windward is Neer as Swift as to leeWards. & y^e case as to Watry undulations & water sound by y^e air distinguisht

Fantasmes. 46 89

1. The progression of Sound, as was observed is Most Notorious, and the celerity bear's some correspondence with y^e rarity of air Compared with water; the Nice may prove y^e Exactness, & how neer it Comes, If air be to Water as 20. to. 1. then /It may be sayd\ If a wave Moves a yard in a 2^d. sound moves. 20. but I con= ceiv y^e Sound hath yet a quicker passage then that. ffor the rarity being 20. to 1. in dou= ble space, Quadruples, w^{ch} will create a pro= gression swift Enough. And the spring of y^e air being according to y^e Incumbent weight of y^e Superior air, may act with more force then y^e bare weight of y^e water a litle lifted.

2. That sound run's to windward, neer as swift as downe y^e wind, hath its like In y^e water. ffor the wind answers to a current of the water. And lett that be Never so Swift the undulations Shall be In perfect Circle for to say truth, the Current of the whole to y^e undulations on y^e Surface, is as No Motion /at all\, And In a temperate river one may see y^e Circles goe downe stream, Even as wood, & straws upon /it\ y^e Surface. And therefore the circles arrive at y^e parts of y^e Shoar downe stream, sooner then at those above. but the reason that this is not

90.

is Not perceivable In sounds, is that the Current of a wind, is so very slow, with Res= pect to the Quick motion of y^e Sound, that practicall Scrutiny will scarce find it. And It is an error to thinck y^t Either y^e undu= lation's In water, or the dilatation of sound, In a current of y^e water, or air, be= comes wall; for y^e fluid before goes away as fast as that behind follows, so as to y^e dilatation, It is as /a\ meer Stagnum.

3. The violence of y^e stroke, doth Not add speed to sound, or, as I Shall call it, the Comprest-wave that Causeth it. but that passeth according as the strength of the spring, and laxity or rarity of y^e fluid Re= quire. whereby the sound of a Musket is heard as soon as that of a canon. but yet neer ye force, as wee find in all vibratory mo= vemements, If the begginning be with violence It shall pass somewhat Quicker, then after it is composed upon ye meer force of ye Spring that is when ye Extraordinary force of ye Stroke is spent. but If y^e medium grow more rare, then as was sayd the motion accelerates. where= fore neer the earth many things Influence the regularity of the passage of sound. as If an ordinance is discharged upon y^e Sea the

The force of y^e caus doth Not add swiftness to Sound, but a litle onely, at first, /(if very furious)\ it Comes at length to the measure of y^t y^e ordinary spring gives it.

motion of Sound accelerates With rarefaction.

47. 91.

the dilatation can be but In an he= misphear, therefore it creeps faster, and passeth farther then It doth higher. but then it is to be Considered, that, $y^{\rm e}$ air up= wards grows thinner, and less prest; so perhaps faster neer the perpendicular, then lower and so forme an oval, rather then a Circle. And thence wee May Imagin, that one Who calls from below is sooner heard, then one \boldsymbol{y}^{t} is aloft and calls downewards. when sound is among Inequality's, as mountaines, valley's, Ruins, Grotto's, It is plain from y^e Eccos that a comprest wave is Reflected, and It shall, by Redoubling ye Returnes, appear to come & Goe, More then once, as was shewed of the long cord. And some have bin so Curious, as by shaping ceiling's /sounds sent\ from a focall point, eccoes sounds have eccoed strangely distinct & very loud & often; & so prove that the Refections of sound are, In ye Main, as of light, or More Simple movements under equality of Angles; and y^e Same is manifest to y^e Eye upon water. Many of these Experiment's of sound, may be found In Kircher's ars magna Consoni Et dissoni, and In the Esper= rienze del cimento.³⁶¹

a voice is heard upwards sooner then downewards

Ecco's are Reflec= tion's, w^{ch} as y^e Cord have Many Iterations.

³⁶¹ Athanasius Kircher, SJ (1601-80) was a German scholar and polymath living in Rome. He wrote on topics as diverse as geology, the intepretation of Egyptian hieroglyphs, China, medicine and mechanical and technological invention. The *Musurgia universalis sive ars magna consoni et dissoni in X. libros digesta*, an encyclopaedia of music in ten books, was published in Rome in two volumes in 1650. It can be viewed on the web at http://echo.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/ECHOdocuView?mode=imagepath&url=/permanent/library/ B398U3SN/pageimg. For the Esperienze del cimento, see note, f. 278r, above.

92.

It may be diffi= cult to distinguish whence Sound Comes, becauS by parting at obstacles It ar= rives by divers courses.

4. As to the art of Augmenting Sounds, It be[=?] longs to another place, I mean an Essay I Intend on y^e art of musick, so there I Shall Endeavour to Shew \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Meaning of Instruments Such as trumpets, monochords, organs, vialls & $y^{\rm e}$ like, therefore supersede it here. And onely take notice that If obstacles are in ye way of ye Comprest wave, it lapps round them, and so proceeds; w^{ch} accidents some= times makes it difficult to know from when[ce?] \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Sound Comes. I have bin sensible of a double sound from one stroke; as sitting by a chimny, one Nois hath Come in at ye door, & $y^{\rm e}$ other downe $y^{\rm e}$ chimny, and yet Mani= festly from y^e Same stroke. but directly It is easy to perceiv whence ye Sound is; ffor If an Arch of a circle is given, ye center may be fou[nd?] And It is a different Case to \boldsymbol{y}^e Sence, when \boldsymbol{y}^e wave Enters ye Ear square or obliq. It is us[e?] $y^{\mbox{\tiny t}}$ hath taught us, as In comon feeling, to distinguish so Nicely; ffor If there are divers differences or modes In $y^{\rm e}$ action $y^{\rm t}$ causeth sensation, the sensation's will be also diffe[=?] rent, and Experience as I sayd tells us w^{ch} is w^{ch}. It is therefore comon, when a sound En[=?] ters oblig, ffor ye Sence to perceiv it, and ffor better $\texttt{Judgm'^t}$ thereon y^e creature will turne his ear

Fantasmes 48 93

The sence In y^e Judgm^t of sounds by distinction of them, is Exqui= site to a Wonder.

ffor force, y^e capa= city of y^e organ is y^e limits of Sound.

sounds drowne one & other, for y^e lesser unless of a very different kind are not perceived wth y^e Greater, but of a very different kind a much less will be perceived. the Ear to it so as $y^{\rm e}$ Sound May Enter $w^{\rm th}$ Greatest advantage, that is square. As to y^e Capacity of sence, with Regard to sounds wee Must consider, that originally sence is Infi= nitely Nice, and No Nois can be So small, but It may be perceived; but practically ye Sence is limited, by the tenderness of y^e organ, & the Comon sounds that are about us. as to the latter, If a greater sound hath \boldsymbol{y}^{e} attention y^e effect of the lesser fails, as stronger sensations drowne y^e lesser /weaker/. therefore when y^e air is full of Noises as at Midday, wee doe Not hear any thing from farr off. but In ye night, ye time of silence & cessation of Noises, one May hear very slight sounds, and Such as Come from very great distance. here wee are limited one way, y^e other is, when y^e force of sound is so Strong that it Shall wound \boldsymbol{y}^{e} organ, or at least paine ye hearer. Such is ye Sound of bells & or= donance neer hand, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ make some for Ever deaf, other Confinem't then these Nature In ye Sence of hearing knows Not; It is Not Im= possible, but If there were None of y^{e} /comon\ Sounds abroad wee ordinarily hear, nor any other more Considerable then what I am about to mention, wee might hear ye hissing of cometts, & perhaps the fragor of $y^{\rm e}$ fire, of w^{ch} y^e Sun's body is Composed. but then wee must

287v

94. Fantasmes.

no sound can Come from without the air Sphear, for fault of Spring

<diagram>

A demonstration how y^e cours of sounds affect y^e Ear, so as to dis= tinguish whence they Come.

suppose such a Springy Medium as the air is; of $w^{\rm ch}$ I very much doubdt, but rather thinck, beyond y^e air sphear there is No Spring, and then there is No Conduct of Sound, $w^{\rm ch}$ depends wholly upon $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Compressure}$ of an a= eriall fluid. But to conclude this paragraph observe here a plaine declaration how $y^{\text{e}}\ \text{Ear}$ may from y^e Mode of a sound, distinguish from what region it Cometh. let A. be $y^{\rm e}$ Ear. K. ye tube. E.f. a Comprest wave, on the center D. Rad. D.A. and G.H. a com= prest wave on y^e Center C. Rad. C.A. the manner of the one & other Entring ye tube is very different, and so as may be perceived. the former Comes obliq. & the latter almost direct. Where Note a litl turne of y^e Ear by raising y^e tube /K.\ towards. A. makes that $\boldsymbol{w}^{\text{ch}}$ was obliq to become direct. And thus what with ye Manner of Entrance, and the strength of y^e Sound, Joyned with some acquain[=?] tance with /ye mode of \ it. a man shall perceiv Whither it is before or behind or In any Quarter. So ye Com[=?] mon feeling, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is but putting $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ hand where it is resisted, the various modes of Resistance, as there is from wood, Mettall, cloth, Earth &c, are So different that with a litle acquaintance \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Sence distinguisheth the one from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other. 5

Fantasmes. 49 95.

Observation of numerous Sounds passing thro y^e same medium y^e Similar Not distinguishable as Hum & Murmur. but the dissimilar as whistle, & y^e like from singularity distinguishable.

The same para= lelled upon water 5. the last and most Considerabe Remarq I Shall make of sound, is that wonderfull but Notorious property In y^e air; an hanfull of w^{ch} filling a Narrow passage, Shall transmitt miria[d?] of diver's sounds, that is y^{e} Comprest waves y^{t} caus them, thro & thro, backwards & forewards without any disorder or Confusion at all; when noises are similar & frequent, such as the Mur mur of a croud, wee have not a capacity so nice and Nimble to persue Each, but all toge= ther make a blended Sound wee Call an Hum, yet Of one Calls aloud, cry's, or whistles, It is Instantly from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Singularity perceived. This Strang preceeding of Sound is exactly demon= strated by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} waves undulating on a Watery surface, w^{ch} may come to a Ripple, and So be unaccountable, but yet Regulated un= der Each Efficient caus, tho Not perceived. And If any accidentall stroke falls on ye same surface, It shall rais a conspicuous wave, w^{ch} shall walk over all y^e Ripple; and so is ye Sound. Here of an Invisible action, tho of all other's most sensible in another way, I have exposed divers types or Images, taken from Experience of analogous movements w^{ch} doe as it were unfold all y^e process of it to \boldsymbol{y}^e eye. And If wee observe the action is

Fantasmes.

96.

The action y^t bring's sound, is Exposed in all y^e 3. dimensions of Space In the proceeding.

Every Man Must forme Images of these conditions of Motion, & sence consequent of them, as best they may wee doe but ass<u>ist.</u> is adumbrated In all. 3. demension's. the first of a cord, is of a spring, w^{ch} operates in /line\ longitude, that of y^e water, In /plain or\ latitude, and this of sound, In /sphear or\ profundity. so that what= Ever is ffound in one, must be allowed In the Rest, with due computation. as the first by addition, the second, by Multiplication or Quadrature, the third by a second Mul= tiplication, or Cubifaction.

Now after all I can say, Each ones Reflec= tio's, and Comparison of things In his owne observation, if accustomed so to Reflect, will fur[=?] nish more Eclariscissement In this subject then I can Esteem to be had from My descriptions. I trace thing's, as neer as I can, and by the Most lively and prpared Images I can make, but Eac[h?] hath his owne way, and one Cannot take from another, who will often gather by himself. An[d?] This Infinitely various proceeding of divers Com[=?] plex=prest waves, or sounds In one & y^e Same Me[=?] dium, directed all Manner of way's, and at ye same time, as many or more various Cros= =Sing's of the action of light thro ye Same Me= dium, make it necessary, & shew I had reason to say that, light was as ye Motion of ye Whole medium, and sound an action progressive thro it, Instancing In a Stroke upon a body of water, whence in double action was

Fantasmes. 50 97.

was Consequent, one Rep^rsenting that of light and y^e other of sound. And the Contriving of distinct Essences to serve y^e turne of these, of light at least, was to suppose ridle rather then argue probability. ffor In Short Such Must be [as?] body & No body, act and make sensible as, touch of body, and yet permeate body and it self; such chimeras will /often\ rise and fill the vacant Spaces In our understandings. /, when= our poor Mean's of observing will /doe\ Not provide tp supply them.\³⁶²

 $^{^{\}rm 362}$ From 'when our poor Mean's' has been added later, in the same ink as the marginalia.

	98. Fantasmes.
The subject of fire is to late here, but better late then Not at all.	I shall now address my Self to y^e Subject of fore, & its symptoms, w ^{ch} , to say truth should have Gone first; ffor as it is knowne by us from an Idea not In the thing, but In the Imagination(. ffor what Els is, pain, heat, cold, &c?) I Rank it under this Generall; And for primacy, other matters already discoursed, depend much upon this. ffor w ^{ch} rea= son it claimes it; but y ^e hurry of utterance let y ^e others escape, and Now this, If Not ill done, will not be out of time.
Divers opinions of fire, and y ^e vul= gar carry's it, but philosofers, put them in some learned atire.	The vulgar opionion of ffire hath allwais bin, that there is some essence peculiarly belong to it, and philosofer's, who, for y ^e most part, have y ^e Same opinion's with y ^e vulgar, /but\ are pleased with Investing them in some exotick termes, to make them look as learned /I say In this particular of /y ^e \ fire, Call it Element; and suppose it to be one of four prime essences of w ^{ch} all thing's upon Earth are Compound. Since Cartesius, there hath bin
The Elements Ex= ploded.	a disposition to thinck ffire to be onely y ^e Rapid Motion of Minute matter; and the chi= mists, and of them y ^e most worthy M ^r Boyle hath demonstrated away, y ^e fancy of the. 4. Elements, and fire with y ^e Rest, concluding y ^t It is no other but motion of Corpuscles. ³⁶³ But yet it sticks In our Minds that ffire is some= tho Wee know Not what, more then Meer body in

³⁶³ See RN's description of the fire at the Inner Temple in his 'Notes of Me' (Notes of Me: The Autobiography of Roger North, ed. Millard, P. T., University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 2000, pp 110ff).

Fantasmes 51 99

In motion; the reason of w^{ch} prejudice is that wee ascribe to the thing, y^{e} creatures of our Imagination, ffor abstract our Idea's, and there is No reason in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} world, to strain for a New essence, to ans $^{\rm r}$ all $y^{\rm e}$ positive effects of it. Fire, wee say, consumes, It warmes, and it gives us shrew'd pain, when any part of us is burnt. that is to say, when a coagu= lum of apt matter, is In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Midst of other loos stuff, w^{ch} is much agitated, these parts Insinuate Into y^e lump, and devide y^e parts one from another, w^{ch} being scattered out of our Sight, wee say it is Consumed. And the tone of our flesh & humours, depending on a moderate agitation of the matter of or In them; when that is wanted, wee Call it Cold, meaning a privation of a fitt degree of fire, and that applyed, then wee say it warmes us, that is takes away ye pain or bring's a pleasure, of such sort as wee call Cold, and warm. but then, If it be to a degree So Much greater, that the substance of our flesh begin's to devide and Conforme to such motion; wee are sufficiently affected, & Cry out with No litle Exclamation. these are Strong Ideas, that grow from $y^{\rm e}$ Constitution of our flesh, & Not from fire, tho that Causeth such

The action of fire without raising a new Spirit or Essence to Resolve it, by Meer Motion construes all y^e vugar Expressions y^t belong to it.

100

Fantasmes.

Error by Conferring our Ideas on $y^{\rm e}$ action of fire.

Fire cannot be Represented but by types, for No discovery will ex= pose the distinct action. but by types, It may be Rendered as possi= ble & Probable to be Meer Motion.

Knowledg Enough Not to be deceived by opinion, as knowing, when it is Nothing so.

such Ideas, In like manner as hath bin dis= courst on other occasion's. But yet say they wee cannot Conceiv how such wonderfull Effects can happen from meer movement of Minute matter; This is that $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ wee are to Encounter, and If wee can, make it seem probable that fire hath No peculiar Essence, or other Ingredient then as abov[e?] by $\operatorname{Rep}^r \operatorname{senting}$ the modes and operation of it, by /such types or\ Images as may be Comprehende[d?] and are $y^{\rm e}$ Same or analogous with it; <code>ffo[r?]</code> the thing cannot be knowne distinctly of it self, being buryed In minuteness, and of all Motion's, It is ye Most Complex, and abstruse. And the great failing In philosofy is when thing's are difficult to Reconcile, wee must, It seems, come to some Resolution and Either Not applying to thing's as wee May conceive them, or missing our aim In it, wee proceed to meer Invention, rathe[r?] then be at all suspended In opinion, as If the, ne sçay, $^{\rm 364}$ In a philosofer were a fault unpardonable, and an Eternall disgrace whereas In truth, It is the best office of a searcher of nature, to distinguish the things understood, from those that are Not so, and not onely In Gross, but thro all degrees of knowledg, from certeinty, thro [propability?], & so to meer possibility. some are

³⁶⁴ i.e., 'je ne sais pas', i.e., 'I don't know'.

Fantasmes 52 101.

knowledg, y^t is Judg= ment of probabi= lity, & possibility is different, from y^t absolutely Cer= tein w^{ch} is onely in y^e Mathematicks And is Not to be layd aside becaus not y^e Same.

A Nobler Judgm't of y^e former, as more dilated, & Nice then of y^e latter, w^{ch} is in rule & certeinty If they Can hit<u>t it</u>

The being and Continuance of fire depends Wholly upon pressing & being comprest by or In some Medium.

Some are onely for the certeinty, and I blame them not, and they doe great ser= vice In Cultivating their Soyle, w^{ch} is Whol= ly Mathematicall. but If they Expect all Should stand at their post, & goe No far= ther, as If No knowledg lay beyond it, I leav them. ffor Question's of probability & possibility, Even In aggregate or Complex cases, Exercise the Judgm't, and More Exqui= Sitely then casting accounts. And I must Say that I esteem a Rationall opinion such as will bear y^e test of all my scrutiny, and after all, In Spight of aversion, con= straines Me over to it; More then all the Geometrick problem's /propositions\ sumed up together. And one, as Copernicus, whose Judgment Gat the better of his senses, $ag^{\mbox{\tiny t}}$ the Evidence of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ he argued, and Concluded \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Grand truth of ye planetary systeme; did More then all the Geometry In \boldsymbol{y}^e world Could Ever have Compassed; but away with digressions, and let's to y^e matter.

The being and Continuance of fire, depends wholly upon Compressure; the sun is Compresst by y^e circumambient matter, detruded upon it by Gravity, the like wee Imagin of the fixt starrs; and the ordinary fires amongst us have

when pressure is Removed from fire as In y^e Receiver it Strait goes out.

Where fire becomes luminous there is allwais a Tor= ricellian vacuity have the pressure and Spring of y^e air bearing with full force upon them. And If this pressure be taken off, as is done, In great Measure, in y^e Receiver, by y^e air pump, the fire goes out[,?] And If an almighty power should disable the pressure of the matter about the sun even that would Extinguish. ffor fire being, as our position is, onely a Rapid Motion of minute matter, If there were free scope a= bout it, then by y^e laws of meer Mechanism[e?] It must dissipate or dispers, and So loos y^e forme of fire. therefore where is fire there is a compression, as is Sufficient to hold the combustion together.

Here you have one cheif article of My Notion of fire, but it is a Mistery without the Next, And that is, where ever /light\ fire is, there is a Torricellian vacuity. by w^{ch} va[=?] cuity I mean a totall Exclusion of y^e Compressing fluid, and onely the Combus= ted matter Included. To Instance In a Comon fire, /such as\ a Candle. I say, there is No air within y^e Compass of y^e flame; but the force of the agitation of the Ignited Matter is such as bears against y^e weight of y^e Whole air-sphear, and that weight is it, that keeps the candle In such Compass; Make the

Fantasmes. 53 103

will Enlarg, and debilitate /Incroach upon\ it So that

the Weight, and so ye Spring less, & ye flame

The force of y^e Mo= vement of fire tending to di= late & dissipate holds out y^e air.

y^e force of y^e fire, is too hard for the Spring of the air, and It shall spread and vanish, all $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ may be seen In the Receivour. So a burning Coal, w^{ch} is made up of Spiracu= la, at w^{ch} fire is allwais issuing; If that fire hath Not power to drive off ye air sphear, It ceaseth to be fire. In like manner, a Corn of Gunpowder fired, hath power to repell \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air-sphear, so as to Make room for its litle orb of fire; and a Mass or charg, is a com= bination of such powers, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ Joyne forces & drive all air out from $y^{\rm e}$ barrells of Gun's, $w^{\rm ch}$ Returning makes that fragor wee all know.

The difference between heat & fire, or flame is when /it\ hath force to throw off y^e air it becomes fire, Els it it heat. From hence is the Gross distinction between heat, and fire. ffor all agitation is heat, and hath degrees, and may be Exasperated to such height as to overcome the air-sphear, & then It becomes flame, or Coal, that is actuall fire, & Not before. It is an ordinary but diverting Experiment, to blow out a Candle, & light it again at the smoak a good distance from y^e candle, or y^e coal of y^e wick, yet burning. and the flame will take In ye Smoak, & so pass downe by it to $y^{\rm e}$ Coal & there

Instance of kin= dling Smoak, and observed that y^e transition from smoak to fire & contra, is all at once & Not gra= datim;³⁶⁵ w^{ch} pr/o\oves y^t It is from Such caus; & Not chang of y^e Matter.

The Influence of light argues a Great protrusive force in fire, so May well match that of y^e air, ur= ging to Infinite. there Sitting become a lighted candle. It is there plaine the smoak takes fire; between w^{ch}, that is between smoak & flame, the onely difference is. that the Smoak hath the air Mixt with it; and y^e flame hath driven it out, & so holds it. and so y^e distinction is Gross, ffor It is y^e Same matter In the Smoak, & In the flame; but vastly altered In the appearance, and without any gradation but all at once, Either smoak, or flame; And that is wrought by such crisis of y^e air, whither mixt with y^e Matter or driven from it.

Among y^e Reason's I have for this opinion /one\ is tha[t?] light is allwais a Consequence of fire, and ab[=?] sent from smoak, or matter Not agitated to such a degree, as to throw off ye air-Sphear. (corruscation's I may Consider apart). that light hath considerable force and strength will be admitted; then it is reasonable to thinck, that an agitation that hath force to throw off y^e air-Sphear, w^{ch} wee know presseth hard, and ballanceth a Columne of Mercury of 29. Inches, should afford such a strength as may affect our sence of seeing, and so lively as the light of a Candle. And that Such ffire allwais takes $y^{\rm e}$ Same degree of strength, and cannot be more, or less lighted, or by any Stronger application of fire, be lighted to an higher

³⁶⁵ i.e., 'gradual'.

higher degree, but to y^e same pitch of strength, or light onely, argues some Gross, & determi= nate action, that is Consequent of accension, And such is a measure capable to resist & hold out y^e atmosphear; wee can find or discover no other, why then should Wee Not take that?

Fire hath So many property's, that I doe not wonder It is a professed art to Manage it, as the word pyroteckny Imports, tho better knowne by ye word Chimistry. but all those pro= perty's, as I can Recollect, are Consonant with this Hypothesis...1. That fire will Not be without Aire, as hath bin touched; but It is farther to be Condsidered, that there must Not onely be Ayre, but air moved also; ffor without that, fire will Not Continue. This is found by Inclu= ding ayre /fire\ in vessels, & stopping them; the fire shall for want of a Current of air, goe out. w^{ch} proves all the /storys of\ subterranean lamps In sepul= -cres to be meer fables. the reason of this is, be= caus combustible matter is soon discerped, & then dispersed, and such part, as doth not dissipate, w^{ch} is less apt for fire, is left behind In ashes; and the compressure of y^e air, Con= sequent of the being driven off, continues but while $y^{\rm e}$ action is flagrant, ffor as soon as fire hath shaken all to peices, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air

The propertys of fire consonant with this hypothe= Sis.

 Not subsist wth= out air, & that moved.

Going out of fire is y^e Air En= tring amongst y^e fewell

2. Recruits ever needfull to supply what y^e action of fire disperseth.

3. fire is a perpe= tuall Current, to vent y^e rarefaction w^{ch} els would turne to Explosion. so y^e matter spent in y^e fire goes, & New pabulum comes. closeth, & ye fire is out. therefore to Conti= nue fire, New matter Compustible must al= wais succeed, so as some is dissipated, and Reduced to y^e forme of air, or ashes, other Is In $y^{\rm e}$ place, and so the action is Ever both wasting & Recovering. If a stream of train of smoak is lighted, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} fire hath soon done and goes on lighting y^{e} Next, w^{ch} seems as If y^e smoak were but a vehicle to y^e fire, & that were ye Same passing along. but it is Ever new fire, so long as y^e pabulum suc= ceeds. And when ye fire hath consumed ye smoak down to $y^{\rm e}$ Cotton, there issues a Conti= nuall recruit of smoak, as ye heat converts \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wax, but \boldsymbol{y}^{e} fire cannot take it, till it Issues from y^e Cotton; so the firesemes fire seem's to sitt upon the Cotton, & to be one flame. whereas it is a perpetuall Current of matter from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Cotton, and such part as is not by y^e fire dispersed /Into air\ scatters in the forme of an ashy smoak, and stick's to ceilings & $y^{\rm e}$ Sides of rooms /such also is soot in chimny's \ And that from oyle-lamps is collected, & used for black colouring of that name, but ordi= narily pronounced, lam-black. Now If this stream failes, y^e pabulum, alwais In= cident & Necessary to fire, failes also & the

Fantasmes

55 107

the fire goes out. Many experiments shew this, as If a candle is put Into a botle, & that closed, so as there cannot be a stream of air, In so contracted a room. Niether Can \boldsymbol{y}^{e} pabulum of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} fire rise, Nor the ashy smoak discharg, & so \boldsymbol{y}^e fire is choaked. When \boldsymbol{y}^e air Is put In a current, the Conterminous parts clasping or Interfering, & so other's farther in, work so as draws out $y^{\rm e}\ pabulum\ of\ y^{\rm e}$ fire. but If there be No stream what should make it Come forth? It will be answered that y^e agitation May have power to bring all ye Combustible matter at once to a Con= formity of movement, and that will be fire. I admitt it. but then wee must Consider there are 2. sorts or degrees of fire, one, that consumes or convert's by degrees, and as Ice melts, till $y^{\rm e}$ Exterior part's are gon the In= terior are Not concerned. this is our comon Culinary fire, the Manner of its acting be= ing Such; but y^e other sort of fire that ac= cends y^{e} whole mass, is called Explosion, & must be well weighed in fitt place. And how= Ever air may be necessary to that perhaps a current is Not, for it acts uno flatu, 366 as wee shall In due time observe. but Now Wee have $y^{\rm e}$ other sort onely In view, $w^{\rm ch}$ is

the difference between y^e Was= ting of fire & Explosion.

explosion Needs no current, be= caus hath litle or No Continuance.

³⁶⁶ i.e., 'in one breath'.

5. blowing Ex= asperates fire.

y^e force of Rever= buratory fire.

A blow pipe is a demonstration. how y^e current of fire & air have force.

is fire that acts gradatim, and hath Con= tinuance. It is No wonder therefore, that for= cing a stream of air upon fire, Increaseth the accension, & fury of it. ffor Considering how close y^{e} air clasps to fire, urged by y^{e} comon weight or spring of it, when the air passeth swift, & In another Manner, then \boldsymbol{y}^{e} action of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} fire Requires. It must Needs rend & tear the conterminous parts of it, and so Exasperate the agitation. of And upon this use of a Current of air, the whole Conduct of fire depends; As to all trades y^t deal in fire, Especially chimists /is $\$ well knowne. And as air tearing upon fire Increaseth the force of it, so also fire tearing upon \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Combustible matter, Increaseth & hastenes the accension upon $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ depends $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ art of Reverberatory furnaces. ffor those are onely Contrivances to Make flame, or fiery stream's beat with a force upon the Matter $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is to be Wrought upon. W^{eh} And that Is best demonstrated by a small tube they call a blow-pipe, $w^{\rm ch}$ Returning with a Capillary vent, Shall by $y^{\rm e}$ breath of $y^{\rm e}$ Mouth carry $y^{\rm e}$ flame of a lamp or candle, upon Mettall, and strait flux it, y^e was Not to be done with any ordinary fire. the stream of y^e flame pas[=?] seth strait & smooth as the blast directs it

it. ffor y^e stream is In-deed of it self a tube of flame, with y^e Small thread of air from y^e pipe In y^e Midle, where it maintains a hollow, and so falls on y^e Mettall, whereby Goldsmiths are Enabled to Soder small things w^{eh} would be wasted In a great furnace.

Another property of fire is lightness, or Intire yeilding to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Gravity of other thing's, whereby it seems to Mount, and Gave ye ancients oc= casion to fancy, some orb of pure Elementary fore, to w^{ch}, as stocks & Stones to y^e Earth, fire allwais tended: Such dream's Come forth, When men leave reality's, & subscribe to Imagina= tion. here are two things to be Considered, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} lightness, and the shape of the flame. as to the former, wee may Reflect that If there be a Space in the air, void according to Torricellius, that, being free, Shall mount to the topp of y^{e} air sphear. the reason of w^{ch} is, It is More Compres't by y^e air underneath, then above; for there, as neerer $y^{\rm e}$ Earth, $y^{\rm e}$ pressure or Spring is stronger; And farther, It is to be Considered, that the matter, in that No= minall void, is neither heavy Nor light becaus it is ballanc't with ye Rest of its like, Every where disperst In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air. then by the rising of that void (as I must Call it,) so much air is allowed to discend, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ according to

6. lightness or mounting, Caused by y^e aire's weight & occasioned y^e ancients to fable an Elementary region of fire.

The reason of mounting, of thing's light.

The rising draws flame out In length w^{ch} els would be orbicular.

The lambency of flame, is a playing upon y^e Spring of y^e air, when y^e flame is drawne out & Returnes, & y^e air hath taken a tremolous Mo= vement Confor= mable.

to Mechanick laws must operate to Re= move it. then Next for ye shape of flame, that would be as drops, & bubles, and Indeed \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Globus of \boldsymbol{y}^e plannets In \boldsymbol{y}^e air, round, but ffor a double Caus; one is this lightness, $w^{\rm ch}$ without more draws it Into an ovall, But then y^e current of air tha allwais attends flame It is drawne out farther, and accor= ding to y^e Name, becomes pyramidall. all w^{ch} are obvious Effect & need No Explanatio[n?] but one thing must be Explained, & that is the lambency of flame; ffor unless it be in wonderfull stillness of y^e air, the flame will ceas in a sort of tremolous or flapping movement. this is ascribed to a conformity In y^e flame, to /with\ a movement In the air. ffor the air drawing y^e flame out, beyond the naturall state of it; $w^{\rm ch}$ may be $y^{\rm e}$ conse= quence of the motion acquired; the flame is apt to Req Recoyle back towards its own body, as much too farr y^e other way, & then yeilds againe, & so alternis vicibus, $^{\rm 367}$ as all pendulous or Springy movements work. This is proved by ye Isocronisme of Such Motion that shews it depends on ye Spring of ye air, stretching & letting goe by turnes. ffor It is Most apparent, that \boldsymbol{y}^{e} flapps of flame very observable In y^e burning of candles, are

³⁶⁷ i.e., 'by turns'.

Fantasmes.

59 111.

are as the vibrations of pendulums In Neer Equall times.

fuell collected in centres of vortix= Es. w^{ch} argues it composed of y^e Smaller & More Refined, as well as the most de= formed, & Ineptfor fluidity

Roundish bodys Inept for fire becaus not rea= dily put into turning Motion

But Now before wee Goe farther Into this wonderfull sea of fire, wee ought to Consi= der the Nature of Combustible Matter. And wee have ye best discovery of that, by ye places where wee find it; that is neer $y^{\rm e}$ centers of vorticall Motion's, & principally that of \boldsymbol{y}^e sun, w^{ch} is In y^e Center of the Grand vortex. The rotation of that produceth a separation of the matter one sort, w^{ch} perseveres most that is the largest & roundest, towards $y^{\rm e}$ circumference, and the lesser & most de= formed towards \boldsymbol{y}^{e} center, It is obvious, that round body's may Receiv force In directum but Not readily to turne round, becaus there are No prominences In their sides for body's to bear upon; And If such turne round, they cannot affect others with like mo= vement, for the same caus. but If body's are oblong, flatt, Jagged, or uneven, then one made to turne, shall soon bring all y^e rest /contiguously\ about & it to the same pass, and so give and Receiv orbicular movement w^{ch} is that I call rapid, as a Name for y^e turning of body's Minutatim, 368 In aggregate, /of w^{ch} wee cannot distinguish the particulars &texture

³⁶⁸ i.e., 'tiny' or 'bit by bit, piecemeal'.

Rapid Movem^{ts}. exciting y^e like in y^e kindling of fire

The many variety's of Combustible matter.

texture. Now that Rapid motion belongs to these & not to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} others, is More then, pro= bable. And Combustible matter I take to be Such as I have described as driven tow[=?] ards centers of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} vortexes, In aggregates and Coagulums. and accenssion or lighting them, Is done by Application of the like being In rapid movement; ffor the aptness of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} matter to be drawne Into a like Cours, is the Reason of all pabulum to fire; ffor when any Coagulum of Combustible Mat[=?] ter is neer wasted, bring more of the like & $y^{\rm e}$ fire is Renewed. Now that Combustible Stuff should be of such various sorts, Some more other less apt to accend, as wood, some never failing, as sulfur; w^{ch} name is gi= ven to all matter properly Combustible. Some Requiring Great force as Mettalls, othe[rs?] soon fluxt as wax, Rosin. &c. Some capa= pable only of Explosion & Not burning as water, Is Not to be wondered at Considering ye Infinite variety & accidents of body[.?] Therefore what Ever differences I hear off about fire, I ever Conclude there is In the fformes and magnitudes of y^e Matter con= cerned, a Mechaniq caus for it; altho I have Not faculty's to Analise it, Ito its pure principles. So Now I proceed to Explosions.

MFantasmes

Exolosion is y^e most stupendious phenomenon of fire.

And of all the Sublunary phenomena these are Most amazing. there are many sorts of Explosion's, /but\ those of aurum fulminans,³⁶⁹ and Gunpowder, have Not any peer, for sound & Efficacy; the latter is most knowne, and therefore fittest for my porpose to Examine, supposing If wee know one, wee cannot be Ignorant of any, and finding the mecha= nisme, (In probability, or Even possibility) of the Effects Gunpowder Exploded, wee the rest will be No Miracles.

It is a serious thing to Consider, that In a corn or Mass of Gunpowder, there is Nothing but what comes from $y^{\rm e}$ bowels of $y^{\rm e}$ Earth, & hath No forme of fire, more then other com= bustible matter. nor doth any of $y^{\rm e}\xspace$ Ingredients cary carry such fury as come from all together ffor Nitre burnes In a lump, sulfur hath a faint flame, & so consumes, $y^{\rm e}$ Coal is farr from removing mountaines, as all these to= gether will, the coal is but as tinder to convey $y^{\rm e}$ fire so as to accend $y^{\rm e}$ brimston & nitre all together, & it is those make the force. y^e use of Granulating, is that one Corn fired, may give accension to many others and so \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole mass, to take fire all at, once or as neer it as can be Contrived; and when

58 113.

 $^{^{369}}$ i.e., 'exploding gold', see note at f. 123v in BL Add MS 32546.

The force of Gun= when this prparation is made, what is it that powder, is Not Set's all to work, but a poor culinary spark found in y^e, caus of fire? And If y^e Globe of Earth were of Such of accension, Nor composition, It must blow up. that this In y^e materiall force is Not in y^e Spark, is Notorious Enough; action, but is acces= yet that is the occasion, I cannot say the sionall, $:q^u$ from caus of the Combustion. And it is the Case whence. of most objects of sence, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ are the occasion, but Not the Caus of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Images wee gather from them. a note not much to y^e p^rsent porpose, but apposite to that of perception; then, to Returne to Explosion, the force is Not In a charg of Gunpowder, $w^{\rm ch}$ /after $y^{\rm e}$ explosion\ is found In a bas= tion of Earth blowne up with it. Whence is it then? Wee Must affirme $y^{\rm e}$ force with $w^{\rm ch}$ a charg of Gunpowder explodes, is accessionall, but to find whence & how, is Extream diffi= cult, and farr from Easy to conjecture with any probability. Explosion is y^e Explosion is the highest degree /or Extream\ of rarefac= Extream of rare= tion, ffor as heat Gradually rarifies air & faction, being Not makes it swell, and If confined be More Com= In time, or very prest & springy, and at length to a degree litle, but acts al= as to burst some vessells, as thin Glass, that most In an Instant hath not strength to Resist it; So Explosion act's in a very short space, and almost all at once, the same thing In an higher degree. the rarefaction is accounted to act

upon

Fantasmes

upon fluids, such as are aeriall; but Explosion act's upon Solid fluids and coagulum's that have No fluidity at all. ffor water w^{ch} of all the Compounds wee know is least apt to fire, yet In an Immens heat, as that of a furnace & melted mettalls, shall being Injected In Small Quantity, Explode & blow up furnace hous & all, & make y^e Mettall fly about In an Infernall manner. So that Explosion is rarefaction In Extremity, or /neerly\ all at once; therefore wee must Reconsider the Case of Rarefaction, and see how Explosion will square with y^e Reason's of it; and [wheren?] there will be differences between them & Why.

S^r. Is. N. hath a strang Expression concerning Rarefaction, vis^t. that it is actio [partium?] [s...?] mutuo fugientium.³⁷⁰ w^{ch} is In truth to say nothing, and yet to seem to say something, a figure usuall where theree is a good will, but no Mean's to come at a true notion of any thing. It is no more, but that y^e body Swells, w^{ch} must be by y^e part's lying wider from one, & another then before they did. he cannot mean they have a vitall aversion. but admitt that, It fits Not our buissness, for If Gunpowder consists of part's y^t would fly one & another, why will they ly Quietly together before granulation when it is

S^r. Is. N. useth a misleading ex= pression of ra= refaction.

 $^{^{370}}$ i.e., 'an action where the parts [...] flee from each other'. I have not (yet) identified this (if indeed it is a) quotation, RN is often imprecise in quoting from memory.

If he mean's Not a sensible aver= sion of y° part's but separation by Impuls wee a= gree.

Rarefaction In a vessell, is actua= ted from y^e agi= tation of Extrane matter, thro y^e pores of y^e vessell. and is Not from any Intrinsick caus In y^e rarefy= able body. It is In powder Impalpable. And If it be the Impulses of y^e parts upon Each other, that drives them asunder, wee agree In the thing but Not In y^e blind Expression; ffor 2. body's never Move and touch, but they fly one and other, therefore it is true that Rarefaction may be from such caus, tho S^r. Is Meant Not thus, and his discourses are like aristotles, rather lo= gick, or giving caracters & names, rat then philosofy, w^{ch} should Not name but Explaine things.

Wee have Sayd, that Rarefaction is caused by the force of the subtile matter, w^{ch} permeates all vessells, and Receiving any agitation a= broad, communicates it, to that within a vessel, and that communicates it to $y^{\rm e}$ Inclosed fluid, $w^{\rm ch}$ not permeating \boldsymbol{y}^e pores, strike \boldsymbol{y}^e Sides of the vessell; ffor ye body's moving strike one & other and that must come to \boldsymbol{y}^e Sides, unless there were an utmost Expansion, w^{ch} is when the parts may move & Not Interfere. So While the parts Interfere, more agitation, must create rarefaction, and If they doe Not Inter= fere, then Rarefaction is Consummate. So that rarefaction is Not from any Internall principle of body, but is from force without accessionally applyed, and as that force May be augmented, so \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Rarefaction is. now

Fantasmes.

Explosions also have their force from the Externall matter, Intersti= tiall to y^e air, w^{ch} is Influencing from all distance.

Exterior force brought to act upon any place as a concentra= tion of it, may doe any thing.

Small body act litle on great, but If pulverised [thing's?] litle are Influenced Now I account Explosion to be from like Caus; that is from the force of matter without, and not from ought that belongs to y^e Explodible materiall, except the Capacity. this Granted it is No wonder that Explosion's have such force, ffor If you Examine $y^{\rm e}$ Influences of Mo= tion about ye World, that every stroke hath Infinite Influence; and that a body May be moved here, In Consequence of some Influence derived from matter resident beyond saturne (If It were possible to trace ye Influences of Some complex movements). as for Instance; the Mat= ter beyond saturne Crouds from the sun, and ye Earth with its vortex, is poised in its place, the former conduceth to \boldsymbol{y}^e latter. and In our petit world, a bullet tends to y^e center, this may be driven by Influence derived from Mat= ter beyond \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Moon, that tends from it. There= fore If there be a Mean's to bring the Influences of Exterior motion's to bear upon any assi= gned place, It may have any degreee of power. as to dissipate \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Globe of Earth, as well as to rais a bastion, w^{ch} is but a clodd.

Then I suppose that there is an action in y^e very subtile matter, swift as it is small; but hath litle power on Great body's or lumps of matter, as a grain of Sand Signifies litle to a millstone; but If a milstone were pul= -verised

60 117.

Thence Explo= dible matter, bro= ken by fire, is ex= posed to y^e force of its like y^e subtile agitated matter of y^e World

force is Not cre= ated, No More then substance, therefore Must be derived, and whence; but from y^e matter apt to Such motion In y^e y^e world, of w^{ch} y^e quantity from y^e Extent of Influence makes up for the exility of y^e parts.

-verised a few Graines of sand might make havock in it. This subtile matter May be a[=?] gitated under an Influence of an Immens extent. And the Explodible materiall, May be of the same sort, or Great part of it so, as In the coagulum or /Gun=\powder, the subtile matter wrought Not upon it; but when broken by fire then the subtile matter layd hold, with all $y^{\rm e}$ fforce Influencing it, and give's it that power to Expand. So that when a Mine Springs, It is Not ye train that doth the work, but the sub= tile matter disperst In the whole Region roun[d?] about, Influencing the matter of ye powder when broken all at once, /fire and occasioned\ by y^e Mixture of dif[=?] forme Compounds, Nitre & sulfur, with ye tinder of Coal Every where Intersperst; I should be Glad to know how otherwise it is possible for an Extraneous power to act thus upon Combusti= ble matter, Infusing such power Into it. that it is done some way, or other, is past dispute. ffor force is Not Created, No more then body or Space to serve turnes, but proceeds from Impulses, accor[=?] ding to ye laws of simple motion, however Com= plex or Compound $y^{\rm e}$ Case happens to be. So this power of lifting a bastion, comes Not from the materiall of Gunpowder, \mathbf{w}^{ch} is so small in Respect of ye weight & Quantity It lifts & Moves that it is as Nothing; therefore it seems Ne= cessary that this force be derived from much Quantity

Fantasmes

Quantity and space abroad, to hold some pro= portion with these stupendious effects.

I know by my self, who cannot but labour under many objections to this conceipt about Explosion, that other's will put foreward E= now, and some I may foresee, and It May be ans^r. As for those Supercilious Expressions of some late authors, that subtile matter is a figment or dream, when they ought to know by y^e Magnet & other Naturall action's, there is such. or that wee are Cartesian's; w^{ch} In tutor language is slight Enough; or that Hypotheses are vain /or that these powers cannot be mechanically Resolved\ & y^e like; I thinck them Not to Con= cerne us; but If any thing is applyed to the Matter, however violent y^e objection is, I Em= brace it, as a freind to right understanding.

The body of pow= der must be broke by somewhat Gross before y^e subtile matter can Joyne & actuate it.

This speculation

The dictators or tutor's In philo=

sofy, run it down.

& defye y^e Notion

of subtile matter

however Manifest

very scrupulous

1. It might be objected that the supposed sub= tile matter, whose action is so strong as to Ex= cite y^e Combustible powder to such a force, may have y^e power to tear it in peices, that is Make it Explode, without other application of fire. An answer to this was touched before, and con= sists In the supposed disproportion between y^e sup subtile parts, and the coagulum, y^t is y^e cornes, or Granules of y^e powder. to w^{ch} I add farther, the Composition may be so bound up

up among Gross Matter that the subtile parts could Not come at it. And as to that, wee have this Evidence, flame w^{ch} is y^e oyly part of y^e fewell, will hardly, and a burning Glass Neve[r?] Imediately Explode Gunpowder,. but It will melt it. And If y^e powder on w^{ch} y^e powder is lay[d?] takes fire, as it will at a burning Glass, y^e least touch of that fire, or of any adventitious spark from a flint, or culinary fire of any sort, In= stantly fires y^e powder. w^{ch} shews that somewha[t?] Gross is Required to break ye body of ye powder before y^e Subtile matter can Come at y^e minute part's, apt to Explode; and If one corne fires, that doth ye Same office to all the Rest; And upon ye accension of much together, wholly depends \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force of y^e Explosion, ffor If y^e powder be close rammed & so Contused Into less Granules; the fire cannot penetrate, as it doth among \boldsymbol{y}^{e} cornes, to kindle Much together, then it burne[s?] gradually by small Explosions, & is that they Call wild fire.

2. It may be objected that the part's of Subtile matter cannot have more force then as a body of such magnitude and velocity of movement as it hath, opposed to any other; and this de= rived Influence from abroad, is Not Intelligible I ans^r. that In Complex movements, body's May have the force of many other's, as well as of their

wild fire is when y^e Explosion's are peice by peice, & Not all at once. so train's explode by running on as y^e powder leads.

In complex abs= truse cases, bodys act with a long derived force, & are Not as Simple Impulses.

Fantasmes

<diagram>³⁷¹

<diagram>

The explodible Matter cannot Exhale, but forces And that is by y^e mean's of Grosser matter with it upon w^{ch} it bears, w^{ch} cannot per= meate Earth, &c.

lightning hath
no Such Gross Mat=
ter, & so permeats
all things.

their owne, to agravate the Impulses they make, as If bodys lye in this posture. A strok at A. causeth an Impuls at B. with y^e force of more substance then the body B. hath, that is all y^e Intermediate body's contribute as More Quantity added to y^e Impuls at. B. And what is Shewed here In a few, is to be supposed Energetick in the Infinite complexity of y^e mundane matter Especially y^e Subtile parts of it, w^{ch} have Comuni= cation by motion all y^e world over. therefore Wee conclude that what may be In simple Instances, certeinly is, in such vast Complexity of Motion.

3. It May farther be objected, that If this com= bustible matter, must be broken, and become small, as In parity, to be wrought upon by $y^{\rm e}$ so small subtile matter. why doth it Not, as that, permeate all vessells, & Instead of lifting $y^{\rm e}$ bas= tion, Exhale thro ye pores of it, & so have No Effect? To this I must answer by Recalling to mind the Reason of Rarefaction. that altho ye Impuls be from Subtile penetrating Stuff, It is the Gross that protrudes, by reason that the Subtile Excites a swifter movement In it. So If the powder were all subtile matter, It might Ex= plode, but Not blow up any thing, but ffor It would permeate all porous bodys. of this sort is y^{e} fire of lightning, w^{ch} sticks at Nothing but by accident, and then it Rends all to peices; And

 $^{^{\}rm 371}$ The first diagram is in pencil and has been subsequently crossed out before being overdrawn in ink.

And such accidents may be a matter In ye way apt to contein or stop it, & then y^e violence ap= pears. So I p^rsume that y^e Gunpowder is a composition of matter, part of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ may be like that Subtile matter w^{ch} Explodes it; and part Grosser, & probably Gross In many degrees, and most certeinly much of it so Gross as Not to permeate Gunn's, or ought ye air doth Not pass. the less Gross May be as a Medium comunica= tive of ye Motion wherever it Comenceth; there is No doubdt but $y^{\rm e}$ beginning is from $y^{\rm e}$ fire that Kindles it, but then y^{e} force is prosecuted by y^{e} subtile matter in it, w^{ch} finding Shift so apt to move snatcheth it along, & that other parts, till \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole is In so rapid a motion, that the Gros= ser Not capable of venting /otherwise\ is Made to drive \boldsymbol{y}^{e} obstacles away. All \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} force is Not from the first accension, but from the Imens force of the Subtile matter w^{ch} urgeth y^e whole, after it is once kindled.

Many Explosi= on's happen ac= cidentally. 4. If it be sayd, that this subtile matter being Every whare dispers't, might accidentally meet with matter apt to Explode, and wee Should have many such, without y^e Contrivance of Gunpowder; I answer, true. and wee have Many; what is y^e phosforus, that kindles by meer air? and Earthquakes y^t are from y^e air, come from some accidentall Explosion, so our comon whirlewinds not to mention many accensions In y^e air seen at

Fantasmes

63 123.

at Night, and other's not seen by reason of Daylight, and it may happen that nitre & & sulfur mixt come in y^e way of subterane= an fires, and Causeth the great Eruptions at y^e severall volcano^os or burning mountaines, but that such composition, w^{ch} is become an art or trade to p^rpare, is not frequently to be found /p^rpared\ by meer accident is No wonder.

5. If the /subtile\ matter have such strength, why doth it Not break y^e Granules of sulfur & Nitre, with= out y^e help of Culinary fire? I ans^r, that It may be becaus, the matter is too much disperst, and acts by points, or very small parts, and also the composition is bound up in larger body's; but when y^e Composition is broke, y^e Subtile matter rush= eth in; and act's in great Quantity together.

I am sensible this feild is ffull of thornes, that is objection's, and It may be say'd In the main, y^t all wee can discours of such unknowne sub= jects, savours of the lunar. to w^{ch} I cannot Reply otherwise then, that If wee will philo= Sofize att all, of such portentous stupendious Effects, and who can forbear, It must be In this manner; that is /by\ considering how by /with\ Means of Corporeall powers, such consequences may be. and If they act in extream minuteness, wee must Judg by collation with what is Grea= ter, ffor w^{ch} this Encourageth; proportion and not Quantity abstract, makes all differences.

Explodible Mat= ter Enveloped with Gross parts w^{ch} must be rent, & moved.

This sort or Rea= Soning Necessary, or philosofy, Nay all Curiosity Must be layd aside, & that humanity doth Not be<u>ar.</u>

1[-?]24.³⁷²

Fantasmes

All fire is Ex= plosion in some degree or Man= ner. such as are Most sudden wee Call an Explosion others, Consuming & y^e like, as less Quick.

y° Effects of Mo= tion Must be various. but y^t of powder, hath plainely y° Means of explosion More then any, as y° Ingredients shew.

of striking fire & y^e Manner how it is produced. This of Gunpowder is Not ye onely Explosion but as I sayd, all fire Explodes; but when it is slow it hath Not that caracter, & is Called consuming; but when it is very swift then it is Explosion. so as Some Explosion's are with Easy beginnings, other's are Not without grea[t?] Impuls, as that of water Requires a fiery fur= nace, to Make it Explode; & otherwise tho Exceeding hott, & In some measure Macerating It will Not take y^e forme of fire; oyle Explode[s?] with difficulty, but Easily takes fire. Spirits of wine, Easily both burne & Explode. but It is to No End to collect diversity's, since all things In y^e World are so diver's, that scarce 2. minute parts, or Elements of thing's are alike, no wonder then If y^e agregates and Compounds are so various, & have effects so different. It is Enough, as to Gunpowder, to Say that y^e Materiall is so contrived, as of all thing[s?] soonest to take fire, easyest to Explode, and most violent In y^e Effect; therefore most acco= modate to practice, where such force is Required³⁷³

The last thing I shall touch upon belonging t[0?] this subject of fire, is y^e ordinary mean's of obtei= ning of it by collision of flint & steel. or what is ordinarily Called striking fire. This is a phenomenon, w^{ch} hath occasioned Much puzling as may be seen In authors, who have venture[d?]

 $^{^{372}}$ RN's own page number is here overwritten. It is overwritten by the same pen and ink that has been used for the marginalia, and that pen and ink has also been used for the additional text, lower down the page. A similar overwriting occurs on the following two pages.

 $^{^{373}}$ Here the text, which has been written continuously in a pale sepia ink, at least since f. 252/3, and largely with a broader nibbed pen, is succeeded by writing in a darker ink and with narrower nib. Note the change of subject, the lack of a catchword at the bottom of the page, and the cramming of words into the remaining space over the next two pages.

303r Note			
Most have thought latent fire is In	Fantasmes	64 1[.?]25	
y ^e Steel, & brought	upon so abstruse a theory; some have fancyed		
forth by Collision	one thing, & some another, cartesius at last		
w ^{ch} is Not So.	is pleased to lodg In a flint certein parts		
	apt for fire, w ^{ch} are struck out. And by being		
peices of y^e Mate=	put Into Rapid Motion take y ^e forme of fire.		
riall as Steel are	I pass by the Elementarians, whose flights		
Struck off, and Mel=	are allwais beyond all proofs, and Come to the		
ted, being Small	matter w ^{ch} is In a litle Compass. ffor the fire is		
& In rapid Motion.	Nothing but part of the steel, or Matteriall		
	whatever it is, y^t strikes Into fire, beaten off		
	from the Rest of y ^e body. In very s		
	and withall, so agitated with y ^e vie	-	
	/partly by friction In y ^e parting,		
	of its passage y^e movemt\ that y^e to	irning amounts to y ^e	
	force of fire, & y ^e Small		
	peice of mettall fluxes; w ^{ch} is main		
	about by y ^e passage thro y ^e air, tha y ^e fire.	at Exasperates	
	That this is thus performed, 2 expe	riments ve=	
Microscopes	rifye, the one is of Microscopicall	Inspection.	
Shew y ^e small	ffor If with a Comon flint & steel, fire is Struck		
drop's of Melted	upon a sheet of white paper, y ^e place where		
Steel upon w ^{ch}	y ^e sparks light, will appear by a litle [?]		
y ^e fire is Struck.	of y ^e paper. those viewed with a go	-	
	will Shew In Each of them, a Small	-	
	sht steel round, & smooth as a shot	-	
	the melted Iron yt fly's from a black		
	work, & stick's on y ^e walls, as sho		
neer y ^e anvill. this demonstrates, that the peice			
	of steel struck off, are fluxed In	Y° air, & SO Tall	
	[rand?] as dropps are In y ^e air		

as dropps are In y^e air

1[.?]26.

File dust dropt on a Candle accend & flux In y^e Same Man= ner, as Spark's from a steel. The other Experimt, w^{ch} shews how Iron In a small body are apt to flux, and that No= thing can be more like to y^e Sparks from a flint & steel, then small peices of Iron [fluxing?] Is this. take Comon file dust, and drop it lei= surely over a Candle. the peices of Iron, will be Strangely Ignited. that is, as it were take fire, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is strang of Iron, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ requires So Much Heat to make it Red, & Much More to flame but y^e current of y^e heat In y^e Stream of a candle, $y^{\rm t}$ tend's upwards, and the fall of $y^{\rm e}$ Iron agt it falling downewards, doubles the Reverberatory, and makes \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Iron actually melt and Shew in frequent sparks as are very Surprising: all w^{ch} Examined by y^{e} Microscope will be found pellet's of fluxed Iron, as before. who then Can doubdt, but these Spark's one way and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other, so Exactly alike, In Substance & Shew are not actuated In ye Same Manne[r?]

Fantasmes

To confirme this I observe, that Nothing tends Mor[e?] to heat and accension, then tearing off part's of a continued body, as the very bending iron heats it, becaus the part's rend one from an other, & so y^e mettal is torne off by y^e flint, that Drives parts & doth Not cutt them off. It is observed of the phos[=?] forus, that the least rubbing, or passing any thing over it, set's it In full fire. whereby there is Evidence of more motion and violence raised by y^e parts of a convulst or contused body, then our Senses discover.

Resolves.

39 1.³⁷⁴

Of phenomenas I have distinguished the phaenomena of considered In them nature Into Such as are perceived thro Selves, without any some Image of y^e fancy, w^{ch} is Not In the Reference to our object, as light, sound, &c. and Into such as Imagination. are perceived of themselves, all such /those other \ Ima= ges substracted; the former I call fan= tasmes; becaus y^e object is one thing, and the sensation another; These I choos to call Resolves, being designed as an Essays ffor of Naturall causes of Effects, whose appea= rance is conformable with their being; And concerning w^{ch}, all Imagination's are lay'd aside, and the attention is wholly to the thing, as it really Exists. Of these, None can competition for pri= The Baroscope ye most Instruc= macy with the Mercuriall Baroscope; an Experiment w^{ch}, ffor discovery of Nature, ting as well as usefull Experi= hath outdone all that have bin made since Naturall philosofy hath bin a study, ment. as will appear In y^e series of this discours concerning it. I shall Not stay to teach or describe y^{e} /ordinary\ fabrick of y^{e} Instrument, ffor It is Now as comon as clocks; And None Will have patience to peruse these paper's, who is /are\ not well acquainted with it. but In Re= gard there are severall formes of it, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ are Not all so ordinarily knowne, I/t will\ Shall after some other discourses, leading to it /be Requisite to \ make

 $^{^{374}}$ The writing on this page returns to the 'normal' of the previous pages (up to f. 302v). The British Library corrected (i.e., crossed out) numbering in pencil starts here at 39 , and RN's own numbering starts at 1. Once again the marginalia and corrections are in a different ink (which happens to be, once again, darker).

Resolves

2.

make some discription of them, that their differences may be knowne, ffor some Consi[=?] derable Conclusion's will depend on them.

The Instrument ordinarily Consists of two parts, a tube, and a stagnum. The tube is usually 40 Inches, & filled With mercury and so Inverted Into y^e stagnum /of\ havingmercury also, that No air Get's Into the tube. then ye Columne of mercury will fall downe to a certein length, as about 29. inches, and after some undulations will setle. No air (considerable, but some will Escape by \boldsymbol{y}^e sides of \boldsymbol{y}^e tube, & out of y^{e} body of y^{e} Mercury) getts Into y^{e} tube, so the Space above the mercury, however larg, Will be derelict as to air, and is called $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{e}}$ Torricellian vacuity. becaus that worthy person In search of vacuity fin= ding this effect, thought he had made a full discovery of it. and accordingly pub[=?] lished it, The gentlemen of our Royall society first found that the station would vary, to neer $3\theta/1$ inches upwards, & neer 28. downewards, but No More. And they were at great loss for diver's years, as appear's In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Earlyer transaction's of their society, what account to give of that

304v

The part's of y^e Instrument, & of y^e first Invention.

Resolves 40 3.

The derelicted Space No absolute void, but Repleat with Interstitiall matter,

The air pump had a better Com=\mand of such voiding of air

The /inward\ air to be Elastick, & ever under pressure,

that wonderfull, & (then) unaccountable chang, & they mumbled it as an ass doth thistles, uttering Nothing but doubts and Querys. All were of accord that the derelic= ted Space, was No absolute void; but onely as to the gross air wee breath, $w^{\rm ch}$ was ma= nifestly Excluded from thence. This gave occasion to consider ye Nature of air, More then had Ever bin done In $y^{\rm e}\ {\tt World}$ before, And the Noble Mr Boyle, one cutt out to Improve discovers/ys\ and hints, by Exquise/it\ Experiments; contrived a pump air-tight $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ would draw from a vessell almost all the air that was In it. This was to Imi= tate the derelicted space, and to prove some Effects in it, w^{ch} could Not well be practised In y^e baroscope. It became a setled Conclusi= on, that the void was supplyed, with a matter Interstitiall & more subtile then air, permeating the Glass vessell, as air perme= ates wool, or thornes. And they found that Air as other body's had weight, and that the whole body of air about \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Earth, was compressed; and under a perpetuall ten= dency to dilate; And Never failed to shew that Effect, when way was made by Removing obstacles, that is the air it

305r

Resolves

4.

itself, In any determined or Included space; ffor whereas before \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air wherever it had Comunication waS all under the sam Compressure; If the weight of the Generall air bore upon any /out\ Side of a vessel the air on y^{e} Inside sustained it. but If y^{e} air of ye Inside could be Evacuated, then It would be proved that \boldsymbol{y}^{e} vessel could or could Not Resist \boldsymbol{y}^{e} weight of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} outward air. by such experiments they found that the weight of \boldsymbol{y}^e air had a certein strength w^{ch} by any greater was subdued, and all less yeilded to it. And No Measure was So certein as the tube of $y^{\rm e}$ baroscope. ffor $y^{\rm e}$ weight lying upon y^e Mercury In y^e Stagnum squeezed it, as Every thing els, that susteined it. but y^e Mercury being a yeilding body, and there being way made for it, in the derelicted Space; /ye air prest it up ye tube, untillat a certein height, y^{e} Mercury In y^{e} tube, with so great a weight, pressing downewards made a ballance; & there fell /to be\ $y^{\rm e}$ Station of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mercury In the tube. So that the height of the Mercury In a perpendicular gage, was also a gage of the Airs weight, And that is y^e Solution of the Mercury standing.

The mercuriall height y^e best gage of the force under w^{ch} y^e aire is Compres't.

Hence follows y^e airs Spring or Elasticity.

The Surprising power of heat & cold to aug= ment & abate y^e airs spring. It was found by an harmony of Experi= ments, that the air lying under ye pres= sure of its owne weight, had a perpetuall Conatus to dilate; $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is called y^e Spring of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air. ffor as any Mettall spring pres't downe by a weight, as that is Removed Shall rise; And the force of Rising is allwais E= quall, or ballance, to $y^{\rm e}$ weight $y^{\rm t}$ holds it downe. So the air hath allwais a Spring Equall to ye barometricall mercury, and no more. Hence \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air is Reputed an Elastick body; wee must take Notice of y^e philosofick language, tho my designe is to write vulgar English. They also find, that air will Swell with heat, and shrink with Cold, and Not a litle, but so much as is surprising. this is proved by many Experiments, all of $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ have air Included In Some vessell; as a bladder, for Instance; upon the access of warmth, If y^e bladder be flaccid, It Shall Swell and te turgid; If y^e heat be Increas't It May be, /perhaps, burst; If y^e heat be Removed then by degrees $y^{\rm e}$ air shrinks & $y^{\rm e}$ bladder becomes flaccid againe; and upon Making an Intens cold, y^e air shall be almost lost. while it is all y^e Same substance, but onely altered according to Rarefaction & Condensation .I.

41 5.

306v

Resolves.

6.

An experimt Re= I Made an Experiment So apposit to ye lated of the Ba= porpose in hand; I shall here Relate it, with roscope, subjec= all its circumstances, and leav y^e application ted to $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ Extreams to y^e Sequel. I Erected a baroscope in y^e flas[k?] of heat & cold & comonly Called a florence-flask, so as the y^e Consequences. Stagnum was at $y^{\rm e}$ botom of $y^{\rm e}$ flask, & $y^{\rm e}$ tube stood upright In ye Neck. Then I brought a wett bladder /being perforated for $y^{\rm e}$ porpose\ over $y^{\rm e}$ tube, and made a ligature of ye bladder upon ye tube and u= pon the Neck of ye flask. so that all comuni= cation with y^e outward air was Intercepted by ye bladder; as It will doe, so applyed. Here $y^{\rm e}$ Spring of $y^{\rm e}$ air was Shutt in, and as the Elasticity of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} outward air was at that time, so was y^e Spring of y^e Inward air also[,?] one being a peice, taken off ye other. Now If I could Make the air In $y^{\rm e}$ flask shrink, $y^{\rm e}$ Mercury must need fall In ye tube, and ye contrary upon Swelling of it. I Gatt snow & Salt, and dashed both by turnes upon y^e flask upon Cold, y^e Mer= the first thing observable within ye flask cury fell, & Much was a sort of mistyness, like the dimness wett generated. of a Glass filled with cool wine. this went on and the mist on y^e flask within grew more gross, at length small dropps appeard and a Coalition of them made great drops run downe, so as to breed manifest water within the flask, y^t fell upon y^e Mercury there.

And

Resolves³⁷⁵

41 7.

And observing ye tube, I found ye Mercury fell all y^e while, and wee brought it downe Six Inches lower then the former station. by this It was manifest, the cold shrinking \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air within had weakened \boldsymbol{y}^e Spring of it In Such proportion, less then \boldsymbol{y}^e air with out, as \boldsymbol{y}^e falling shewed. then with a penknife I toucht ye bladder, (w^{ch}, by y^e way, was drawne Into \boldsymbol{y}^{e} flask to a strong tension,) and Immedi= ately ye mercury rose to the first station. Then I Repeated ye Expermiment, with like success, and Instead of cutting y^e bladder I brought hot water & powered upon $y^{\rm e}$ flask; And It was plaine how all Mistyness that was within went away, and $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ water bredd by y^{e} Cold dryed up; and observing y^{e} Mercury, It rose all ye while, and Wee Moun= ted it above \boldsymbol{y}^e first station above six Inches As wee forbore \boldsymbol{y}^{e} heat It sank by degrees, and augmenting ye heat It rose againe, with y^e Constancy of a beam & scales. and y^e bladder Instead of being Suck't In, was swelled very hard outward, and giving it a prick, y^e Mercury forthwith subsided to Its first station. This Experiment hath No= thing nice or difficult In ye practise of it, but Is wonderfull declaratory of the New hypothesis

Upon Heat ap= plyed, y^e Mercury rose & y^e Water Dryed up.

 $^{^{375}}$ At the top of the page, centrally and directly above this heading, a word (it could be 'Fantasmes') has been rubbed out.

8.

hypothesis of y^e air, and how vain the old subterfuges of suction, & fuga vacui³⁷⁶ were.

The Caus of this adjustment of the weight & Spring of the air comes to us by another disc= very, and that is of the atmosphear, w^{ch} I choos to name more properly ye air-spear. It is found that upon high places the Mercury stands higher In the Baroscope, then In the lower. the Most Worthy Collⁿ Windham of Salisbury, 377 assured me that by Reiterated & carefull Experiments, he found that between the height of the pinnacle of the Cathedrall, & $y^{\rm e}$ floor of $y^{\rm e}$ church, there was 1/10. Inch difference Then the tube by being /continually\ raised, must /at length\ come Into a place /at some certein height\ where $y^{\rm e}$ mercury shall levell with ye Stagnum. for the progression Requires it. And such place is the Extream height of that sphaer of air /($w^{ch}, \$ by pressing, is the Caus of upholding ye Mercury. And what that is, is Calculable, from $y^{\rm e}$ height of the tower & the 1/10 Inch, compared, with Consideration of the rule of weight decreasing upwards. but there is No need of being Nice In ye Matter, It is enough it is discovered, that the air= Sphear is of a determinate height, And that is sufficiently demonstrated also by $y^{\rm e}$ lunar Eclippses, ffor the penumbration, is y^e Shaddow of y^e air Sphear, w^{ch} not being so transparent as

Discovery of the air Sphear, and

its determinate

height, by ye Ba=

rometer lifted up

y^e penumbra being

y^e shadow of it.

& by lunar Eclipses

 $^{^{376}}$ i.e., 'fleeing the vacuum', the scholastic notion that nature abhored, and therefore fled from, a vacuum.

³⁷⁷ i.e, Colonel John Wyndham; the following information from an entry for his son, Thomas Wyndham, in the *ODNB* online (consulted August 2014): 'John Wyndham of Norrington, a colonel in the army and MP for Salisbury in 1681 and 1685'. It is most likely that the experiment was carried out under the direction of Bishop Seth Ward (1617-89), Bishop of Salisbury from 1667, former Savillian professor of Astronomy at Oxford, and a founder member of the Royal Society. Wyndham took a reading at ground level, and part of the way up the spire. He knew the heights involved and was able to observe a fall in the height of the mercury corresponding to that height. RN implies that the mercury would reach a height of zero (i.e., be level) at the very edge of the atmosphere. That zero point, using the figures of one tenth of an inch per 400 feet (the approximate height of the spire) would, even without correcting for 'rule of weight', take us up to at least 120,000 feet above the cathedral floor. A full account of the (then best guess at) relation of altitude to air pressure was communicated to the Royal Society by Edmund Halley (Phil. Trans. 1686 vol. 16 no. 179-191 104-116), which RN must surely have known

Resolves 43 9

as y^e Ether, Cast's a faint shaddow on y^e Eart moons surface, w^{ch} is very distinguish= able, and shews (grossly) y^e proportion it bears to y^e diameter of y^e Earth. Wee may

also observe by the Crepusculum, that there

and that it mounts, not high. ffor what /it\

doth at y^e horison /(\where much and y^e thicker part Interposeth/ing\, almost obfuscate/s\ y^e Sun,/)\

is Such a vaporous body about the Earth,

is scarce to be discerned, when y^e sun in In y^e Sumer Meridian. And the Notorious Refraction's of light from y^e luminarys of y^e heavens, coming to us obliq Sufficiently prove a distinct body of a different Con= Sistence from y^e Ether, Encompassing y^e Earth. And that it is Not very deep, becaus a Mode=

The Crepusculum & Refraction's of light from y^e Starrs shew y^e air Sphear a body distinct from Ether.

q^{u378}

compression of y^e
air is from y^e weight
of its owne body,
& so by distribution
of part's, gaged
accoring to y^e
perpendicular
Columne.

So here is an Intire sphear of air about the Earth, w^{ch} allwais presseth it self, so as y^e lower parts bear, & are comprest by y^e supe= rior, and th earth & sea, are y^e base and sustein y^e whole. for w^{ch} reason it is that wee comonly say any part of the Earth sus= teins y^e Columne of y^e air directly above it. w^{ch} is in a manner true, ffor If y^e whole base sustein y^e whole sphear of air, then part bears

rate Elevation above $y^{\rm e}$ horizon much abates $y^{\rm e}$

Refraction.

308r

 $^{^{378}\} q^u$ in same ink as the main text.

10.

bears part according as ye proportion is to ye whole. therefore as to y^e measure of Incumbent force it is the colume that determines. but If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} conveyance of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force could be traced, It would be found that y^{e} Matter in y^{e} Columne Influen= -ceth laterally as well as right downe, but bing pay'd againe by others, as to measure as I sayd, It amounts to ye Same as if onely a columne pressed right downe. ffor this reason the diameter of ye tube Inlarged by wch Much More of $y^{\rm e}$ Mercury is conteined, doth not abate any thing of the height of the Standing of $y^{\rm e}$ Mercury; but a tube of 10. In. diameter, shall stand as one of 1/4. In. ffor If y^{e} mercury weigh more, the Columne is Grea= ter, & would be as Effectuall, If a tube were a larg as a church steeple. And If $y^{\rm e}$ Instru= ment be placed high In $y^{\rm e}$ Columne, the weight is less, for plain reasons already touched.

And In this condition of pressure, the air & all other fluids are alike, tho Not Elastick as y° air is. ffor If a vessell be lett downe Into y° Sea to a great depth, the water accor= dingly presseth all part's of it, and If any part yeilds, it Shall be driven, and If y° whole be too weak for such burthen it shall break. a good freind of Mine,³⁷⁹ In a voyage from Const° diverted himself, with letting downe closed flasks

Inlarging y^e tube y^e Mercury hath greater force to sustein it, be= caus y^e colume is also Spread.

but lifting or de= pressing, lifts or sinks y^e mercury becaus y^e Columns is Shorter or longer.

pressure of air parallel's with that of water experiemented In y^e Sea.

³⁷⁹ i.e., RN's brother, Dudley North.

flasks & bottles with ye [dippsea-line?], Into ye sea, and that sometimes 200 yards. the flasks would sometimes burst, but Not ye botles, yet ye corks of one & other $\frac{1}{2}$ that $\frac{1}{2}$ here $\frac{1}{2}$ here $\frac{1}{2}$ here $\frac{1}{2}$ be driven In by y^{e} weight of y^{e} water. So vaine was \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Man that wrote of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Non gravitation of fluids.³⁸⁰ And as In water, the force of pressure is /according to\ the aperture by $w^{\rm ch}$ it may pass, $w^{\rm ch}$ artists call ye valve, or vent, As In a tunnel, tho it holds as a cone Inverted, yet No more presseth at the cusp, but y^e quantity of a Columne from $y^{\rm e}$ vent, to the top, In a perpendicu= lar gage. And every part of a body Immerst In water, bears of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} weight, So much as that part, If it were an aperture, would let pass according to Such Columne. So it is In Every Respect In y^e air; ffor that hath force acco= ding to ye Entrance Into ye tube; and Is More or less as that is wider or straiter. But If it Happen's /proves that ye tube is larger then ye En= trance from $y^{\rm e}$ Stagnum, as Comonly happens, that Makes No alteration In the Standing ffor the pressure tho It be but upon a capil= lary Entrance, shall work upon ye Stagnum till it hath protruded such Quantity by a continuall Current Into $y^{\rm e}$ tube, as shall rais y^e upper surface In a perpendicu= lar gage to a due height to ballance $y^{\rm e}$ force

The laws of Hy= trostatick's have place In air.

of Fluid Bodies, etc., London, 1673. See note in BL Add MS 32546, f. 53r

12.

The gage of all pressure of fluids is as y^e Gravity y^t Causeth it, by a perpendicular Gage. so curvitys, meanders, or lea= ning of y^e tube, hath No Effect (but friction), & y^e perpendicular makes y^e Gage.

when y^e Station va= ry's, part is In y^e uper superficies Sinking, & part In y^e lower, rising In proportion to y^e Extents of them.

force below, and If ye Mercury is above that height, It will Not ceas to run out, till it is reduced to it. whereby it appear's that the Manner of y^e Mercury Entring is Not Mate= riall to \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Instrument, ffor any Entrance, if competent, whatever y^e tube is, is Sufficient. but the height In the perpendicular, is con= stant to $y^{\rm e}$ Caus, however it is disposed. ffor If \boldsymbol{y}^e tube be curve or leaned in one side the Mercury will Enter till that height is Gained, and upon righting it againe, Issue till it is Reduced. And thus wee may observe that the distance, between y^e two superfices \boldsymbol{y}^{e} upper an \boldsymbol{y}^{e} lower, or rather between the [planes?] of y^e one and y^e other horizon= tally taken.

Hence it appear's also, that the variation of the station, when there is caus for it, by a greater or less pressure of y^e air. doth Not shew onely at y^e upper superficies, as now vulgarly is Expected, and y^e Index declares. /but below also\ ffor part /of y^e variation\ will be In y^e Stagnum. becaus If the Mercury vent's from y^e tube by falling it must rais y^e Stagnum, and that adjusts y^e Columne, as well as y^e falling above. and If the superficies or diameter or /superficies\ content

Resolves 45 13.

content of y^e stagnum, be equall to that of y^e tube above, then just half of y^e varia= tion will shew above, & half below. but If the stagnum spread much, as usuall it doth from a strait tube, then $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ variation as desired, will appear most above, and litle below, because Much sinking there, will by y^e Mercury vented rais but a litle below; It is the same thing, If the stagnum be ve= ry Contracted, and the superficies of ye Mer= cury aloft much Extended then y^e varia= tion will appear cheifly /not aloft but\ below. ffor a litle from thence /above\ will rais much In ye Stagnum. The Consideration of this gave occasion to dispose a barometer with an Index, as a clock to shew very Nicely all $y^{\rm e} \ {\tt movem^{ts}}$ or changes of the standing, as will happen from causes to be declared.³⁸¹ And that is Cal= led a wheel barometer; and Contrived so that y^e upper superficies is In a bolt-head of any biggness, and the stagnum is in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} tube Returned as a Syphon upwards. upon w^{ch} lower superficies or stagnum, a plum= met of ler Iron or brass was let fall, & being brought over a Nice wheel susteined by a Counterpois, so $y^{\rm t} \; y^{\rm e}$ mercury rising In $y^{\rm e}$ syfon lifted up $y^{\rm e}$ plumet & turned $y^{\rm e}$ wheel wch

Hence y^e Inven= tion of y^e Wheel Barometer, & y^e fabrick des= cribed.

³⁸¹ See Phil. Trans. 1665 vol. 1 no. 1-22 218-219, (accessible online at http:// rstl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/1/1-22/218.full.pdf+html? sid=fceb56f3-39b6-4911-8044-56a8c1af6672).

310v

of the Balance

Baroscope & y^e

Relating to it.

Hydrostatick considerations

Resolves

14

w^{ch} carryed an Index without; This Is y^e best application of y^e Invention, but somewha[t?] costly, therefore Not used. Sr Sam: Moreland³⁸² Had another device. $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ was to Suspend a Barometricall tube at a beam with a due Counterpoids, and allowed a stagnum of a great depth. this Introduced many hydr[o=?] statick points, for $y^{\rm e}$ tube rising & sinking In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Stagnum, concerned a comparison of weight between Glass & mercury. but I am not to criticise on such Inventions. It is cer[=?] -tin, at y^e other end of y^e beam, w^{ch} had the Indicative part, y^{e} space of y^{e} variation was much Inlarged, but for like reason's that Invention also was layd aside. I shall Men[=?] tion one More becaus It affords us some Spe[=?] culation, as well as proofs of what hath bin Sayd.

of the pendant Barometer; wth= out a Stagnum becaus y^e Mercury In a small body hath Not force to break away, & run, but sinks & useth all in a body. This is Called y^e pendant tube; And the use of it depends much upon y^e Exility of the channel of it, I thinck they allow about the bigness of a comon straw. It is filled, and sus= pended without any stagnum, having y^e orifice aloft closed, with a space derelicted and the orifice below open, and a considerable space between y^e orifice and the mercury. In this y^e rising and falling will be much more then. 3. Inches, and y^e Mercury doth Not drop out

³⁸² Sir Samuel Morland, 1625-95, mathematician, courtier, diplomat (and spy), and inventor of numerous hydraulic and mechanical devices and machines.

Resolves 46 15.

drop out below, all w^{ch} together is very Mis= terious, and deserves an Eclarissement of the many thing's that belong to it. first the reason that \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mercury hangs doth Not fall out as in a Greater tube it will, (by stealing downe from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} side, when taken out of the stagnum.) is becaus the body of Mercury doth Not readily part but (as water) clasps close together, and In small Quantity's will be round as drops of water in y^e air. Wee see that roundness will not hold in larg Quantity, when effused upon a table, but onely about y^e confines of y^e substance with the wood It will compass a litle the rest towards ye midle will fflatt. And that is done by the weight $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ overcomes the caus that tends to round it, but In small quantity the rounding principle is as strong & $y^{\rm e}$ weight less, so that very small dropps will appear Exquisitely round Whither moving or resting. thus In ye small tube, the weight tends to part ye Mer= ury from its body, but In a small space the it is so litle, that the tenacity getts the better of it. for y^{e} tenacity is y^{e} Same, according to y^e property of y^e Mercury small, or great but y^e weight, or separating force is less accor= ding to ye deminution of ye Substance. And here \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same principle I disclosed of the variation of powers or perseverances, from ye proportion between substance & superficies, hath place but

The reason, is grounded on y^e failing of force, In small things before demon= strated

16.

but I doe Not Inlarg with applications. thus y^e Mercury is suspended In y^e open tube be= caus the totum cannot (as y^e Quantity /or diameter\ is to be adjusted) discend ag^t y^e pressure of their,³⁸³ & there is Not a force from y^e Weight of any part exposed to break from its body.

The friction hath power on so Small a colume of Mer= cury, and Makes it move by starts for once Moved it passeth Easyer then is Sett going, becaus y^e force of its owne body Impres't Car= ry's it on.

The reason of y^e mercury, at first Erection, hanging to y^e top of a Com= mon tube Next the variations shall happen In the Same conjunctures, as In $y^{\rm e}$ Comon tubes; but It Shall Goe by start's, & not creeping, and In the whole rise & fall much more the 3. Inches. As to the starts that is plainely from y^e frictio[n?] of y^e Mettall ag^t y^e canall of y^e tube. ffor the Mer[=?] cury adjusted hangs In a just ballance with \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force or spring of the air. If that ballance changeth, by ye languor, or Quickning of the Spring, many degrees of force are required to Conquer that friction, & then when it is once loos, & y^e Mettall In Motion, y^e Vis Impressa hath y^e better of y^e friction, and It will Swing beyond its poids, and so undulating to & up and downe setle, but probably Not In exact place, for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Reason given. That this friction is very Considerable, is proved by a Comon tube w^{ch} Erected [Will?], so temperately that it hath No Concussion, y^e mercury Instead of falling to its place, Will hang to \boldsymbol{y}^e topp; but then a small movement will /loosen & so\ Reduce it to freedome; this accident was very amazing, when first ob= served as appear's by the transaction's, 384 but hath no

³⁸³ the air?

³⁸⁴ I have not been able to identify the *Phil. Trans.* article/letter to which RN refers. A pendant barometer (with exactly the problem of mercury suspended by the vacuum and liable to fall out if shaken) was invented in 1695 by Guillaume Amontons, 1663-1705, a French inventor. Amonton was a member of the Académie des Sciences and developed (or rather, rediscovered Leonardo da Vinci's) Laws of Friction.

no More Riddle the I have observed.

There are two thing's singular In this sort of baroscope, ffitt to be made Intelligible, one is, that admitting a just ballance between $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ mercury & $y^{\rm e}$ air, $w^{\rm ch}$ keeps $y^{\rm e}$ Mercury in a sta= tion, when a caus Intervenes to alter that balance, and y^{e} Mercury riseth, It shall Not mount to y^{e} topp, altho it is allwais y^{e} Same Quantity, but after some space risen stop a= gaine; and so for falling Not proceed to the bottom, but stop by y^e way. y^e other is that $y^{\rm e}$ Mercury Shall rise and fall much more then y^e upright standing tube, tho one Comon caus governes both. I thinck the first of these knowne will reveal ye other, and for that let us consider ye Matter In ye Extream. Suppose ye tube were pendant from y^{e} top of y^{e} air sphear. A length of mercury, w^{ch} would be resisted by y^e air, neer the surface of $y^{\rm e}$ Earth, being raised up to the sumit of y^e tube, would be much too strong for ye faint Spring of the air there; and Consequent= ly Sink downe, and drive $y^{\rm e}$ air afore it, till It Meet a spring In y^e air, y^t is a Match for it, and then it would come to a ballance; And so wee must assume that the same length of mercury, in a place more raised, Requires a stronger Spring of air then In a lower place. therefore when the

47 17.

This differ's from other barscopes for y^e Quantity is allwais y^e Same. and y^e variation Shall be in greater Space.

Its higher stati= on In y^e air Sphear hath a weaker Spring

18.

In y^e pendant ba= rometer, y^e com= parison is gover= ned by place, but In y^e upright, by Quantity as well as place, w^{ch} Makes y^e former have a more dilated variat<u>ion.</u>

the Spring of y^e air, is somewhat Invigorated The mercury rising, Gaines force to Resist it accordingly; and If y^e Spring languifies, then y^e mercury falling, is Resisted at such place, as makes y^e ballance. so it is Not true, that the mercury is the same in all heights /as to force\ tho it be ye Same as to Quantity. and the same length of mercury thus shifting between higher & lower answers y^e accidentall variations of y^e air, In more & less strong. Then that the space In= dicatory of this variation, is Not $y^{\rm e} \; \textsc{Same}$ as In y^e upright standing tubes, but Much more, this reason may be given. In the standing tube the Comparison is of weight; such a height of Mer[=?] cury $\operatorname{ag^t}$ the whole superimpending Colume weyght ag^t weight /or Spring\. but In y^e pendant barome= ter the Comparison is onely of place, and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} weight is y^e Same. or thus, In the standing ba= roscope the strength of both body's chang. as by rising, ye Mercury is heavyer, and ye spring of y^e air stronger; but In y^e pendant baromete[r?] the spring may chang, but the Mercury hath No alteration, but /onely\ In place. onely. lengthening the mercury In y^e tube, and setting y^e Same in an higher place, are very different accounts. and one describes a larger space then ye other, and that belongs to \boldsymbol{y}^e pendant barometer.

Resolves. 48 19.

When y^e airs Spring alter's, y^e Mercury takes a New place to ballance it. but If you move y^e Instrum^t to an higher place, y^e Spring of y^e air, is not varyed Much In Such heights as comon houses have, so y^e alte= ration of y^e Mer= cury, consequent is Not discernable. Some may thinck these matters trifling to dis= cours of, but I cannot but Esteem them most worthy to be declared and understood, tho If It may be done In fewer words, It were better. others May object, and say that If you carry the pendant barometer Into an upper room ye Mercury Must fall, and very Much, being Such a number of Inches heigher In place then below. I answer that all baroscopes stand higher In an uper Then they will stand in a lower room, but \boldsymbol{y}^{e} difference is so litle In that Space, It is Not to be seen, as It was In y^e height of salisbury Spire. And It cannot make such a shew In the pendant barometer, as, at first thincking, May seem. becaus when you lift \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole Instru= ment, it is Not as lifting $y^{\rm e}$ Mercury, while $y^{\rm e}$ Instrument keeps place. ffor y^{e} Spring of y^{e} air is very neer as strong above as below, and So May as well support ye Mercury, as /before\ it did, ba= ting some Imperceptible alteration, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ was allowed; the ballance is between ye Mercury In its place, and ye air. the latter becoming Stron= ger $/y^{e}$ [increas?] takes an higher place, and Comes so to parr. but If you translate ye Instrument with

the same Strength on y^e part of y^e air. there is No reason at all for the mercury rising or falling but y^e Same ballance, saving that litle, Remaines.

The caus, & use Remaine, vist what raiseth y ^e mercury, & what follows.	Thus much of y° fabrick of y° Instrument and y° Manner of it working; two porposes Re= maine, one, the caus of the alteration's that appear, and y° other y° use, by application to the weather/to foretell y° changes of it\; ffor that is a property so much desired, and also so Neerly performed by y° baroscope, that it is become Now an ordinary utensil, as clocks are, In Most Mens houses, yt are able to purchas it; ffor Much of that kind is expected from it; With what reason, and how farr it may be Relyed on, Remaines to be shewed.
The caus is the Spring of y ^e air Invigorated by y ^e weight of it. but how is that.	As to the caus, wee have Gone so farr, as to Shew it is y° weight, and consequently y° Spring of y° air, that holds up y° Mercury to its station, And that rising, and falling, Indicates certein= ly an alteration of that caus In degrees of more, and less, and that y° alteration is ad= equate in its force to y° alterations of the mercuriall Station; but then what should be the caus of this alteration of the spring of the air. In order to this wee must and what Is to follow, wee must take an account of y° air, and, as neer as wee can, Conjecture y° nature of it.
The air is Mostly of water Evapo= rated.	It seem's to me that y ^e air In the generall is water Evaporated, that is rarefyed to such

20.

Resolves 49 21.

such degree, that it leavs ye forme of a set=

And by that be= comes Elastick, and Subject to swell with heat & Condens with Cold.

Air by Cold Con. densing it becomes fertile of water. proved by distil= lation.

led fluid, and taks that, I call an aeriall fluid. the Consequence of $w^{\rm ch}$ is, that whereas water may be warmed, but In that forme, Not rarefyed, but when urged So much as to become vapour or air, then Every degree of heat Rarefyes it More, and on ye Contrary cold condenseth, and at length Reduceth Much of it to water againe. Much of this cours as to water, & air, is proved by comon dis= tillation, ffor that, by heat, raiseth water Into vapour; and y^e cold-head of y^e still meeting that vapour, Reduceth it to Water againe & so conducts it Into vessells; but of this More anon. That air is Mostly water Evaporated I argue ffrom the Genesis of it. wee may observe that water it self, or any thing wett, will by a moderate heat Evaporate & turne to air. & Even ye heat of the sun Makes great dispatches that way, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ they call, Drying. I Consider that there is litle difference between y^e Materiall of air, and Earth, but In fluidity; and so between an aeriall, & a setled fluid, but what is the Consequence of a rapid movement. ffor air is heavy, & would fall flatt, If ye action of flu= idity did Not sustein it. And there is Great reason

22. Resolves. reason to thinck, ye air is made up of the materiall about the surface of y^e Earth, Ex= =haled, or made air, by y^e vertue of heat, and so by heat kept in y^e State it is. ffor If wee Con[=?] sider how Much of that Surface is mere Wa= ter, or watery, that is wett, compared with the Dry; and how much Easier water turne[s?] Into air, then any other Non-fluid Substance, (for /meer $\$ warmth doth one, but a strong Culinary fire is Required to Effect ye other) Wee May justly Conclude a Majori /(exquo denominatio fit) $\space{1.5}\space{1.5}$ that the air Con= sists of Evaporated water. The moderne o= I know well the latter vertuosi have Come pinion, that air to a Resolve, that air is of a substance spe= is somewhat spe= cifick, as, water, mercury, oyle, &c. /w^{ch} are not cifick, & not consti= made up one of the other; so they say that tuted of any other air is as distinct In its nature as any other /thin[g?]\ sort of materiall.. and hath that compressible & elastick Qua[=?] lity, so well knowne. but wee are are Not oblidge[d?] by authority's, ut /non\ libere philosofemurae. $^{\rm 386}$ I am of a contrary opinion, and esteem that /to be\ one of y^e subterfuges this age bears; when men can say, air is somewhat /but onely!\like /to\ it self, but /but\ They say Not what hath /give\ No Caracter of any Essence /as to say of what sort it is And.\, but is des= air is, & so Say Nothing. cribed /it onely\ by Quality's. as If of body one should sav

314v

 $^{^{\}rm 385}$ i.e., 'the majority of what is called' (air) is ...

 $^{^{\}rm 386}$ i.e., 'and not free to philosophise'.

50 23.

it is a thing capable of colour, or sound; Not much would be understood by it. So of a Spring, that it is a thing, w^{ch} being put out of place, will Returne to it againe. that Such Consequences are true, who doubdt's? but What is understood by it? And so /thus\ all philosofy is dissolved Into Quality's, becoming a science of words, and not of thing's. Against $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ I have sayd Enough, and shewed how necessa= ry it is to assigne to all body's ye Same Essence, & draw their quality's from Modes, & necessary consequences of that Essence. But this singular air is allow'd to suck up and to containe much water In its bo= dy, by way of vapour; And those vapours are supposed to Reside in it, as different ffrom \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air as water is from a spring. And according as these vapours, by I know Nor What Caus, chance to huddle here, & there, so wett appear's In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air. And they allow also, that cold comands these va= pours out, In $y^{\rm e}$ forme of water, & heat bids them fast. The cheif proof that is alledged ffor this, is that by force of cold they can defecate y^e air of all vapour or

The Notion of vapours in y^e air as distinct from y^e ayrs body a figment.

of or water, so as by No Mean's any

24

more shall be drawne from it, & It Shall Remaine pure air, without any vapour Air cannot be at all. Against this I alledg that all air defecate of water hath in it self that w^{ch} will become wa[=?] ter, & that also $w^{\rm ch}$ will never be water, but to a degree. but is perfectly dry. ffor there is raised from $y^{\rm e}$ Surface of $y^{\rm e}$ water, In dust & Smoak much materiall \boldsymbol{y}^{t} is properly dry And probably, water it Self, by being part of y^e air is broken & rarefyed, may become also dry, dry, w^{ch} may or assimilate with that w^{ch} is dry, as assimilate or when powered upon lime, and be No More hold fast some capable (ordinarily) to Returne to the water, as lime &c^a. forme of water againe. but yet I must account the Greater part of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air to be meer water, and capable to become such by coalition of parts, And cannot agree that it is possible, by any mean's practica[=?] ble to purg air of all watery parts. of the raising wa= And as to that, wee must consider, wha[t?] ter by fire, Some Render's a body from solid or settled, to by alternate take $y^{\rm e}$ forme of a/n aeriall\ fluid? Motion. then, $y^{\rm e}$ rarefaction & Contrary of that, rest; must /if anything\ Reduce /such\ Condensation of ffluid water. to be setled againe. It is easy to prove

the

315v

51 25.

the fformer, by y^e force of fire, of w^{ch} the late Invented Engin, for raising water by fire,³⁸⁷ is a clear Instance. for there Water is raised In Steam by a strong heat and that steam conducted Into a vessell full of water, with a vent contrived aloft Enters with such force as shall croud out y^e water to any height, or burst y^e vessell. And then, when y^e steam, that is air from water, hath filled y^e vessell, cold /water\ super= Induced upon it, /cools ye vessel [& so?] $Makes \ ye$ new made air shrink & Relaps Into water, & consequent= ly (proper valves being disposed for the porpose) ye vessell Suck's it self full of water againe; & so by two vessell's wor= king alternately, a continuall Current is maintained at \boldsymbol{y}^{e} vent. Here it is plain that y^e agitation of y^e water, turnes it Gradually Into Air, that is, raiseth it in Steam, w^{ch} they Call boyling-away. And cold shrink's it back into Water, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is by causing y^e motion to ceas, w^{ch} Comes to what I affirmed that the difference between an aeriall fluid and a setled fluid, is onely In degree of motion, ye one clashing & Interfering, & $y^{\rm e}$ other Conti= quous &

³⁸⁷ RN is describing the 'Fire Engine' patented in 1698 by Thomas Savery (1650-1715). Savery's engine was demonstrated to the Royal Society in 1699 (see the Phil. Trans. 1 January 1699 vol. 21 no. 248-259 228 (http://rstl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/ 21/248-259/228.full.pdf+html). The Fire Engine not only inaugurated the mechanical application of Boyle's law for productive purposes (i.e., 'raising water', pumping mines), but also resulted in the changing of the length of time offered by patent protection when the so-called 'Fire Engine Act' was passed in 1699, extending patent protection from 14 to 35 years. The result of the act meant that anyone wishing to develop steam power had to do so in partnership with Savery. Thomas Newcomen (1664-1729) developed the first 'proper' steam engine in partnership with Savery in 1712, and in 1715 the two men established a company to exploit their patent: The Proprietors of the Invention of the Raising of Water by Fire. This combination of scientific and legal power shaped the first quarter century of the Steam Age. This 'new technology' is readily and seamlessly assimilated into RN's physics - so much so as to serve as a demonstration of it.

Resolves. & Sliding, or so as the one hath a

26

No Medium be= tween the 2 States of fluids, aeriall & setled, ffor Eve= ry one, is either that or this.

great proportion of subtiler matter Mixt with it and y^e other but litle; w^{ch} con= dition of matter once conceived, It will Not be difficult to Imagin, how there is No Medium between those two States, but It must be one or other determined. & one Cannot pass to ye other by Swelling degrees, but all at once. as the parts of water must be Either steam or water, and If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} whole body of water goes off at once It is an Explosion of great violence Such vast space doth \boldsymbol{y}^{e} matter of water Require to Move in when put Into ye forme of air, that is, Intermixt with so Much of subtiler Matter. Now they tell us, that this engin is a proof, that onely vapour from water, will Returne to water, and \boldsymbol{y}^{t} air in generall yeilds little water; ffor If they let In fresh air, & cool $y^{\rm e}$ Vessell It will Not suck it self neer /so\ full of water, as when filled with steam; for then upon application of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} cold \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Steam goes back Into water w^{ch}, air Will Not Neer doe. And they Could Not Make an Engin work So with meer air, without steam of water Here

But Some air is neerer Retur= ning to Water then other, as misty air hath a quicker pass then dry air. Here are Many Considerations offering. first I doe find plainely, that tho there be Not degrees between water & air. yet In the convertibility from, water to air to water there are degrees. ffor the new raised steam or vapour, will sooner, & with less cold, Returne to water, then Comon air. for $w^{\rm ch}$ divers Reason's may be given, and not oppugning our theory of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air. ffor the steam is less dispers't, and Intermixt with other air, $w^{\rm ch}$ wee suppose, by $y^{\rm e}$ agitation is become of an uniforme Composition, & so hath many dry part's Intermixt with ye Wett, that may make a greater force Required to subduct \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wett from them, then from a vapour or steam, before y^e agitation hath wrought that mixture, as afterwards it doth; And In $y^{\rm e}$ Engin-vessell, there is Nothing but Steam, Not so broken and Intermixt as without, y^e water & /dryer\ air are. So No wonder that subsides more then Com= mon air will. Then the force of $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Cold}$ cannot operate upon comon air, as upon steam, becaus as to that, it is More violent as the tempers are distant. ffor the steam being hot is all one as If y^e /cool\ water were so

52 27.

28.

so Much colder. ffor I observed ye Steam made \boldsymbol{y}^{e} vessell hot, past all touch. then came y^e cold with a strong Effect of Contra= riety. Now If air were made hott, and let Into a vessell to be suddenly Cooled, there is No doubdt but It would shrink more and yeild more water, then ye ordinary air lett in will. Another thing to be Considered is; that water may subsist In air, & hold the forme of water, but In very Minute dropps, w^{ch} not Sinking, (for reason's already Given, & to be hereafter rem'bred) May Come so close together, as to obstruct the direct passage of light, as clouds doe. or It May be so broken & dispers't, as Not sensibly to disturbe at all ye Cours of light, as In our ordinary clear weather. And It is observed that when air passith Into \boldsymbol{y}^{e} forme of wa= ter it is first discerned by small dropps or mist, $w^{\rm ch}$ by coalition Grow Greater, & then by force of Gravity, come to flow. Now It May be that vapour is In greater drops, or readyer to flux, then water, /ordinarily is $\$ In ye Comon air, w^{ch} If Not assimilated or become dry, have more degrees, or Coalitions to pass, before it comes to be sensible water, and yet both

one

Air turnes Into water by many coalition's of dropps, before it is sensible.

58 29

one other are of the same nature, that is watery air. If it be asked, Why water so In y^e forme of air, doth Not according to its nature of running together, Imediatley Coal= lesce, & become water. I answer the Comon agitation of the whole; that $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ Made wa= ter become air keeps it so. Whither the Wa= ter In ye air have any other shape, or Con= dition then as dropps, however small is more then can be determined; what wee discerne is dropps, but then it May be sayd to be Returned to water, but too Small to subside. or how it is that water Evapor= rated, Requires so much more room, wee cannot minutely discover, No More then other minute or Elementary part's of Com= pound matter. therefore wee must look upon ye Gross & complex Effects as symptomes, and thereby conclude, that /from\ ye plenty and Ready passage of water Into air, /that Water\ must Constitute the main body of it, however More or less difficult to comand back again. I shall conclude this paragraffs with this observation. that wee have much more Comand of heat then we have of cold, for

There is Much more comand of heat then of Cold. 318v

Resolves

30.

ffor wee can Carry ye latter No farther then nitre & salt will operate, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ will Make water become Ice; but Not shrink air be= yond a certein degree; As for Instance If a florence flask were luted hermetically, & cooled, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air would Not shrink so Much as to have ye weight of ye air-Sphear burst it, but a much stronger vessell may be burst by heating \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air within it. And that May be raised to almost any degree of force, as furnaces may be contrived, and Materialls made. but Cold will not goe beyond the Endurance of our tender flesh, $w^{\rm ch}$ heat will readily tear all to peices. therefore It is No wonder, that \boldsymbol{y}^e vertuosi $\boldsymbol{p}^r tend$ to purg /air of all its water. ffor when they are at an End of their cold, they say $y^{\rm e}$ air is purged but how appears it, but If yet Stronger cold were brought, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air would Not yeild yet more water? therefore I adhere to My Evaporated Water; Such as heat hath raised & converted Into air, and that Cold will In great Measure Rreduce to water againe, the ordinary Effects of rarefaction /& condensation that is, swelling & shrinking Constantly atten= ding \boldsymbol{y}^{e} operation's.

q^{u388}

And when y^e cold Cannot be made more In= tens, then they say Air is wholly purged from Water.

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 388}$ ' $q^{\rm u}{\,}'$ in the same ink as the main text.

The alteration's to w^{ch} air is ob= noxious.

heat & Cold &
 y^e Consequences.

Heat is from y^e Sun but Not according to de= grees of proximity but combustibi= lity of matter, & Reflections of light Having belaboured this Matter Enoug, I proceed to Consider the air In generall, as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Globe of Earth is Invested with it, and the seve= rall accident's it is lyable to. first that it is obnoxious to alteration's of heat, and Cold, and that In all degrees, within almost \boldsymbol{y}^{e} toleration of humane nature or animall life; ffor No air is so hot or so cold, but Animalls of some sort or other, If not hu= man kind live & breath In it. but all pla= ces upon Earth are obnoxious to alteration's some hotter & others cooler then the or= dinary temper of the place. It is the sun that Regulates \boldsymbol{y}^e warmth about \boldsymbol{y}^e Earth. not so much by Immediate Influence, as by the Constitution of $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ materialls, and cir= cumstances of place. ffor as some bodys are combustible & others not, or at least Not without a stronger fire. so some places have a materiall, $w^{\rm ch}$ will warm with less heat then others. And If wee goe from this condi= tion up into $y^{\rm e}$ aire, wee shall find a Win= ter Cold, In summer; what is it then In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Ether above y^e air sphear. therefore those Err who Compute ye Efficacy of the suns heat

54 31.

heat by distance. Then valley's have More

32.

Reason's of diffe= rent heat accor= ding to climates.

heat from Reflection, then hills. And the southerne part's of y^e Northern & North part's of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Southerne, have more heat then Either way without ye tropicks; ffor the sun works dayly alike, or with small difference, & keeps ye earth hott. And for the Same reason, In $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ obliq hemisphears the sun's heat seem's stronger, In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Same altitude then at a winter meridian. be= caus a long Continuance above \boldsymbol{y}^{e} horison hath heated ye Earth & air, wch doth Not cool On a sudden, and then y^{e} Sun is Mor[e?] felt. but In such places, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} sun generally being low, makes much shade, by $y^{\rm e}$ litle asperity's & protruberances of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} soyle, and doth Not touch half of it with a clear ligh[t?] as when it Mounts towards the zenith; this is that they call a direct ray, w^{ch} hath force from that reason. but yet In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Northern part's where y^e sun is neer simestrall the Continuance above ye horizon, Not= withstanding these disadvantages & others, as passing thro a thicker or more of y^e air, by reason of y^e obliquity, makes an hot

hot time, and Ripen's fruits, sometimes so as to have 2. Cropps In a summer; and were Not y^e winter so desperate, would make us kindly an air for all sorts of animalls & plants, as any upon Earth.

Therefore In the generall the Artick & antartick air, is to be accounted Cool and the Equatorian air warm. And the ordinary dilation and Contraction of one and other, to be accordingly. ffor $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ Reason wee must conclude that If ye air of y^e whole Earth were made of a temper and let goe, to the disposall of accidents according to the Scituation; the air about the Equator would swell, and that about the articks Shrink, and ye whole make a flatt forme, rather then Exactly round. But then wee must also Imagin that the force of Gravity would Carry that $w^{\rm ch}$ is a= bove y^e levell Into those parts w^{ch} are lower, And there with $y^{\rm e}$ Rest come to like conden= Sation. so that In \boldsymbol{y}^e whole the air of \boldsymbol{y}^e articks is More Condens't, and so a Colume there is really of more content & heavyer then

The air about y^e Earth, Would Not be in any level If gravity did Not Reduce it, as wh water when Rarefaction or condensation makes Inequalitys.

polar air More condens't, & that swells If sent towards y^e tropiks & tropick air shrinks, sent tow= ards y^e poles 320v

	3.4. Resolves
	then a like Columne at the Equator. Now If any accident bring's artick air towards y ^e Equator, or y ^e Contrary. It must swell or shrink accordingly. And If it swells, be= fore it can dispers into a levell, it will be Gibbous In the surface of y ^e air Sphear and /if\ shrinking concave or as a valley, and those Inequality's Rest Not, untill they as water, & its waves, setle Into a levell.
The Nature and consequence of winds.	Nothing can Introduce /! is more odd then that\ such translation or Current of air, but /however beginning yet Introduce one & other, & are\ tWhat wee Call Winds but air In a body Moving; and It must needs carry with it y ^e temperature of the place from whence it, comes and Receive alteration according as y ^e temperature is w ^{ch} eEnterteins it. This Theory of y ^e Winds is a subject for a just treatis, and Needs
experiments are much wanted ,	a naturall history of Experiments, and to be made all y ^e world over, as well as also a carefull sagacious application of them, & after all, litle enough to Instruct us In a subject of that Infinite variety & accident as y ^e winds have. yet the subject /matter\ In hand, y ^e baroscop, is so tyed to it, that Wee Must with

Resolves 56 35.

The caus of Winds Referred to Rarefaction & condensation

The Inforcing of Winds or Storm.

with that Information and skill wee have, pro= ceed & make y^e best Eclarissement wee can of it. Therefore first I charg the generall or primary Caus of winds to Rarefaction and Condensation. There are Currents In the sea, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is not rarifiable Nor condensible, while water. but for them, there are other reasons /w^ch\ wee doe Not know /doe not\ belong to y^e air, as Winds both ordinary & Extraordinary, as Hurricanes spouts, tornades & $y^{\rm e}$ like, so also that $w^{\rm ch}$ is $y^e\ \text{Caus}$ of tides. But In $y^e\ \text{air}$ heat & cold Makes Strang alterations, as have bin touched. If the alteration's So made, were confined to \boldsymbol{y}^e place where \boldsymbol{y}^e caus Resides, It would take away much variety; but If once a chang happens, whereby the surface of the air sphear is raised, or depressed. there follows Instantly a current of air Either to, or from that place, and Most that way as ye air may Easyest pass; and that current augmented (and Eo Nomine³⁸⁹ winds,) needs litle Reflection to Imagin. as ffirst a current straitned, $w^{\rm ch}$ In $y^{\rm e}$ air May happen, by mountaines, valley's straits, and so also by clouds and /Even\ other currents as May happen therefore

³⁸⁹ i.e., 'by that name'.

321v

Weight of y^e clouds Conduce to wind

Trade winds rarefye before y^e Sun

How changes & [rages?] may happen.

accidents Create accidents, & y^e surface of y^e Earth, obnoxious to 'em.

Resolves

36.

therefore it is Not Strang, that winds ffrom very first faint beginnings may contract great force, and be what they Call storme. Winds may farther be originally Made by $y^{\rm e}\ {\tt Weight}$ of clouds, ffor when a whole Country is Cove= red with an Immen's flat cloud, It Must In some Measure, drive air from under it $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ will take y^e Easyest Cours, and that or= dinarily is by /Joyning with or $\$ augmenting such wind as is stirring. The trade winds are originally Made by the sun passing & rarefying y^e air a= fore it. this is about y^e Equator & y^e tropicks. But neer lands \boldsymbol{y}^{e} trade winds are bent or diverted; and at \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Edges of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} trade winds there must be eddy's, $w^{\rm ch}$ may fall In con= Juncture with other casuall winds, and so break thro y^e trade winds such are y^e tor= nadoes. &c. And such contrariety's may hap= pen, that winds are from all part's, that is rowling about, like whirelpools, and seem to drive from all points of the Com= pass, Successively. So accidents In some places are the occasion of accidents In others, & so many upon y^e whole Surface of y^e Earth are continually at work, that the whole Globe is surrounded with them. In some places there are more regularity of Winds then

Resolves 57 37

then in others, and oftener Chang, w^{ch} is

observed of Islands and marine scituations. some winds Reigne about certein seasons as In England, East & North In $y^{\rm e}$ Spring, much to y^e p^rjudice of fruits; and south & west in autumne; In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Indies there are semestrall winds they Call Monson's, All $w^{\rm ch}$ In $y^{\rm e}$ Maine are derived from $y^{\rm e}$ cours of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} trade winds; but yet far from certeinty as to beginning Ending or Continuance. And to lay downe Rules about ye winds one= ly In such latitude, as when wee say for y^{e} Most part, ordinarily, or about & y^{e} like. is an undertaking to make a scale of Innumerable accidents begitting one and other; therefore I leave this discours of the origination, & cours of y^e winds, having done In $\frac{1}{2}$ it Enough for my porpose. and Repair to ye Consequences of them, wch will come neerer to my buissness; ffor If I have It Gran= ted that there are severall currents of air upon or neer y^{e} Surface of y^{e} Earth, In various directions, I may proceed, and Reserve divers Remarks In particular, to such places, where the occasion will call for them to be ob= served.

Monson's are derived from y^e trade winds.

322v.

Resolves.

38.

Chang of Winds is y^e Caus of Chang of weather.

contrariety of temperature In y^e air Interfering is y^e Sole caus of wett, rain &c. and thunder is when most sud= den & extreem. The Consequences of ye Chang of winds is In generall chang of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} weather, from Wett to Drye, & 'E Contra. And depends on the Region from whence the winds come, and the air that accidentally is In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} way of them. ffor I find Nothing More sure then that while a wind blows steddily from one point, whither hot, or Cold, y^e weather is Drye. And It seldome or Never happen's, but If wett gather's, ye wind changeth, or rather The chang of y^e Wind, tho our obser= vation hath it Not so soon, hath changed the weather. ffor it is a different tempe= rature of air Interfering & Mixing, wch creates wett. that Is If either a Cold Wind comes against a warme air or a warme wind upon a Cool air, /so If $y^{\rm e}$ Earth be cool, & $y^{\rm e}$ air warme or E contra $\$ then are clouds & rain generated. And according to this It May be observed, that one wind Shall be aloft & another alow, as y^e rack of y^e clouds de= monstrate, and In that Case If there be any great Contrariety, rain is In $y^{\rm e}$ Sequel. When opposite winds are striving Inde= termined, If the contrariety of them be Much, then follow Thunder & lightning, and usu= ally, as y^e [Daye?] Requires it is calme weather there

Resolves 58 39

There clouds generate, and are by ye oppo= site winds crowded together, 'till wett occur= ring with aeriall Combustibles, caus accession with a frager, that eccoing between \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Earth & clouds is so terrible. And divers whirling's unaccountable will happen, as an Easy view will discover, and winds will Come by Gusts, and If any be very Incertein. As wee have divers turnings and whirlepools of wind among Great hill's & valley's. w^{ch} y^e laplanders knowing, can direct saylors to points where they shall Meet a desired wind, and they call it buing buying a wind, believing \boldsymbol{y}^{e} people are witches and Can sell it. so among the clouds are divers tur= ning's & winding's of the air, w^{ch} have Consi= derable Effects, where they are, tho Not well discerned by us. for Instance, the Aprill showrs have often a strong wind attend ye cloud from whence they fall, as If $y^{\rm e}$ cloud made $y^{\rm e}$ wind & Not ye wind ye Cloud. ffor Where a tur= bo of wind it, It can scarce be without [shou=?] ring Continually.

It must Not seem strang that I charg all wett in y^e air, from contermination of heat and cold. ffor I thinck it is to be demonstra= ted by Induction of all such conjunctures

How laplanders cheat by Selling winds.

40.

All the confini= a of heat & cold are wett, proved by, climes, seasons &c^a.

And In our tem= perate sphear, y^e raines come about Spring, and fall, in Greatest proportion then at other times as If, y^e year were devided by a watery bounds to distinguish sumer & winter.

As ffor example, It is y^e midle climates that Most Incline to wett, ffor both In y^e Extream heat & Extream cold, the weather is more dry, and Wett but at certein season's in y^e year. 2. those sea= sons In $y^{\rm e}$ cold climates, are In the Interim between sumer And winter. ffor at a certein time, when y^e great frosts are Entring they are fore run by vast flights of snow, and those /continue\ upon \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Earth $\underline{\textbf{is sealed up}}$ with clear weather till ye Sun begin's to advance, & then ye Snows Melt and the Rain's fall. In asia the rain's come also spring and fall, y^e Rest of y^e year is Dry, these are Called ye former & ye latter raines. Between y^e tropicks, rain usually follows y^e sun ffor under $y^{\rm e}$ northern tropick, It is Sum= mer, when y^e Sun is at y^e southern tropick, then is all y^{e} winter they know, w^{ch} is rainy tornados, hurricanes, & stormes. whereof I take y^e reason to be that y^e nights are /then\ longer and so \boldsymbol{y}^e cold gets strength against the sun comes with an Intens heat, whereby ye contrariety is Greater, then when \boldsymbol{y}^{e} days are longer, & y^e Sun more moderat & y^e Night's short, & having somewhat of crepusculum. 3. The region's of y^e air between the Cold of the parts $/farr \in from y^e$ Earth, and the warmth about \boldsymbol{y}^{e} surface of it, is most charged

Resolves 57 41

Instances of Wett
generated by y^e
air, princi=
pally by distilla=
tion.

Ice, often binds
y^e wett up from
falling, tho con=
densed Into dropps.

The manner of wett breeding In y^e air.

charged with wett, for there is ye Resident's of clouds. 4. the time of y^e day, betwixt e=vening and Night, and betwixt Night & morn', cast most dews. And If wee Condiscend to lesser Experiments, they are very Evin= cing. as a Glass Cooled with wine, gather's wett from y^e air that is Warmer against it. And the Grand Experiment of all is a /ye art of distil= lation already touched; ffor as the contrariety is increased by Cooling ye Still head, ye vapour yeilds more liquor. I may Remember that of y^e florence Flask; with a barometer Erected in it. but so many and so constant are these proofs of so plain a truth It is fastidious to dwell longer upon them. From Hence it may be Noted, how wide from any porpose it is, to talke, as most doe, as to clouds & rain, of vapours here & there, where all \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air is vapoured alike, and when cold comes failes not to Render its water; unless it be so In= tens as to bind up all In Ice.

The degrees by w^{ch} water breeds In the air are to be observed. The first formation of humidity, is by dropps so small, that they doe Not onely hang in y^e air, but scarce hind disturb y^e passage of light. but yet body's y^t have y^e propertyy of Spunges shall Reciev this moisture

42.

The caus of Thermometry.

Then Mists ap= pear, & such are y^e Clouds.

The air amon= gst y^e misty drops of a cloud, make one mass with y^e mist, and are driven together. moisture, and by flaccidity discover it, before any signe appears to y^e Eye. upon this are grounded those many thermometers or hydroscopes that folks use, as /for\ prognosticks of chang of weather; as snakes skin's, pictures In oyle, or prints upon cloth vernish't, Gut strings, twisted cords & ye like. but these fail as often as $y^{\rm e}$ Moisture is but dew, & Not ge= nerall. ffor they Shew onely that the air of ye place where they are is Moist. The Next Step is mist, or w^{ch} is y^e Same thing, clouds. ffor clouds are but heaps of Mist carryed away with ye Wind; and comon Mist is when the cloud Rests upon \boldsymbol{y}^e Earth, and wee are in it. This is when the small drops by Increasing, have come to a Coalesence of divers together, by $w^{\rm ch}$ they are larg to a degree $\Theta \pm$ able to Inter[=?] cept \boldsymbol{y}^{e} ray's of light, and so deprive us of the clear light of ye sun, affording us onely a secondary light that comes with Many Re= flection's thro y^e clouds. these tho larger do not yet fall, but defend agt ye wind passing thro them, as well as break the direct cours of $y^{\rm e}$ Sun's light. ffor when $y^{\rm e}$ air Moves, $y^{\rm e}$ Cloud[s?] with all $y^{\rm e}$ Intersperst air Goe together as one Intire body, and so ye whole is conveyed from one place to another; Sometimes one mav

May see Manifestly, clouds grow In ye air, or from Nothing, as it were, or being formed shall Increas, w^{ch} is a signe of wett approaching, and \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Contrary, when \boldsymbol{y}^{e} clouds are observed to wast. The degree of magnitude to w^{ch} drops must grow by coalescence before $\underline{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ /they/ fall, must be such, as that y^e solidity, or substance, w^{ch} is \boldsymbol{y}^{e} force Gravity work's with, is More then Equall to the Resistance, $\boldsymbol{w}^{\text{ch}}$ is according to the proportion of Superficies; As I Shewed be= fore; for till then \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Resistance by vertue of y^e superficies, is more then y^e Gravity by means of y^e content or substance. The autumnall dews are Remarkable, ffor thy begin about Sun setting, in the lower places, where the last warmth of ye Sun had Evaporated some moisture, And then a Cool air Enters, and mistiness grows visibly, ffor \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air neerest \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Earth, & ye Moister parts of it, is as steam, more ready to render, then air that hath bin longer in that forme. In ye Morning ye valley's shall be Covered, but hills will often, tho Not allwais surmount \boldsymbol{y}^{e} mist. And it is observable, that y^e Mists will Retein their level as water, so that y^e valley's shall appear like sea _ & y^e hills like Islands. when

The Magnitude of dropps capa= bo of falling thro y^e air.

Mists Retein a levell.

Note y ^e caus of y ^e level	44.	Resolve
is the air with y ^e mist is a difforme fluid, as to weight	a wind takes' In y ^e forme of Gathers Into 2 When by advant may discerne, unaccountably so often befor will be Neer a remarkable & p	thers Strength, Sometimes y ^e Mist up, & convey's it away clouds, and sometimes it all larger dropps & falls downe. tage of y ^e Sun's light one the very litle dropps moving to & fro, but declining, & re y ^e Mist is is departed y ^e drops as rain. And that w ^{ch} is very pleasant to observe is a ow, will very Eminently shew Mist.
Mist aloft, y^t is	-	s below, and it dry's aloft
clouds more a signe of wett	2	of fair weather, but If it dry's sts aloft, It is a signe of wett
then mist's below.	•	ants of mountainous Coun=
	the tops of y ^e The reason is It is for a s Imediate air l	serve by y ^e hanging of clouds at ^e hills, & thence prognosticate wett. , when y ^e Mist grows onely below light and particular Caus, the Next y ^e Earth, without Much out If it wetts aloft, It Must be
		tempered wind, that Mixeth
Mist's frozen Can= not coalesce.	Either water, height are us	produceth clouds. A Mist May be or Ice; and y ^e clouds at their Hally such, w ^{ch} is y ^e reason allwais raine, for Ice will
		touch

The reason of Rine & snow. touch & Not coalesce, but when an adven= titious warmth thaws them, then they run together & fall In Raine. When y^e Mists below are in Ice, & so stick to trees, and wals In white thredds, wee Call it Rine; And it is Not without some degree of moisture, \mathbf{w}^{eh} that they doe so Cohere, and however it is In the air, neer $y^{\rm e}\ Earth$ there seldome wants warmth Enough for that. when y^e air Renders moisture very fast, and there is an half frost, or so much as turnes $y^{\text{e}}\xspace$ Minute dropps to ice, and yet some Remaine Not frozen, those are amongst y^e other and make them In some degree wett, and conse= quently sticking together; then It falls in fleaks of icy /dropps\, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ wee Call snow, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ is but misty dropps frozen, and some In water $w^{\rm ch}$ humeatates y^e Rest, Els, as If y^e frost /were\ very Intens, they would Remaine In mist or Rine. but so they Gather one to another and small fleaks by touch cohere to others, & make larger. When frost is Intens \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Weather is comonly clear, and If a warme wind comes, It brings snow, and perhaps a thaw but If the cold winds prvaile, then the Snow ly's. it is very remarkable that when frost's are Intens, and there appear's a disposition to

326r

326v

The reason of the Hexangular Starr's observed in snowy wether to fall

Resolves

46.

to Snow, but litle of it comes, onely small fleaks and rare. then If some new fallen be observed, It shall be found as hexan= -gular starrs; or, as some Resemble them, spurr-rowells, wonderfull thin and shining but otherwise spread Enough. the points are allwais. 6. and as those are derived from \boldsymbol{y}^{e} comon center, so others derive out of y^e Edges of them, all alike in Exact uni= formity, and yet this is y^e product of chanc[e?] But the wonder may ceas, when it is Con[=?] siderered that they are all Compose of Globu[=?] les of Ice, very Small, & Gathered by a Swift motion, such as breezes aloft In y^{e} air excit[e?]first for y^{e} flattness. If there be at y^{e} first u= nion of a few parts, any side broader then ye other, the motion will Not be that way for the Impediment, but rather to turne when so ye Impediment is litle. As If one takes up any thing light & broad, as a feather, it is not easy to move it by y^e flatt, and striving to doe so it runns edg[=?] wise, of it self; so those beginnings thus turning on-edg. nothing gather's agt ye flat but y^e edg Gathers very fast, & so Mo= ving one way other the Gatherings Na= turally as Globes fall into \boldsymbol{y}^{t} hexangular forme

<diagram>

The Reason of Hail

forme. as here, 6, Globes with one between as a center touch, and put a Globe In Each Juncture (or spondrill) without, as of it self it is most apt to fall in & lodg and you have ye foundation of ye Hexan= gular starr. W^{ch} forme must goe on and appear In all Gatherings whatever by the Edg, and so are like teeth, or sub points coming out of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} other maine ones, and all= together make a pretty uniforme figure. If the gathering's are very fast and $y^{\rm e}$ winds Impetuous, the mass collected is driven close, and having moisture with it, coheres in litle pellets wee call hail; $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ happen ordinarily In sumer storms as well as In winter, but More Impetuously by reason of the fury of winds among the clouds, $w^{\rm ch}$ raiseth Such Extraordinary dis= orders, and produceth sh such Imens showers When \boldsymbol{y}^{e} heat is Great, and a Cold comes over it in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air, the contrariety is More then In In Winter, When all is cool, and a litle more or less makes the alterations, And opposite winds doe Not happen In winter, When so Many winds are stirring as determines them clearly one way or

48.

But In sumer, Calmes will happen, & winds are often very broad, and Ma[y?] be In Every Respect Contrariant, & de= termine slowly, In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mean time is that grouth of clouds and protruding them one against another, In vast heaps & volumes, still breeding various Gusts of wind there; and spirituous exhalations or of matter being in a Sulfureous air gather, & accend, whence wee have the terrible phenomena of stormes, hail Gust's of wind, & calmes alternately, dis[=?] mall clouds, and Strangely rolling about with such fire & fragor, as amazeth us litle things that can but fear & wonder at them. These matters, becaus our subject is y^e weather, and its prognosticks, I thought ffitt to touch upon; And If I could have made a more perfect Idea of y^e air & its meteors, it had Not bin superfluous or out of $y^{\rm e}\ Scope$ of my designe, in Explaining \boldsymbol{y}^{e} reason and use of the Baroscope.

Then to Come neerer the application, I must consider how y^e spring of the air is affected, by weather, and the beginnings of changes of it. It is comonly sayd that when

The reason of Thunder & Stor= mes.

Resolves 63 49.

An Error to Charg more specifick weight in y^e air for y^e high Standing of y^e Mercury.

but rather y^e air is lighter, at high mercury, becaus dryer, or More rarefyed Note. In frosty weather y^e air may be full of moisture, & No mist appear.

drops doe Not weaken y^e Spring of y^e air. when the Mercury stands high, the air is heavyer, then when it stands low. and they conceiv that the alteration of y^e air from the state of wett, to that of drought make's it lighter, heavyer, and ye Contra= ry, lighter. ffor it is observed that gene= rally when wett is Growig Growing, ye Mer= cury falls, and upon drought riseth. this is Contrary to reason. ffor If a Cubick ffoot of air is taken Impregnate with moisture, and another perfectly dry, It will be found that the moisture is y^e heavyer, ffor there is More of y^e Materiall of air in that, then in y^e o= ther, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is more rarefyed; and then It seem's as If, upon wett, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mercury Should rise, but that is generally otherwise. perhaps they may say that moisture in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air abates the spring of it, and so makes it yeild to the mercury. but that will Not doe, Neither ffor the air hath No less spring ffor dropss of water scattered in it. If a room be full of smoak, the air hath No less Spring, becaus it is crow/d\ed from $y^{\rm e}$ air abroad; so long as the weight is upon \boldsymbol{y}^e air, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} is not less for any wett in it, It will keep its spring; so These suppositions doe not square with the state of $y^{\rm e}$ baroscope, & the variátions of it. True caus of y^e mercuriall Sta= tion & changes from height of y^e air sphear In perpendiculo.

The Consequences of humidity In y^e air, so slight to have No place In y^e acc^o of y^e Baroscope. in y^e open air.

Resolves

50

wee must therefore look out, ffor some other Caus of the air abating & Invigo= rating its spring. then wee will Imagin the air in \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Comon state of fluids, and that all ye Rules of Hydrostaticks take place in it. And consequently, that a body is pres't according as the Immer= sion is more or less, ad perpendiculum, $^{\rm 390}$ as hath bin Shewed. and then that the raising the fluid, is equivalent to the depressing the body. as for Instance a body is Immers't in a fluid, 10. foot deep. If you pour in Enough to rais $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Surface}$ a foot, or, as it is, depress ye body a foot, that body hath exactly the Same pressure. And then all rising and falling of the surface, charges or Releives y^e pressure below accordingly. So that If a baroscope were Erected under water, lading out ye water, would lett downe \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mercury, and filling in rais it. The like I Imagin In the air, If it happen's that the Surface of y^e air-sphear exalts, y^e mercury Must ris[e?] and If it sinks, It muat fall. And the having in More or less moisture, is Inconsiderable however somewhat it May be to affect the

³⁹⁰ i.e., 'in the vertical'.

/the air\ But that somewhat, whatever it is. ac= ting contrary to the cours of y^e Instrument, that is to deminish its variations In y^e Way Regarded ffor prognostick of chang; wee can but lay it aside, as of No vallue to have any Notice In the Resolution of y^e baroscope.

cold Makes y^e
air subside &
heat, swell; &
that is governed
by y^e North & South
winds.

Then wee Must resume what was Noted that as soon as Either warm air comes over cold, or that over warm, wett will In= gender In \boldsymbol{y}^e air. but generally the wett is from the aggression of y^e cold; ffor It is that $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ abates fluidity In $y^{\mbox{\scriptsize e}}$ air, & disposith it to Render Moisture. And wee know that Cold air Comes from $y^{\rm e}$ North & east parts, and y^e warm from y^e South & west, but since It is onely Cool & warm that distinguisheth wee shall use for correspon= dent descriptions, the North & South, but meaning in other degrees within such latitude upon y^e face of y^e Globe. then it is true also, that When \boldsymbol{y}^{e} northerne air Comes Into southerne climates, It must Swell, and when y^e southerne comes Into northerne climates It Must shrink, and It is the Respective correspondent winds that are

52

that are Efficient of these alterations; as when the North wind blows, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air Swells and when y^e South blows, It shrinks. It will follow also that this shrinking & Swelling of the air, must caus a depression or Ele= vation at \boldsymbol{y}^e Surface of \boldsymbol{y}^e air sphear. ffor If y^e air be rarefyed, It cannot /sudenly\ Expand laterally becaus there it is Comprest full, /and much matter must be driven\ so It Must rise at y^e su'mit where /it is free\ No pressure is. And If it shrinks, It letts fall that above it, & so makes a valley. This tumour, & cavity In y^{e} Surface of y^{e} air sphear, If it be of any Considerable Extent, Must make a sensible alteration In y^e Mercury; ffor when $y^{\rm e}$ air is tumefyed, $\bar{y^{\rm e}}$ Mercury must rise, becaus the Columne is higher, and If it subsides, y^e Mercury Must fall, becaus y^e pressing columne is less in y^e perpendicular. This is mechanicall, and \boldsymbol{y}^e true caus of the station & alteration of ye Mercury; And how= ever generally the air shrinks against wett, whereby $y^{\rm e}$ mercury falls, yet It will not allwais be so; ffor there shall often be very wett weather, & ye Mercury rise, & be considerably high; but this is but In Some conjunctions of the air, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} is Not generall;

In eSome Conjunc= tures of y^e air y^e Indication of y^e Baroscope is confounded.

Resolves 65 53

Generall, but yet breaks y^e rule of the Indication, and dissappoints the Earnest observer, and depreciates y^e Instrument, therefore a demonstration, of Such anomala and Indication when they must be allowed for, will be of No small service, as to y^e use of y^e baroscope, & that is much my p^rsent designe.

It seems's then, In order to this, that ye Winds are as carefully to be observed, as $y^{\rm e}$ Mercu= riall station. ffor If North Winds reigne Wee must expect an high station, whither Wett or drye. And y^{e} Contrary of y^{e} South winds. but In y^e compass of Either, there is a proper Ri= sing and falling, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ serves for observation. Therefore I have thought it reasonable In= stead of one Indicatory scale, of 3. Inches, there be. 2. /scales or plates $\$ one on Each side of y^e tube, and of these one should stand 1/2 higher then y^e other, and be titled N. winds, & $y^{\rm e}$ other S. winds. And then according as y^e winds are, y^e Station may be justly Esteemed. ffor els that w^{ch} is high under South winds may be low under ye North. w^{ch} makes a Confusion & distract's \boldsymbol{y}^{e} observer. but then wee shall be at a loss againe about ye Winds; ffor I conceive those are

When N. winds p^rvail, y^e Mercury is high in all weather's. con= trary of south, & both have chang by wett & drye In their Compass.

54

Impossible direc= tly to know y^e winds & whence y^e air comes, becaus ofthen Inflex or crooked.

The baroscope best Indicates the winds, for high is North tho, It seems to come South. [....?]. are Not allwais Natives of those regions from whence they seem to Come; ffor the winds may be bent. & diverted from a strait Cours, by hills, /&\ Islands, but most of all. from clouds & /also\ other winds. and So What Comes Courbes, and perhaps vortically from ye North, seem's to Come from ye South; ffor wee have No sence to discover whence $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ air comes, and y^e weathercocks /are but as a tangent\ shew onely $y^{\rm e}$ /strait\ cours at that place. therefore wee Must Call to aid our Judgment as well as senses for accounting the temperature of the winds. and In this disquistion the ba[=?] rometer it Self is a cheif help. ffirst If a Cold wind blow's from ye South, wee may conclude it a Compass wind, and to Come originally from $y^{\rm e}$ North, so If a warm Wind come from ye North, wee may conclude it a south wind diverted; And these crooked winds, perceivable by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} temper of heat & cold, allwais declare a disturbed wea= ther. ffor It is never sereen and. Easy In ye air, but when ye winds are true & strait. But the baroscope is y^e Greatest Indica= tion of the sincerity of ye Winds; ffor If a wind blow from $y^{\rm e}$ south, & $y^{\rm e}$ Baroscope stands

Stands high, If it be cool and y^e air dis= turbed with clouds & winds, It is an Infal= lible signe the true wind is North however it Comes about /happens to make such a tour\. and In that case, the Sym= ptomes Continuing, y^e Wind will certeinly come about and blow from y^e North; and notwithstanding an high mercury, wett may be Expected to ffollow; And y^e alternate /alternate\ of all this, (w^{ch} I need Not Rehears,) belong's to the South, mutatis mutandis.³⁹¹

Now as to the baroscope and the use of it In p^rdicting changes of weather, there is room ffor much Judgment & Reflection of the ob= server, upon this plan I Have unfolded of the Reason & Government of it. ffor there is an opinion gone forth, that a low station foretells wett, and an high, drye weather, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ may be generally so, but Not allwais and the deviation's are very frequent, $w^{\rm ch}$ to those that look no farther, makes them frett at their dissappointments. and Never trust More; but yet Even those by some other [hitts?] shall be brought round againe. And really I have knowne very ffew who once have used to observe y^{e} weather & y^{e} Mercury however angry with it sometimes Could Never leav it, Therefore I shall be glad If My Notion and application

High & low, or rising & falling doth Not Constant= ly ans^r wett & /with\ Dry & Wett. but it is generally so.

 $^{^{\}rm 391}$ i.e., 'that changing which needs to be changed'.

331v

Resolves

application, with what ffollows, will Either

56.

direct South is warm, /& dry, & yet $y^{\rm e}$ Mercury low.

y^e Caus of falling
is Equall in di=
vers places, but
Shews contingent

a steddy Mer= cury in y^e Case dry. fallin In= certein.

Encourage or assist any, In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Improving the use, by strict observing it, and adjusting some farther rules for $y^{\rm e}$ use of $y^{\rm e}$ Comon people, If it may be; In order to $\mathtt{W}^{\mathrm{ch}}.$ lett us first take the Extream's. A thro blowing south wind, with a steddy mercury, not very low is a sure sign of warme weather, and drye It shall often happen, that In that Case, there shall be a slow sinking of the Mercury for Long together, and No signe of wett hath appeared. but I never knew that happen but I heard of great & terrible stormes in other places. ffor the cause of y^e /mercuriall\ station is Equall In all places of our region, but stormes will be accidentall, and happen In some places, & Not in others. And I know no possible mean's to Recover knowledg of such accidents, but it must pass for one of the desiderata In \boldsymbol{y}^e Instrument. onely thus farr use may be made; It is certein /In ye case while \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mercury stands. there can be no wett, and If it Sinks there may, and pro[=?] bably there will be such, and that it is so somewhere, may be Concluded. If under a south wind ye Mercury rise much and persist as I sayd, $y^{\rm e}$ wind will Come about toward ye

Resolves. 67 57.

Rising under y^e south Winds, is a signe of chang to y^e North, or of turbulence in y^e air, till it Comes to that.

Under N. winds a slow fall May be without wett, for y^e very chang of y^e air doth it.

Suddenness of y^e falling or rising is Most Indicatory for that Shew's More Contrariety In y^e air, to be Effectuall. the North, and so long as it holds off, ye air will Not be Quiet, but it shall be clowdy windy and threatning; ffor it is a rule that seldome failes. If \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Wind be Not as the high or low station Require, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air is Never Easy. so that many wonder that south winds with a very high mercury, should Not be Wonder= ful clear & fair, when In truth it is a full wind, and derived from some Northern Quar= ter. Another observation is, that If ye fall be very slow, y^e wind May chang, or how= Ever it happen's, no great wett follow. but a sudden fall seldome fails to foretell wett. therefore, It is not more $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Space}\ {\rm fallen},$ but \boldsymbol{y}^e Manner of falling \boldsymbol{y}^t is Most Indicatory of chang; for w^{ch} reason the Indexes of the va= ration, 'tituled, fair, Rain, &c. Is of litle use becaus there is No weather appropriated to those heights, but there may be fair or foul in most of them; as accidents of winds Mix= in In y^e air occasion. the Reason here is, the great Contrariety of temperatures makes wett. ffor If one come's to the other Gradually they coalesce, & become of the same temper as they mix, w^{ch} being slow, y^e Mercury falls slowly. but If one rusheth upon y^e other the

58.

the condensation, and generation of wett must ffollow, & then y^e Mercury ffalls Quick. Another observation is that under Southern winds, very great Sinking of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mercury often brings wind $\ensuremath{\&}$ very high winds, more then rain And as wett weather is often wett, windy, there may be such consequence of y^e wind, as Shall prvent ye wett, ffor If it blow's Exceeding hard the raine is seldome Great, becaus the force of ye Wind bear's away ye Clouds & drops, so that litle shall fall. It seem's wind & wett attend often Each other, but are Not Constant Com= panion's; yebut so much as would perswade one they flow from one comon caus, & that is con= densation, & Rarefaction but more of the former becaus when a valey is made In the air sphear the movements turne towards it to ffill it. but when an hill is raised, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Movements are from it Into other parts. so that ye place where such occasion is, /in one case is more in y^e other\ \underline{is} less sensible of it. And when the Rain is southerne without any signe of ye opposite by cold, yet it is mixture of air that causeth ye Wett. but It may Not appear to us. as when a warm wind is alow and y^e cold aloft, w^{ch} often happen's, & y^e alter= nate also, and no conjunctures makes more wett in y^e air then that, and More pervi= cacious now

under S. winds Much falling, is ag^t Wind & storm oftner then wett, or so Much as is then Expected.

Wind & wett are from one Comon caus, for Inequality In y^e surface of y^e air sphear, setling againe to levell is a beginning of wind. Winds of Short Cours make litle or No alteration but Remote air [&?] sudden, hath y^e Great effect to chang weather.

While winds Stand in y^e Same Quarter or there be a Sort [of?] Calme (Except [s?]hort breezes) y^e Wea= ther cannot be wett or disturbed Now it is universally to be Expected that short Reaches of winds makes litle altera= tion, but it is the long stretches, as when the air hath traveled farr, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ Introduceth chang of weather. In summer It often hap= pens that breezes blow from all points, and calmes shall Interpose; and generally In ye Morning & Evening calme, & at Noon breze, sometimes out of the East, (for \boldsymbol{y}^{e} reason of ye trade winds), And No signe so much as a cloud for many days toge= ther. the reason is, there is No air comes from farr, so as to have a different temper. the air of Norfolk makes No chang In Middlesex, but If it comes from Norway and meet a warmer or southerne air here then it make's a hurry of wind or Wett. Therefore \boldsymbol{y}^{e} observer may accuse the Instru= ment ffor failing his surest companion \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wind, If he doe Not observe when wends are thro blewing, and when onely slight breezes, and are but accidentall In a ge= nerall calme. ffor such is the condition when y^{e} air upon y^{e} Globe, is Quiet & doth Not travell, whereby ye weather is allwais fair; and then small accidentall rarefactyions, & straitnings, will make such curling

60.

curling breezes as signifie litle. It is hard al= wais to find out that difference, but there may be Symptomes of it. As When y^e Same winds stand day, & Night, & blow strong, or blow hard at Noon, & Calme til 9. & 3. Seamen have this skill, and will Not venture out In a voyage, upon a snatch of a wind as they Call it, but stay till y^e wind is Made. It follow^s not that any wind however strong & thro must make foul or fair weather ffor that depends, on the thwarting & Mixing of different-country-winds, as hath bin sayd. but winds long standing from all Quarters is fair, and vering & mixing foul, or tur= bulent.

This is at length bring's me to Consider y^e Northern winds In particular, w^{ch}, however y^e opposite are Reputed humid, are y^e Caus of it. And Much More bad weather comes from the North, then from y^e South. And yet, w^{ch} distract's our ordinary observers, y^e Mer= cury under those winds will /rise\ be upon rising, So that is Most Necessary, In such cases, to look out, or Expect concerning y^e winds abroad. If y^e wind be Northing, & y^e Mercury ffalls, it is a sure signe of wett, and If very low, cannot goe off, without Much rain or Snow. It being a rule, that under North winds high, & south low mercury

Most wett Comes from y^e N. winds tho perhaps y^e occasion may Not be perceivable.

Resolves 69 61

mercury is to be Expected; And the More Contrary to this \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Symptomes are the more powerfull Effects's ffollow. I sayd that a fals wind from y^e North coming about by $y^{\rm e}$ South, shall offten be without wett; & onely cloudy & turbulent. but a fals wind from ye South about by ye North sel= dome failes of wett Enough. the reason of this difference is, the former is In the Way of rarefaction, and ye other of Condensa= tion. And $y^{\rm e}$ latter tends to wett Most, & ye other to Wind Most. becaus Winds goe for More room, w^{ch} /room\ is made by shrinking rather then Swelling. There you have In Generall why Northern winds Caus More wett then Southerne. Sometimes the Mercury Shall stand very high, & ye weather, be windy & Misling, or be it calme & wettish ffor it will scarce Ever, In that case, raine downeright; It is a sure signe of the wind Coming about; as It will Infal= libly, If that Constitution holds. And when \boldsymbol{y}^{e} wind is Come Into \boldsymbol{y}^{e} North, all will be Quiet, and fair weather but Cooler Suc= ceeds. but If $y^{\rm e}$ strife of $y^{\rm e}$ winds hold, & $y^{\rm e}$ North, altho prvailed, Shall be veering betwixt

South Winds bending are much more wett then y^e North, as condensing, While y^e other rarefie.

high Mercury & Miseling Sure sign of a N. wind. & that arived y^e weather is fair.

62.

Strife of winds
allwais make
disorder & turbu=
lence In y^e air, tho
perhaps not meer
wett.

The N.W. marine winds bring wett. y^e N. /often\ comes with a low mist afore it, but y^e South y^e clouds high Note mists are usually due to y^e N. Winds. Betwixt NE. & N.W. It May be very Misling wether, and yet ye Mercury be very high. And the Great Stormes of wind wee usually have from $y^{\rm e}$ S. &. N.W. are but a Strike between $y^{\rm e}$ Northerne & South -erne Winds, and till one $p^{\mathrm{r}} vailes,$ there is No Quiet. as If a S.W. &. N.W. happen to Coalesce In a point, No wonder If there be such disorder, & wett. and with us, $w^{\rm t} ever$ is $y^{\rm e}$ Caus of it, the N.W. are the Most Wet= -ting & tempestuous, It May be, becaus \underline{y}^e /those\ winds come in pinch't between y^e Islands of Ireland & Brittaine, by ye Scotch shoar. wee that live upon ye N. & N.E. Coast of England, know \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Influence of a sudden access of a North wind, It shall bring a mist afore it, and then all wind and fury ffollow. And These shall creep by \boldsymbol{y}^{e} very Ground, whereas the southerne wett is ob= served to gather in y^e air, by misty-clou= ding, at a distance, and comes Not Neer ye Earth but In Rain. And there is often from a North Wind pure mist driven /low\ In $y^{\rm e}$ air and /yet\ below /next y^e Ground is\ very dry; $w^{ch} \ y^e$ Country Men Call a sea Haake, or Haze. and is a signe of much drought. And when there are Externall threatts, or /ordinary\ shews of bad weather or good /as they Call it\ and there is in event \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Contrary it is

a

Resolves. 70 63.

a sure signe of drought /no easy or ready alteration\,

The Northerne air, heavyest & will be at bot= tom.

these observations give us occasion to think, that y^e Northerne air Is heavyer then y^e southerne, and It Must be so becaus more condens't, & M^r Boyle by Experiments hath proved it. so When those aires come over one & other, the Northerne will be undermost.

Now it will be asked what say's ye baroscope all this while; I can ans^r that Generally it is true to its Winds. And WhatEver appearance according to ordinary expectation, there is of any certain sort of weather, If that doe Not concurr, or Contradict, No other signe is to be depended on. and Collating \boldsymbol{y}^e winds with \boldsymbol{y}^e Movement of y^e Mercury, Strang p^rdiction's of $y^{\rm e}$ weather are Made; I say Not there is /No/ not any Irregularity or cases anomolous, ffor What certeinty can there be /In ye Symptomes $\$ of things in themselves so very accidentall. ffor If one caus draw $y^{\rm e}$ Mercury one way, and $y^{\rm e}$ Weather is in a way to answer, there may be the di= -rect contrary caus super induc't, that will take some time to Reduce ye Cours, and so p^rcipitate chang clean contrary to Ex= pectation. In short, there can be No positive rule, as of a pendulum clock /is\ to Measure time, ffor prognostication of Weather, In those

humid Incertain climes. perhaps In the

trade wind

The Baroscope truer to y^e Winds then to weather, and Indicates that onely as consequen= tiall, y^e Wind being the Immediate /or first\ ac= tor upon it, & y^e weather Conse= quentiall.

No Indication of event's so Incon= Stant as, weather can be Constant.

In y ^e Extreams of climates the Baroscope Most sure: for w ^{ch} care=- full observations are desired.	trade-wind Regions, there may be More of the Regular, and the Comon tho tremendous Stormes & hurricanes they have, may be su= rely foreseen. but I have No Intelligence of those Matter's, and wish y ^e Instrument were translated & well observed there. Where Caus[es?] doe Not Cross one & other so offten and So sud[=?] del denly as here with us. I am sure a sound Hypothesis, If I miss, whoever lights on it, ffor solving the reason of the variations of the mercury, and weather; will be very assisting to gaine usefull rules in using y ^e Instrument. but I Must owne, If this fail, I never yet heard of a tollaber tollerable one.
frost is the hig/h\est station, from y ^e croud of Dens air from y ^e North Swel= ling, when brought South ward.	I Shall conclude with this observation to Con= firme the p ^r misses, that In winter, & y ^e Coldest weather, especially clear frost Northerly. winds Reigning. the Mercury stands at y ^e high[=?] est pitch. ffor then y ^e air comes very dens from y ^e Cold Region's, and is strangely heaped up by rarefaction towards y ^e South, while Mor[e?] is Continually urging from y ^e North, If y ^e meer weight be Not as I guess /say\ it is by density hea= vyer then y ^e warm. Snow comes after y ^e Same
Standing Still y ^e surest signe of Con= tinuance of Wind & <u>weather.</u> <flourish underline=""></flourish>	<pre>system of a show comes after y^o same signes as Rain; A fall is almost a sure Signe of a thaw. but /long\ standing very still, con[=?] firmes all sort's of weather, so as Not to Chan[g?] till y^e Instrument Giv<u>es warning.</u> <flourish underline=""></flourish></pre>

ffew attempt's to Resolve y^e action of Springs, but from y^e Comonness, folk thinck they under= Stand things when they doe Not so.

philosofers to countenance Ig= Norances, & Make it look like knowledg Referr to what they underStand not to principles, & so S^r Is. N. &c. Make Springynes a principle

The Next Matter I shall take In hand to discours of is, the nature of springs and pen= dulous Motion's. That of Springs is a Mistery w^{ch} is Not more abstruse, then as to y^{e} solution, then obvious & comon, as to y^e use and obser= vation of it. And I Never yet heard of any attempt to hammer out a Resolution of it; but it is taken as a principal/le\ in Nature, & passeth by y^e warrant of y^e Word Elasticity.³⁹² y^e Subject is priveledged as Many others are, w^{ch} are So common, Men thinck they understand= them, and when asked what & how? they answering to $y^{\rm e}$ poropose, must needs say, I /doe Not know\ durst have sworne I did. And It is found Even among $y^{\rm e}$ ancients, as well as $y^{\rm e}$ vulgar, (& to say truth, as to phisicks, wee see Not much difference) that If any unusuall thing, can be Made like to one usuall, It was account Enough to be given off it. Without thincking of Resolving such usuall thing's, tho Such are /in themselves most abstruse, Supposing there is no need to give a reason, for what is so Comon. It is wonderfull what els could divest so Necessa= ry Inquiry; It may be desperation of suc= ceeding; or Ignorance of the Whole texture and /minute\ Materialls on $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ springs depend, May have

³⁹² See note on f. 87r, above.

66.

have diverted such phisicall attempts, and disposed y^e vertuosi, as Now it is a Mode With them to Referr spring, or Elasticity to a prin= ciple; and accordingly they hold, that body is Indued with Elasticity, as a property of it. some More or some less, and some perhaps without any spring as lead. butter. &c. And from thence they have derived Motive Reflections, and divers rules of Impulses. agt $w^{\rm ch}$ I have sayd Enough, that is In a word, that such fancy's are Inconsistent with $y^{\rm e}$ nature & Essence of body, w^{ch} hath but one property y^t wee know, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is to be Impenetrable. And motion Needs so such shifts, being better Resolved upon ye admitted property of body, then by Inventing a New one. So Now it Remaines with mee, $y^{\rm t}$ Exclaime on these omission's, to Make amends by Some advance of My owne; $w^{\rm ch},$ as one who delights In abstrusity's of this sort, /I\ shall Not fail to venture upon.

That w^{ch} is the spring of Comon air, is In truth y^e vigor of all Met= tallin, & other Spring's, considered under proper Cir= cumstances. Wee have discoursed at larg about y^e Spring of the air, and drawne y^e Mechanick Solution of it, from rarefaction, & /such\ Confinement. but Such as holds y^e air, & lett's y^e Interstitiall matter pass free. Now I consider that Nature is Not fantasticall, to affect variety's, but is generally uniforme, and acts by like means

Resolves 72 67

Explained by a flexible tube full of blowne bladders, w^{ch} Will Not Stand Crooked.

An acc^o of Springy body, & by the Genesis of them they become Sprin= gy, & by any disso= lution of texture become Springless.

means; therefore I have Concluded that all springyness In y^e world, however Exposed to us, is from No other but y^e very same Me= chanicall disposition of Matter, & the Mo= tion's of it. ffor If wee Cram a pipe of leather full of blown bladders, & Shut them In. What Is that but a Spring? and Why should a wire hair, feather, or ought Els yt is Springy, be ac= tuated In any other Manner, /then\ that is by /a sort of air Included, perhaps Not ye air wee breath, but a more subtile, and no less rarefyable. w^{ch} being by flexure of ye body compressed /& so ye Spaces conteining in it Made Straiter\ by the Elater of it tends to set \boldsymbol{y}^{e} body in that posture, In w^{ch} the subtile Included matter, is permitted the greatest Expansion $y^{\rm e}$ Spaces will allow. &there it Stands. Thus the spring of the air, is \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mother of all Spring's of ye univers, and that understood, and $y^{\rm e}$ caus of it Granted, all o= ther Springs are Consequentially Resolved.

To support this opinion, Wee Must Repair to Experiments, w^{ch} are at hand, so comon is the phenomenon, and /also\ Consider well, y^e Condi= tion's of springy body's, and as well how they may loos or gaine a Spring; ffor it is certein that both one & other happen's to divers bodys, w^{ch} argues It is Not a principle Inherent, for then it would Never depart out of its. ffirst

68.

All vegetables are Springy, as wood principally but so too also y^e Stalks of most plants, If they are of a substance or consistency apt for it. Then all Mettalls, after purged from Earth &Glass; but such May be made to loos their Spring. All fryables, as stones, Glass, pitch Rosin, & $y^{\rm e}$ like. but Most of all, body's drawne out Into length, after \boldsymbol{y}^{e} manner of vegetation, gaine a spring, and May loos it. thus Glass drawne out is very Springy. So wire wch is drawne by compression through strait holes, to great length. And watch Springs, with a Compression by y^e lapp of one Edg of a barr of Iron over another, but Not Ill rep^rsented by passing an hair, pres't over a thumb nail, w^{ch} will make it curle as a watch spring. The tendon's & Membranes of animalls, w^{ch} might be Reckoned among vegetables, but for y^e dignity of life; And $\Theta \underline{f}$ such, No artifice of a Spring was ever com= parable with a Muscle, as May be Shewed y^t an animall is but a Compage of Springs that have dependance & play, /as equilibrated by\ $\frac{1}{\text{upon}}$ the Intercours they have one with another. these are the list of Springy body's; those Not So, may be touched upon, when there is occasion.

vegetables are bundles of hollow tubes, & thence y^e Spring. As for vegetables, wee know them to be one= ly a bundle of tubes, In $w^{\rm ch}$ fluids, & air with $y^{\rm e}$ Rest.

73 69

Rest have a Cours; as Dr. Grew hath most E= laborately demonstrated In his Anatomy of plants.³⁹³ But I thinck that In that peice, he is wan= ting In one thing, w^{ch} is In Not Examining Rot= ten wood, as well as Sound, ffor \boldsymbol{y}^{e} vessells, appear Incomparably better In y^{e} Rotten, as y^{e} bare Eye will /in\forme. ffor I have found wood under Ground Dry rotten, w^{ch} hath bin /apparently\ Nothing but tubes as an hony comb /packt\ close together. It is possible y^t within these so visible tubes, there May have bin (but Consumed) other bundles of tunes, and So small as to defye y^e Microscope; ffor In such it Is that Spring Resides in. Now what can be= Imagined more apt to Spring, for ye reason I have given of Springs; then these vegetated bunches; of y^e Each tubule, may be as y^e lea= ther pipe Stufft with blowne bladders. but admit= -ting, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} tube to Restore its place. It seem's that fire without Incineration, will deprive this spring. ffor In the Charcoal hearths, where \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Straw about wood, turnes to meer Coal. but so britle, that one cannot bend any part E= -nough to prove it hath any Spring left. and no wonder, after the fire hath made vents to all ye tubes, and lett out ye Springy Fluids In them.

fire ennervates Springs In wood If reduc't to Coal.

³⁹³ Nehemiah Grew (1641-1712) studied first at Cambridge, and then as a physician in Leiden. His Anatomy of Plants appeared in 1682. The project started with "The Anatomy of Vegetables begun", a paper presented to the Royal Society in 1670 (he was in Leiden at the time, the paper was presented by Wilkins). His Anatomy relied on close examination of plant materials under a microscope. After his return to London he became involved with the Royal Society and succeeded Oldenberg as Secretary, editing a volume of the Transactions. A first edition of The Anatomy of Plants can be seen at http://www.botanicus.org/item/3175300008869

70.

All Animall Sub= Stances, are compact of hollow vessells, & tubes.

I may here Subjoyne ye Consideration of animall substances, $w^{\rm ch}$ are all but a Mass of vessells, $y^{\rm e}$ very bones, when dryed are found to be but more rigid tubes within, made up In a case of foraminous [defens?].. the hair are all tubes as Canes, and there is No doubdt, but besides ye pith w^{ch} is so hollow, y^e Substance, as that of Canes, is but compact tubes, but wee can= not by any mean's discerne so small, & In Such cases wee must Judg by analogy. The skin is a texture of tubes unaccount= tably Interwoven. the very parenchima³⁹⁴ are farthells of vessells; that is y^e Muscles & tendon's, w^{ch} are Ever under some tension The motion of y^e humor's In a fishe's tail Shew ye whole fabrick of that Membrane is vessells;³⁹⁵ and surely some have air, as others liquor and lumps of digested Matter, w^{ch} is blood. That such vessells having compres= sible fluids In them (. $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ I may call air, tho No part of y^e air Sphear, but as Interstitial to it) being put out of their formed postur[e?] contract & compressing $y^{\rm e}$ Included air have a manifest Springyness,

I have often Considered of Some Image whereby to Expose this notion to the or= dinary apprehension, w^{ch} will Never take In minute thing's, but by a Mentall In[=?] largem^t of them, and proposing some Gross Representation's, such as fall under sence.

³⁹⁵ See note on f. 179v.

³⁹⁴ i.e., parenchyma; note how here, and on the next page when he uses the term 'mesentery', RN employs correct anatomical terms very precisely. With his employment of loan words from other languages, technical terms from his wide and well-digested reading of specialist texts (for example, 'foraminous'), and his command of a lamination of Englishes (from Norfolk to Whitehall - for example, 'farthell'), RN has an enormous vocabulary. There are 1229 quotations/references cited in 1125 entries in the present online OED (about the same as Andrew Marvell, this is written in June 2014). But bear in mind that the editors of the OED know only his printed works, had they access to his full MSS writings, RN might be one of dictionary's most cited authors and stand out as having one of the most inventive and capacious vocabularies in the recorded history of the English language.

An history to adumbrate, y^e Springyness of live flesh.

The Muscles are made up of curled tubes, w^{ch} Strait= ten Into y^e tendon. The Nutriment is of y^e air In Such tubes, w^{ch} may be called Spirit, be= caus it animates y^e flesh. Resolves.

74 71.

And this an history Related of Leonardo da vinci furnisheth,³⁹⁶ ffor tho /he was\ a painter and Excellent in his way, and Moreover very capricious & full or tricks. he used to Joyne Gutts together, so as to be tight as to Wind, & keep them In a vessell In his chamber with water. And when some compa came that he Intended to Impose upon, he Would prtend to goe Into his Study; and there ha= ving a pipe Contrived on porpose, he would blow up his Gutts, & those rising & folding about, would neer fill his chamber, & drive away ye Guests in a fright as If satan himself were there. but to Contract this I= mage. take the Gutts of one beast, & free them from y^e Mesentery; those Empty are as a Substance without any Spring, but blow them up, & they will Curle & fold ac= cording to their shapes; and being hard blowne, Rep^rsent the spring of human flesh exactly. so as If you take them by one part holding ye other, there shall be a mani= fest Spring drawing them towards their true shape againe. And thus is the Motion of y^e Muscles performed. So that Springs have allwais a Naturall, and a forc't pos= ture; In y^e former y^e passages are $\frac{1}{1}/at \leq \&$ and in y^e other Contracted, whereby y^e Included air

 $^{^{396}}$ Leonardo da Vinci (1452-1519). This story is told in Vasari's Life of Leonardo (Giorgio Vasari, *Le vite de' più eccellenti pittori, scultori ed architetti, etc.*, Florence 1550 & 1568). The *Lives* were not translated into English until the nineteenth century, but there were several sources in various languages that RN may have used, furthermore this was a widely anthologised story. He may even have been told the story by his close friend Peter Lely; let us opt for a proof vacation, and prefer to believe that.

72.

air Makes $y^{\rm e}$ Spring, tending to Reduce them to the naturall againe.

All Mettalls by working acquire a Spring and by fire it is lett loos againe, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ once for all is Instanced by Comon wire, of what Mettall soever it is made. ffor In the drawing of it thro ye foramina of ye wire pla[te?] the mettall is Crowded Into More length &It is observed that If a Mettall be hollow ye Smallest wire can be made of it shall [be?] hollow also. So Glass p blowed, & drawne out Into a tube, if that tube be fluxt a= gaine, & drawne Into a thred, as will hap[=?] pen, almost Imperceptible; that thred Shall be also a tube, & hollow, as they find by Co= loured liquors Insinuating Into it. Now as these manifest cavity's, Continue thro all the wire drawing operation. So Every other cavity of y^e Mettall, is Continued, and If roun[d?] made oblong, and If oblong Made longer Whereby the Mettall becomes a compage of long oblong pores, And what /spirit\ fluid, or air (as I must call it) was In them before, Con= tinues after y^e operation; wee Supposing it such as doth not permeate ye body of ye mettall by those small pores, $w^{\rm ch}$ may be free for yet a finer matter to pass. Just as In the derelicted Space there is a Matter but

Mettalls Wrought out, have Spring and heat again or Nealed loos it.

Springy body's all full of Cavitys of Some Sort or o= ther, W^{ch} Contract by flex<u>ure.</u>

How mettalline Spring's are Made according to art. but such as readyly permeates Glass, $w^{\rm ch}$ y^e Externall air cannot doe. Thus to Come at a just Notion of Springs, wee Must Magnifie'. and Imagine, that In springy body's there are considerable cavity's and tubes as bladders & Gutts full of air; & such as Cannot upon Compression Escape, then upon flexure, If that air hath a spring, the body Must shew it, by $y^{\rm e}$ Re= sult it make's Into the formed posture, that it Requires. Thus wire is Springy as None More, but If you bring it to ye fire, & Make it Redd hott, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ they Call Nealing, all the Spring is gon; becaus the fire hath opened the passages and freed \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Included air. and till It be wrought over againe, so as to Make New closure, there will be No spring, or very litle In it. So all hammered Iron hath a spring, becaus y^e mettall is driven out and passages closed. And there is a way found out to take away ye. brittleness of Iron, and conserve ye Spring, or to Make [it?] the Spring persevere more. by cooling it In a certein manner, as locksmith's use. And it is found that spring's by being long bent loos their force; becaus that air w^{ch} was compres't, by \boldsymbol{y}^e agitation ordinary In the

75 73

74.

the Interstices of things; altering In some measure y^e position of parts, w^{ch} is More or less freed, & thereby the spring failes. These and Indeed all conditions of Springs, shew that such vertue is Not a property Inherent In y^e body, but adventitious, according to the posture and disposition of minute parts.

The Minutenes of y^e parcells of Elas= tick fluid In the Cavity's, hath a complement by Number, so as to make out such a force, as Wee know they have. It will be opposed to us, that It is Not pro[=?] bable, that such vast & pertinacious force Can Result from such minute Quantity's as wee must suppose Reside in y^e pores of a solid body. to w^{ch} I ans^r, that Minute or not minute, make No objection as to ye force If many are found to compensate for Mi[=?] nuteness. so I have already, In y^e buissness of light, made $y^{\rm e}$ whole Interposing matter between us & $y^{\rm e}$ Sun, to be moved by $y^{\rm e}$ Strokes of ye combusted matter. small as it is. becaus ye Number of concurrent strokes, make up for y^e Magnitude moved. In y^e Same manner such Numbers of cells, as body's have wor= king together, 397 may well, rais such a force as a Spring hath.

³⁹⁷ Robert Hooke described the conjoined elements which under magnification appeared to compose a piece of cork as 'cellulae' (meaning 'rooms'; see his Micrographia, etc. 1665, especially Observation XVIII). Hooke also calls the spaces 'pores' and noted that cork is largely made up of empty space between membranes; he also compared the appearance of cork to honeycomb and froth. Observation XVIII contained Hooke's own (very similar) refections on the relation of empty spaces within vegetable materials and their 'springyness'. RN's notion of the tubular or cellular structure of organic forms was therefore a commonplace, especially among those who had access to such images as were found in the Micrographia (which had been, of course, a best-seller). RN's neo-cartesian theory of some linking material between matter and spirit, the ether, or 'subtile matter', was extremely controversial, as was his use of its presence in any material (metals, for example) as an explanation of elasticity. What is perhaps most significantly characteristic here is RN's investment in a radically reduced and simplified set of general laws to explain all manifestations in nature, linking elasticity in metals to the projection of light from luminous bodies. The general theory of cells as the building blocks of all forms of organic life (what we know as cell biology) was only developed in the nineteenth century.

341r

Resolves

76 75.

Having sayd so Much of springs, I cannot but ffall on another subject very unlike, but of Concerning Springs the same Name, for $w^{\rm ch}$ reason I must use of watter, y^e for a different word, & that shall be fountaines, clearness, are ter= med onely foun= ffor springs /but those of water, [Se?] /onely $w^{\rm ch}$ are taines. generally found to flow from ye Earth in Most parts of ye World. It would amaze one to see what Extravagant fancy's have gone abroad for $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Caus}$ of foun= taines. some say ye Sea is higher then ye land (a delusion, for want of understanding $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text{e}}$ such come Not from y^e Sea, as reason of perspective.) & so it run's out higher then ye again at y^e cranny's of the Earth sweetned land, as some have In y^e passage by percolation. This /conclusion\ Is most Ignorantly Inconsis= delivered. tent with y^e p^rmisses, w^{ch} is y^t y^e sea is higher for If it [run's?] downeward thro y^e Earth, why doth it Not ffall all /in/, & drowne ye World. provi= dence forbids. true. but why must providence make a diseas, y^t is y^e Sea out of level, to cure againe by meer power to keep it so, and then let it goe by litle & litle, In y^e Issues of fountaines? but, It is Next to /holding\ such such opinion found opinions, a fault /It is Impertinence\ to confute them. yet In y^e original sacred the and made use of Great Stillingfleet In his origines398 holds forth to dismiracle y^e this opinion as \boldsymbol{y}^{e} most probable, and thence universall deluge. Induceth ye Naturall mean's of ye Grand de= luge, as a letting $y^{\rm e}$ Sea loos. perhaps his understanding was Above such Inventions but

³⁹⁸ i.e., Bishop Edward Stillingfleet (1635-99); RN is here referring to Origines Sacrae, Or, A Rational Account of the Grounds of Christian Faith, as to the Truth and Divine Authority of the Scriptures, and Matters Therein Contained, London, 1662, a defence of the literal reading of the Bible (as opposed to interpreting it in terms, say, of allegories, or historically).

76.

but thought it vulgar, & so plausible, w^{ch} served his turne. but I must say to him & all those Monster's In theology, that Make it their buissness to Render a most emi= nent & undoubdted Miracle a Naturall pro= duction, they doe Neither Religion Nor their owne Integrity, as to y^e fame of it, Much Service, Whatever happen's to their witt, of w^{ch} perhaps they are most fond & proud.

Subterranean cisternes, & vapours from them, Not the caus of Springs. for Non constat of any such. Other's say (for I would Choos the most plausible,) that there are subterrranean Ca= vity's filled with water, & perhaps comuni= cate with y^{e} Sea; and y^{e} heat's in y^{e} bowells of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Earth, raiseth vapour, \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} meeting with ye cold air about ye Surface, are disjected in water, & so /gathering together\ vent where/al\ conduct's lead This is more reasonable. but why must wee Imagine such cess-pool's In ye Earth, who Ever (but [if?]³⁹⁹ the all p^rsuming Kircher) Could say what, where, & how they were, /or $\ensuremath{ \mbox{of}}$ If any were at all, or Not? but he hath assigned them their seats In divers part's of $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm World}$ with their comunication's, & vents. and layd the marine vortexes or Gulphs (If any /as If\ Such /really\ were) to correspond them; & I know Not what chimera's besides, It is ceret certein y^e cold about y^{e} Earth turnes /much of $\$ it into water.

³⁹⁹ 'if' scraped/washed out.

Rain water a great but Not Sole caus of Springs

In percolating Soyle, water sel= dome Issues but at bottom In y^e valleys. others will have Springs fountaines to proceed meerly from rain water, Setling Into y^e Earth, and Issuing in lower places, by way of filtration or percolation. To this Much will be ascribed, but Not all the Caus of Springs ffor It will Not Quadrate with springs in Stony Country's, w^{ch} have litle of No perco= lation, and shall vent plentifully neer y^e Summits (tho Not altogether so high,) as the highest hills.

Now In order to Investigate y^e true Caus of /fountaines\ Springs, I shall observe first what is y^e state of them as I have observed, and then bring together all y^e knowne cause's I can shew of producing water; And Rejecting all p^rcarious Invention's used to serve y^e turne.

Great Notice is to be taken of ye Countrys where ffountaines are. If they are sandy or chalky, or Gravell, & ye like. water is sel= dome found to Issue but at ye very bottoms or valley's. And where great River's are, there are usually multitudes of bubbling foun= taines In ye Confines of them. otherwise water is Not obteined, but by wells, yt is sinking pitts Into ye Earth downe as low, or near it, as are ye Neighbouring Rivers, and there are found water's plenty, but such as may be drawn

That & y^e Water of wells, sympa= thising wth drought Shew rain water to have cheifly to doe with them.

The Contrary, In Rocky & Moun= tanous stony pla= ces, where foun= taines, are More Copious Every Way.

so discovered Here 2 causes y^t produce fountaines 1. Rain water,

Resolves

78

drawne off, faster then they follow, Especially after long drought, when as they say, springs are low. for then, Many well's are wholly dry and all Niggardly of their water, $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ have plenty In Wett times, and In ye Spring follow[=?] ing a Moist winter. All w^{ch} is a demons= tration, that Rain Water, hath ye. Greatest Shar[e?] in the water's of these fountaines.

But If the country be Mountainous, stony & full of Grotto's, & cavity's, Spring's or foun[=?] taines are plenty, frequent, & Inexhaustible and Not much, If any thing Influenced by drought, but are perennall, & copious, In al[1?] seasons. This Shews that Rain water hath Not Much concerne with such fountaines ye rather, becaus they are Not More at ye bot= toms of y^e Country, then on y^e Sides of y^e hills [&?] often neer ye very summit of them.

From hence wee Gather 2. causes of ffoun= taine water. the first is rain water, such as falls In showers or mist's, upon $y^{\rm e}$ Surface of ye Earth, wch Sincks, & by continuall per= colation comes downe to a levell, where water's begin to want vent, & thereabouts a vent is welcome, & Shall have water; but higher None, becaus \boldsymbol{y}^{e} waters vent still by percolation. And as y^e water's are plentiful[1?] from Extraordinary Raines, so y^e vents belo[w?] are

78 79

Note are charged, and discharg with more plenty What vast Quantity & violence, & grow lean again In droughts of water falls in rain when y^e supply from above failes, or follows proved by a [comon-?] not so fast. but yet I must demand a far= vessell set out In a ther reason ffor a supply of these waters shou'r, & y^e depth to percolate besides $y^{\rm e}$ rain, $w^{\rm ch}$ will fall in of water it Receivs More properly when I have done with ye case of Rocky Countrys. Water breeds In It May Now seem strang, that such should y^e Grott's clefts & have most plenty of water, w^{ch} conteins None Rift's of Stone in ffor What water Can lodg In a stone. but ye Reason is the Many cavity's, such as are Greatest plenty, after y^e Manner called Grotts, and Numberless Crevises or & Reason of dis= clefts On y^{e} Rocks, as wee see by y^{e} Quari's of Stone tillation. from them. That $/y^e\ dayly\ heat\ of\ y^e\ Sun\backslash$ heat Cannot come at these Grotts & cleft's, no more then \boldsymbol{y}^{e} accidentall colds, yt are In ye air abroad, is Manifest, $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ makes folks say, cellars & wells, are Cold in Sumer & hot in Winter, that is being Most of an Even temper seem so. But Air Is apt to pass thro all places yt have vent; as If there be cleft's In a rock, y^e air Shall enter & creep along by all the crevisces In it, and Issue at other places less urged by $y^{\rm e}$ Wind, making Numberless track's & Me= ander's In passing. But In ye larg Grotts & Caverns, \boldsymbol{y}^{e} air Is Some what more Exposed,

and partakes of the heat & Cold of the

outsides \outward/

80

outward temperature. And whatsoever Enters by Wind, is so; and If there be any washing by Condensation, It is Supplyed from abroad. but all this while y^e Stone is Cold, the day gives that litle or No heat, but Morning Noon & Night It is still Cold; and so Cold that Com= mon air, must discharg water upon it. Espe= cially If y^e air be accin accessionall and bring's a warmth from y^e temperature abroad And upon this I found y^e Caus of fountaines In Rocky Country's; w^{ch} to Explain.

That y^e air falling That water will grow plentifully out of on Cool Solids, $y^{\rm t}$ ye air, upon any thing that is Cool In it, is suck not, makes manifest from all manner of $\mathtt{Experim}^{\mathtt{ts}}$ a flow of water as hath bin fusely observed Elswhere. In particular that Notorious Instance of Marbl[e?] w^{ch} doth Not suck in water, is dayly observe[d?] Experiments of it ffor when y^e air Is In a certein disposition w^{ch} I take to be such as makes it breed Wa[=?] ter in it self, tending to Clouds & rain; It Shall discharg upon $y^{\rm e}\ {\rm Marble}\ {\rm water}\ {\rm In}$ great plenty. and If any accidentall Cold possesseth y^e Marble, or Glass, or any thing, as /cool\ wine in a Glass; or /Els\ heating y^e air, as y^e Sun on y^e door of a Coach⁴⁰⁰ when y^e Glass is down[e?] one or other so y^e air & y^e Stone & Glass ar[e?] of a different temperature, & ye Cold [any part?] of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} latter, water will flow Most Conspicuously Air

 $^{^{400}}$ See BM Add MSS 32546, f. 312v, for a captivating version of this observation from experience.

Air is generally warm, becaus it hath its

form from Motion, but stone is cold, becaus

That air Must depose water on cold Stone.

It hath its forme Rest. No wonder air is deprived of its forme, In some Measure by Stone, when water Gather's upon it, w^{ch} y^e air, Generally being Evaporated water, generates by loosing y^e aeriall forme, & Relapsing Into that of water. It is Impossi= ble a place Should be cool, & drye; ffor $w^{\rm ch}$ I appeal to all Cellar's, wells, Grottes, & Even such as are made for pleasure; $w^{\mbox{\tiny ch}}$ with $y^{\mbox{\tiny e}}$ drought coolness, will allwais be Rafty, & wett. And If moisture be unwholesome, It is not very healthfull to pass much time in Such places. And this Not by ffitts, as abroad In Evening's & morning's, or certein seasons accidentally, but perpetually; so that Mois= ture and Cold are seldome In close places a= sunder, If I say'd Never, I thinck I Erred Not

Application of y^e former causes to Rocky Hills.

All this considered It will readily be Con= ceived how all cavity's and cleft's of rock that hath /have\ air In them, Supplyed from abroad Must largely produce water; the rather be= Caus the urging Winds, drive thro them fresh air, that brings y^e Externall warmth allong with

79 81.

82

Sucking Stone is as Earth, and doth Not create water, as hard Stone doth.

ffountaine wa= ter, generally Cold, w^{ch} Speak's its pe= digree.

Hot fountaines from accidentall Mixtures of acid [or?] aleatious Salts In them. with it, and Not onely In ye Cavity's, but /in\ all y^e clefts & passages as [goes?], produces water. And that Never there turnes to air againe but y^{t} is dry's up, but draines away, & finds vent some where or other, & Whereever it is, It becomes a fountaine. This is Confirmed by one observation, w^{ch} is that a Country, tho Stony, If y^{e} Stone be sandy, or In y^{e} Nature of Earth, so as to suck up water, there are Not Such plenty of Spring's Nor of that Na= ture, as where \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Stone is Rocky as Marble that suck's In No water. another observa[=?] tion Confirming this, is that Spring-water is Generally Cold, w^{ch} shews It comes from cold places. But when such water is hot as at Bath, & other places, It is from Causes Not generall, or Concerned in y^e production of y^e Water but accidentall, from different Sal[t?] mixing, such as y^e Chemists call Acid & alcal[y?] $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ never meet but calefie, & are are turbulent that Water percolating a Soyl, or washing Rock's where salts are Must Gather them, is Granted, then If salt's have such property's as being collected to-gether shall heat; the[re?] Is No wonder at all that Bath waters May be hott, from the accident's In the passage and Collection of them. It is also observable

Of Oaky hole, In somerset Shire, & chedder-cliffs & y^e water y^t flows from them, see Gibsons, Cambden.

In Earthy hills & country's, y^e air about y^e Surface of y^e Earth breeds much water, on like acc^o as a= mong Rocks, but not so plentifully observable, & well knowne to y^e Cost of Miners, that all grotto's In y^e Earth have Much wett flow from them, there is one In somersethire famous Enough Called Oaky hole;⁴⁰¹ w^{ch} folks visit for curiosity, becaus It leads great length's Into y^e bowels if y^e hill, where Strang Cavernes are found. there is a perpetuall Current from this valt, w^{ch} issues among y^e Stones, as they Enter. And y^e sides vent water as also y^e topp, where are pendant the pe= trified (Icisickles of) water, as I may from y^e Exact & forme terme them. the sight of this caverne is almost a demonstration of what I say, & there I leav it.

It Remaines that I Shew how farr this ge= neration of water, from y^e air, Is Concerned In y^e Interteranean water's of sandy Country's. And I must affirme it to be Not a litle. ffor the Earth it self tho It seem's hard and Compact to us, yet is In it self foraminous and air is dispers't all about more or less in it; And there for y^e Same Reason Ingenders water. And however it is Not so plentifull yet it is some what, and Serves to keep y^e Earth moist. ffor If there were Not such a production of moisture, In dry times, or Inter tropicall Country's, many places would

 $^{\rm 401}$ i.e., Wookey Hole in Somerset.

84.

Thence ordina= ray vegetation Maintained in Drye times. would become perfectly arid, & sterill, and Not Nourish so much as deep rooted trees. but It is so farr otherwise, that dig In any part of y^e World, I will Not Except y^e Sands In y^e desert's of Arabia, but If Not Newly Moved I mean having layn Still & Not run, at a foot or less depth, Moisture will be found. w^{ch} Must breed, and Not be had from without. If In a drye time there fall's Much rain, and drought ensues, If y^e Earth be broke it will Not be found y^t y^e wett hath penetrated Many Inches; but how farr shall be visible, and the rest of y^e Moisture found underneath is bredd.

But this breeding of water In y^e Mould or y^e Earth, keeps it so Moist, that If it doth Not drein so as to conduce ro maintenance of, Springs, as I verily beleev it doth, and Con= siderably; yet It accomodates the passage of y^e Recruits by Rain when they fall, w^{ch} otherwise would all be held suck't In by y^e dry Mould, but finding that wett, & ready to drein goes along, & strait makes a Cours towards y^e vents or fountaine.

And another considerable thing depends on this, y^t is vegetation not onely of trees, w^{ch} have deep root (and are observed never

81 85

never to want Moisture at ye Root) but Com= mon Herbs upon \boldsymbol{y}^{e} surface of the ground, besides y^e falling of dew's, have a [Recruit?] of Moisture from this caus. ffor ye outward Insinuating among y^{e} herbs & Grass, & y^{e} Cavernules of \boldsymbol{y}^{e} Mould In \boldsymbol{w}^{ch} their roots run, heaving it up, & Making it /ye soyle Cool\ as they terme it, from ye chang of temper between Night & day Even In \boldsymbol{y}^{e} dryest seasons, generates a Moisture w^{ch} serves In great measure to maintaine such plant's, y^t Els In a dry time Must all perish root & branch. but It is observed yt If y^{e} blade of Grass dy's, y^{e} root lives, & is ready to put forth when Raine comes. And corne $w^{\mbox{\scriptsize ch}}$ is an annuall, If growne up thick, breeds a Moi= ture under y^{e} Shade of it, w^{ch} maintaines its Growth thro a dry season. All $w^{\rm ch}$ Shews of what use to $y^{\rm e}$ living world this cours of ge= nerating water, In ye Alternation's of heat A Cold, as well as agt perpetuall Cold, is; for without it, Nature would Seem to dye.

Now take all together, Rain water, & water breeding In y^e Cold Earth from y^e air, especially In Rocky Cavernous Country's, makes the phenomena of fountaines In all y^e Condi= tion's of them, Resolvable enough without Recours, to Miracles or prodigious Inven= tions such as use to be had for y^e End & porpose.

Moisture breedS in y^e Shade of Corne & Grass.

<unnumbered sheet>

<Red BM stamp>

<unnumbered sheet> <In pencil: '346 folios Jan. 1886 [plus two sets of initials]'> <unnumbered sheet> <page blank> [colophon i] (binder's paper) <page blank> [colophon ii] (binder's paper) <label attached 'DEPARTMENT OF MANUSCRIPTS Record of Treatment, Extraction, Repair etc. of M.S. no Add. Ms. 32545 Date Particulars Name 20.01.06 ff. 77-78 repaired with gelatine Signature coated Japanese tissue activated with IMS/water 15/02/06 Examined after treatment. Signature'>

[colophon iii]
(inner of board cover)
<page blank>